

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

- Abbott, Kenneth W. 223
- adjudication
- adjudicator *see* judiciary/ adjudicator
  - legitimacy of international adjudication 26–7
  - sources of judicial legitimacy 27
  - WTO dispute settlement *see* dispute settlement
- Alemanno, Alberto 271, 276
- Appellate Body *see under* dispute settlement
- balance of payments exemption 10, 186
- balance of payments assessments 55–7, 185, 186
  - inconsistent approach by panels on provisions prescribing IMF consultation 23–6
  - see also* International Monetary Fund
- Berne Convention 13, 60–3, 118, 143–4, 151
- interpretation of Art. 17 in *China – IP Rights* 211
  - minor exceptions doctrine 201–2, 204–5, 206–7, 208–9
  - Report 107–8, 204–5
  - ‘three-step test’ in *US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 209–11
  - TRIPS incorporating *acquis* of Berne Convention 204–5
  - and WIPO 203–4, 222
  - negotiating history and other information, request for 201–3
- Bhala, Raj 139
- Bodansky, Daniel 44
- Brewer, Scott 44
- Broude, Tomer 150
- burden of proof 85, 110, 116
- Christoforou, Theofanis 269
- classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement 96–121
- conclusions 121–3
  - context 101–5, 283–4
  - the harmonized system 103–4
  - WTO Explanatory Notes and Scheduling Guidelines 104–5
- EC – Biotech* 101, 283
- inconsistent application of VCLT rules by WTO adjudicators 141–2
- ordinary meaning 96–101, 283
- adoption of a less formal approach in considering extraneous material 98–9
  - use of diverse extraneous legal material in interpretation process 97–101
  - WTO adjudication approach 97
- other rules of international law 114–15
- special meanings 115–16
- subsequent agreement 105–9, 284
- Berne Convention Report 107–8
  - ITU instruments 106–7
  - OECD Arrangement 108–9
- subsequent practice 109–14, 283, 284–5
- EC – Computer Equipment*
- clarifications on use of subsequent practice 111–12

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

317

- limitations on the use of
    - institutional practice 112–14
  - status of institutional practice in the WTO 110–11
  - supplementary means 116–21
    - elucidation versus confirmation 119–21
    - other supplementary means 118–19
    - preparatory work 117–18
  - US – Shrimp* 97–101
    - ‘exhaustible natural resources’, interpretation of 98–9
    - GATT Art. XX chapeau 99–101
  - Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex) 13, 16–17, 69
  - evaluation of issues from WTO panels’ consultation with Codex 268–78
  - Codex and objective assessment of the matter 272–5
  - consultation with the relevant international organizations 268–71
  - identification of experts 268–70
  - provision of information and expert advice 270–1
  - use of expert review groups 275–8
  - experts’ selection procedure *EC – Hormones* 260–4
    - provision of information and expert advice by Codex 261–3
    - selection of scientific experts 263–4
  - experts’ selection procedure/  
*US/Canada – Continued Suspension* 264, 265–8, 271
  - examination of expert appointment on appeal 267–8, 274–5
  - inclusion of JECFA’s affiliated experts 266–7
  - functioning of Codex 169
    - decision making 171–2
  - objective assessment of the matter 272–5
    - EC – Hormones* 273–4
    - US/Canada – Continued Suspension* 272–3, 274–5
  - policy recommendations 290–1
    - and SPS 63–4, 65–6, 67, 134, 157, 168
  - role in WTO dispute settlement –
    - case law and nature of contribution 259–78
  - conclusions 278–9
  - EC – Hormones* 260–4, 270
  - evaluation 268–78
  - US/Canada – Continued Suspension* 264, 265–8, 271
  - weak dispute settlement mechanism, as example of 157–8
- comity doctrine 45–6
  - criticisms of 45–6
  - meaning 45
  - reciprocity idea entrenched in comity doctrine 159
- competence and credibility of international organizations 125, 163, 282
- Codex, OIE, IPPC 168–72
  - functioning of Codex 169, 171–2
  - functioning of IPPC 170–1
  - functioning of OIE 170
  - selected operational issues 171–2
- conclusions 179–81
- positive presumption in favour of an international organization 164–81
  - specific mention in WTO legal texts 164–6
  - universality of international organizations 166–7, 282
- role of WTO subsidiary bodies 172–9
- SCM Committee *see under* Subsidies and Countervailing Measures Agreement (SCM)
- SPS Committee *see under* Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS)
- constraints, internal and external 125, 127–62
  - conclusions 161–2
  - external constraints *see* external constraints

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

318

INDEX

- constraints, internal and external (*cont.*)
  - internal constraints *see* internal constraints
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) 37–8, 98–9
  - competence and credibility in their field of regulation 165–6
  - observer status request to SPS Committee still pending 78, 175
  - provision of information/expert advice 270
- co-operation and observership 53, 71–9
  - Marrakesh Agreement mandating WTO agreements with international organizations 71
  - observer status 76–9, 165–6
    - granting observer status 77–8
    - granting observer status in SPS Committee 78, 174–5
  - principle of reciprocity applied in examining international organization requests for 159
  - rights of observers 78–9
  - WTO co-operation agreements and memoranda of understanding 72–6
    - memoranda of understanding 73–4
    - the need for further elaboration 74–5
    - status in WTO dispute settlement 75–6
- Cottier, Thomas 248
- deference
  - comity doctrine *see* comity doctrine
  - judicial deference *see* vertical dimension of institutional sensitivity
  - WTO deference to another international regime 53–9
- delegation of powers 127–30, 203
  - ensuring institutional sensitivity is not unauthorized delegation of power 129–30
  - non-delegation doctrine 128
- developing countries
  - consideration of interests of
    - developing countries in international organizations 282
    - under-inclusion in work of Codex, OIE, IPPC 171
  - fisheries 178
  - human rights and WTO 160
  - multilateral trading system and asymmetrical nature of Uruguay Round rules 25–6
  - WTO/WIPO co-operation
    - agreement for technical assistance 72–3
- dispute settlement 12–13
  - adjudicators' institutional sensitivity *see* institutional sensitivity of the WTO adjudicator
  - Appellate Body 13–14, 91–2
    - competence/jurisdiction 84–5
    - deference and objective assessment 29–30
    - developing consistency in legal interpretation 140
    - factual evaluations by panels, interventions in 272–3
    - opinions of other international organizations 133
    - subsequent practice 109–10, 112–13
    - supplementary means of interpretation, international agreements as 103, 107
    - use of general international law in the WTO 36
    - using VCLT methods 91–2
    - WTO not operating in isolation from non-trade institutions 15
- balancing trade with human rights, environmental protections and other values 135–8
- binding effect on parties of WTO law interpretation 89
- classification of acts of international organizations *see* classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

- Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) 34,  
127–30  
observer rights in specific DSB  
meetings 74, 78  
dispute settlement process ‘legalized’  
13–14  
Dispute Settlement Understanding  
(DSU) 11–12  
adjudicators’ referring to  
non-WTO law/customary law  
(Art. 3(2)) 33–4, 35, 90  
Appellate Body competence  
(Art. 17(6)) 84–5  
expert review groups *see under*  
right to seek information  
(Art. 13 DSU)  
information seeking *see* right to  
seek information  
(Art. 13 DSU)  
internal constraints, as source of  
*see under* internal constraints  
limits of adjudicator’s jurisdiction  
(Art. 1) 31–2  
objective assessment *see* objective  
assessment (Art. 11)  
panels making findings to assist  
DSB (Art. 7(2)) 34  
rulings not diminishing rights and  
obligations of members 130–2,  
138  
as a self-contained regime  
(Art. 23) 35  
international organizations in  
dispute settlement *see*  
international organizations in  
WTO dispute settlement  
judiciary/adjudicator *see under*  
judiciary/adjudicator  
legitimacy of dispute settlement  
system, challenges to  
25–6  
panels and Appellate Body using  
VCLT methods 91–2  
precedent value of prior decisions *see*  
WTO judicial decision making  
*under* judiciary/adjudicator  
soft law, references to 43–4  
stakeholder model of WTO dispute  
settlement 136  
WTO law  
discretion to refer to non-WTO  
law 33–5, 131  
infrequent use made of non-WTO  
law in WTO jurisprudence  
38–9, 132  
limited reference to VCLT  
techniques in WTO dispute  
settlement 95–6  
VCLT Art. 31(3)(c) restrictively  
interpreted 37–8, 132  
VCLT provisions as part of  
binding principles for  
interpretation 90  
*see also* Vienna Convention on the  
Law of Treaties (VCLT)  
scope of WTO law 31–2  
use of general international law in  
the WTO 35–9  
Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) *see*  
*under* dispute settlement  
Dispute Settlement Understanding  
(DSU) *see under* dispute  
settlement  
Doha Declaration/Doha Development  
Agenda 49, 74  
environment  
balancing trade with environmental  
protections and other values  
135–8  
environmental scientific expertise  
247  
hard and soft environmental rules  
99  
Marrakesh Agreement  
environmental regime 52  
and trade debate 49–50  
*see also* United Nations Environment  
Programme (UNEP)  
experts and expert advice *see under*  
right to seek information  
(Art. 13 DSU)  
Explanatory Notes  
HS Explanatory Notes 227, 229–30,  
231, 234–5, 239, 281  
WTO Explanatory Notes and  
Scheduling Guidelines  
104–5

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

320

INDEX

- external constraints 142–60, 282
  - authoritative interpretation in international organizations 143–50, 281–2
  - IMF as a *sui generis* system *see under* International Monetary Fund (IMF)
  - ITLOS *see* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS)
  - other workable systems 147–50
  - role of ICJ *see under* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
  - specialized agencies, UN *see under* international organizations
- examples of weak dispute settlement mechanisms in international organizations 150–8
- Codex Alimentarius, OIE, IPPC 157–8
- WCO *see under* Customs Co-operation Council (WCO)
- WHO *see under* World Health Organization (WHO)
- WIPO *see under* World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- reciprocity, issue of 158–60
- types of external constraints 142
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) 13
  - authoritative interpretation system 147
  - consultation of experts 48, 270
  - fisheries management 177, 178–9
  - observer status in WTO 77
  - SPS issues
    - and affiliation to WTO 66
    - provision of information/expert advice 270
- fragmentation of international organizations *see under* international organizations
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 9, 54
  - balance of payments exemption *see* balance of payments exemption
- GATT (1947) 11–12, 13–14
  - health measures 246
  - interactions with international organizations in dispute settlement 11–12
  - interface with other international regimes 52, 54
  - precedent value of prior decisions 138–9
  - trade sanctions in pursuance of UN Charter obligations 11
- and IMF *see under* International Monetary Fund (IMF)
- information and expert advice 44, 79
- intellectual property 60–2
- interpreting provisions by reference to soft law 43
- justifying measures as exceptions 136–7, 140
  - Art. XX chapeau 99–101
  - health measures 246
  - measures necessary for conservation of resources (Art. XX(g)) 98
- legal interpretation
  - consistency developed by more ‘textualist’ interpretation 140
  - incoherent legal interpretation under old GATT dispute settlement 140
- WTO transforming GATT into membership organization in a legal framework 12
- General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) 13, 54
- WTO Explanatory Notes and Scheduling Guidelines 104–5
- Gold, Joseph 191–2
- Gruszczynski, Lukasz 249
- hard law *see* soft law and hard law
- Harmonized System Convention *see under* World Customs Organization (WCO)
- horizontal dimension of institutional sensitivity 23, 31–46

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

- dictated by notion of objective
  - assessment of the matter 30
- reciprocity principle and
  - consideration of output of international organizations 159
- sensitivity in broad terms 31–9
  - discretion to refer to non-WTO law in interpreting WTO law 32, 33–5
  - meaning 31
  - use of general international law/non-WTO law in specific disputes 32–3, 35–9
- sensitivity in narrow terms 31, 39–44
  - acts ensuing from international organizations, adjudicators considering 40–4
  - considering the output of international organizations from the organization's organs 39–40
  - information and expert advice 44
  - what can be drawn from comity doctrine 45–6
- Horn, Henrik 130, 237, 242–3
- Howse, Robert 79, 130, 207, 218–19, 237, 242–3, 256
- human rights 137
  - balancing trade with human rights and other values 135–8
  - criticisms of WTO 159–60
  - WTO response to criticisms 160
  - developing countries 160
- incorporation in WTO law 53, 60–71
  - SCM/OECD Arrangement 60, 69–71
  - SPS and TBT 60, 63–9
    - compliance with the standards presumes legality of measures 63–4
  - international organizations under the TBT 66–9
  - 'relevant international organizations' under SPS 65–6
  - TRIPS 60–3
- institutional sensitivity of the WTO
  - adjudicator 17–18, 23–51, 78–9
  - conclusions 50–1
  - constraints *see* competence and credibility of international organizations; constraints, internal and external
  - dimensions of institutional sensitivity 28–46
    - horizontal dimension *see* horizontal dimension of institutional sensitivity
    - vertical dimension *see* vertical dimension of institutional sensitivity
  - general constraints on institutional sensitivity 280–2
  - and inconsistent interpretation as a problem for institutional sensitivity 140–2
  - appointing individual experts rather than expert review groups 141
  - see also* under right to seek information (Art. 13 DSU)
  - inconsistent approach in application of VCLT rules of interpretation 141–2
  - institutional sensitivity as a legitimacy ingredient 8, 23–8
    - external and internal legitimacy allegations against the WTO 23–6
    - legitimacy of international adjudication 26–7
    - legitimacy of the WTO judiciary 27–8
  - other scholarly debate relating to institutional sensitivity 46–50
  - the SCM and the fisheries subsidies negotiations 47–9
  - trade and environment debate 49–50
  - reciprocity, issue of 158–60
- intellectual property rights (IPR)
  - treaties
  - ICJ deciding disputes on interpretation 143–4, 150–1
  - TRIPS *see* Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

322

INDEX

- intellectual property rights (IPR)
  - treaties (*cont.*)
  - WIPO *see* World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- internal constraints 127–42
  - WTO legal framework 127–35
    - DSU Art. 2(1) 127–30
    - DSU Arts. 3(2) and 19(2) 130–2, 138
    - DSU Art. 13 132–3
    - SPS 133–5
    - TBT 135
  - WTO judicial decision making *see under* judiciary/adjudicator
  - WTO political choices 135–8
- International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) 147
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
  - 91–2
  - Art. 38 41, 114–15, 143
  - role of ICJ 143–5
    - advisory opinions 144, 145–6, 154
    - and IPR treaties 143–4, 150–1
    - unsuitability for adjudicating economic-related subjects 144
    - and WHO 154–5
  - subsequent practice 110
- International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 67–8
- International Labour Organization (ILO) 9–10, 164
  - generating general principles of law 41
- International Law Commission (ILC)
  - Draft Articles 110, 115
  - Fragmentation Report and Study Group 3–4, 5–6, 88, 282
    - use of general international law in the WTO 36–9, 131
  - preparatory work 215–16
  - special meaning 115
  - state consent 102, 103, 104
  - subsequent agreement/subsequent practice 108–9, 110, 121–2, 284
- international law fragmentation *see under* international organizations
- International Maritime Organization (IMO) 147
- International Monetary Fund (IMF)
  - 10, 16–17, 71
  - advisory opinions from ICJ, right to seek 144, 145–6
  - co-operation agreement with WTO 72, 74–6
    - observer rights in specific DSB meetings 74, 78
  - Fund conditionality 188, 191–2
  - observer status in WTO 74, 77, 78
  - policy recommendations 285–6
  - role in WTO dispute settlement –
    - case law and nature of contribution 11, 185–98
  - Argentina – Textiles and Apparel* 188–9, 191–3
  - conclusions 196–8
  - consultation role in WTO
    - decision-making (GATT Art. XV) 54–9, 74, 140, 185–9
  - determinations on exchange matters 189–91
  - Dominican Republic – Import and Sale of Cigarettes* 189–91, 195–6
  - evaluation 191–6
  - India – Quantitative Restrictions* 187–8, 193–5
  - Korea – Beef* 186, 193–5
  - panels having discretion to
    - determine whether to seek information 189, 193
  - purpose of consultation 185–6
  - whether WTO panels have
    - authority to consult 186–8
- role in WTO dispute settlement/
  - WTO deference to IMF 53–9
    - balance of payments assessments *see under* balance of payments
    - exemption
  - consulting IMF, provisions for 140, 141
  - exchange measures 55–6, 57–9, 74–5
  - exchange or trade measures 57–8

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

323

- IMF role in WTO decision
  - making by consultation (GATT Art. XV) 54–9
  - references to IMF in WTO law 54
  - use of IMF consistent measures 58–9
- as a *sui generis* system 145–7, 193
- authoritative interpretation
  - system 146–7, 193
- universal nature 166
- International Office of Epizootics *see* OIE
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 66, 67–8, 69
- international organizations
  - acts of international organizations 40–4
  - actively engaged in process of law-making 42
  - characteristics 41
  - entailing rules of both hard and soft law 43–4
  - impact of acts of international organizations on generating principles of law limited 41
  - nature/type of 114–15
  - ways of promulgating norms to channel the conduct of members 2–3, 42
  - authoritative interpretations in international organizations *see under* external constraints
  - competence and credibility *see* competence and credibility of international organizations
  - fragmentation of international organizations 1–8
  - contribution to coherence debate 291–2
  - fragmentation of international authority 5–8
  - fragmentation of international law 3–4, 149–50
  - fragmentation of substantive norms of international law 4–5
  - overlap of international organizations' functions and
    - absence of proper interaction mechanism 6–8
    - proliferation of norm-creating/influencing international organizations developing varied norms 2–3
  - origins and history 1–2
  - policy recommendations study of specific international organizations and policy recommendations 285–91
  - prominence and power 40–1
  - important role in development of international law 143
  - specialized agencies, UN 9
  - advisory opinions from ICJ, seeking 144
  - authoritative interpretation systems 147
  - dispute settlement mechanisms not sufficiently efficient 144–5
  - enforcement mechanisms 144
  - generating general principles of law 41
  - operating in unco-ordinated fashion 7
  - ways of promulgating norms to channel the conduct of members 42
  - subsequent practice, practice of organizations as 110–11
  - weak dispute settlement mechanisms in international organizations *see under* external constraints
  - in WTO *see under* World Trade Organization (WTO)
  - in WTO dispute settlement *see* international organizations in WTO dispute settlement
- international organizations in WTO
  - dispute settlement 14–18, 183
  - classification of acts of international organizations *see* classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement
- Codex *see under* Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex)



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

324

INDEX

- international organizations in WTO
  - dispute settlement (*cont.*)
  - current debates on role of
    - international organizations in WTO decision making 46–50
    - authority-sharing with other regimes 48
    - the SCM and the fisheries subsidies negotiations 47–9
    - trade and environment debate 49–50
  - IMF *see under* International Monetary Fund (IMF)
  - influences of other international organizations affected by WTO political choices 135–8
  - international organizations' output
    - assisting panels' objective assessments 35
    - consideration of *see under* horizontal dimension of institutional sensitivity
    - information and expert advice 44
    - see also* right to seek information (Art. 13 DSU)
    - nature of international organizations' output 35
  - WCO *see under* World Customs Organization (WCO)
  - WHO *see under* World Health Organization (WHO)
  - WIPO *see under* World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
  - WTO linking techniques *see* linking techniques, WTO
- International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) 13
  - functioning of IPPC 170–1
  - national measures conforming to IPPC presumed compatible with WTO 246–7
  - and SPS 63–4, 65–6, 67, 134, 157, 168
  - provision of information/expert advice 270
- weak dispute settlement
  - mechanisms, as example of 157–8
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU) 13, 67–8
  - co-operation agreement with WTO 72
  - regulations and recommendations 106–7
- International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS) 148–50
  - parallel jurisdiction of WTO and ITLOS 149–50
- Internet treaties 221, 222–3, 224, 225
- interpreting WTO law and VCLT *see* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
- IPR *see* international property rights
- Jackson, John 28–9, 59
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) 265–8, 274–5
- judiciary/adjudicator 17–18
  - dispute settlement *see* dispute settlement
  - institutional sensitivity *see* institutional sensitivity of the WTO adjudicator
  - constraints on *see* constraints, internal and external
  - judicial deference *see* vertical dimension of institutional sensitivity
  - legitimacy of the WTO judiciary 27–8
  - limits of WTO adjudicator's jurisdiction (DSU Art. 1) 31–2
  - making findings to assist DSB (Art. 7(2)) 34
  - referring to non-WTO law/ customary law (DSU Art. 3(2)) 33–4, 35, 90
  - sources of judicial legitimacy 27
  - and stakeholder model of WTO dispute settlement 136

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

325

- using VCLT methods 91–2
  - WTO judicial decision making
    - 138–42
    - inconsistent interpretation as a legitimacy issue 139–40
    - inconsistent interpretation as a problem for institutional sensitivity 140–2
    - precedent value of prior decisions, *de facto* 138–9
    - legal certainty requiring reasons justifying departure from decisions 139
  - see also* WTO law *under* dispute settlement
- Kinnon, Collette 256
- Linderfalk, Ulf 214–15
- linking techniques, WTO
  - 52–87
  - conclusions 86–7
  - co-operation and observership *see* co-operation and observership
  - deference *see* deference
  - incorporation *see* incorporation in WTO law
  - nature of linkages 52–3
  - right to seek information *see* right to information (Art. 13 DSU)
- Marrakesh Agreement/WTO Agreement
  - co-operation with international organizations 71, 77
  - environmental regime 52
- Mavroidis, Petros C. 141–2
- memoranda of understanding 73–4
- Neven, Damien 207, 218–19
- objective assessment
  - Appellate Body's approach to deference 29–30
  - Codex and objective assessment *see under* Codex Alimentarius
  - Commission (Codex)
    - consideration on non-WTO law 34–5
    - expert review groups' exchanges with international organizations contributing to 141
    - and right to a fair hearing 264, 265–8, 272–5
    - output of international organizations contributing to objective assessment 35, 133
    - panels guided by the imperative of objective assessment 250, 260
  - observership *see* co-operation and observership
- Oesch, Matthias 220
- OIE 13
  - co-operation agreement with WTO 72
  - functioning of OIE 170
  - decision making 171
  - national measures conforming to OIE presumed compatible with WTO 246–7
  - and SPS 63–4, 65–6, 67, 134, 157, 168, 176
  - provision of information/expert advice 270
  - weak dispute settlement mechanisms, as example of 157–8
- Okediji, Ruth L. 40–1, 221, 224
- ordinary meaning *see under* classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
  - observer status in WTO 77
  - OECD Arrangement 60, 69–71, 108–9
  - SCM Committee peer-review function 177
- Organization of African Unity (OAU) 147

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

326

INDEX

- panels *see* judiciary/adjudicator
- Paris Convention 13, 60–3, 83–4, 143–4
  - and WIPO 205–6, 207–8, 216–17, 218–19
  - negotiating history information, request for 202
- Pauwelyn, Joost 81–2, 130, 269
- Perez, Oren 49
- Permanent Group of Experts, WTO *see* *under* Subsidies and Countervailing Measures Agreement (SCM)
- Petersmann, Ernst-Ulrich 127
- policy recommendations *see* study of specific international organizations and policy recommendations
- precedent value of prior decisions *see* WTO judicial decision making *under* judiciary/adjudicator
- preparatory work
  - nature of 214–16
  - as supplementary means of interpretation 117–18
  - see also* TRIPS provision clarified by Berne Convention preparatory work
- right to seek information (Art. 13 DSU) 14–16, 44, 52, 53, 79–86, 186
  - Arts. 13(1) and 13(2), relationship between 80–1
  - conventional mode by which WTO adjudicators interact with other international organizations 79
  - discretion of panels to appoint experts 250–1
    - guidance from case law practice 250–1
    - selection procedure of experts 251, 263–4, 265–8, 271
  - discretion of panels to determine whether to seek information 189, 193
  - expert review groups (Art. 13(2)) 49, 80–2, 86
  - appointing individual experts not expert review groups 141, 251, 260–1, 266, 275–6
  - use of expert review groups 275–8
- nature of the information 81–5
  - factual and legal information 82–4, 132–3
  - information and expert advice 81–2
  - issues of law and issues of fact 84–5
- scientific advice *see* science in the WTO, role of
- sources of the information 86
  - Codex *see under* Codex Alimentarius Commission
  - IMF *see under* International Monetary Fund (IMF)
  - WCO *see under* World Customs Organization (WCO)
  - WHO *see under* World Health Organization (WHO)
  - WIPO *see under* World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS) 60, 63–6, 101, 157, 168
  - discretion of panels to appoint experts 250
  - use of expert review groups 275–8
- national measures meeting international standards presumed WTO compatible 246–7
- ‘relevant international organizations’ under SPS 65–6
  - Codex *see* Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex)
  - IPPC *see* International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)
  - OIE *see* International Office of Epizootics (OIE)
- requirements for recognition of new international standards 134

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

- science-based discipline governing
  - public health regulatory measures 246–7
- scientific experts
  - need for 247–8
  - panels' obligation to consult experts 250
  - in SPS disputes 247–8
  - see also under* Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex)
- scope of consultation with other international organizations 133–5
- SPS Committee 173–7
  - granting of observer status in SPS Committee 78, 174–5
  - monitoring the process of international harmonization 176–7
  - norm elaboration 174–7
  - peer-review function 173–4
  - performing legislative-type function 173
- Schermers, Henry G. 76–7
- science in the WTO, role of 246–51
  - complexity in dealing with scientific expertise 249–50
- discretion of panels to appoint experts 250–1
  - guidance from case law practice 250–1
  - selection procedure of experts 251, 263–4, 265–8, 271
- and GATT 246
  - Standards Code 246
- national measures meeting international standards presumed WTO compatible 246–7
- need for scientific experts 247–8
  - health and environmental scientific expertise 247
- importance of scientific experts in WTO disputes 248
- scientific experts in SPS disputes 247–8
- science-based discipline governing
  - public health regulatory measures 246–7
- Scott, Joanne 173, 176
- Shell, Richard 136
- Siegel, Deborah E. 191, 195
- soft law and hard law
  - acts of international organizations entailing rules of both hard and soft law 43–4
- hard and soft environmental rules 99
- soft law developments by WTO panels 224–5
- soft law unlikely to be referred to under Art. 31.3(c) 43–4
- special meaning of terms 91, 93, 97, 115, 116, 242
- specialized agencies *see under* international organizations
- state consent 100–1, 105
  - and acts of organs of international organizations as subsequent practice 110–11
  - express state consent 112–14, 284–5
- ILC consideration 102, 103, 104
- Steger, Debra P. 83
- study of specific international organizations and policy recommendations 285–91
  - Codex 290–1
  - IMF 285–6
  - WCO 288–9
  - WHO 289–90
  - WIPO 286–8
- subsequent agreement
  - classification of international organization acts 105–9, 284
  - Berne Convention Report 107–8
  - ITU instruments 106–7
  - OECD Arrangement 108–9
- elements in definition 105–6
- as extrinsic elements 93
- and ILC 108–9, 110, 121–2, 284
- material from international organizations as 'context' 284

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

328

INDEX

- subsequent agreement (*cont.*)
  - WTO co-operation agreements/ memoranda of understanding as subsequent agreements under Art. 33(3) 75–6
- subsequent practice
  - and Appellate Body 109–10, 112–13
  - classification of international organization acts 109–14, 283, 284–5
  - EC – Computer Equipment* clarifications on use of subsequent practice 111–12
  - limitations on the use of institutional practice 112–14
  - status of institutional practice in the WTO 110–11
- as extrinsic elements 93
- and ICJ 110
- and ILC 108–9, 110, 121–2, 284
- as interpretative technique to confirm/establish meaning of treaties 109
- obligation to review relevant international instruments 94–5
- as practice of organizations as well as of state parties 110, 284–5
- and state consent 110–11
  - express state consent 112–14, 284–5
- WCO as relevant source of information on 233, 238, 241
- Subsidies and Countervailing Measures Agreement (SCM)
  - discretion of panels to appoint experts 250
  - fisheries subsidies negotiations 47–9, 177–8
  - incorporation in WTO law 60, 69–71
  - OECD Arrangement 69–71, 108
  - Permanent Group of Experts, WTO (PGE)
    - assisting panels (Art. 4.5) 47
    - discretion to draw on range of sources for assistance 48
    - roles of PGE 47
  - SCM Committee 177–9
  - disciplining fisheries subsidies 177–8
  - FAO 178–9
  - peer-review function in relation to OECD Arrangement 177
- supplementary means of interpretation (Art. 32) 116–21
  - elements residing outside WTO regime 93–4
  - elucidation versus confirmation 119–21
  - explanatory observation as 213
  - international agreements as 103, 107 and international organization acts *see under* classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement
  - preparatory work as 117–18
  - other supplementary means 118–19
  - principles not rules 92
  - resorted to when application of primary rules unclear or unreasonable 91, 94–5, 116–17
  - value of material diminished with identification as supplementary means 285
- Sutherland Report 78–9, 136
- Swan, Alan 219–20
- systemic integration approach 38–9, 92, 98–9, 100, 101, 114, 283
- Tarullo, Daniel K. 11
- Technical Barriers to Trade Agreement (TBT) 60
  - discretion of panels to appoint experts 250
  - international standards to be taken as reference not specified 135
  - international organizations under the TBT 66–9
  - wide range of international organizations under TBT definition 67–8, 69
- ‘three-step test’ *see under* Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement (TRIPS)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

- Trachtman, Joel 131
- Trade Policy Review Mechanism 31–2, 179
- Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement (TRIPS) 13, 153, 199, 203–4
- Berne Convention *see* Berne Convention
- co-operation with WIPO *see under* World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- expanding scope of IPR regulation/binding on members 62
- incorporation into WTO law 60–3
- minor exceptions doctrine 201–2, 204–5, 206–7, 208–9
- limitations and exceptions/
- ‘three-step test’ 206–7
- ‘do not conflict with the normal exploitation’ 210
- ‘do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of right holder’ 210–11
- ‘three-step test’ in *US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 209–11
- Paris Convention *see* Paris Convention
- preparatory work of Berne Convention clarifying provision 118
- United Nations (UN) 2
- Charter 115, 145
- maintenance of international peace and security 11
- specialized agencies 9
- use of force in self-defence 5
- and ITO 9
- observer status in WTO 77
- specialized agencies *see under* international organizations
- system of multiplicity of agencies operating in uncoordinated fashion 7
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) 66
- Memorandum of Understanding with WTO 74
- observer status in WTO 77
- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) 43, 177
- ITLOS *see* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS)
- United Nations Economic and Social Organization (ECOSOC) 9
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) 164
- criticisms of 165
- provision of information/expert advice 270
- role in WTO Committee on Trade and Environment/subsidiary bodies 49
- universality of international organizations 166–7, 282
- Van Damme, Isabelle 95, 104, 241
- VCLT *see* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
- vertical dimension of institutional sensitivity 23, 28–30
- deference based on DSU imperative of ‘making an objective assessment’ 29–30
- Jackson’s formula of deference 28–9
- spectrum of deference with respect to fact-finding 29
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) 17–18, 88–123
- classification of international organizations’ acts *see* classification of acts of international organizations in WTO dispute settlement
- as framework for analysis 282–5
- legal value of international organizations’ material qualified by identification under primary or secondary rules 283, 285
- state consent 284–5

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (*cont.*)
  - subsequent agreement 284
  - subsequent practice 284–5
  - general constraints on institutional sensitivity 280–2
- VCLT rules of interpretation in the WTO 89–96, 131
  - acts of international organizations/soft law unlikely to be referred to under Art. 31(3)(c) 43–4
  - Arts. 31–33 33–4, 36–9, 41
  - binding principles for interpretation of WTO law 90
  - context (Art. 31(2)) 101–2
  - customary international law, Arts. 31–32 as 90
  - inconsistent application of rules by WTO adjudicators 141–2
  - intrinsic and extrinsic interpretation techniques 93–4
  - legal value of interpretative material under VCLT 94–5
  - limited reference in WTO dispute settlement 95–6
  - other rules of international law 114–15
  - principles/rules of interpretation (Art. 31) 91–2, 94–5, 96–7
  - special meaning of terms (Art. 31(4)) 91, 93, 97, 115, 116, 242
  - subsequent agreement *see* subsequent agreement
  - subsequent practice *see* subsequent practice
  - supplementary means *see* supplementary means of interpretation (Art. 32)
  - systemic integration approach (Art. 31(3)(c)) 38–9, 92, 98–9, 100, 101, 114, 283
- WTO co-operation agreements/ memoranda of understanding as subsequent agreements under Art. 33.3 75–6
- WTO interpreting Art. 31(3)(c) restrictively 37–8
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States (1986) 90–1
- Viterbo, Annamaria 195–6
- Waldock Third Report 112
- World Bank (WB) 13, 54
  - co-operation agreement with WTO 72, 75
  - observer rights in specific DSB meetings 74, 78
  - economic expertise on tobacco control 259
  - interpretative issues decided by executive directors/board of governors 146
  - observer status in WTO 74, 77, 78
  - universal nature 166–7
- World Customs Organization (WCO) 13, 16–17, 111, 112, 129–30
  - consideration of the WCO instruments 229–36
  - decisions by HS Committee 233–4
  - Explanatory Notes, HS 227, 229–30, 231, 234–5, 239, 281
  - general rules of interpretation for HS 231–3, 238, 240
  - harmonized system 230–1
  - WCO's secretariat opinions and information 235–6, 239–40
- discretion of panels to appoint experts 250
- evaluation of case law/WTO
  - institutional sensitivity towards other international organizations 236–43
  - issues in the consideration of HS-related instruments 240–1
  - relevance of WCO dispute settlement 241–3
  - weight of the WCO instruments 237–40
- Harmonized System Convention (HS) 60, 103–4, 112, 129–30, 156

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

331

- attribution as 'context' under
  - VCLT rules of interpretation 230–1, 237–8
  - general rules of interpretation 231–3, 238, 240
- HS Committee 111, 156, 227
  - decisions 233–4
  - material to be approved by WCO 238–9
  - subsequent practice, relevant
    - source of information on 233, 238, 241
- policy recommendations 288–9
- role in WTO dispute settlement –
  - case law and nature of contribution 227–44
  - China – Auto Parts* 227, 229, 230–1, 232–5, 236, 238, 239–40
  - conclusions 243–4
  - consideration of the WCO
    - instruments 229–36
  - EC – Chicken Cuts* 111, 227, 228, 229–30, 231–2, 233, 234, 235–6, 238, 239–40, 242, 281
  - evaluation 236–43
  - request for factual information 228–9
- universal nature 167
- weak dispute settlement mechanism,
  - as example of 155–7
  - non-binding decisions 156
- World Health Assembly 154, 257
- World Health Organization (WHO)
  - 13, 16–17
  - Framework Convention on Tobacco Control 257–8, 259
  - International Health Regulations 134, 154–5
  - observer status in SPS Committee 175
  - policy recommendations 289–90
  - SPS issues
    - and affiliation to WTO 66, 135
    - provision of information/expert advice 270
  - recognition of International Health Regulations as an SPS standard 134
  - universal nature 167
  - weak dispute settlement mechanism,
    - as example of 154–5
    - and ICJ 154–5
  - role in WTO dispute settlement –
    - case law and nature of contribution 13, 16–17, 141, 245–59
    - conclusions 278–9
    - EC – Hormones* 245–6, 248, 251
    - role of science in the WTO *see* science in the WTO, role of
    - Thailand – Cigarettes* 245–6, 247, 251–9
    - US/Canada – Continued Suspension* 245–6, 248, 249, 264
  - WHO in *Thailand – Cigarettes* 251–9
    - disregard of WHO's expertise 256–7
    - evaluation 255–9
    - future WTO–WHO interactions
      - on tobacco control policies 257–9
    - WHO economic data/economic expertise 254–5, 259
    - WHO resolution and recommendation 253–4
- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) 13, 16–17, 144–5
  - advisory opinions from ICJ, seeking 144
  - alternative dispute settlement resolution 152
  - co-operation with WTO 62–3, 72, 199
    - co-operation agreement with WTO 62–3, 72–3, 199
    - provision of preparatory work records of pre-existing IPR treaties 93–4
  - documents prepared by WIPO
    - 208–16
    - evaluation 213–16
    - guides and reports 209–11
    - model provisions in *China – IP Rights* 211–13



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02824-1 - International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Index

[More information](#)

332

INDEX

- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) (*cont.*)
  - Uruguay Round documents in
    - US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 208–9
  - general evaluation of WIPO's input
    - 217–25
    - the future 220–5
    - incorporated regimes 222
    - nature and weight of WIPO's input 218–20
    - non-incorporated regimes 222–5
  - guides and reports 209–11
    - interpretation of Art. 17 Berne Convention in *China – IP Rights* 211
    - 'three-step test' in *US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 209–11
  - Internet treaties 221, 222–3, 224, 225
  - interpretations by the contracting parties 204–8
    - evaluation 206–8
    - general conference report 204–5
    - other conference documents 205–6
  - model provisions in *China – IP Rights* 211–13
    - explanatory observation as 'context' 212
    - explanatory observation as supplementary means 213
  - neutrality 203
  - observer status in WTO 77
  - policy recommendations 286–8
  - role in WTO dispute settlement –
    - case law and nature of contribution 199–226
    - China – IP Rights* 199, 201, 202–3, 211–13, 214–16, 217, 226
    - conclusions 225–6
    - documents prepared by WIPO 208–16
    - evaluation 217–25
    - interpretations by the contracting parties 204–8
    - non-incorporated WIPO treaties:
      - US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 203–4
    - opinion of the WIPO secretariat:
      - US – Section 211 Appropriations Act* 216–17
    - request for factual information by panels 199–203
    - US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 83–4, 199–200, 201–2, 203–5, 206–7, 208–11, 213–14, 224
    - US – Section 211 Appropriations Act* 83–4, 199, 200–1, 202, 205–6, 207–8, 216–17, 218–19
    - 'three-step test' in *US – Section 110 (5) Copyright Act* 209–11
    - 'do not conflict with the normal exploitation' 210
    - 'do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of right holder' 210–11
  - treaty administration 153
    - incorporated regimes 222
    - non-incorporated regimes 222–5
  - universal nature 167
  - weak dispute settlement mechanism, as example of 150–4
    - dispute settlement with reference to ICJ 151
    - independent dispute settlement system 151–4
- World Organization for Animal Health *see* OIE
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
  - Appellate Body *see* Appellate Body
  - bodies with authority to adopt WTO law 89
  - comity doctrine, application of 46
  - conflicts from fragmentation
    - between rules/norms, reconciling 4–5
  - co-operation and observership *see* co-operation and observership
  - dispute settlement *see* dispute settlement

Explanatory Notes and Scheduling Guidelines 104–5	internal legitimacy allegations 25–6
IMF, deference to <i>see under</i> International Monetary Fund (IMF)	linking techniques <i>see</i> linking techniques, WTO
importance of values other than trade 135–8, 165	national health policy adjudication guided by interpretative principle 29
international organizations in the WTO 9–14	origins and establishment 9, 12
the GATT (1947) 11–12	political choices 135–8
international organizations assuming a certain role in decision making 13–14	role of science in the WTO <i>see</i> science in the WTO, role of
the ITO 9–10	status of institutional practice in the WTO 110–11
the WTO 12–14	subsidiary bodies 76, 78–9
juridification of the WTO system 13–14	role of WTO subsidiary bodies <i>see under</i> competence and credibility of international organizations
law, WTO <i>see under</i> dispute settlement	
legitimacy of the WTO 23–6	Young, Margaret 177
external challenges on democratic deficit/lack of transparency 24–5	Zimmermann, Claus D. 59