

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

THE URBANISATION OF ROME AND LATIUM VETUS

This book focuses on urbanisation and state formation in middle Tyrrhenian Italy during the first millennium BC by analysing settlement organisation and territorial patterns in Rome and Latium vetus from the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era. In contrast to the traditional diffusionist view, which holds that the idea of the city was introduced to the West via Greek and Phoenician colonists from the more developed Near East, this book demonstrates important local developments leading to higher complexity, dating to the beginning of the Early Iron Age, if not earlier. By adopting a multi-disciplinary and multi-theoretical framework, the book circumvents the old debate between exogenous and endogenous perspectives by suggesting a network approach that sees Mediterranean urbanisation as the product of reciprocal catalysing actions.

Francesca Fulminante is Supervisor and Visiting Fellow of Archaeology at the University of Cambridge. She is author of *The Princely Burials in Latium Vetus* and has excavated in Rome, Veii, Pompeii, Crustumerium, Gubbio and Broom (Bedfordshire).

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

THE URBANISATION OF ROME AND LATIUM VETUS

FROM THE BRONZE AGE TO
THE ARCHAIC ERA

FRANCESCA FULMINANTE

McDonald Institute of Archaeology, Cambridge University



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107030350

© Francesca Fulminante 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-03035-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

To my family

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	page x
<i>Preface</i>	xvii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xix
INTRODUCTION	I
1 URBANISATION AND STATE FORMATION IN MIDDLE TYRRHENIAN ITALY: HISTORICAL QUESTIONS AND THEORETICAL MODELS	7
1.1 The Origin of the City in Middle Tyrrhenian Italy	7
1.2 Approaches to Urbanisation and State Formation from a Comparative Perspective	9
Evolutionary Approaches, 9 • Multi-Trajectory (Anti-Evolutionary) Approaches, 11 • Recent Comparative Approaches: The Copenhagen Polis Centre Project, 19 • The Model of Social Evolution of the Roman School of Pre- and Proto-History, 21 • The Socio-Ecological Model of Urbanisation Developed by John Bintliff, 30	
1.3 Conclusions: Towards a Reconciliation	32
2 THE LATIN LANDSCAPE, DATA AND METHODOLOGY	35
2.1 The Latin Landscape	35
Geography and Morphology, 35 • Geology, 40 • The Limits of Latium Vetus, 41 • The Nature of Settlement Development in Latium Vetus, 44	
2.2 Data: Archaeological Research in Rome and Latium Vetus from the End of the Nineteenth to the Beginning of the Twenty-First Century	47
2.3 Data Collected and Used in the Present Study	52
The Territorial Sample, 52 • The Regional Sample, 54	
2.4 Methodology and Theoretical Issues	60
Settlement Patterns and Locational Models: Some Theoretical Issues, 60 • Geographical Information Systems, Determinism	

	and Space: Current Theoretical Debate on the Use of GIS in Archaeology, 62	
2.5	Conclusions	63
3	THE CITY LEVEL: ROME FROM A SMALL BRONZE AGE VILLAGE TO THE GREAT CITY OF THE ARCHAIC AGE	66
3.1	Rome from the Bronze Age to the Archaic Age: Archaeological Evidence	66
	Premise, 66 • Pre-Urban Rome (Bronze Age), 67 • Proto-Urban Rome (Early Iron Age), 72 • Urban Rome (End of the Early Iron Age, Orientalizing Age and Archaic Age), 80	
3.2	Conclusions	102
4	THE TERRITORIAL LEVEL: DEFINITION AND DATING OF THE <i>AGER ROMANUS ANTIQUUS</i>	105
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	The <i>Ager Romanus Antiquus</i> : Literary Approach	106
	Sanctuaries at the Fifth–Sixth Miles around Rome as Limits of the <i>Ager Romanus Antiquus</i> , 106 • Topographical Reconstruction of the Fifth–Sixth Mile Boundary, 110 • Dating of the Fifth–Sixth Mile Boundary, 112	
4.3	The <i>Ager Romanus Antiquus</i> : Theoretical Approach	115
	Thiessen Polygons, 115 • Carrying Capacity: Demography and Territory, 121 • Visible Area and Territorial Control, 130	
4.4	Conclusions	131
5	THE TERRITORIAL LEVEL: THE ROMAN HINTERLAND FROM THE BRONZE AGE TO THE REPUBLICAN PERIOD	133
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Data Sample and Settlement Typology	134
5.3	Settlement Pattern Analysis	139
	Settlement History, 139 • Settlement Patterns and Environmental Variables, 147	
5.4	Socio-Political and Economic Landscape: Settlement Hierarchy	157
	Site Distribution and Hierarchical Levels: Territorial Sample, 157 • Rank-Size Analysis, 163	
5.5	Conclusions	168
6	THE REGIONAL LEVEL: SETTLEMENT PATTERN ANALYSIS IN LATIUM VETUS FROM THE BRONZE AGE TO THE ARCHAIC AGE	171
6.1	Introduction	171

CONTENTS

ix

6.2	Settlement Patterns and Environmental Variables	172
	Geology, 172 • Elevation and Slope, 175 • Distance from Modern Rivers, 177	
6.3	Rank-Size Analysis	181
	Rank-Size Rule, 181 • Rank-Size Analysis, 184	
6.4	Settlement Patterns and Locational Models	191
	Spatial Efficiency Model, 191 • Central Place Theory, 195 • Site Distribution and Hierarchical Levels: Regional Sample, 198	
6.5	Multiplicatively Weighted Voronoi Diagrams	204
6.6	Conclusions	212
7	MULTI-DIMENSIONAL AND MULTI-THEORETICAL APPROACH TO URBANISATION AND STATE FORMATION IN LATIUM VETUS	216
7.1	Introduction	216
7.2	Trajectories towards Urbanisation in Latium Vetus	217
	Settlement Centralisation, 217 • Funerary Evidence and Social Stratification, 218 • Socio-Economic Approach, 220 • Craft Specialisation, 221 • Rituals and Cult Places, 224 • Ethnicity and Identities, 225 • Archaeological Land Evaluation (RPC Project), 227 • Vegetation History, 228	
7.3	Testing Evolutionary and Multi-Trajectory Models of Social Evolution against the Archaeological Evidence from Latium Vetus	229
	Evolutionary Perspectives on Urbanisation in Bronze and Early Iron Age Latium Vetus, 230 • Divergent Trajectories towards Urbanisation in Bronze and Early Iron Age Latium Vetus, 231 • Multi-Linear Conjunctions in the Process of Urbanisation in Bronze and Early Iron Age Latium Vetus, 235 • Bintliff's Socio-Ecological Model Applied to Urbanisation in Latium Vetus, 241	
7.4	Further Research Perspectives	243
7.5	Conclusions	247
	CONCLUSIONS	249
	<i>Appendix</i>	261
	<i>Notes</i>	333
	<i>Bibliography</i>	361
	<i>Index</i>	391

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

1. 'Cypro-Phoenician' bowl from the Bernardini 'princely' tomb in Praenestae (Palestrina), second quarter of the 7th century BC	<i>page 3</i>
2. Comparative axes of variability between corporate and network modes of social organization	16
3. Italy with Latium and Etruria in the Mediterranean basin	36
4. The area surrounding Rome: Latium vetus	37
5. Map of Rome and Latium by Eufrosino della Volpaia, 1547	38
6. Map of Rome and Latium by Gio Antonio Magini, 1620	39
7. Ardea acropolis, south-west side	41
8. Latium vetus: region of the Populi Albenses, or Alban People, compared with the core diffusion area of the Latin material culture, so-called Colli-Albani or Latial Periods I–IV	43
9. Territorial sample: site distribution according to research project type and years of investigation	55
10. Territorial sample: site distribution according to principal research projects	55
11. Territorial sample: comparison of new sites discovered by the Suburbium Project and sites discovered by earlier projects	55
12. Principal survey projects conducted in Latium vetus	57
13. Recent excavations in the historical and archaeological centre of Rome	67
14. Rome: archaeological evidence from the Middle Bronze Age (1700–1325/1300 BC)	68
15. Rome: archaeological evidence from the Recent Bronze Age (1325/1300–1175/1150 BC)	70
16. Rome: archaeological evidence from the Final Bronze Age (1175/1150–950/925 BC)	71
17. Rome: funerary evidence from the Early Iron Age I Early (Latial Phase IIA, 950/925–900/875 BC)	73
18. Rome: funerary evidence from the Early Iron Age I Early (Latial Phases IIA/IIB, respectively 950/925–900/875 and 900/875–850/825 BC)	74
19. Rome: funerary evidence from the advanced Early Iron Age I Late (Latial Phase IIB, 900/875–850/825 BC, and IIIA, ca. 850/825–800 BC)	78

FIGURES AND TABLES

xi

20.	Rome: funerary evidence from the final Early Iron Age 2 and Early Orientalizing Age (Latial Phase IIIB, 800–750/725 BC, and Latial Sub-Phase IVA1, 750/725–670/660 BC)	81
21.	Rome: funerary evidence from the Middle and Recent Orientalizing Ages (Latial Sub-Phase IVA2, 670/660–640/630 BC, and Latial Phase IVB, 640/630–580 BC)	82
22.	Rome, north-eastern slope of the Palatine Hill: earthen wall door gate (Porta Mugonia, ca. 750–725 BC), composite plan of the evidence	83
23.	Rome, north-eastern slope of the Palatine Hill: earthen wall door gate (Porta Mugonia, ca. 750–725 BC), assonometric reconstruction	84
24.	Rome, north-eastern slope of the Palatine Hill: foundation deposit under the doorstep of the earthen wall door gate (Porta Mugonia, ca. 750–725 BC)	85
25.	Rome, area of the Vesta sanctuary: Orientalizing and Archaic Age monuments	90
26.	Rome, area of the Vesta sanctuary: so-called House of the Kings 2 (ca. 750–725 BC), plan of the evidence with hypothetical integrations	91
27.	Rome, Capitoline temple: <i>podium</i> foundations contained in the new wing of Palazzo dei Conservatori Museum	97
28.	Rome, Quirinal Hill, ‘Servian’ Wall	101
29.	Rome within the ‘Servian’ Wall in the second Regal Period (first half of the 6th century BC)	102
30.	Bronze statuettes, probably from a votive deposit outside Porta Portese, at the first mile of the Via Campana, dated to the second half of the 6th century BC	107
31.	Inscription fragments, probably from the Fortuna Muliebris sanctuary at the fourth mile on the Via Latina	108
32.	The <i>ager Romanus antiquus</i> according to literary sources with sanctuaries and relevant Etruscan and Latin settlements from the 8th to the 6th–5th centuries BC	112
33.	The expansion of Rome under the kings, from the mid-8th to the end of the 6th century BC, according to literary accounts	114
34.	Latium vetus: settlement size–frequency histogram, Final Bronze Age 3	116
35.	Latium vetus: settlement size–frequency histogram, Early Iron Age 2	117
36.	Latium vetus: settlement size–frequency histogram, Archaic Age	117
37.	Latium vetus: settlement territorial districts according to Thiessen polygons with all centres considered of equal rank, Final Bronze Age 3	119
38.	Latium vetus: settlement territorial districts according to Thiessen polygons with centres larger than 6 ha considered ‘primary’ and the others ‘secondary’, Final Bronze Age 3	120
39.	Visibility analysis (viewsheds) from the highest points of the Capitoline and Palatine Hills	131
40.	Localisation of the site sample used for analysis at the territorial level	135
41.	Site sample used for analysis at the territorial level	135
42.	Territorial sample: site frequency summary graph	142

43. Territorial sample: site continuity summary graph	142
44. Territorial sample: sites identified as villas in the Late Republican Period with material from earlier phases	144
45. Territorial sample: comparison between the total number of sites and the number of sites identified in the Late Republican Period as villas	145
46. Territorial sample: continuity of sites identified in the Late Republican Period as villas	145
47. Territorial sample: comparison between the total number of sites identified as villas in the Late Republican Period and the total number of houses/farmsteads and villages	146
48. Territorial sample: comparison between the number of new villas (among the sites identified as villas in the Late Republican Period) and new houses/farmsteads	146
49. Territorial sample: rock types in the area	149
50. Territorial sample: land distribution according to rock types	149
51. Territorial sample: site distribution according to rock types	150
52. Territorial sample: normalised site distribution according to rock types	150
53. Territorial sample: classes of altitude (above sea level) in the area	151
54. Territorial sample: land distribution according to altitude (above sea level)	151
55. Territorial sample: site distribution according to altitude (above sea level)	152
56. Territorial sample: normalised site distribution according to altitude (above sea level)	152
57. Territorial sample: classes of slope in the area	153
58. Territorial sample: land distribution according to slope	153
59. Territorial sample: site distribution according to slope	154
60. Territorial sample: normalised site distribution according to slope	154
61. Territorial sample: walking distance from modern rivers calculated in minutes required to walk through the landscape, modelled as a cost surface based on slope	155
62. Territorial sample: land distribution according to walking distance (from modern rivers)	155
63. Territorial sample: site distribution according to walking distance (from modern rivers)	156
64. Territorial sample: normalised site distribution according to walking distance (from modern rivers)	156
65. Territorial sample: land distribution according to distance from Orientalizing and Archaic Age Latin cities (more than 20–25 ha)	158
66. Territorial sample: land distribution according to distance from Orientalizing Age Latin villages (villages A, more than 1 ha and less than 4 ha; villages B, more than 1,000 m ² and less than 1 ha)	158
67. Territorial sample: linear distance from Orientalizing and Archaic Age Latin cities (more than 20–25 ha)	159

68. Territorial sample: linear distance from Orientalizing and Archaic Age Latin cities (more than 20–25 ha), reorganised according to buffer zones of 500 m each	160
69. Territorial sample: density of Orientalizing Age villages B (more than 1,000 m ² and less than 1 ha) in relation to distance from villages A (more than 1 ha and less than 4 ha)	160
70. Territorial sample: density of Orientalizing Age villages B (more than 1,000 m ² and less than 1 ha) in relation to distance from Latin cities (more than 20–25 ha)	161
71. Territorial sample: density of Orientalizing Age sites in relation to distance from villages B (more than 1,000 m ² and less than 1 ha)	161
72. Territorial sample: density of Orientalizing Age sites in relation to distance from cities and villages (A and B) considered together	161
73. Territorial sample: Orientalizing and Archaic Age settlement distribution	162
74. Territorial sample: schematic representation of the Orientalizing and Archaic Age settlement distribution	162
75. Territorial sample: Bronze Age rank-size graph	164
76. Territorial sample: Early Iron Age rank-size graph	165
77. Territorial sample: Orientalizing Age rank-size graph	165
78. Territorial sample: Archaic Age rank-size graph	166
79. Territorial sample: Early Republican Period rank-size graph	166
80. Territorial sample: Middle Republican Period rank-size graph	167
81. Territorial sample: Late Republican Period rank-size graph	167
82. Territorial sample: rank-size index trend	167
83. Latium vetus: rock type distribution in a catchment area of 2 km for Bronze Age and 5 km for Early Iron Age settlements	173
84. Latium vetus: rock type (single variable) distribution in a catchment area of 2 km for Bronze Age and 5 km for Early Iron Age settlements	174
85. Latium vetus: normalised land distribution according to rock type	174
86. Latium vetus: rock type distribution in catchment areas normalised according to rock type distribution in the region	175
87. Latium vetus: site elevation trend	175
88. Latium vetus: site distribution according to elevation (above sea level)	176
89. Latium vetus: normalised site distribution according to elevation (above sea level)	177
90. Latium vetus: land distribution in catchment areas according to slope	178
91. Latium vetus: land distribution in catchment areas according to slope normalised against regional distribution	178
92. Latium vetus: variation of land occupation according to slope from one period to the following within catchment areas	179
93. Latium vetus: land distribution in catchment areas according to walking distance (from modern rivers)	180
94. Latium vetus: site distribution according to distance from modern rivers associated with alluvial deposits (type B1), which are more likely to have been perennial rivers also in antiquity	180

95. Latium vetus: site distribution according to distance from modern rivers not associated with alluvial deposits (type B2), which are less likely to have been perennial rivers in antiquity	181
96. Latium vetus: normalised site distribution according to distance from modern rivers associated with alluvial deposits (type B1), which are more likely to have been perennial rivers also in antiquity	181
97. Latium vetus: normalised site distribution according to distance from modern rivers not associated with alluvial deposits (type B2), which are less likely to have been perennial rivers in antiquity	182
98. Deviations from rank-size log-normality: concave (or primate) and convex deviations	182
99. Latium vetus: rank-size graphs (traditional plots), Middle Bronze Age	185
100. Latium vetus: rank-size graphs (traditional plots), Recent and Final Bronze Age	186
101. Latium vetus: rank-size graphs (traditional plots), Early Iron Age	187
102. Latium vetus: rank-size graphs (traditional plots), Orientalizing and Archaic Ages	188
103. Latium vetus: rank-size index trend	189
104. Latium vetus: A-shape coefficient values	190
105. Latium vetus: rank-size curve with 90% confidence interval, Middle Bronze Age 1–2	190
106. Latium vetus: statistical confidence intervals for A-shape coefficient values	190
107. Latium vetus: notional territories according to Thiessen polygons built around ‘primary’ centres larger than 6 ha, Middle Bronze Age 3	194
108. Latium vetus: spatial efficiency index for Bronze Age and Early Iron Age territorial districts	195
109. Classical central place arrangements developed by Walter Christaller	196
110. Latium vetus: Bronze Age <i>K</i> -values according to traditional central place theory	196
111. Latium vetus: Early Iron Age <i>K</i> -values according to traditional central place theory	198
112. Latium vetus: land distribution according to distance from Final Bronze Age 3 ‘primary’ centres (larger than 6 ha)	199
113. Latium vetus: land distribution according to distance from Early Iron Age 1 Early proto-urban centres (larger than 20–25 ha)	200
114. Latium vetus: land distribution according to distance from Early Iron Age 1 Early minor centres	200
115. Latium vetus: frequency of minor centres (larger than 4 ha and smaller than 20–25 ha) in relation to distance from proto-urban centres (larger than 20–25 ha), Early Iron Age 1 Late	201
116. Latium vetus: settlement distribution, Early Iron Age 1 Late	201
117. Latium vetus: frequency of minor centres (larger than 4 ha and smaller than 20–25 ha) in relation to distance from proto-urban centres (larger than 20–25 ha), Early Iron Age 2	202
118. Latium vetus: settlement distribution, Early Iron Age 2	202

FIGURES AND TABLES

xv

119. Latium vetus: schematic representation of settlement distribution, Early Iron Age 1 Late	203
120. Latium vetus: schematic representation of settlement distribution, Early Iron Age 2	203
121. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Middle Bronze Age 1–2	206
122. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Middle Bronze Age 3	207
123. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Recent Bronze Age	207
124. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Final Bronze Age 1–2	208
125. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Final Bronze Age 3	209
126. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Early Iron Age 1 Early	209
127. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Early Iron Age 1 Late	210
128. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Early Iron Age 2	211
129. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Orientalizing Age	211
130. Latium vetus: multiplicatively weighted Voronoi diagrams, Archaic Age	212
131. Rocca di Papa: princely tomb of Vivaro, Latial Sub-Phase IVA1 (ca. 725–700 BC)	232
132. Caere (Cerveteri), Laghetto cemetery, tomb 2138: <i>skyphos</i> , probable Euboic production, Middle Geometric 2–Late Geometric 1, 8th century BC	246
133. Rome, S. Omobono sanctuary: fragment from a <i>skyphos</i> , Euboic production, Late Geometric 1, second half of the 8th century BC	246

TABLES

1. Classification of societies according to Service's model of evolution	12
2. Trajectories of development observed cross-culturally in the evolution of complex cultural systems according to Haas	14
3. Corporate versus network modes of cultural/social evolution	16
4. The <i>polis</i> in literary and epigraphic sources (urban centre) compared with the archaeological evidence (physical identity) according to the Copenhagen Polis Centre	22
5. The concept of city-state according to the Copenhagen Polis Centre	24
6. The concept of city-state culture according to the Copenhagen Polis Centre	25
7. The social evolution of central Italian communities from the Eneolithic (ca. 3000–2300 BC) to the Orientalizing Age (750/725–580 BC) according to the model of the Roman school of pre- and proto-history	26

8. Main archaeological contexts in Rome during the Regal Period (recent excavations)	98
9. Correlation between slope and cost of moving	III
10. Total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Rajala's calculations performed on the site of Gabii	122
11. Total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Rajala's calculations performed on the site of Gabii and Bintliff's idea of taking the rural population into account	124
12. Total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Bintliff's model	125
13. Territorial radius and total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Bintliff's model and Cardarelli's population density figures	127
14. Territorial radius and total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Bintliff's model and Viglietti's land productivity assumptions	128
15. Territorial radius and total area required to feed the hypothetical population of Rome in different periods based on Bintliff's model, Cardarelli's population density figures and Viglietti's land productivity assumptions	129
16. Studies of the Suburbium Project in the sample area	136
17. Criteria adopted for the classification of sites in the Albegna Valley Survey by Perkins	138
18. Criteria adopted for the classification of sites in the Albegna Valley Survey by Italian scholars	139
19. Criteria adopted for the classification of sites in the present work	140
20. Frequency of sites by type	141
21. Distance between Latin cities, Orientalizing and Archaic Ages	158
22. Latium vetus rock types	173
23. Social evolution of Italian pre- and proto-historic communities according to Peroni's model and evolutionary models	230
24. Characteristics of corporate and network modes tested against the archaeological evidence in Latium vetus	234
25. Trajectories of social evolution in Latium vetus from the Bronze Age to the Archaic Age	237

PREFACE

This book presents the results of my PhD research, conducted at Cambridge University between 2004 and 2008. The analyses were performed between 2005 and 2006, and the writing was completed in 2007. Therefore, the bibliographic entries date mostly from that time. During my revision of the manuscript at the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities in 2009–10, I consulted some new studies, such as the PhD dissertation of Luca Alessandri, *Il Lazio centromeridionale nell'età del Bronzo e del Ferro* (University of Groningen, 2009), and incorporated the results into my own account, although I have omitted the analyses.

Other articles or books were published during the preparation of my thesis for publication – for example, A. Ziólkowski, 'Frontier Sanctuaries of the *ager Romanus antiquus*: Did They Exist?' *Palamedes*, 4.1, 2009, pp. 91–130; F. Quondam, 'Rinvenimenti di età proto-storica sulle pendici nord-orientali del Palatino', *Scienze dell'Antichità*, 17, 2011, pp. 621–41; B. Barbaro, *Insediamenti, aree funerarie ed entità territoriali in Etruria meridionale nel Bronzo finale* (Florence, 2010); and P. Attema, J. Jan Burgers and P. Van Leusen, *Regional Pathways to Complexity: Settlement and Land-Use Dynamics in Early Italy from the Bronze Age to the Republican Period* (Amsterdam, 2010) – but it was not possible to integrate into the present volume the findings reported in these publications.

Finally, A. Carandini (ed.), *Atlante di Roma* (Milan, 2012), an important source on the archaeology of Rome and its territory, was released at the same time that the final version of this manuscript was submitted for publication. S. Stoddart is preparing a volume which will cover themes parallel to those addressed in this book in relation to the region north of the Tiber: *Power and Place in Etruria: The Spatial Dynamics of a Mediterranean Civilisation, 1200–500 BC*.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03035-0 - The Urbanisation of Rome and Latium Vetus: From the Bronze Age to the Archaic Era

Francesca Fulminante

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My research and the resulting book were completed thanks to the help of many people and institutions. First of all I would like to thank the Division of Archaeology and the McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research (Cambridge) for giving me the opportunity to do this work and complete my PhD in such a stimulating and challenging environment. I also thank the University of Rome ‘La Sapienza’ (Borsa di studio di perfezionamento all'estero), the Arts and Humanities Research Council (doctoral grant), the International Federation of University Women (Ruth Bowden International Fellowship) and the Dan David Price Foundation (International Scholarship) for funding my PhD research. I am grateful to the Graduate Centre for the Study of Culture (GCSC) in Giessen (Germany) and the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities (NIAS) in Wassenaar for post-doctoral secondments, which enabled me not only to start my new project, “Exploring Identities in Early Iron Age Middle Tyrrhenian Italy with Social Network Analysis,” but also to revise the manuscript of the present volume.

Corrado Ingravallo and Mario Gianfelice of Provincia di Roma and the Regione Lazio kindly provided geographical digital data; Clarissa Belardelli allowed me to consult the proofs of the catalogue of all pre-historic and proto-historic sites in Latium, published in 2007; and Gianluca di Girolami, Elena Foddai, Fabiola Fraioli, Alessandra Tronelli and Francesca dell’Era allowed me to consult unpublished data from their master’s research work conducted for the Suburbium Project, headed by Andrea Carandini, Paolo Carafa and Francesco di Gennaro for the 4th Municipal District of Rome. To all these people I extend my deepest gratitude for providing important data that made this research possible.

Simon Stoddart, my PhD supervisor, has guided my research with patience, rigour and friendship and has provided encouragement in moments of need. My advisor, Martin Millett, provided valuable suggestions and guidance throughout all phases of the research. My examiners, John Patterson and John Bintliff, challenged my thesis and helped me improve my arguments. Alessandro Guidi, Francesco di Gennaro, Christopher Smith, an anonymous reviewer and Gabriele Cifani read the manuscript at different stages and provided helpful suggestions and information. Anja Klockner and Walfred Martini offered

valued discussion and advice. All of these advisors prevented me from making various mistakes; however, all responsibility for any errors or inaccuracies remains my own. It has been a pleasure to work with Beatrice Rehl, editor at Cambridge University Press, and her assistants Amanda Smith and Asya Graf. I thank Beatrice for her trust in my work and her support and patience through the process of review and revision, and Amanda and Asya for their professionalism and congeniality. The production editor, Janis Bolster, with Mary Becker and their collaborators, have brilliantly guided me through the copy-editing process, and I am very grateful for their hard work and support.

Ulla Rajala and Heli Arima were good companions and friends during the Cisterna Grande fieldwork adventure at Crustumerium during the years of work on my PhD, and Ulla's similar work on Etruria was a model for some of the analyses and applications. I shared with Letizia Ceccarelli the joys and challenges of studying at Cambridge and working at the Col di Marzo excavation for the Etruscan Frontier Project directed by Simon Stoddart and Caroline Malone.

To all these people goes my deepest gratitude. However, this book would not have been possible without the love and support of my Italian and British family. This book is dedicated to them. Finally a special thanks to Stephen for his useful comments and detailed 'editing' skills and to Luigi, Maria Letizia and Anna for their photographic skills.