

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

- anti-dumping 2, 4
 - Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the GATT 1994 (AD Agreement) 2
 - deadlines (Art 5.10) 130
 - evidential rules (Art 6.8) 325
 - judicial review requirements 7–8, 9–10, 318
 - length of investigation process 307
 - sales below cost (Art 2) 80
 - standard of review (Art 17.6) 61–2, 65
 - standing (Art 11.6) 144
 - tribunals (Art 13) 150, 296, 311, 321–2, 357, 366–7, 375–6, 423
- Argentina 129–52
 - amparo* proceedings 137–9, 151
 - applicable law 140
 - procedural rules 147–9
 - requirements 147, 148
 - suspension of challenged acts 148–9, 151
- anti-dumping and countervail 129–31, 141–2, 143, 144, 146
- concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 151–2
- proposed administrative review agency 151–2
- reasons for infrequent use of judicial review 151
- history of trade remedies 129–31
- judicial review of trade remedy determinations 136–9
- jurisdiction and competent courts 136–7
- types of judicial review proceedings 137–9
- ordinary lawsuits 137–8, 151
 - appeals 146
 - grounds of challenge 145–6
 - procedural rules 145–7
 - suspension of challenged acts 148–9, 151
- previous administrative review of trade remedy determinations 133–6, 145, 151
 - procedure 135–6
 - purpose 134, 135
- procedure 139–50
 - applicable law 140–1
 - general procedure 139–40
 - procedural rules governing judicial review 145–9
 - reviewable determinations 141–3
 - scope of review 149–50
 - standing 143–5
 - WTO case law 140–1
- remedies 150–1
- safeguards 129–31, 142–3
- structure and functioning of
 - Argentina’s investigating authority 131–3
 - organization 131–2
 - procedure for trade remedy investigations 132–3
- Australia 5, 379–98
 - conclusions 398
 - judicial review 381–2, 390–8
 - appeals 393, 394
 - correct understanding of the statute, decisions based on 394–7
 - merits review, and 379
 - nature and scope 379–80

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

- Australia (cont.)
 original jurisdiction of the High Court 380, 381–2, 390–1
 procedural fairness requirement 397–8
 procedure in the Federal Court 391, 392–3
 reasonableness 395
 remedies and relief 391–2
 ‘satisfaction’ requirement 394–7
 standing 391, 425
 statutory conferrals of jurisdiction on the Federal Court 380–2
 merits review 381, 387–90
 judicial review 379
 by Trade Measures Review Officer 382, 387–90, 398
 safeguards 381
 statutory scheme for anti-dumping and countervailing measures 381–7
 decision-making and administration responsibility 383
 discretion to publish dumping and countervailing duty notices 383–4, 387, 394–7
 historically 382–3
 investigation 385–6
 recommendation by CEO 387
 screening 385
 standard process 384–7
 undertakings, acceptance of 383, 384
- Brazil 107–28
 CAMEX 109–12, 114–15
 court’s deference to CAMEX decisions 123–4
 decision-making 110–12, 116, 121, 123–4
 deviating from SECEX technical determinations 111
 public interest test 111–12
 role and functions 109–10
 concluding remarks and suggestions 125–8
- enhancing effectiveness of judicial review of trade remedy decisions 127–8
 weaknesses of judicial review system 125–6
- DECOM/SECEX 108–9, 110, 111, 112, 114–15
- issues and arguments submitted in judicial review 119–25
 collection of anti-dumping duties at registration of import declaration 122–3
 legal nature of anti-dumping duties 121–2
 suspension of provisional anti-dumping duties 123–5
 treatment of China as a non-market economy 119–21
 violation of due process of law 121
- judicial review of trade remedy determinations 113–25
 legal and institutional framework 113–16
 legal issues discussed 119–25
 procedure and remedies 116–18
 standard of review 118–19
- legal and institutional framework of trade remedies system 107–13
 administrative procedures 108–12, 114–15
 history of trade remedies 107–8
 measures to reinforce the trade remedies system 112–13
- Canada 4–5, 11–34
 administrative law 13–20
 mechanisms for review 14–15
 sources of administrative law and acting outside authority 14
 standard of appeal or review 15–20
 bi-national panel reviews - CUFTA and NAFTA 21, 31–3, 424
 procedure 31–2
 review by bi-national panels as alternative to judicial review 31
 standard 32–3
 standard, evolution of the 32–3
 standard, implications of the 33

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

435

- Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) 11
 - judicial review of decisions 28–31
- Canadian International Trade Tribunal (CITT) 11
 - judicial review of decisions 21–8
 - conclusions on judicial review and deference of reviewing courts 33–4
 - judicial review of CBSA decisions 28–31
 - procedure 28–9
 - standard 29–31
 - standard, evolution of the 29–30
 - standard, implications of the 30–1
 - judicial review of CITT decisions 21–8
 - chances of success 27–8
 - correctness on questions of jurisdiction only 24–5
 - errors that would not affect the outcome 25–7
 - implications 24–8
 - procedure/standing 21, 425
 - standard 21–8
 - standard, evolution of 21–4
 - standard of appeal or review 15–20
 - deference and statutory appeals: the ‘spectrum’ of standards 17–18, 22–3
 - harmonization: the pragmatic and functional analysis 18–19, 21, 23–4
 - patently unreasonable decisions 16–17
 - pragmatic and functional analysis 17, 21–2
 - privative clauses and questions of jurisdiction 15–16
 - revision: the standard of review analysis 19–20, 21, 23–4, 29–30, 33–4
 - trade remedy law regime 11–13
- Canada-US Free Trade Agreement (CUFTA) 11, 31
 - bi-national panel review *see under* Canada; United States
- China 11, 49, 89, 174, 313–36
 - appeals 331–3
 - judgment supervision schemes 332–3
 - Brazil, treatment of China as a non-market economy by 119–21
 - concluding thoughts 334–6
 - how the courts will exercise power 334–5
 - reasons for scarcity of judicial review 335–6
 - legal framework for judicial review of trade remedy determinations 315–16
 - guidance issued on operation of judicial review 316
 - major challenge establishing independent judicial review system 315–16
 - obligation to establish independent tribunals 313, 316, 318
 - Ministry of Commerce 315, 323–4
 - notifying decisions 319
 - participating in litigation 320–1
 - rejecting applications as performance of statutory duties 317–18, 333–4
 - parties eligible to bring a case 319–20
 - eligibility of foreign persons to initiate judicial review 319–20
 - government of the exporter 319
 - procedural issues in the judicial review 316–34
 - appeal, remand, petition, retrial, removal and protest 331–3
 - competent courts 321–4
 - defendants and third parties 320–1
 - parties eligible to bring a case 319–20
 - procedural rules 327–31
 - remedies 333–4
 - reviewable determinations 316–18
 - standard of review, burden of proof, evidentiary rules and applicable law 324–7

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

436

INDEX

- China (cont.)
 procedural rules 327–31
 administrative reconsideration 328
 admissibility 328–9
 evidence and information 329, 330–1
 exhaustion of remedies 328
 hearings 330–1
 people’s assessor system 329–30
 Protocol of Accession
 obligation to establish judicial review mechanism 313, 316, 318
 transitional safeguard 57, 120
 regulatory and institutional framework for trade remedy measures 314–15
 history of trade remedies legislation 314–15
 Ministry of Commerce 315
 remedies 333–4
 suspension of administrative action 334
 reviewable determinations 316–18
 failure to respond 317–18, 333–4
 nature of reviewable decisions 317, 318
 standard of review, burden of proof, evidentiary rules and applicable law 324–7
 applicable law 326–7
 best information available rule 325–6
 burden of proof 325
 no *de novo* review 325
 review of facts 324–6
 review of law 326
 review of legal and factual bases for administrative action 324
 WTO agreements and jurisprudence, status of 327
 Colombia 83–106, 427
 Andean community and rules 85–6, 89
 appeals 100, 101
 competent courts 98–9
 concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 105–6
 DGCE 84, 87–8, 89
 history of trade remedies legislation 83–91
 laws and regulations in force 85–91
 legislative framework for judicial review 84, 88–9, 90, 91–2
 theory of ‘determining motives or mobiles’ 92, 93, 96, 98
 Mincomercio 84, 86, 89, 92
 overall assessment of effectiveness of judicial review in Colombia 104–5
 parties eligible to bring a case 98
 procedural steps 99–101
 admissibility 99–100
 remedies 104
 temporary suspension 100
 reviewable determinations 96–8
 final and procedural acts 97
 general and particular administrative acts 96
 nature and classification of acts in trade remedy investigations 97–8
 provisional measures 97
 standard of review 101–4
 breach of the rules on which the act should be based 21–4
 disregard of the right to a hearing and defence 102–3
 false reasoning 103
 irregular issuance 102
 issuance by incompetent authority 102
 misuse of power 103
 trade remedies laws and regulations in force 85–91
 anti-dumping 86–9
 countervailing measures 89
 safeguards 89–91
 types of judicial review proceedings 92–6
 action for annulment 92–4, 95, 96, 98, 99, 101, 104

- action for annulment and reinstatement of rights 92, 93, 94–6, 98, 99, 101, 104
- conclusions 423–32
 - applicable law 426–7
 - deference to investigating authorities 430–2
 - duration of judicial review proceedings 432
 - intensity of judicial review activity 427–8
 - patterns in judicial decisions 428–30
 - the way forward 429–30
 - procedure 428
 - scope of judicial review 425–6
 - standing 6, 424
 - tribunals 423–4
 - powers of 427
- countervail 2, 4
 - Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM Agreement) 2
 - consultations 89
 - deadlines (Art 11.11) 130
 - evidential rules (Art 12.7) 325
 - judicial review requirements 8–10, 318
 - standing (Art 12.9) 9–10, 424
 - tribunals (Art 23) 8–10, 366–7, 375–6, 423
- domestic judicial review of trade
 - remedy determinations 5–6
 - numbers of applications 6
 - standing 6
 - supplementing WTO and regional dispute settlement 5
 - wide scope of requests and powers of tribunals 6
- European Union 173–96
 - administrative procedure 177–8
 - annulment actions under Article 263 TFEU 183–9, 195
 - acts producing binding legal effects/affecting applicants' interests 183–5
 - direct and individual concern 183, 185–8, 425
 - discretion of EU administering authorities 191–2
 - limitations 183
 - scope of review 190–2, 195–6
 - which acts can be the subject of an action for annulment 183–5
 - who can lodge an application 185–9
 - anti-dumping and countervail 174, 175–6, 177–8
 - concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 195–6
 - Commission 173, 183–5, 191–2
 - decision-making process 174–7
 - Directorate-General Trade 177–8
 - Council 173, 191–2
 - decision-making process 174–7
 - anti-dumping and countervail 175–6
 - proposals for new regulation 174
 - safeguards 176
 - EU trade remedy measures applied EU-wide 173
 - judicial review of trade remedy
 - determinations 178–95
 - legal framework 178–83
 - procedure 183–90
 - remedies 192–5
 - standard of review 190–2, 195–6
 - legal framework in trade remedy
 - determinations 178–83
 - appeals to ECJ 179, 182
 - cases under TFEU 178–9
 - General Court 179, 181–2
 - time limits 181–2
 - WTO agreements and jurisprudence, status of 180–1
 - procedure in judicial review of trade remedy determinations 183–90
 - Article 263 TFEU: action for annulment 183–9, 195
 - Article 265: failure to act 179–80, 184, 188, 190
 - Article 267 TFEU: preliminary ruling procedure 179, 182–3, 189–90, 195
 - remedies 192–5
 - damages 194–5

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

438

INDEX

- European Union (cont.)
 - interim relief 180, 194
 - partial annulment 192–3
 - safeguards 174, 176, 178
 - transparency 178
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 2
 - binding the EU 180
 - deceptive practices, action against 217
 - safeguards 225
- India 287–311
 - anti-dumping 289–90, 292, 293–4, 296
 - three-stage process of investigation 299–300
 - appeals to Supreme Court 296, 304–5
 - background 287
 - Central Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) 293–5
 - appeals against final determinations 293, 296–7, 302–3, 303–4
 - appeals from orders of CESTAT 296, 304–5
 - composition 293–4
 - objective examination, conducting 309
 - parties eligible to bring a case 302
 - standard of review 306
 - competent courts 302–3
 - concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 309–11
 - advantage of having a tribunal focusing solely on trade remedies appeals 311
 - need for suitable institutional and administrative setup 310–11
 - publication of practices needed 309–10
 - Designated Authority 289–90, 293, 296–300, 309
 - duty to act judicially 302
 - procedural errors 300–2
 - Directorate General for Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties 289–90
 - Directorate General of Safeguards 289, 290–1
 - history of trade remedy legislation 284–5
 - legislative framework for judicial review 291, 292–5, 424, 425
 - double judicial review system 308
 - Central Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal 293–5
 - supervisory/discretionary powers of the Supreme Court/High Courts 292–3
 - WTO agreements and jurisprudence, status of 294–5, 308
 - overall assessment of effectiveness of judicial review 307–9
 - effective nature of Indian judicial review system 308–9
 - system of double judicial review 308
 - parties eligible to bring a case 302
 - procedural steps 303–4
 - remedies 306–7
 - CESTAT 303, 306–7
 - High Courts 307
 - reviewable determinations 296–302
 - appeals against preliminary findings/provisional decisions 297–300
 - review on grounds of procedural error 300–2
 - safeguards 289, 290–1, 292, 294
 - standard of review 305–6
 - CESTAT 306
 - grounds of review 305
 - questions of fact and questions of law 305–6
 - types of judicial review proceedings 295–6
 - appeals from CESTAT orders 296, 304–5
 - representations to Finance Ministry 296
 - scope of judicial review expanded 295

- Indonesia 361–77
- anti-dumping and countervail 362, 364, 368
 - concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 375–7
 - ineffectiveness of judicial review system 375–6
 - proposal for a single court for trade remedy cases 376
 - history of trade remedy legislation 361–2, 363–4
 - investigating authorities 362–3
 - Indonesian Trade Safeguard Committee (KPPI) 363
 - Komite Anti-Dumping Indonesia (KADI) 362, 364, 371–2
 - structure and composition 362–3
 - legal framework for judicial review of trade remedy determinations 364–8, 424
 - absence of independent tribunals reviewing determinations 365–7
 - interested parties bringing judicial review 367
 - safeguards 363, 368
 - State Administrative Court, judicial review by 367, 368, 369–75
 - appeals 373–4
 - injunctions 374–5
 - reviewable determinations 369–71
 - rigour of judicial review 372–3
 - standard of review 371–2
 - Tax Court appeals 365, 367–8
 - types of judicial review proceedings 368–75
 - judicial review by the State Administrative Court 367, 368, 369–75
 - judicial review by the Supreme Court 367, 375
- Israel 221–46
- Advisory Committee on Trade Levies 222–5
 - Chairman hearing challenges from Commissioner's decisions 228–9, 237
- Commissioner of Trade Levies 222–5, 226–7, 228–9, 237–8
- concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 244–6
 - legislative framework for judicial review since 2005 230–1
 - legislative framework for judicial review until 2005 227–30
 - appeals to district courts 229–30, 234–5, 237
 - Chairman of Advisory Committee hearing challenge 228–9, 232–4, 237
 - residual original jurisdiction of Supreme Court 230
 - parties eligible to bring a case 242
 - procedures and remedies 243
 - standard of review: comparison of the two models 232–8
 - comparison of standards of review before/after 2005 amendment 235–8
 - de novo* standard of review of Chairman of Advisory Committee 232–4
 - judicial review of final duties on appeal to district courts 234–5
 - proposal for duties of consultation 238
 - substantive law of review: national or international 238–9
 - trade remedies legislation and practice 221–7
 - anti-dumping and countervail 222–5
 - liberalization 221–2
 - protective measures/safeguards 225–6
 - which decisions can be reviewed 239–41
- Japan 399–405
- concluding remarks 404–5
 - history of trade remedy legislation 399–400
 - legal framework 400–2
 - administrative disposition 401–2
 - standing 402

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

440

INDEX

- Japan (cont.)
 procedures 402–3
 remedies 404
 standard of review 403
 judicial review 2–3
 countries with insufficient
 activity *see* Japan; Malaysia;
 New Zealand; Thailand
 domestic level *see* domestic judicial
 review of trade remedy
 determinations
 multilateral level 3–4
 regional level 4–5
 WTO members' obligation to
 maintain judicial review system
 7–10, 337–8
see also under individual countries
- Korea 337–60
 appeals 346
 applicable law in judicial review
 proceedings 351–6
 free trade agreements 339–40,
 343, 354, 357
 monist system 351–2, 357
 municipal law
 WTO agreements, status of
 352–4, 357
 WTO precedents 355–6
 conclusion 359–60
 increasing attention to judicial
 review in Korea 342–3, 352–3,
 357
 Korea Trade Commission 338, 342,
 356–7, 358, 359–60
 composition and structure
 340–2
 decisions, review of 348–51
 difficulty in adjusting practice to
 legislative changes 339–40
 MOSF, and 350
 legal framework for the judicial
 review of trade remedy
 determinations 343–56
 applicable law in judicial review
 proceedings 351–6
 court, the 343, 344–6
 enforcement of court decisions 356
 judges, the 346
 parties eligible to bring a case
 347–51
 standard of review and deference
 for agency discretion 351
 Ministry of Strategy and Finance
 (MOSF) 350
 overall assessment and future tasks
 356–8
 overall assessment of the judicial
 review system 356–7
 remaining tasks for the future
 357–8
 standing: parties eligible to bring a
 case 347–51
 decisions not to initiate an
 investigation as agency
 disposition 348–9
 decisions to commence a review
 not administrative dispositions
 349–50
 direct and concrete legal interest in
 an administrative disposition
 347
 exhaustion of administrative
 appeal proceedings 348
 MOSF regulation eligible for
 review 350–1
 use of trade remedies 338–42
 current status of trade remedy
 measures 342
 Korea's investigating authority
 340–2
 legal basis for trade remedies 339–40
 WTO agreements, status of 339
- Malaysia 405–7
 judicial review of trade remedy
 determinations 405–7, 425–6
 trade remedy legislation 405
- Mexico 55–81
 administrative appeals 59–60
 procedure 59–60
 appeals before domestic courts 63–6
 appeals on constitutional grounds
 63–4
 appeals on grounds other than
 constitutional grounds 64–6

- appeals under the WTO dispute
 - settlement mechanism 60, 61–2
 - standard of review of WTO panels 61–2, 65
- application of trade remedy
 - measures under Mexican law 55–8
 - historically 55–8
- conclusions 81
- Economía 4, 58, 59–60, 65
- NAFTA panel review assessment 63, 70–81, 424
 - Apples* (2009) 64, 80–1
 - Beef* (2002) 78
 - Coated Flat Steels* (1996) 74–5
 - High Fructose Corn Syrup* (2001) 75–7, 78
 - Liquid Caustic Soda* (2006) 79
 - Plate in Coils* (1995) 70–3, 75, 79
 - Polystyrene* (1996) 73–4, 78
 - Pork Legs* (2008) 80
 - Urea* (2002) 77–8
 - Welded Pipe* (2008) 79–80, 81
- NAFTA, quasi-judicial review by 60, 66–81
 - appeals 62, 68, 70
 - assessment of NAFTA panel review 63, 70–81
 - binding nature of panel decisions 68
 - general aspects of NAFTA panel review 55, 62, 66–9, 70, 71, 81
 - jurisdiction 68, 81
 - powers of NAFTA panels 69–70, 81
 - standard of review of NAFTA panels 62, 69, 70, 81
- Tax Court
 - appeals 64, 68–9, 70
 - jurisdiction 60, 68, 81
 - powers 65–6, 69, 70, 81
 - standard of review 64, 69, 70, 81
- New Zealand 407–14
 - comments and conclusions 413–14
 - judicial review 409, 424
 - applicable law 410
 - court structure 410
 - legal framework 409–10
 - legal significance of international obligations 410–11
 - procedure 411–12
 - remedies 413
 - trade remedies 407–9
 - history 407–8
 - implementation 408
 - procedures 408–9
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) 4–5, 11
 - general aspects of NAFTA panel review 66–9
 - bi-national panel review 66–7
 - mandate of NAFTA panels 67
 - powers of NAFTA panels 69
 - standard of review 67–8
 - see also under* Canada; Mexico; United States
- Pakistan 269–85
 - anti-dumping and countervail 273, 278–82
- Appellate Tribunal 273–7
 - absence of a Tribunal causing applications to be made to court 277–8, 283–4, 285
 - appeals before final determinations 275, 284–5
 - appointment of first Tribunal for only six months 275–6, 283
 - clarifications of Appellate Tribunal's decision/no appeal 274
 - composition 273–4, 275–6, 285
 - scope of work and powers 274–5, 284–5
 - standard of review 275
- background to the promulgation of trade defence laws 269, 270–3
 - administration of new trade defence laws 271–3
 - liberalization programme 271
 - WTO agreements, status of 270
- conclusions 283–5
 - absence of a Tribunal and applications to court 283–4, 285

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yilmaz

Index

[More information](#)

442

INDEX

- Pakistan (cont.)
- cases related to due process in
 - course of investigation 284–5
 - problems relating to resort to the courts 285
 - intervention by superior courts in trade remedy determinations:
 - constitution of the Commission 282–3
 - judicial review of Commission's actions 273–83, 424, 425
 - Appellate Tribunal 273–7
 - intervention by superior courts in trade remedy determinations:
 - constitution of the Commission 282–3
 - role of the superior courts in trade remedy determinations: review of due process 277–82
 - National Tariff Commission 271–3
 - constitution of the Commission and trade remedy determinations 282–3, 285
 - expanded role 272–3
 - new authority to make determinations in anti-dumping/countervail cases 273
 - original mandate and role 271–2
 - stays of proceedings 278, 285
 - role of the superior courts in trade remedy determinations: review of due process 277–82
 - delay in pending court proceedings 278, 285
 - DSB findings and decision of the court 278, 285
 - exhaustion of remedies 277–8
 - functions and role of the superior courts 277
 - petitions filed before or on initiation of investigations 279–80
 - petitions filed between initiation of investigation and preliminary determination 280
 - petitions filed in course of preliminary determinations 280–1
 - petitions relating to final determinations 281–2
 - safeguards 273
 - Peru 153–72
 - anti-dumping and countervail 157, 159
 - appeals 169–71
 - cassations 170
 - competent courts 166–7
 - concluding remarks 171–2
 - deregulation of the economy 153–4
 - enforcement of court decisions 171
 - Indecopi 154, 155, 160–1, 166, 168, 171–2
 - Administrative Tribunal 157–8, 166
 - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Measures Commission 156–7, 158–9
 - legislative framework for judicial review of trade remedy determinations 160–2
 - 'full jurisdiction' principle 161–2, 163, 171
 - matters raised in judicial review proceedings and the standard of review 163–5
 - Multisectoral Committee 157, 159–60
 - overview of trade remedies
 - legislation and practices 155–60
 - legislation 155–6
 - trade remedy investigations 156–60
 - parties eligible to bring a case, and defendants 165–6
 - procedural steps 167–9
 - admissibility 168
 - precautionary measures 169
 - reviewable determinations 162–3
 - safeguards 157, 159–60
 - rule of law 4, 5
 - safeguards 2, 4
 - Agreement on Safeguards (SG Agreement) 2, 225
 - judicial review 7, 10
 - standing 145

- South Africa 247–68
 appeals 252, 257, 260–1
 Constitutional Court 254, 255, 256
 competent courts 257
 concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 267–51
 Competition Tribunal as court for trade remedy determination cases 267–8
 judicial economy 268
 history of trade remedy legislation 247–50
 International Trade Administration Commission 249–52
 organisation 250–2
 role and functions 250
 legislative framework for judicial review 252–4
 procedure for review of
 Commission decisions 253, 256–7
 WTO agreements and jurisprudence, status of 254
 overall assessment of effectiveness of judicial review 264–7
 incorrect decisions 265–6
 parties eligible to bring a case 257, 425
 procedural steps 258–60
 disclosure 259
 fees 260, 266
 reviews lodged where
 Commission situated 253, 257
 time for lodging 256–7, 258
 remedies 264
 reviewable determinations 255–7
 review of preliminary/interim decisions 252–3, 255–6, 256–7
 standard of review 262–4
 challenges under the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 252, 262–3
 deference to investigating authority's determinations 263, 264, 266, 267
 types of judicial review proceedings 255
- Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM Agreement) *see under* countervail
- Thailand 415–21
 conclusion 421
 judicial review of trade remedy determinations 416–19, 424
 legal framework 416–17
 procedure 417–19
 remarks and suggestions for improving judicial review system 420–1
 trade remedy legislation 415
- Turkey 197–220
 anti-dumping and countervail 198, 200
 appeals 212–13
 competent courts 208–9
 concluding remarks and suggestions for improvement 219–20
 need for specialized courts and training for judges 220
 history of trade remedy legislation 198–200
 investigating authorities 200–1, 218–19
 legislative framework for judicial review 201–4
 overall assessment of the effectiveness of judicial review 217–19
 deference to investigating authority's determinations 218–19
 mechanistic legal analysis 217–18
 parties eligible to bring a case 207–8, 425
 procedural steps 209–11
 admissibility 210
 written submissions 209–10
 remedies 215–16
 compliance with annulment decision 215

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

444

INDEX

- Turkey (cont.)
 stay of execution of disputed determinations 216, 217
 reviewable determinations 205–7
 actions must be final and executable 205–6
 failure to respond 207
 safeguards 198–9, 200–1
 standard of review 213–15
 specific grounds of annulment 213–14
 trade liberalization 197–8
 types of judicial review proceedings 204–5
 WTO agreements, status of 199, 203–4
- United States (US) 4–5, 35–54
 anti-dumping and countervailing duty actions
 judicially reviewable 5, 35, 426
 applicable law 50–3
 conforming US law to SCM and AD Agreements 50
 DSB findings not automatically accepted as ‘the law of nations’ 52
 effect of WTO Panel/Appellate Body reports 51–3
 implementing adverse WTO reports in US domestic law 51–2
 persuasive reasoning 53
 WTO decisions not binding on US 51, 52–3
 competent courts 42–3
 conclusions 54
 Constitution 35–6, 38, 42, 54
 Court of International Trade 37–8, 322, 424
 jurisdiction over trade remedy actions 39–40
 parties eligible to bring a case 320
 procedure 43–4
 remedies 44, 53–4
 reviewable determinations 48–9, 426
 structure 42
- CUFTA 33–4
 Department of Commerce 35, 38–9, 48–9
 eligible parties 41–2
 intervention 42
 Federal Circuit 37–8, 42–3
 standard of review on appeals 47–8, 54
 WTO decisions not binding on US 51, 52–3
 framework for judicial review 38–40
 establishing a ‘case or controversy’ 39
 judicial review limited by
 doctrine of sovereign immunity 38–9
 personal jurisdiction over the defendant 40
 standing 39, 41, 425
 history of trade remedy legislation/
 judicial review 36–8
 implementation of GATT
 negotiating rounds agreements 37, 50
 International Trade Commission 35, 38–9, 48–9
 NAFTA and bi-national panel
 review 33–4, 424
 effect of decisions 51
 eligible parties 41
 jurisdiction 38, 39, 50
 procedure 44–6
 remedies 45
 reviewable determinations 49
 standard of review 48
 procedural steps 43–6
 remedies 44, 53–4
 reviewable determinations 48–50
 safeguard actions
 reviewable generally on
 procedural grounds only 35, 49, 426
 standard of review 48
 standard of review 46–8
 applied *de novo* on appeals 47–8, 54
 two-step process 47

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by Müslüm Yılmaz

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

445

- | | |
|---|---|
| World Trade Organization (WTO) 1,
2, 3, 4, 37, 50 | powers of WTO panels and
Appellate Body (Art 19.1 DSU)
62, 68 |
| dispute settlement 3–4, 5, 6, 61–2,
266, 311 | standard of review of WTO
panels (Art 11 DSU)
61–2, 65 |
| appellate review before Appellate
Body (Art 17.6 DSU) 62 | |