

## RESISTING THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE

The European Union's (EU's) powerful legal framework drives the process of European integration. The European Court of Justice (ECJ) has established a uniquely effective supranational legal order, beyond the original wording of the Treaties of Rome and transforming our traditional understanding of international law. This work investigates how these fundamental transformations in the European legal system were received in one of the most important member states, Germany. On the one hand, Germany has been highly supportive of political and economic integration; yet, on the other, a fundamental pillar of the postwar German identity was the integrity of its constitutional order. How did a state whose constitution was so essential to its self-understanding subscribe to the constitutional practice of EU law, which challenged precisely this aspect of its identity? How did a country that could not say "no" to Europe become the member state most reluctant to accept the new power of the ECJ?

Bill Davies is a legal historian focusing on the development of a constitutional practice of law in the European Union. He holds a PhD from King's College London and currently works as an Assistant Professor in Justice, Law, and Society in the School of Public Affairs at American University in Washington, DC. He has published on the German role in the formation of the European legal system in the *Journal of European Integration History* and the *Contemporary European History Journal*.



# Resisting the European Court of Justice

WEST GERMANY'S CONFRONTATION WITH EUROPEAN LAW, 1949–1979

**BILL DAVIES** 

American University





# CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107024533

© Bill Davies 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012 First paperback edition 2013

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Davies, Bill, 1979–

Resisting the European Court of Justice: West Germany's confrontation with European law, 1949–1979 / Bill Davies.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-02453-3 (hardback : alk. paper)

1. Conflict of laws – Jurisdiction – Germany (West) – History. 2. Court of Justice

of the European Communities. 3. Constitutional law – Germany (West) – History. 4. International and municipal law – European Union countries. 5. Law – European Union countries – International unification. 6. Jurisdiction – European Union countries. 1. Title.

кк961.7.D38 2012

341.242´22840943-dc23 2012012068

ISBN 978-1-107-02453-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-68535-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Eunice and Amelie



# Contents

| Pre | face  | page ix |
|-----|---|---------|
| Aci | knowledgments   | xi      |
| Lis | t of Abbreviations  | xiii    |
| Lis | t of Archives Consulted                                       | XV      |
| Lis | t of Cases  | xvii    |
| Тех | ts of Often Mentioned Constitutional Articles                 | xix     |
| 1.  | Between Sovereignty and Integration: West Germany, European   |         |
|     | Integration, and the Constitutionalization of European Law    | 1       |
|     | Between Sovereignty and Integration: West Germany and Europe  | 7       |
|     | Explaining the ECJ's Success? The Integration through         |         |
|     | Law Paradigm  | 19      |
|     | The Constitutional Narrative: The ECJ and FCC in Judicial     |         |
|     | Dialogue  | 21      |
|     | Resisting the ECJ: The Plan of the Book                       | 40      |
| 2.  | Conditional Acceptance or Accepted Condition?                 |         |
|     | West German Legal Academia and the Constitutionalization      |         |
|     | of European Law, 1949–1979                                    | 46      |
|     | Introduction  | 46      |
|     | The Erlangen Era, 1949–1963: How and When to Transfer         |         |
|     | Sovereignty? The Origins of Structural Congruence             | 53      |
|     | The Bensheim Era, 1963–1969: Competing Visions –              |         |
|     | Supranationalists versus the Mainstream                       | 64      |
|     | The Solange Era, 1970–1974: Finding a Middle Path? Structural |         |
|     | Congruence, Solange, and Basic Rights Protection              | 78      |
|     | Summary   | 88      |



viii Contents

| ,     | National versus Supranational: West German Public Opinion         |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 3.    | toward the Constitutionalization of European Law, 1949–1979       | 92  |
|       | Introduction  | 92  |
|       | Public Opinion, Europe, and West Germany                          | 93  |
|       | The West German Mediascape  | 97  |
|       | Crestfallen, 1949–1963: Dashed Hopes for a United Europe          | 100 |
|       | Coming to Terms, 1963–1969: The Success and Failings of the ECJ   | 110 |
|       | Making the Comparison, 1970–1974: National versus Supranational   | 121 |
|       | Summary   | 134 |
| 4.    | Competition and Authorities: The West German Government's         |     |
| •     | Response to the Constitutionalization of European Law, 1949–1979  | 138 |
|       | Foundations, 1949–1963: Locking in European Integration           | 146 |
|       | Generational Shifts, 1963–1969: Disinterest and Social Change     | 159 |
|       | Distraction and Division, 1969–1974: Brandt, Schmidt, and Solange | 169 |
|       | Summary   | 176 |
| 5.    | Dealing with the Fallout: German and European Responses           |     |
|       | to the Solange Decision   | 180 |
|       | Revisiting the Academic and Media Response, 1974–1976             | 185 |
|       | The Solange Standoff Part One: Government against the Commission  | 186 |
|       | The Solange Standoff Part Two: Government against the FCC         | 190 |
|       | The Reischl Compromise: The 1977 Joint Declaration and Beyond     | 192 |
|       | Epilogue: To Accede or Not to Accede?                             | 199 |
|       | Conclusion: Legal Integration in Europe, the                      |     |
|       | United States, and Beyond   | 201 |
| Bib   | liography   | 225 |
| Index |   | 230 |



## Preface

The European Union's (EU's) powerful legal framework has proven to be the vanguard moment in the process of European integration. Through the doctrines of direct effect (1963) and primacy (1964), the European Court of Justice (ECJ) sought to establish an effective and powerful supranational legal order, far beyond the original wording of the Treaties of Rome. Whereas scholars have analyzed the evolution of EU law and built models to explain the ECJ's success, none has examined how the member states received this process at a time when the then–European Community was undergoing a number of difficult political and economic crises through the historian's lens.

This book investigates how these fundamental transformations in the European legal system were received at the national level, specifically, in one of the European Union's most important member states, the Federal Republic of Germany. This case provides the opportunity to examine a fascinating paradox: On the one hand, Germany has been regarded as highly supportive of political and economic integration; yet, on the other, a fundamental pillar of the postwar German identity was the integrity of its national constitutional order. How did a state whose constitution was so essential to its political and cultural self-understanding subscribe to the constitutionalization of European Community law, which challenged precisely this aspect of its identity?

Through close documentation of the reception process in West Germany, this book shows for the first time how the resistance offered by the highest echelons of the German judiciary had its origins in broader social discourse, with academic and public opinion in particular opposed to the constitutional practice. It demonstrates that, while supportive of other aspects of integration, West Germans were highly critical of the apparent danger posed by the ECJ's doctrines to the national constitution. As government policy toward the ECJ remained unchanged, the Federal Constitutional Court became the only means of articulating dissent to legal integration. Most important, this resistance mattered far beyond expectations, affecting several critically important changes in European governance at the end of the 1970s.



# Acknowledgments

This book could not have been completed without the financial and academic support of the Departments of German and of European Studies and the School of Humanities at King's College London, the School of Public Affairs at American University, and the American Consortium on European Studies (ACES) in Washington, DC. I hope that this work provides you with some reward for the assistance and encouragement you have so generously given. I would like specifically to thank Chris Thornhill, Robert Weninger, Michelle Egan, Josh Barkan, Fernanda Nicola, Jon Gould, and Mana Zarinejad for their effort in providing advice, commentaries, and encouragement over the past years. Chana Barron is, in addition, a truly remarkable colleague and friend, who has been tireless in her support of this work.

I thank Karen Alter and Piers Ludlow for working hard to read and improve this manuscript. You gave me one of the most terrifying and most fulfilling experiences one might ask for as a scholar during my defense of the groundwork for this book. Thank you to my other colleagues, most especially Morten Rasmussen, Peter Lindseth, and Anne Boerger, for the opportunity to discuss much of this work with you.

I owe a huge debt of gratitude to the Studienstiftung des Berliner Abgeordnetenhauses for the generous scholarship and housing they provided, making the time spent in German archives so much easier and more pleasant. In particular, I would like to thank Petra Fritsche, Manuela Ebel, and Professors Ingolf Pernice and Gert-Joachim Glaeßner for their invaluable assistance during my time in Berlin. The Walter-Hallstein-Institut in Berlin provided much help and support during my frequent trips to the German capital.

Many thanks for the invaluable work of the many archivists and numerous support staff at the Politisches Archiv des Auswärtiges Amt, Bundesarchiv, Historical Archives of the European Commission, Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung, and the Press and Information Office of the German Federal Government.



xii

### Acknowledgments

Thank you to my friends, who have listened and read beyond the call of duty and encouraged and strengthened me constantly. Numbered in that group is my doctoral supervisor, Dr Jan Palmowski. His patience, support, and belief in my work have been beyond value. I will genuinely be eternally thankful.

Finally to my family: Nothing would be possible without you.



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02453-3 — Resisting the European Court of Justice: West Germany's Confrontation with

European Law, 1949-1979

Bill Davies

Frontmatter

More Information

## **Abbreviations**

AG Advocate General

ASEL Academic Society for European Law

BL Basic Law (Grundgesetz)

CDU Christian Democratic Union of Germany

DGB The Confederation of German Trade Unions (Deutscher

Gewerkschaftsbund)

EC European Community
ECJ European Court of Justice

ECSC European Coal and Steel Community

EDC European Defence Community
EPC European Political Community
FAC Frankfurt Administrative Court
FAZ Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung

FCC Federal Constitutional Court of West Germany

(Bundesverfassungsgericht)

FPD Free Democratic Party of Germany

FRG Federal Republic of Germany (Bundesrepublik Deutschland)

ITL Integration through Law

MEP Member of the European Parliament

RTC Rhineland Tax Court

SPD Social Democratic Party of Germany

VVDStRL Publication of the Association of German Public Law Teachers



# **Archives Consulted**

| AdsD | Archive of Social Democracy – Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung          |
|------|---|
| BA   | German Federal Archive in Koblenz                               |
| BPA  | Press and Information Office of the Federal Government          |
| ECH  | European Commission Historical Archive                          |
| FES  | Friedrich Ebert Foundation                                      |
| KAS  | Archive of Christian Democratic Politics of the Konrad Adenauer |
|      | Foundation  |
| PAA  | Political Archive of the German Foreign Ministry                |



## Cases

### EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE DECISIONS

Case 18/57 Firma J. Nold K.G. v. High Authority of the European Coal and Steel Community [1957] European Court Report 121

Case 01/58 Stork v. High Authority [1959] European Court Report 17

Case 36–38 and 40/59 Ruhrkohlenverkaufsgesellschaften v. High Authority [1960] European Court Report 423

Case 19/61 Mannesmann AG v High Authority of the European Coal and Steel Community [1962] European Court Report 357

Case 26/62 Van Gend v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen [1963] European Court Report 1

Case o6/64 Costa v. ENEL [1964] European Court Report 585

Case 58/64 Grundig-Verkaufs GmbH v. Commission [1966] European Court Report 299

Case 29/69 Stauder v. Ulm [1969] European Court Report 419

Case 09/70 Grad v. Finanzamt Traunstein [1970] European Court Report 825

Case 11/70 Internationale Handelsgesellschaft v. Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getriede und Futtermittel [1970] European Court Report 1125

Case 22/70 Commission of the European Communities v. Council of the European Communities [1971] European Court Report 263

Case 04/73 Nold v. Commission [1974] European Court Report 491

Case 36/75 Roland Rutili v. Minister of the Interior [1975] European Court Report 1219

Case 120/78 Rewe-Zentral AG v. Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein, [1979] European Court Report 649

Case 44/79 Hauer v. Land Rheinland Pfalz [1979] European Court Report 321

Case 294/83 Parti Ecologiste "Les Verts" v. European Parliament, [1986] European Court Report 1339

xvii



xviii

#### List of Cases

#### FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURT DECISIONS

- 2 BvE 4/52 EDC Treaty, 7 March 1953 BVerfGE 2, 143
- 2 BvL 29/63 Tax on Malt Barley, 5 July 1967 BVerfGE 22, 134
- 1 BvR 248/63 & 216/6 European Regulations, 18 October 1967 BVerfGE 22, 293
- 2 BvR 225/69 Milk powder, 9 June 1971 BVerfGE 31, 145
- 2 BvF 1/73 Basic Treaty, 31 July 1973 BVerfGE 36, 1
- 2 BvL 52/71 Solange I, 29 May 1974 BVerfGE 37, 271
- 2 BvL 6/77 Perhaps, 25 July 1979 BVerfGE 52, 187
- 2 BvR 197/83 Solange II, 22 October 1986 BVerfGE 73, 339
- 2 BvR 2134, 2159/92 Maastricht, 12 October 1993 BVerfGE 89, 155
- 2 BvE 2/08, 2 BvE 5/08, 2 BvR 1010/08, 2 BvR 1022/08, 2 BvR 1259/08, 2 BvR 182/09 *Lisbon*, 30 June 2009 BVerfGE 123, 267
- 2 BvR 987/10, 2 BvR 1485/10, 2 BvR 1099/10 Euro Bailout, 7 September 2011

#### OTHER DECISIONS:

#### Decisions of the Italian Constitutional Court:

- 7 March 1964, n. 14, Costa/ENEL, in Giur. Cost., 129
- 27 December 1973, n. 183, Frontini e a., in Giur. Cost., 2401
- 30 October 1975, n. 232, Società industrie chimiche Italia centrale (I.C.I.C.), in Giur. Cost. 2211

#### Decisions of the United States Supreme Court:

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (Cranch 1) 137 (1803)

*Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1857)

Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483 (1954)

#### Decisions of the Berlin State Court:

Ruckerstattungssache Krüger u.a/Deutsches Reich – 151/155/157/142 WGK 69/57 und 161/57 (Landgericht Berlin)



## Texts of Often Mentioned Constitutional Articles

# ARTICLE 24: TRANSFER OF SOVEREIGNTY (IN PREAMENDMENT FORM)

- (i) The Federation may by a law transfer sovereign powers to international organizations.
- (ii) With a view to maintaining peace, the Federation may enter into a system of mutual collective security; in doing so it shall consent to such limitations upon its sovereign powers as will bring about and secure a lasting peace in Europe and among the nations of the world.
- (iii) For the settlement of disputes between states, the Federation shall accede to agreements providing for general, comprehensive and compulsory international arbitration.

# ARTICLE 25: INTERNATIONAL LAW AND FEDERAL LAW

The general rules of international law shall be an integral part of federal law. They shall take precedence over the laws and directly create rights and duties for the inhabitants of the federal territory.

# ARTICLE 79 (III): AMENDMENT OF THE BASIC LAW

Amendments to this Basic Law affecting the division of the Federation into *Länder*, their participation on principle in the legislative process, or the principles laid down in Articles 1 and 20 shall be inadmissible.

 $Adapted\ from\ official\ English\ translation:\ https://www.btg-bestellservice.de/pdf/80201000.pdf.$ 

xix