

Too Hot to Touch

The Problem of High-Level Nuclear Waste

When the nuclear energy industry was launched in the 1950s, Robert Oppenheimer dismissed the waste problem as "unimportant." Over a half-century later, the waste issue is as prominent as reactor safety in the international controversies surrounding nuclear power. It is particularly topical in the US since the 2010 closure of the Yucca Mountain repository project. With no long-term plan in sight, high-level radioactive waste remains scattered across 121 sites in 39 states.

William and Rosemarie Alley provide an engaging and authoritative account of the controversies and possibilities surrounding disposal of nuclear waste in the US, with reference also to the difficulties and progress of other countries around the world. The book tells the full history from the early days after World War II up to the present time, with an insightful perspective drawn from William Alley's expertise in the field, including leading the US Geological Survey study of Yucca Mountain. Stories of key players bring to life the pioneering science, the political wrangling and media drama, and the not-in-my-backyard communities fighting to put the waste somewhere else

Written in down-to-earth language, this is a fascinating book for public interest groups, affected communities, and anyone interested in finding out more about this issue. The timely and important subject also makes it a valuable resource for policymakers, political staff, environmentalists, and research scientists working in related fields.

WILLIAM AND ROSEMARIE ALLEY are a husband and wife team, writing for the general public on Earth Science issues confronting society. As a leading expert in the field of hydrogeology, Dr. William M. Alley has won numerous awards for his work, including the US Geological Survey (USGS) Shoemaker Award for Lifetime Achievement in Communication and the Meritorious Presidential Rank Award. Dr. Alley served as Chief of the Office of Groundwater for the USGS for almost two decades and oversaw the Yucca Mountain project from 2002 to 2010. Rosemarie Alley has a Master's Degree in special education. As a literacy specialist, she has taught young adults with language delays and conducted numerous reading workshops for teachers, administrators, and parents. Currently, Rosemarie is a writer, sculptor, potter, and gardener. The Alleys live in the foothills above San Diego, California.



"Coming from a concerned environmentalist perspective, this is an outstanding, well-researched book, containing a wealth of information about the global issue of radioactive waste, and presented in a highly readable style."

- Professor Bill Lee FREng, Co-director, Imperial College Centre for Nuclear Engineering

"This is a fascinating and highly readable book from authors with deep knowledge and a wealth of sharp (and often amusing) insights into the ups and downs of the US radioactive waste management programme. It will appeal especially to geologists, nuclear scientists and technologists with a taste for the lessons of history, particularly those experiencing today the difficulties of implementing solutions to a complex technical problem that is also highly charged, politically and societally."

Professor Neil Chapman, MCM Switzerland and University of Sheffield,
 UK; radioactive waste-management consultant to international industrial,
 regulatory and governmental organisations.

"An excellent source of information for both the experienced nuclear waste professional and other interested parties. The reader is taken from an Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) seminar on radioactive waste in 1949 to a final chapter on nuclear waste and our energy future.

A truly great ride that strikes a balance between the technical aspects and the extremely interesting history of our efforts to deal with the challenges of nuclear waste management."

- Professor James H. Clarke, Civil and Environmental Engineering, and Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University.



Too Hot to Touch

The Problem of High-Level Nuclear Waste

WILLIAM M. ALLEY AND ROSEMARIE ALLEY





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107030114

© William M. and Rosemarie Alley 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Alley, William M.

Too hot to touch : the problem of high-level nuclear waste / William M. Alley and Rosemarie Alley.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-03011-4 (hardback)

1. Radioactive waste disposal – United States.

2. Radioactive wastes - United States. I. Alley, Rosemarie. II. Title.

TD898.118.A45 2013

363.72'890973 - dc23 2012021832

ISBN 978-1-107-03011-4 Hardback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/alleyalley

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



This book is dedicated to all the scientists who have devoted their working lives to trying to solve the problem of high-level nuclear waste.





Contents

| | Acknowledgments | page 1x |
|----|----------------------------------|---------|
| | List of units | X |
| | List of abbreviations | xi |
| | Introduction | xiii |
| | | |
| | Part I | |
| | The problem | 1 |
| 1 | The awakening | 3 |
| 2 | Brainstorming | 21 |
| 3 | The ocean as a dumping ground | 29 |
| 4 | Radioactivity and atomic energy | 46 |
| 5 | The Cold War legacy | 60 |
| 6 | The peaceful atom and its wastes | 84 |
| 7 | Recycling | 93 |
| 8 | Dry cask storage | 112 |
| 9 | Interim storage | 122 |
| 10 | A can of worms | 131 |
| 11 | WIPP | 152 |

vii



viii Contents

| | Part II The mountain | 171 |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 12 | The search for a geologic repository | 173 |
| 13 | Nevada wins the lottery | 192 |
| 14 | The Nevada Test Site | 204 |
| 15 | Yucca Mountain | 217 |
| 16 | How long is long? | 233 |
| 17 | Leaving almost no stone unturned | 251 |
| 18 | Surprise | 272 |
| 19 | Shake & bake | 287 |
| 20 | The project gets into hot water | 297 |
| | Part III | |
| | No solution in sight | 307 |
| 21 | A new President, new policies | 309 |
| 22 | Nuclear waste and our energy future | 321 |
| | Appendix: discussion questions | 330 |
| | References | 334 |
| | Index | 359 |



Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Laura Clark, our editor at Cambridge University Press, for her unflagging interest, insights, and support in helping to bring this book to completion. We also would like to thank Dr. Isaac Winograd, at the US Geological Survey, for his thoughtful and generous review of an early draft of Part II. A special thanks also goes to Dr. Deserai Crow, at the University of Colorado, for her help in framing the discussion questions. Finally, we are indebted to five anonymous reviewers, who provided fresh perspectives on the strengths and weaknesses of the manuscript.



Units

We use a combination of American and metric units, and show both where it is important for comprehension. Conversion factors are listed below for some commonly used units.

```
1 foot (ft) = 0.3048 meters (m)
1 inch (in) = 2.54 centimeters (cm)
1 mile (mi) = 1.609 kilometers (km)
1 square mile = 2.59 square kilometers
1 acre = 0.4047 hectares
1 gallon = 3.785 liters
1 pound = 0.45 kilograms (kg)
1 rem = 0.01 sieverts
1 metric ton = 1000 kilograms = 1.1 tons
```



Abbreviations

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

AFR Away-from-reactor

AFSWP Armed Forces Special Weapons Project
ANEC American Nuclear Energy Council

BRC Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future

DOE US Department of Energy

EBR-I Experimental Breeder Reactor-I

EEG Environmental Evaluation Group

EIS Environmental Impact Statement

EPA US Environmental Protection Agency

EPRI Electric Power Research Institute

ERDA Energy Research and Development Administration

ESF Exploratory Studies Facility

ET Evapotranspiration

FEPs Features, events, and processes
GAO Government Accountability Office
GNEP Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

INL Idaho National Laboratory IRG Interagency Review Group

ISFSI Independent spent fuel storage installation

LLRW Low-level radioactive waste
MRS Monitored retrievable storage

MOX Mixed oxide

NAS US National Academy of Sciences

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NIMBY Not in my backyard NIMS Not in my State

NRC US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NRTS National Reactor Testing Station (now INL)

xi



xii Abbreviations

NTS Nevada Test Site (now named Nevada National Security

Site)

NWPA Nuclear Waste Policy Act

NWTRB Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

PUREX Plutonium URanium EXtraction reprocessing

R&D Research and development

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RMEI Reasonably maximally exposed individual

SLAC Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
TSPA Total system performance assessment

TVA Tennessee Valley Authority
UNLV University of Nevada-Las Vegas

USGS US Geological Survey UZ Unsaturated zone

WIPP Waste Isolation Pilot Plant



Introduction

On Labor Day in 1954, President Eisenhower appeared on television to announce the start of construction of the Nation's first nuclear power plant. The plant was to be built in the small town of Shippingport, Pennsylvania on the Ohio River, about 25 miles (40 km) west of Pittsburgh. The site was not far from Titusville, Pennsylvania, the birthplace of the petroleum industry, and was almost on top of one of the world's greatest coal fields. The Pittsburgh utility had come onboard the demonstration project for one basic reason – pollution control. Pittsburgh, once the "Smoky City," had instituted strict air pollution requirements, and the local citizens were resisting plans for a coal-fired power plant. [1]

President Eisenhower, vacationing in Denver, Colorado, made good use of the new television medium to dramatize the event. The President held up a large neutron-generating "magic wand" for the viewers to behold. As he waved the atomic-age magic wand over a neutron counter, an electronic signal traveled 1,200 miles (1,900 km) from Denver to Shippingport. The signal activated an unmanned, remote-controlled bulldozer which began to break ground for the new plant. It was an impressive feat. The local crowd rose to their feet and applauded. Two weeks later, Lewis L. Strauss, Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission, delivered his oft-cited speech that "Our children will enjoy in their homes electrical energy too cheap to meter." [2–3]

Signed into law a few months earlier, the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 opened the way for peaceful uses of the atom. For the first time, civilians were allowed to join the elite nuclear club by building and operating privately owned nuclear power plants. Soon thereafter, the Atomic Energy Commission announced its Power Demonstration Reactor Program. The program offered free nuclear fuel for up to seven years, money for research and development and, in some cases, a large part of the capital needed to build nuclear plants. One member of Congress

xiii



xiv Introduction

characterized it as an attempt to "force-feed atomic development" with tax dollars. [4]

In the euphoria of abundant and cheap energy just around the corner, the question of what to do with the radioactive waste from nuclear power plants rarely came up. Nor were there many concerns about the high-level nuclear waste that had been accumulating at Hanford and other atomic weapons plants since the start of the Manhattan Project. For many years, the problem of nuclear waste would go unrecognized or be considered trivial. Predictions that the problem would almost solve itself would prove miserably wrong.

By the late 1960s, a general optimism prevailed that there would be a permanent site for high-level nuclear waste by 1980. Time and again, the date would be revised. In 1982, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act mandated that the federal government begin accepting high-level wastes for burial in a geologic repository by January 31, 1998. The government was so sure of itself that it signed binding agreements with the utilities to accept responsibility for the waste by this date. A few years later, the Office of Technology Assessment for the US Congress suggested that the 1998 goal was unrealistic, but expressed "considerable confidence" that a repository would be operating by 2008 and a second by 2012, "even if significant delays are encountered." Newly revised predictions of the date for an operational repository continue to be pushed further and further into the future, as the dates of previous predictions recede into the past. [5]

Meanwhile, high-level radioactive waste from electricity generation and weapons production remains scattered among 121 sites in 39 States. As of 2012, the United States had accumulated almost 70,000 tons of spent fuel from nuclear reactors. In addition, about 20,000 giant canisters of defense-related high-level radioactive waste will need a final resting place. [6]

More than a half-century has passed since Eisenhower waved his magic wand. The Shippingport plant has come and gone. It shut down in 1982, after 25 years of successful operation. By any measure, it is well past the time to tackle the waste problem. No magic wand will make it go away.