

A History of Balance, 1250-1375

The ideal of balance and its association with what is ordered, just, and healthful remained unchanged throughout the medieval period. The central place allotted to balance in the workings of nature and society also remained unchanged. What changed within the culture of scholasticism, between approximately 1280 and 1360, was the emergence of a greatly expanded sense of what balance is and can be. In this groundbreaking history of balance, Joel Kaye reveals that this new sense of balance and its potentialities became the basis of a new model of equilibrium, shaped and shared by the most acute and innovative thinkers of the period. Through a focus on four disciplines – scholastic economic thought, political thought, medical thought, and natural philosophy – Kaye's book reveals that this new model of equilibrium opened up striking new vistas of imaginative and speculative possibility, making possible a profound rethinking of the world and its workings.

JOEL KAYE is Professor in the Department of History at Barnard College, Columbia University. His previous publications include *Economy and Nature in the Fourteenth Century: Money, Market Exchange, and the Emergence of Scientific Thought* (Cambridge, 1998).





A History of Balance, 1250–1375

The Emergence of a New Model of Equilibrium and its Impact on Thought

Joel Kaye

Barnard College, Columbia University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107028456

© Joel Kaye 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-02845-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	Acknowledgments	page vi vii
	Introduction	1
1	Equality and equalization in the economic sphere, part 1: The scholastic discourse on usury to 1300	20
2	Equality and equalization in the economic sphere, part 2: The scholastic discourse on price and value to 1300	76
3	Balance in medieval medical theory, part 1: The legacy of Galen	128
4	Balance in medieval medical theory, part 2: The scholastic reception and refinement of Galenic balance to <i>c</i> . 1315	183
5	Evolving models of equalization in medieval political thought, <i>c</i> . 1250–1325	241
6	The new model of equilibrium in medieval political thought, part 1: The <i>Defensor pacis</i> of Marsilius of Padua	299
7	The new model of equilibrium in medieval political thought, part 2: The writings of Nicole Oresme	345
8	The new model of equilibrium in scholastic natural philosophy, <i>c</i> . 1325–1375	398
	Conclusion	463
	Bibliography Index	485 512

v



Illustrations

1	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2091, fol. 99r	page 271
2	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2091, fol. 125r	272
3	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2092, fol. 6v	273
4	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2092, fol. 10v	275
5	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2091, fol. 111r	278
6	Paris scene, c. 1315; Traffic on the Seine, showing the	
	Grand Pont and the Petit Pont. La vie de Saint Denys,	
	BNF ms. Français 2092, fol. 37v	280

vi



Acknowledgments

In the dozen years that I have been working on this book, I have relied on the help and support of many people and institutions, and I have accumulated many large debts of gratitude. I realize that by listing their names I can provide them only a very partial requital and an equally partial sense of what I owe them, but at the least it is a start. To begin with, I could not have brought this project to conclusion without the help of those colleagues who read and offered insightful comments on one or more chapters over the years: Caroline Bynum (whose wisdom I have relied on from beginning to end), Paul Freedman, Joanne Freeman, Martha Howell, Adam Kosto, Michael McVaugh, Edward Peters, David Reisman, Neslihan Senocak, Nancy Siraisi, Pamela Smith, Heinrich von Staden, Paul Strohm, Edith Sylla, Simon Teuscher, Lisa Tiersten, Giacomo Todeschini, Peter Travis, Carl Wennerlind, and my anonymous readers at Cambridge University Press.

I offer my thanks and my gratitude as well to those scholars who showed a generous interest in my project over the years and who shared their thoughts on particular aspects of it. Even a partial list must include Brigitte Bedos-Rezak, Ann-Marie Bouché, Carolyn Collette, Giles Constable, Emma Dillon, Susan Einbinder, Carmela Franklin, Barbara Hanawalt, Robert Hanning, Lisa Kiser, Lester Little, Maaike van der Lugt, Robert Somerville, and my trusted colleagues in History at Barnard. I add here Sophie Forrester, for her excellent editorial advice on the whole manuscript, and Deborah Shulevitz for her assistance in its preparation.

Finally, there are those, outside the circle of academe, who have literally lived this project with me, plying me with questions over years of dinners, patiently inquiring about my progress, and silently willing me to finish. Of these, I must mention Christine Doudna, Steve Fraser, Serge and Anne-Marie Gavronsky, Wendy Gimbel, Bart Gulley, Rick Grand-Jean, Sally Helgesen, Bailey and Susan Kuklin, Doug Liebhafsky, Jane Lurie, Chuck, Gabriel, and Benjamin Reich, Alexi and Remy-Bess Steinfink, and Jean Strouse.

vii



viii Acknowledgments

As important as my friends, family, and colleagues have been, I could never have completed a project of this size without the generous support of research institutions and funding agencies, along with the people who serve and direct them. My project began with a National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) fellowship in 2000, during which I sketched a research plan for my project on the history of balance and began to look in the direction of medieval medicine. Holding, as I did, the vague notion that Galenic medicine was associated with "the balance of the humors," I thought I would investigate further. At the end of this semester's leave, after having spent six weeks as a visitor at the American Academy at Rome (at the invitation of its director, Lester Little) researching medical manuscripts at the Biblioteca Vaticana, I began to realize how vast and bountiful was the intellectual landscape I had wandered into. On the basis of this first foray into medicine, I applied for and was awarded a year-long National Science Foundation (NSF) book-project grant for 2001-2 to continue my studies of this subject. I spent much of the NSF year reading early printed editions of Galenic writings and their scholastic commentaries in the Rare Book Room of the New York Academy of Medicine, where I relied on the kind assistance of its librarians, Miriam Mandelbaum and Arlene Shaner.

To the extent that my teaching allowed, I continued my study of medicine until 2003–4, when I was admitted as a scholar in residence to the Institute for Advanced Studies, School of Historical Studies. That year I wrote the book's first chapter (the current Chapter 3) on the legacy of Galenic balance and I made a start on the scholastic reception of Galenic balance. I cannot thank everyone who made my stay at the Institute so rewarding, but I must mention two who helped me more than I can say: Caroline Bynum, in her key role as the faculty member in European medieval history, and Marian Zelazny, the indispensable administrative officer of the School of Historical Studies.

In 2007–8, I had the great good fortune to spend a year as a fellow at the Dorothy and Lewis B. Cullman Center for Scholars and Writers at the New York Public Library. During my residence there, in the presence of wonderful colleagues, and under the leadership of its excellent director, Jean Strouse, I wrote most of the three chapters devoted to the question of balance in medieval political thought.

My work on the two chapters on scholastic economic thought was greatly aided by a resident fellowship at the Liguria Study Center in Bogliasco, Italy, in the spring of 2010. The staff, physical setting, and congenial group of fellows at Bogliasco provided an idyllic environment for study and contemplation. I completed the writing of these two chapters that same



Acknowledgments

ix

summer in Princeton as a visitor at the Institute for Advanced Study, School of Historical Studies.

Over the last two years, I wrote the concluding chapter on balance in scholastic natural philosophy, and I composed the book's Introduction and Conclusion. To do so, I relied on the library of Smith College in the summers and on Columbia's Butler Library and the library of the General Theological Seminary in Chelsea during the school year (aided by several course releases from Barnard College). I am deeply grateful to each of these fine libraries and institutions.

In a time when historians and even whole departments of history have begun to be assessed in terms of quantitative production, judged in terms of the number of articles (or even pages) published yearly: when as a result scholars (particularly young scholars) are increasingly urged to publish often, and consequently early; and when academic presses are ever more intent on enforcing shrinking word limits on manuscripts they will accept, I offer my sincere thanks to Barnard College for its unhesitating support over the many years of this project, and to Cambridge University Press for accepting a manuscript that greatly exceeds the length dictated by current norms.

There are, finally, three people in my life to whom I am most profoundly indebted. Two are my sisters, Julie and Carole, who have loved and supported me for so long that they have become, quite simply, a part of me and will always live in my heart. The third is my wife Thea, my true love, my inspiration, and my source of strength. Over these past years she has given more to me and to the writing of this book than I can possibly express in words. I dedicate this book to her with all my heart.