

Place Matters

Over the last two decades there has been increased interest in the distribution of crime and other antisocial behaviors at lower levels of geography. The focus on microgeography and its contribution to the understanding and prevention of crime has been called the "criminology of place." It pushes scholars to examine small geographic areas within cities, often as small as addresses or street segments, for their contribution to crime. The authors describe what is known about crime and place, providing the most up-to-date and comprehensive review available. *Place Matters* shows that the study of the criminology of place should be a central focus of criminology in the twenty-first century. It creates a tremendous opportunity for advancing our understanding of crime, and for addressing it. The book brings the collective knowledge of eighteen top scholars to provide a comprehensive understanding of crime at place.



Place Matters

Criminology for the Twenty-first Century

DAVID WEISBURD

Hebrew University and George Mason University

JOHN E. ECK

University of Cincinnati

ANTHONY A. BRAGA

Rutgers University and Harvard University

CODY W. TELEP

Arizona State University

BREANNE CAVE

George Mason University

KATE BOWERS¹

University College London

GERBEN BRUINSMA

NSCR Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam

CHARLOTTE GILL

George Mason University

ELIZABETH R. GROFF

Temple University

JULIE HIBDON

Southern Illinois University

JOSHUA C. HINKLE

Georgia State University

SHANE D. JOHNSON

University College London

BRIAN LAWTON

John Jay College

CYNTHIA LUM

George Mason University

JERRY H. RATCLIFFE

Temple University

GEORGE RENGERT

Temple University

TRAVIS TANIGUCHI

RTI International

SUE-MING YANG

George Mason University

¹ remaining authors listed in alphabetical order





Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02952-1 - Place Matters: Criminology for the Twenty-first Century David Weisburd, John E. Eck, Anthony A. Braga, Cody W. Telep, Breanne Cave, Kate Bowers, Gerben Bruinsma, Charlotte Gill, Elizabeth R. Groff, Julie Hibdon, Joshua C. Hinkle, Shane D. Johnson, Brian Lawton, Cynthia Lum, Jerry H. Ratcliffe, George Rengert, Travis Taniguchi and Sue-Ming Yang

Frontmatter

More information

CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107029521

© Cambridge University Press 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Names: Weisburd, David, author.

Title: Place matters: criminology for the twenty-first century / David Weisburd and 17 others. Description: New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Includes bibliographical references and index

Identifiers: LCCN 2015039546 | ISBN 9781107029521 (Hardback) Subjects: LCSH: Criminology. | BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Criminology. Classification: LCC HV6023.5 .W45 2016 | DDC 364–dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015039546

ISBN 978-1-107-02952-1 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-60949-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Lis	st of figures	page vii
Lis	st of tables	xi
Lis	st of contributors	xiii
Pre	eface	xvii
I	Crime Places within Criminological Thought	I
	Is the Study of Crime and Place "Criminological"?	5
	At What Specific Unit of Geography?	8
	What Is New in the Study of Crime at Place?	II
	What Follows?	14
2	The Concentration of Crime at Place	16
	Place and Space	16
	Crime Concentrations at Places	17
	A Law of Crime Concentration at Places	22
	Does the Law of Crime Concentration Apply Across Time?	25
	The Implications of Crime Concentrations	27
	The Coupling of Crime to Place	29
	Microgeographic Places and Spatial Interaction Effects	34
	Conclusions	41
3	Theories of Crime and Place	42
	Processes That Create Crime Places	42
	Two Theoretical Perspectives	43
	Opportunity Mechanisms	54
	Social Disorganization Mechanisms	58
	Applying Social Disorganization to Places	60
	Synthesis	61
	Conclusions	67



More information

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02952-1 - Place Matters: Criminology for the Twenty-first Century
David Weisburd, John E. Eck, Anthony A. Braga, Cody W. Telep, Breanne Cave, Kate Bowers,
Gerben Bruinsma, Charlotte Gill, Elizabeth R. Groff, Julie Hibdon, Joshua C. Hinkle,
Shane D. Johnson, Brian Lawton, Cynthia Lum, Jerry H. Ratcliffe, George Rengert,
Travis Taniguchi and Sue-Ming Yang
Frontmatter

V1		Contents
4	The Importance of Place in Mainstream Criminology and Related Fields: Influences and Lessons to be Learned The Growing Role of Microgeographic Places in Traditional	68
	Theorizing of Criminality	68
	Contributions of Place Perspectives from Other Disciplines into Mainstream Criminological Theory and Research What Can Criminology Learn from Other Disciplines about Places	71
	in the Future?	81
	Conclusions	84
5	Methods of Place-Based Research	86
	The Importance of Theory in Developing Methods	86
	The Importance of Methods	88
	Evolution to Space	90
	Spatial Autocorrelation	92
	What Is the Appropriate Cone of Resolution?	93
	The Development of New Geographies	95
	Innovative Data Applications of GIS	96
	Crime Hot Spots	101
	Predictive Techniques	104
	Computer Simulations	108
	Operational Decision Making with New Geographies	110
	Conclusions	III
6	Reducing Crime at High-Crime Places: Practice and Evidence	113
	Situational Crime Prevention at Places	114
	Police Efforts to Control Crime Hot Spots The Importance of Place Managers and "Third Parties" in	115
	Controlling Crime Places	127
	Community Corrections and Crime Places	133
	Crime Displacement and Diffusion of Crime-Control Benefits	135
	Conclusions	138
7	Crime Places in the Criminological Imagination The Law of Crime Concentration and the Future of Crime	140
	and Place Studies	141
	Broadening Theoretical Development	146
	The Importance of Intra- and Interdisciplinary Perspectives	148
	The Importance of Advances in Data, Methods, and Statistics	151
	Implementing Place-Based Crime Prevention in Practice	153
	Conclusions	158
No	otes	159
References		163
Index		197



Figures

1.1	Changes in rates of microplace studies published in <i>Criminology</i> over time. <i>Original source</i> : Weisburd, D. (2015). "The law of crime concentration and the criminology of place." <i>Criminology</i> , 53(2), 133–157. Courtesy of Wiley.	thaga A
T 4	Map of the home addresses of juvenile offenders. <i>Original source</i> :	page 4
1.2	Shaw, C.R., Zorbaugh, F.M., McKay, H.D., and Cottrell, L.S.	
		_
	(1929). Delinquency areas. A study of the geographical distribution	n
	of school truants, juvenile delinquents, and adult offenders in	
	Chicago. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. Copyright	
	1929 by the University of Chicago.	7
2.1	Crime concentrations by street segments in Seattle, WA. Original	
	source: Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang, SM. (2012).	
	The criminology of place: Street segments and our understanding	
	of the crime problem. New York: Oxford University Press.	
	Used by permission of Oxford University Press.	21
2.2	The proportion of street segments that account for 25 percent,	
	50 percent, 75 percent, and 100 percent of crime in Tel Aviv-Jaffa,	,
	2010. Original source: Weisburd, D., and Amram, S. (2014).	
	"The law of concentrations of crime at place: The case of	
	Tel Aviv-Jaffa." Police Practice and Research, 15(2), 101–114.	
	Reprinted with permission of Taylor & Francis Ltd.	22
2.3	The law of crime concentration in large cities. Original source:	
	Weisburd, D. (2015). "The law of crime concentrations and the	
	criminology of place." Criminology, 53(2), 133-157. Courtesy	
	of Wiley.	24
2.4	The law of crime concentration in small cities. Source: Weisburd,	
	D. (2015). "The law of crime concentrations and the criminology	
	of place." Criminology, 53(2), 133–157. Courtesy of Wiley.	25

vii



viii List of figures

2.5	Changes in crime concentration and number of crime incidents over time. <i>Source</i> : Weisburd, D. (2015). "The law of crime	
	concentrations and the criminology of place." Criminology, 53(2),	
	133–157. Courtesy of Wiley.	26
2.6	Twenty-two trajectories of crime incidents for Seattle, WA, street segments. <i>Original source</i> : Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang,	
	SM. (2012). The criminology of place: Street segments and our	
	understanding of the crime problem. New York: Oxford	
	University Press. Used by permission of Oxford University Press.	29
2.7	Drug hot spots in Jersey City, NJ. Source: Weisburd, D., and	
	Mazerolle, L.G. (2000). "Crime and disorder in drug hot spots:	
	Implications for theory and practice in policing." Police Quarterly,	
	3(3), 331–349. Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications.	3 I
2.8	Chronic hot spot street segments in Seattle. <i>Original source</i> :	
	Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang, SM. (2014). "Understanding and controlling hot spots of crime: The	
	importance of formal and informal social controls." <i>Prevention</i>	
	Science, 15(1), 31–43, Figure 2. With kind permission from	
	Springer Science and Business Media.	32
2.9	Spatial distribution of trajectory patterns in southern Seattle, WA.	-
	Original source: Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang, SM. (2012).	
	The criminology of place: Street segments and our understanding of	
	the crime problem. New York: Oxford University Press. Used by	
	permission of Oxford University Press. Neighborhood disorder, collective efficacy, and street crimes	33
2.10	per face block, in Chicago, 1999–2000. <i>Original source</i> : St. Jean,	
	P.K.B. (2007). Pockets of crime: Broken windows, collective	
	efficacy, and the criminal point of view. Chicago, IL: University	
	of Chicago Press. © 2007 by the University of Chicago.	34
2.11		٠.
	Original source: Taniguchi, T.A., Rengert, G.F., and McCord,	
	E.S. (2009). "Where size matters: Agglomeration economies of	
	illegal drug markets in Philadelphia." Justice Quarterly, 26(4),	
а т	670–694. Reprinted with permission of Taylor & Francis Ltd. Place management and other explanations for crime concentration	39
3.1	at places.	48
3.2	A hypothetical history of opportunity and crime at a place:	40
J	a shopping mall.	55
3.3	Proprietary and proximal places.	57
3.4	Spatial concentration and spatial autocorrelation for public	
	housing assistance. Source: Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang,	
	SM. (2012). The criminology of place: Street segments and our	
	understanding of the crime problem. New York: Oxford	
	University Press. Used by permission of Oxford University Press.	62



More information

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02952-1 - Place Matters: Criminology for the Twenty-first Century
David Weisburd, John E. Eck, Anthony A. Braga, Cody W. Telep, Breanne Cave, Kate Bowers,
Gerben Bruinsma, Charlotte Gill, Elizabeth R. Groff, Julie Hibdon, Joshua C. Hinkle,
Shane D. Johnson, Brian Lawton, Cynthia Lum, Jerry H. Ratcliffe, George Rengert,
Travis Taniguchi and Sue-Ming Yang
Frontmatter

ix

3.5	Streets where at least 75 percent of registered voters are active	
	voters. Source: Weisburd, D., Groff, E.R., and Yang, SM. (2012).	
	The criminology of place: Street segments and our understanding	
	of the crime problem. New York: Oxford University Press. Used by	
	permission of Oxford University Press.	63
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	03
4.1	Map of cholera fatalities in London. Original source: Map by	
	Dr. John Snow, 1854.	76
	Impact of edge effects on crime hot spot surfaces.	94
5.2	Overlay of floors in Gladfelter Hall, the hot spot of crime	
	identified within a building. Original source: Rengert, G.F. and	
	Lowell, R. (2005). Police Foundation crime mapping news:	
	A quarterly newsletter for crime mapping, GIS, problem analysis,	
	and policing. Washington, DC: Police Foundation.	99
5 2	Hotspot grid with robber densities defined as number of incidents	"
3.3	per square kilometer represented in form of a two-dimensional	
	thematic Map (left image) and in form of a three-dimensional	
	"information landscape" (right image). Original source: Wolff,	
	M. and Asche, H. (2009). "Exploring crime hotspots: Geospatial	
	analysis and 3D mapping." In M. Schrenk, V. Popovich, D. Engelke,	
	and P. Elisei (eds.), Cities 3.0-smart, sustainable, and integrative	
	(pp. 147–156). Sitges, Spain: Competence Center of Urban and	
	Regional Planning, Figure 3. With kind permission from Springer	
	Science and Business Media.	100
5.4	Risk terrain and shooting overlay. Original source: Caplan, J.M.,	
٠,	Kennedy, L.W., and Miller, J. (2011). "Risk terrain modeling:	
	Brokering criminological theory and GIS methods for crime	
	forecasting." Justice Quarterly, 28(2), 360–381. Reprinted with	
		106
		106
3.3	Prospective hot spot map using 50-meter grid squares. Original	
	source: Bowers, K.J., Johnson, S.D., and Pease, K. (2004).	
	"Prospective hot-spotting the future of crime mapping?" British	
	Journal of Criminology, 44(5), 641-658. By permission of Oxford	
		107
5.6	Forecasting strategy comparison. Average daily percentage of crimes	
	predicted plotted against percentage of cells flagged for 2005 burglary	
	using 200-meter by 200-meter cells. Error bars correspond to the	
	standard error. Prospective hotspot cutoff parameters are 400 meters	
	and 8 weeks (left) and optimal parameters (right) are 200 meters and	
	39 weeks. Original source: Mohler, G.O., Short, M.B., Brantingham,	
	P.J., Schoenberg, F.P., and Tita, G.E. (2011). "Self-exciting point	
	process modeling of crime." Journal of the American Statistical	
	Association, 106(493), 100–108. Reprinted with permission of	-
	Taylor & Francis Ltd.	108



More information

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02952-1 - Place Matters: Criminology for the Twenty-first Century
David Weisburd, John E. Eck, Anthony A. Braga, Cody W. Telep, Breanne Cave, Kate Bowers,
Gerben Bruinsma, Charlotte Gill, Elizabeth R. Groff, Julie Hibdon, Joshua C. Hinkle,
Shane D. Johnson, Brian Lawton, Cynthia Lum, Jerry H. Ratcliffe, George Rengert,
Travis Taniguchi and Sue-Ming Yang
Frontmatter

x List of figures

6.1 Campbell review of hot spots policing program main effects. Original source: Braga, A.A., Papachristos, A.V., and Hureau, D.M. (2012). "Hot spots policing effects on crime." Campbell Systematic Reviews, 8(8). T 2.2. 6.2 Campbell review of hot spots policing program displacement and diffusion effects. Original source: Braga, A.A., Papachristos, A.V., and Hureau, D.M. (2012). "Hot spots policing effects on crime." Campbell Systematic Reviews, 8(8). 123 6.3 Continuum of police strategies to control crime hot spots. Original source: Braga, A.A., and Weisburd, D. (2006). "Problem-oriented policing: The disconnect between principles and practice." In D. Weisburd and A.A. Braga (eds.), Police innovation: Contrasting perspectives (pp. 133–154). New York: Cambridge University Press. 124 6.4 General theory of place management. Original source: Madensen, T.D. (2007). Bar management and crime: Toward a dynamic theory of place management and crime hot spots. PhD

dissertation. Cincinnati, OH: University of Cincinnati.

129



Tables

2.1	Distribution of All Dispatched Calls for Police Service in	
	Minneapolis, MN, by Frequency at Each	page 19
2.2	The Distribution of Total Demand for Police Services among	
	Street Addresses Experiencing a Given Annual Rate of Demand	
	in Boston, MA, for the Period 1977 to 1982	19
2.3	Summary of Crime Incident Data in New York City, 2009-2010	21
2.4	Location Quotients for the Impact of a Facility on the	
	Surrounding Area	40
6.1	Effectiveness of Opportunity-Based Interventions at Places	115





Contributors

David Weisburd is a distinguished professor at George Mason University and Director of the Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy. He also holds a joint appointment as the Walter E. Meyer Professor of Law and Criminal Justice at the Hebrew University Faculty of Law in Jerusalem. Professor Weisburd is the recipient of many prestigious honors and awards including the Stockholm Prize in Criminology 2010, the American Society of Criminology's Sutherland Award in 2014, and the 2015 Israel Prize.

John E. Eck is Professor of Criminal Justice at the University of Cincinnati. He has conducted research on policing, crime places, and crime prevention since 1977. He is a former research director for the Police Executive Research Forum. There, he studied investigative operations, police anti-drug strategies, and helped field test and develop a problem-oriented approach to policing. Eck's Ph.D. dissertation (University of Maryland, 1994) developed the idea of "place management." He joined the faculty of the School of Criminal Justice at the University of Cincinnati in 1998.

Anthony A. Braga is the Don M. Gottfredson Professor of Evidence-Based Criminology at Rutgers University and a senior research fellow in the Program in Criminal Justice Policy and Management at Harvard University. He is an elected fellow and Past President of the Academy of Experimental Criminology (AEC). In 2014, he was the recipient of the AEC's Joan McCord Award recognizing his contributions to experimental criminology.

Cody Telep is an assistant professor in the School of Criminology and Criminal Justice at Arizona State University. He received his Ph.D. from the Department of Criminology, Law, and Society at George Mason University, where he worked at the Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy. His research interests

xiii



xiv

List of contributors

include evaluating policing innovations, police legitimacy, evidence-based policy, and experimental criminology. He is the Secretary-Treasurer for the American Society of Criminology's Division of Policing.

Breanne Cave is a senior research associate at the Police Foundation. She received her Ph.D. from the Department of Criminology, Law, and Society at George Mason University in 2016. Her research interests focus on policing, crime and place, research translation, and security issues. Her research has been published in the *Journal of Experimental Criminology, Police Practice and Research*, and *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management*.

Kate Bowers is a professor of Security and Crime Science at the University College London Department of Security and Crime Science. She has published over eighty papers and book chapters. She serves on a number of journal editorial boards and has a number of external appointments such as Acting Independent Expert for the European Commission and Expert Reviewer for the US Office of the Assistant Attorney General. Her work has been funded by the Home Office, the US Department of Justice, UK police forces, the Department for Education and Skills, and UK research councils EPSRC, ESRC, and AHRC.

Gerben Bruinsma was, from 1999 till August 2014, Director of the Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement (NSCR) in Amsterdam, a national research institute of the National Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), and is currently Senior Researcher of that institute. He is also Professor of Environmental Criminology at VU University of Amsterdam (from 2009). He is Past President of the European Society of Criminology. He has published in Criminology, Crime & Delinquency, Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, British Journal of Criminology, Policing, and European Journal of Criminology. With David Weisburd, he edited The Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice.

Charlotte Gill is an assistant professor at George Mason University and holds degrees in Criminology and Law from the Universities of Pennsylvania and Cambridge. Her primary research interests are community-based crime prevention and place-based approaches, particularly with juveniles and youth; community policing; program evaluation, including randomized controlled trials; and research synthesis. In 2012 she received the Academy of Experimental Criminology's Young Experimental Scholar award. Dr. Gill's current research involves pilot studies of social and community building interventions at hot spots. She is also the coeditor of the Campbell Collaboration Crime and Justice Group.

Elizabeth Groff is an associate professor in the Department of Criminal Justice Temple University, Philadelphia. Her research interests include place-based



List of contributors

xv

criminology; modeling geographical influences on human activity; the role of technology in police organizations; and the development of innovative methodologies using geographic information systems, agent-based simulation models, and randomized experiments. She is a fellow of the Academy of Experimental Criminology and a former director of the National Institute of Justice's Crime Mapping Research Center.

Julie Hibdon is an assistant professor in the Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice at Southern Illinois University Carbondale. She received her Ph.D. in Criminology, Law, and Society from George Mason University in 2011. Her research interests include crime and place, environmental criminology, cognitions of crime places, fear of crime, and policing.

Joshua C. Hinkle is an assistant professor in the Department of Criminal Justice and Criminology at Georgia State University. He received his doctoral degree in Criminology and Criminal Justice from the University of Maryland in the summer of 2009 after completing his master's degree in the department in May 2005. His research interests include evidence-based policing, the disorder–crime nexus, fear of crime, and experimental methods. His research has been funded by the National Institute of Justice and the National Science Foundation. His work appears in journals such as *Criminology*, *Criminology* & *Public Policy*, and the *Journal of Experimental Criminology*.

Shane D. Johnson, a professor at the University College London Department of Security and Crime Science, has worked within the fields of criminology and forensic psychology for over fifteen years. He has particular interests in exploring how methods from other disciplines (e.g. complexity science) can inform understanding of crime and security issues, and the extent to which theories developed to explain everyday crimes can explain more extreme events such as riots, maritime piracy, and insurgency. He has published over 100 peer-reviewed papers and book chapters and his work has been covered in *The Economist* and *New Scientist*, and by the UK media.

Brian Lawton is an assistant professor in the Department of Criminal Justice at John Jay College. His research interests include spatial patterns of crime, police use of force, and the intersection of public health and crime. His research has been published in such journals as the *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, and *Police Quarterly*.

Cynthia Lum is Director of the Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy (CEBCP) and Associate Professor in the Department of Criminology, Law, and Society at George Mason University. She researches primarily in the area of evidence-based policing and security. Her works in this area have included evaluations of policing interventions and police technology, understanding the



xvi

List of contributors

translation and receptivity of research in policing, examining place-based determinants of street-level police decision-making, and assessing security efforts of federal agencies. She is a former police officer and detective, and a Fulbright Specialist.

Jerry Ratcliffe is a professor in the Department of Criminal Justice, Temple University, Philadelphia, where he also directs the Center for Security and Crime Science. He is a former police officer with London's Metropolitan Police where he served for several years on patrol duties, in an intelligence and information unit, and as a member of the Diplomatic Protection Group. He has a B. Sc. with honors in Geography and GIS and a Ph.D. from the Faculty of Science at the University of Nottingham. He has published over sixty research articles and four books in the areas of crime science and intelligence-led policing.

George F. Rengert is Professor Emeritus of Criminal Justice at Temple University. A geographer by training, he is one of the early contributors to the modern field of spatial analysis in criminology. Dr. Rengert is the recipient of the Ronald V. Clarke Award for Excellence in Environmental Crime Analysis and is the author or editor of nine books and more than 100 scientific articles and papers dealing with such diverse topics as the location of illegal drug markets, spatial justice, and barriers to the spatial movement of criminals. His current research interests center on the application of Geographic information Systems to the analysis of urban crime patterns.

Travis Taniguchi is a research criminologist at RTI International. He received a BS in Criminology and Criminal Justice from Chaminade University of Honolulu, and an MA and Ph.D. in Criminal Justice from Temple University. Dr. Taniguchi's research interests include program and policy evaluation, technology evaluations, crime and place, street gang dynamics, and the spatial distribution of drug markets.

Sue-Ming Yang is an assistant professor in the Department of Criminology, Law, and Society at George Mason University. She received her Ph.D. from the Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice at the University of Maryland. Her research interests include place-based criminology, urban disorder, criminological theory testing, experimental research methods, and international terrorism. In her most recent study, she found strong associations between social and physical attributes of environments and individual perception of disorder, using a laboratory experiment. Her recent works appear in *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, *Prevention Science*, *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, and *Criminology and Public Policy*.



Preface

We have titled this book *Place Matters: Criminology for the Twenty-First Century*. Our choice of this title was not coincidental. It reflects a view of all of the authors regarding what should be important in criminology and crime prevention. As we note in Chapter 1, the approach we offer is not a dominant one in traditional criminology. Indeed, it represents in many ways a radical departure from the mainstream of criminological thinking. But our purpose in developing this work was not to divorce ourselves from the mainstream of criminology, but rather to argue that our interests should become a central part of criminological interests. We make that case throughout the book in terms of what the empirical evidence says about basic and applied research in this area.

In his presidential address to the American Society of Criminology in 2003, John Laub (2004) observed that criminology as a discipline could be viewed as having a developmental life course. Laub and Sampson (2003) offered a radical critique of traditional criminological understandings of criminal careers when they argued that the life courses of criminals were affected not just in the early formative stages of their lives, but also by the experiences they would have as adults. The life-course perspective recognized that people would change many times in their lives, and an immutable idea of inevitable criminal behavior based on experiences in youth was just wrong. Laub (2004) argued that, much like the offenders that he and Robert Sampson studied in identifying life-course criminology (Laub and Sampson 2003), criminology also could be seen as having a life course that had important turning points that fundamentally influenced the directions that the field would take.

In contrast to continuity in the intellectual trajectory of the discipline, a turning point refers to a radical new way of viewing criminology, which allows us to stake out new territory and to make significant new discoveries about crime and criminality. A turning point in a discipline can be seen as a recognition that new ideas develop that are pursued, and often lead to important

xvii



xviii Preface

insights. The successful development of criminology is dependent on being open to new ways of understanding crime. Laub (2004) identifies a number of turning points that have enriched criminological understandings.

Our argument in this book is that it is time for criminology to take another turn in direction. The change is embedded not in a particular theory, but in the units of analysis that criminologists focus upon. The first major turning point that Laub (2004) identified in American criminology was also concerned with units of analysis. The fundamental changes in our understanding of the crime problem that came from the Chicago School of Sociology were linked strongly to its insights about the importance of communities in understanding crime (e.g., see Shaw and McKay 1942). This geographic criminology, as we describe in Chapter 1, had an important influence on criminology. But it is very different from the "places" that we focus upon in this book. Our interest is in "microgeographic" units, specific places where crime is concentrated. We spend a good deal of time in the chapters of our book defining these units and why they are so important to understanding and controlling crime. We think that a turning point in criminology that would focus in on such places is important for criminology in the twenty-first century.

As we note later, study of crime at microgeographic units of analysis began to interest criminologists in the late 1980s (Evans and Herbert 1989; Felson 1987; Pierce et al. 1988; Sherman et al. 1989; Weisburd and Green 1994; Weisburd et al. 1992). The roots of such approaches can be found in the efforts of scholars to identify the relationship between specific aspects of urban design (Jeffery 1971) or urban architecture (Newman 1972) and crime, but broadened to take into account a much larger set of characteristics of physical space and criminal opportunity (e.g., Brantingham and Brantingham 1975; 1977; 1981; Duffala 1976; Hunter 1988; LeBeau 1987; Mayhew et al. 1976; Rengert 1980; 1981; Stoks 1981). These studies drew important distinctions between the site in question and the larger geographical area (such as the neighborhood, community, police beat, or city) that surrounds it. In a 1989 article in Criminology, Lawrence Sherman, Patrick Gartin, and Michael Buerger (1989) coined the term "criminology of place" to describe this new area of study. The criminology of place (see also Weisburd et al. 2012) or "crime and place" (see Eck and Weisburd 1995) pushes us to examine very small geographic areas within cities, often as small as addresses or street segments (both sides of a street from intersection to intersection), for their contribution to the crime problem. It pushes us to examine and understand why crime occurs at specific places, rather than focusing our interests on the more traditional criminological concern of why specific types of people commit crime.

In our book we will argue that the study of crime at place offers important new insights about the crime problem and what we can do about it. Indeed, our thesis will be that the potential to understand and control crime that this approach offers represents an important opportunity for criminology and crime prevention. Put simply, a turning point is warranted because of the strong



Preface xix

evidence we already have at this early stage of research in this area. But another reason for a turning point in the developmental career of criminology is drawn from the present state of criminological knowledge. Continuing with business as usual will likely not add dramatically to our generation of important insights for theory or policy. This point was made strongly by Frank Cullen in his 2010 Sutherland Address to the American Society of Criminology. He argued there:

For over a half century, criminology has been dominated by a paradigm – adolescence-limited criminology (ALC) – that has privileged the use of self-report surveys of adolescents to test sociological theories of criminal behavior and has embraced the view that "nothing works" to control crime. Although ALC has created knowledge, opposed injustice, and advanced scholars' careers, it has outlived its utility. The time has come for criminologists to choose a different future. Cullen 2011, 287

Cullen (2011) suggested areas that provided promise for advancing criminology and crime prevention. These included life-course criminology (e.g., see Laub and Sampson 2003), biological social theory (Moffitt 1993), criminal decision making (e.g., see Nagin and Pogarsky 2001), and the study of crime events (e.g., see Clarke 1980). The criminology of place suggests a radical departure from current interests, and that is why we argue for a turning point in the life course of criminology. Its concern is with the units of analysis of criminological study rather than with the measurement of crime or the theory used to understand crime. In this sense, each of the innovations that Cullen describes can be examined or applied in the context of micro-crime places. In this context, the criminology of place offers a promising new direction for criminology, which has tremendous possibilities for advancing criminology as a basic and policy science.

In Chapter I we provide evidence suggesting that the criminology of place has received little focus in criminology. This fact presents a particular opportunity for young scholars looking to advance criminology and their careers. In contrast to the traditional concerns that Cullen (20II) critiques, which have been the focus of thousands of papers and studies, crime and place has occupied a marginal location in empirical research in criminology. There is much room to make new discoveries and to examine new problems. This is a field about which we know little and the landscape of knowledge is wide open to young scholars for exploration.

And these questions are not only about understanding crime, they are also about doing something about crime. One of Cullen's main objections to continuity in the life course of criminology is that it has little promise for helping us to do something about crime problems. He argues that "[w]e have contributed valuable work to knowledge destruction – showing what does not work – but have not done much to show what does work through knowledge construction" (Cullen 2011, 318). In contrast, the criminology of place from the start has focused on what we can do about the crime problem. In Chapter 6 we



xx Preface

provide strong evidence of effectiveness for place-based interventions. This evidence has been developed when the focus of criminologists and crime prevention experts has ordinarily been on other concerns. If the turning point we are suggesting were to develop, it would seem that a host of new and effective interventions could be developed.

It is time for another turning point in the life course of criminology. That is the underlying lesson of our work, which summarizes what is known about the study of crime at place. It is time for criminologists to focus their attention on place. This will enrich criminology and crime prevention. Place matters! And indeed it should be a key focus of criminology in the twenty-first century.

It is important in introducing the book, that I note something about the processes that led to its development. This book evolved out of the activities of the Crime and Place Working Group (CPWG), which is housed in the Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy (CEBCP) at George Mason University. Cynthia Lum and I formed the Working Group when the CEBCP was founded in 2008 to advance study of crime at microunits of geography. We thought at the time that there was no organized locus for scholars in this area, and that the conduct of this work and its advancement would benefit much if we could bring key scholars together on a regular basis. So began the group and its meetings, which have led not only to greater interaction among scholars working in this area but also the production of specific products and activities.

The initial activities of the group were supported by a start-up fund granted to me by the former Dean of Humanities and Social Sciences at George Mason, Professor Jack Censer. Initially the CPWG was seen as a vehicle for creating a sense of shared identity among a diverse group of scholars who were writing about crime at place. The initial meetings at GMU helped to reinforce the sense among participants that there was a community of crime and place scholars, in part because the group included a range of scholars at different points in their careers, from graduate students to senior scholars.

From the first meeting it was decided that the CPWG would focus on activities that helped raise the visibility of crime and place studies among criminologists, and that offered opportunities for younger scholars to do work in this area. The CPWG members also agreed that bringing the criminology of place into the mainstream of criminology was a key goal of the group's activities. With that in mind the group sponsored (and continues to sponsor) sessions at the American Society of Criminology's annual meetings and developed special issues in elite criminology journals, including the *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* and the *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*. These special issues not only increased the visibility of crime and place research, but they also provided opportunities for young crime and place scholars to publish in high-prestige journals in the field.



Preface xxi

The idea for a book that summarized knowledge about crime at microunits of geography developed out of discussions of the importance of having a text that would place the study of crime at place in the context of broader criminological questions. Our model for developing the work was the National Academy of Sciences (NAS)/National Research Council (NRC) reviews of evidence that were developed by the NRC Committee on Law and Justice. As a member of the committee, I suggested that NAS do a summary of knowledge in this area, but there was not sufficient interest to develop a panel. That led me to look to the CPWG to carry out a similar effort. The CPWG acted as the panel, and indeed it represented a broad array of interests and experience in this area, as well as including many of the most important crime and place scholars. We set out to review the field, dividing up the work on individual chapters among members of the CPWG. Importantly, the overall outline of the book was developed in meetings of the group.

We began by outlining what needed to be included, and then divided up into small working groups that would draft the initial chapters. We then reviewed those drafts in a meeting at GMU, and the small working groups revised the drafts. We had four meetings overall, including one in San Diego, California, sponsored in part through efforts by Jim Bueermann (now President of the Police Foundation), who was very supportive of our work and gave us important advice at the outset. We had a final meeting to discuss the revised drafts, and at that point John Eck, Anthony Braga, and I worked on drafting introductory and concluding chapters, as well as redrafting chapters so the manuscript would have a single overall voice. We decided not to develop the volume as an edited book, because all of the CPWG members who participated in writing (a few were not able to devote time to this project) participated in the overall organization and review of the manuscript. We wanted this to be a book about crime at place, not a collection of essays on the topic.

With that in mind, all of the writers are listed as authors on the volume. Weisburd, Eck, and Braga are listed first because of their job as editors. Cody Telep also played a key role in consolidating the manuscript, and is listed next. Finally, Breanne Cave, who was a graduate student when we were completing the manuscript, played a key role in developing the final draft and creating consistency across the chapters. Breanne is listed for this reason as the next author. The rest of the CPWG writers follow in the listing in alphabetical order. At the outset of each chapter (except the introduction and conclusions) a footnote lists the CPWG members who led writing of that chapter. I want to thank at the outset Matt Nelson and Alese Wooditch, who helped us with editing of the chapters when the drafts were completed by authors. Their careful work helped a good deal in finishing the work.

When we began this effort it was not clear that we could be successful. But the result follows closely what we set out to do at the outset. This volume provides the most comprehensive synthesis of knowledge about crime at place



xxii Preface

that is presently available. It is a collective effort in the best sense in that a work this comprehensive could not have been put together by just a few authors. The work represents the broad array of skills, knowledge, and experience of the CPWG. We think that when you are finished reading this work you will agree that a turning point in the developmental career of criminology is warranted.

David Weisburd Fairfax and Jerusalem, 2015