

The Making of Vernacular Singapore English

Singapore English is a focal point across the many subfields of linguistics, as its semantic, syntactic, and phonetic/phonological qualities tell us a great deal about what happens when very different types of language come together. Sociolinguists are also interested in the relative status of Singapore English compared to other languages in the country. This book charts the history of Singapore English and explores the linguistic, historical, and social factors that have influenced the variety as it is spoken today. It identifies novel grammatical features of the language, discusses their structure and function, and traces their origins to the local languages of Singapore. It places grammatical system and usage at the core of analysis, and shows that introspective and corpus data are complementary. This study will be of interest to scholars and advanced students working on language contact, world varieties of English, historical linguistics, and sociolinguistics.

ZHIMING BAO is a professor in the Department of English Language and Literature at the National University of Singapore, and the Cao Guangbiao Chair Professor in the Centre for the Study of Language and Cognition, Zhejiang University.



#### Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact

General Editor SALIKOKO S. MUFWENE, University of Chicago

Editorial Board Robert Chaudenson, Université d'Aix-en-Provence Braj Kachru, University of Illinois at Urbana Raj Mesthrie, University of Cape Town Lesley Milroy, University of Michigan Shana Poplack, University of Ottawa Michael Silverstein, University of Chicago

Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact is an interdisciplinary series bringing together work on language contact from a diverse range of research areas. The series focuses on key topics in the study of contact between languages or dialects, including the development of pidgins and creoles, language evolution and change, world Englishes, code-switching and codebilingualism and second language acquisition, borrowing, interference, and convergence phenomena.

#### Published titles

Salikoko Mufwene, The Ecology of Language Evolution Michael Clyne, Dynamics of Language Contact Bernd Heine and Tania Kuteva, Language Contact and Grammatical Change Edgar W. Schneider, Postcolonial English Virginia Yip and Stephen Matthews, The Bilingual Child Bernd Heine and Derek Nurse (eds.), A Linguistic Geography of Africa J. Clancy Clements, The Linguistic Legacy of Spanish and Portuguese Umberto Ansaldo, Contact Languages Jan Blommaert, The Sociolinguistics of Globalization Carmen Silva-Corvalán, Bilingual Language Acquisition Lotfi Sayahi, Diglossia and Language Contact Emanuel J. Drechsel, Language Contact in the Early Colonial Pacific Enoch Oladé Aboh, The Emergence of Hybrid Grammars Zhiming Bao, The Making of Vernacular Singapore English

Further titles planned for the series

Rakesh Bhatt, Language Contact and Diaspora

Gregory D. S. Anderson, Language Extinction

Bridget Drinka, Language Contact in Europe

Fredric Field, Spanish and English in the United States

Ralph Ludwig, Steve Pagel, and Peter Mühlhäusler, Linguistic Ecology and Language Contact

Kingsley Bolton, Samuli Kaislaniemi, and Anna Winterbottom, Language Contact and the East India Company

Sarah Roberts, The Birth of a Language



# The Making of Vernacular Singapore English

System, Transfer, and Filter

**Zhiming Bao** 

National University of Singapore and Zhejiang University





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107022089

© Zhiming Bao 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Bao, Zhiming, 1957– author.

The making of vernacular Singapore English: system, transfer, and filter / Zhiming Bao, National University of Singapore.

pages cm – (Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact)

ISBN 978-1-107-02208-9 (hardback)

- $1. \ English\ language-Singapore. \ \ 2. \ English\ language-Variation-Singapore.$
- English language Social aspects Singapore.
   Languages in contact Singapore.
   Language and culture Singapore.
   Singapore Languages.
   Title.

PE3502.S5B26 2015 427'.95957-dc23

2015004583

ISBN 978-1-107-02208-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



# Contents

Li	st of	tables			page viii
Se	ries e	editor	's forewo	ord	xi
Αc	cknov	vledgn	nents		xiii
Li	st of	abbre	viations		XV
	3				
1	Intr	oduct	ion		1
	1.1	Singa	ore Engli	sh and New Englishes	2
	1.2	The th	ematic de	scription of the book	7
		1.2.1	Termino	logy	7
		1.2.2	Data		9
		1.2.3	Gramma	r and use	11
				ng of substrate features	12
		1.2.5	Transfer	and filter	13
2	The	ecolo	gy of Si	ingapore English	15
	2.1	The p	eopling of	Singapore	15
	2.2	The ir	nmigrant 1	anguages	18
	2.3	The li	ngua franc	eas of the Straits Settlements	21
	2.4	Educa	tion in the	Straits Settlements	25
	2.5	Langu	age shift a	and language maintenance	29
	2.6	Concl	usion		33
3	Gra	mmat	ical syst	em and substratum transfer	37
	3.1	The as	spectual sy	stem of Singapore English	38
		3.1.1	The perf	ective	38
			3.1.1.1	Already	38
			3.1.1.2	Ever	43
			3.1.1.3	Never	45
			3.1.1.4	Got	48
		3.1.2	The impo	erfective	49
		3.1.3	The "mis	ssing" aspectual categories	50
			3.1.3.1	The stative imperfective	50
			3.1.3.2	The tentative	51
		3.1.4	Summar	y	52



vi		Contents			
	3.2	The lexicalist approach	54		
	3.3	-,	58		
	3.4	Conclusion	65		
4	Top	pic prominence, empty categories, and the bare conditional	67		
	4.1	Introduction	67		
	4.2	The typology of empty categories	68		
	4.3	Chinese topic structure	71		
	4.4	Empty categories in Chinese and Singapore English	78		
		4.4.1 PRO	79		
		4.4.2 Pro	81		
		4.4.3 Variable and COMP	84		
		4.4.4 Variable and TOP	85		
	4.5	Bare conditional as topic	89		
	4.6	Conclusion	98		
5	Sub	ostratum, lexifier, and typological universals	102		
	5.1	The data	102		
		5.1.1 The grammar of <i>one</i>	103		
		5.1.2 The use of <i>one</i>	108		
		5.1.3 Summary	110		
	5.2	The explanation	111		
		5.2.1 The substratum	112		
		5.2.2 The lexifier	113		
		5.2.3 The linguistic universals	116		
	5.3	An exemplar-based approach to exponencing	120		
	5.4	Excursion: also and got	125		
	5.5	Conclusion	128		
6	Fre	Frequency, usage, and the circumscriptive role of the lexifier			
	6.1	Introduction	130		
	6.2	The substratum, the lexifier, and the contact language	132		
	6.3	Four unproductive features of Singapore English	136		
		6.3.1 The perfective cluster	137		
		6.3.2 The <i>kena</i> and <i>give</i> passives	140		
		6.3.3 Verb serialization	144		
		6.3.4 Reduplication	147		
	6.4	How usage shapes intuition	155		
	6.5	Conclusion	161		
7	Convergence-to-substratum				
	7.1	Two types of substrate influence	163		
	7.2	Must	164		
		7.2.1 The usage pattern	164		
		7.2.2 The linguistic substratum	168		
		7.2.3 Must have V-en	173		
		7.2.4 The grammaticalization path of <i>must</i>	175		
		7.2.5 Summary	177		



	Contents		vii
	7.3	The passives	177
	7.4	Excursion: the usage patterns of already and also	182
	7.5	Conclusion	185
8	Epi	ilogue	187
Re	eferei	nces	194
In	dex		211



# **Tables**

The typology of contact languages according to Chaudenson	
(1977, 2001) and Mufwene (1996, 2001)	page 6
A revised typology of contact languages	6
Resident population of Singapore by ethnic group, 1819–1836	16
Resident population of Singapore by ethnic group, 1840–2010;	
in percent	17
The Chinese community in Singapore by dialect; in percent	19
People 15 years or older who can speak or understand the	
languages; in percent	23
Enrolments in the three major English-medium schools in	
Singapore, 1887–1914. (ACS: Anglo-Chinese School,	
Methodist, est. 1886; RI: Raffles Institution, est. 1823; SJI:	
St. Joseph Institution, Catholic, est. 1852)	26
Language skills of Chinese, Malays, and Indians 10 years of	
age and older in 1957; in percent	27
Primary one registration by language stream, 1960–1975; in	
percent	28
Literacy levels of Chinese, Malays, and Indians 15 years of age	
or older, 1980–2010; in percent	32
Most frequently spoken home language of resident population	
aged 5 years and older, 1980–2010; in percent	33
Counts of one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE SUBcorpora, ICE-SIN	
and ICE-GB	108
Counts and frequencies of de in two Chinese novellas	109
Frequencies of de and one; in percent	110
Frequencies of <i>one</i> -XP and XP- <i>one</i> in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE	
subcorpora, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB	115
Counts of four particles and one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE	
subcorpus, ICE-SIN	116
Frequencies of already, got, and finish in their respective	
syntactic frames in Private Dialogue, ICE-SIN	139
Frequencies of the passives in PRIVATE DIALOGUE, ICE-SIN	142
	A revised typology of contact languages Resident population of Singapore by ethnic group, 1819–1836 Resident population of Singapore by ethnic group, 1840–2010; in percent The Chinese community in Singapore by dialect; in percent People 15 years or older who can speak or understand the languages; in percent Enrolments in the three major English-medium schools in Singapore, 1887–1914. (ACS: Anglo-Chinese School, Methodist, est. 1886; RI: Raffles Institution, est. 1823; SJI: St. Joseph Institution, Catholic, est. 1852) Language skills of Chinese, Malays, and Indians 10 years of age and older in 1957; in percent Primary one registration by language stream, 1960–1975; in percent Literacy levels of Chinese, Malays, and Indians 15 years of age or older, 1980–2010; in percent Most frequently spoken home language of resident population aged 5 years and older, 1980–2010; in percent Counts of one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE subcorpora, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB Counts and frequencies of de in two Chinese novellas Frequencies of one-XP and XP-one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE subcorpora, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB Counts of four particles and one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE subcorpus, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB Counts of four particles and one in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE subcorpus, ICE-SIN Frequencies of already, got, and finish in their respective syntactic frames in PRIVATE DIALOGUE, ICE-SIN

viii



	List of tables	ix
6.3	Token and type frequencies of adjectival, nominal, and verbal reduplication in PRIVATE DIALOGUE, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB	153
6.4	Token and type frequencies of nominal and verbal	
	reduplication with the continuation or repetition meaning, with	
	and without and, in PRIVATE DIALOGUE, ICE-SIN	154
6.5	Adversative and non-adversative passive sentences containing	
	kena and give judged as acceptable by respondents; in percent	
	(number of respondents, 127)	160
7.1	Token frequencies of <i>must</i> in the spoken subcorpora of ICE-	
	SIN and ICE-GB ( $\chi^2 = 20.51$ , p < 0.000006)	167
7.2	The usage pattern of <i>must</i> in the spoken register (dialogue and	
	monologue) of six varieties of English; in percent	167
7.3	The usage pattern of <i>must</i> in the dialogue register of British,	
	Australian, and New Zealand Englishes; in percent	168
7.4	Frequencies of <i>must have</i> V- <i>en</i> among the epistemic tokens of	
	must in the dialogue subcorpora of ICE-SIN and ICE-GB	174
7.5	Frequencies of have V-en in the 200,000-word dialogue	
	subcorpora of ICE-SIN and ICE-GB, respectively	175
7.6	Frequencies of the four passives in the PRIVATE DIALOGUE	
	subcorpora, ICE-SIN and ICE-GB	179
7.7	Token frequencies of the <i>be</i> passive ( $\chi^2 = 3.41$ , p < 0.05)	181
7.8	Token frequencies of the <i>get</i> passive; the percent figures do not	
	add up to 100 due to rounding ( $\chi^2 = 2.75$ , p < 0.05)	181
7.9	Type frequencies of the <i>be</i> passive ( $\chi^2 = 0.09$ , p < 0.20)	181
7.10	Type frequencies of the <i>get</i> passive ( $\chi^2 = 0.34$ , p < 0.20)	181
7.11	Frequencies of <i>already</i> by position in four registers, ICE-SIN	
	and ICE-GB	184
7.12	Frequencies of also by position in four registers, ICE-SIN and	
	ICE-GB	185





### Series editor's foreword

The series Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact (CALC) was set up to publish outstanding monographs on language contact, especially by authors who approach their specific subject matter from a diachronic or developmental perspective. Our goal is to integrate the ever-growing scholarship on language diversification (including the development of creoles, pidgins, and indigenized varieties of colonial European languages), bilingual language development, code-switching, and language endangerment. We hope to provide a select forum to scholars who contribute insightfully to understanding language evolution from an interdisciplinary perspective. We favor approaches that highlight the role of ecology and draw inspiration both from the authors' own fields of specialization and from related research areas in linguistics or other disciplines. Eclecticism is one of our mottoes, as we endeavor to comprehend the complexity of evolutionary processes associated with contact.

We are very proud to add to our list Zhiming Bao's *The Making of Vernacular Singapore English: System, Transfer, and Filter*, which is bound to generate a lot of discussion about substrate influence not only on new Englishes but also on new colonial varieties of European languages, especially those disfranchised as creoles and pidgins and typically denied genetic kinship with their lexifiers. This study is soundly grounded in the contact ecology of Singapore, in which the presence of Sinitic language varieties, especially Hokkien and Cantonese, has been constant and growing since the foundation of the British colony in the early nineteenth century. Lumping all the relevant Sinitic varieties together, because of typological similarities among them, we may say that the presence of Chinese has eclipsed that of Malay, though this is also spoken in the surrounding polities, at least based on the number of speakers in the contact setting.

Bao argues that Chinese is the dominant source of substrate influence on the syntax and semantics of Vernacular Singapore English (VSE), although this does not exclude the contribution of Malay. While he also submits that substrate influence is more likely to transfer as an entire subsystem, he points out that his hypothesis should not be confused with relexification, as the lexifier

хi



#### xii Series editor's foreword

still functions as a filter, determining whether or not the transferred subsystem is compatible with its own structures.

There are various notions to sort out, including how different the systemhybridization proposed by Bao to account for the emergent grammar of VSE is from the role of systemic congruence in favoring influence but not necessarily faithful transfers from a particular substrate language or group thereof. On the other hand, it is undeniable that VSE has syntactic and semantic peculiarities, notwithstanding pragmatic ones, which are like those in Chinese and distinguish it from other Englishes, especially those identified in the New Englishes literatures as Native Englishes. Bao invites the reader to distinguish between, on the one hand, "substratum transfer," when speakers have carried a substrate grammatical feature over to the emergent language, and, on the other, "convergence-to-substratum," "when a construction of the lexifier acquires the lexical or grammatical meanings of a semantically similar construction in the substratum." Yet, "substrate-derived" grammatical features are not necessarily faithful replicas of the source language, which underscores paying attention to various constraints that the author invokes to account for his substrate-based account of the emergence of VSE within the emergentist framework of Construction Grammar.

Bao also addresses the following two issues: Which particular group within the Singaporean ethnolinguistically heterogeneous population exerted the most (critical) influence on the emergence of VSE? And is it justified to claim that VSE's lexifier is scholastic English? This, of course, also raises the question of whether it is justified in the first place to assume that only one kind of variety was targeted by a particular population, as much as that of whether the kinds of factors that indigenized a language in a particular contact ecology account the same way for the indigenization of the same language in another contact ecology. Students of the emergence of creoles and New Englishes are served with several other implicit issues and questions regarding the constraining roles of the lexifier and the substrate languages in the divergence evolution the European target languages in the colonies. Well documented from reliable corpora (notably the International Corpus of English, both the British and the Singapore components) and by participant observation, The Making of Vernacular Singapore English provides a great deal of material to examine and food for thought to students of language contact from the point of view of language genesis.

Salikoko S. Mufwene, University of Chicago



# Acknowledgments

For the past twenty years or so, I have been preoccupied with the curious grammar of vernacular English spoken in Singapore, a modern metropolis that has its origin as a British crown colony and has been since its annexation a vibrant confluence of migratory populations speaking a plethora of tongues. The vernacular grammar is "curious" because it has undergone extensive contact-induced grammatical restructuring, with the addition of grammatical constructions or meanings derived from the local languages. How English emerges as Singapore English is a complex and ongoing process of central concern to contact linguistic theorizing. In a series of papers, published over more than a decade, I have sketched a systemic, usage-based approach to contact-induced grammatical change, each paper focusing on one aspect of the process. As time goes by, some of the theoretical positions argued for in earlier papers are no longer valid in view of more data and different analytical perspectives. There have been changes, some substantial, in theoretical assumption, analysis, and terminology. When Salikoko Mufwene suggested to me that I contribute a volume to the Cambridge Approaches to Language Contact series, of which he is editor, I jumped at the opportunity wholeheartedly.

The literature on Singapore English has grown rapidly since the 1980s. It is not an exaggeration to say that Singapore English is one of the most studied varieties of New English, and continues to attract attention from scholars of World English and of general contact linguistics. Writing the book gave me an opportunity to incorporate recent advances in the study of Singapore English and in contact-linguistic theory, and to reflect on the analyses first advanced in the papers published in various journals and integrate them into an up-to-date theoretical model of contact-induced grammatical restructuring. For this, I would like to thank Salikoko Mufwene not only for his suggestion but also for his close and critical reading of the manuscript. I hope the book offers an empirically sound and theoretically coherent narrative. Helen Barton, the commissioning editor at Cambridge University Press, deserves a hearty note of thanks for her help in making sure that the manuscript is prepared in good order.

xiii



#### xiv Acknowledgments

I would also like to thank my teachers, colleagues, students, and friends who are too numerous to name. I have benefited enormously from formal and informal discussions with them about the Singapore English phenomena and the analyses reported in the book. I would also like to thank the Center for the Study of Language and Cognition, Zhejiang University, for awarding me a visiting Guangbiao Chair Professorship that allowed me to spend one and half months in 2014 on its beautiful campus in Hangzhou. Some of the revision was accomplished there. Finally, I would like to thank the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, National University of Singapore, for a book grant that allowed me to ensure the accuracy of the data reported in the book.

Chapter 3 is based on the paper entitled "The aspectual system of Singapore English and the systemic substratist explanation" (Journal of Linguistics 41, 2 [2005]: 237-267 © Cambridge University Press). Chapter 4 is based on two papers; one is entitled "The origins of empty categories in Singapore English" (Journal of Pidgin and Creole Languages 16, 2 [2001]: 275-319) and the other "Systemic transfer, topic prominence, and the bare conditional in Singapore English" (with Hui Min Lye, Journal of Pidgin and Creole Languages 20, 2 [2005]: 269–291). Chapter 5 is based on the paper entitled "One in Singapore English" (Studies in Language 33, 2 [2009]: 338–365). Chapter 6 is based on the paper entitled "A usage-based approach to substratum transfer: The case of four unproductive features in Singapore English" (Language 86, 4 [2010]: 792-820). Chapter 7 is based on two papers; one entitled "Must in Singapore English" (Lingua 120, 7 [2010]: 1727-1737), the other "Convergence-tosubstratum and the passives in Singapore English" (in Creoles, their Substrates, and Language Typology, TSL 95, ed. Claire Lefebvre. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 2011, pp. 253–270).



### **Abbreviations**

A adjective
AGR agreement
ASP aspectual marker
CL classifier

COMP complementizer
e empty category
EXEMPLAR the set of exemplars

F feature

 $\begin{array}{ll} F_i & \text{feature of substrate language} \\ F_j & \text{feature of lexifier language} \\ F_k & \text{feature of contact language} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Frame} & \text{the set of frames} \\ \text{Frame}_i & \text{the set of frames of } F_i \\ \text{Frame}_j & \text{the set of frames of } F_j \\ \text{Frame}_k & \text{the set of frames of } F_k \\ \end{array}$ 

GEN genitive marker
IP inflectional phrase
LEXFILTER Lexifier Filter
LF Logical Form
LOC locative
N noun

NP noun phrase NOM nominalizer P preposition PASS passive

PP prepositional phrase

PR pronoun
PRED predicate
PRT particle

Q question marker QP quantifier phrase R relative pronoun

xv



xvi List of abbreviations

Spec specifier

SysTrans System Transfer

TOP topic

TP topic phrase

V verb

VP verb phrase VAR variable

XP major phrasal category of any type