

INDEX

- administrative law
 ‘administrative law’ reasoning by
 courts, 130–131
 ‘administratization’ of constitutional
 law, 8, 129–132
 case handling, 98
 common law origins, 90–91
 contractual freedom and public
 policy, 38–39
 origins of proportionality, 5–6,
 10–11, 24–32
- Agranat, Shimon
 use of US jurisprudence, 142–143
- Albania
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- Alexy, Robert
 concept of rights, 118–119
 on proportionality, 51, 128
- American constitutional law
 adoption of proportionality: gains,
 157; objections, 158; resistance
 to, 4
 balancing *see* balancing
 common law legal tradition, 155
 concept of rights, 118
 concept of state, 54–58
 culture of authority *see* balancing
 disconnection with European
 constitutional law, 3
 epistemological skepticism and
 see balancing
 exceptionalism, 4
 German law contrasted
 see balancing, proportionality
 compared
 global influence, 1, 4
- institutional division of
 responsibilities, 113–115
 intent-based model *see* intent-based
 constitutional model
 interpretative approaches, 119–120
 Langdellianism *see* Langdell,
 Christopher Columbus
 libertarian emphasis, 52–54
Lochner decision *see* *Lochner*
 decision
 pluralist concept of democracy,
 121–122
 Progressive movement and anti-
 Langdellianism, 34–35
 rule-based constitutionalism, 149
 uniqueness, 1
- American judicial system
 finality of judicial decisions, 120–121
 German system contrasted, 98
 Israeli system contrasted, 97–100
 Supreme Court adversarialism, 100–101
 Supreme Court remit (docket size),
 97–100, 117
- American private law
 case-by-case, standard-based
 approach, 149
 origins of balancing in critique of, 33
- American public law
 case citation in foreign law, 146–147
- anti-formalism
 anti-Langdellianism, 34–37
 anti-*Lochner*ism, 38–41
 balancing as, 32–43
 conclusions as to, 43
 McCarthy Era, 41–43
see also formalism

- Australia
 adoption of proportionality, 13
 case citation in Israeli law, 147
 discourse on proportionality, 2
 authority, culture of *see* balancing
- Bähr, Otto
 and *Rechtsstaat* principle, 27
- balancing
 anti-formalism and, 33, 37
 conclusions as to, 153–158
 conflict management, 8, 21, 30,
 35–36, 38–42
 control and: judicial system, 94–102,
 117
 cultural context: balancing in strict
 sense, 58–60; conclusions as to,
 154–155; impact of legal
 culture, 126–129; libertarian
 emphasis, 52–54; suspicion-
 based concept of state and,
 57–58
 culture of authority: concept of
 rights, 118; conclusions as to,
 155–156; finality of judicial
 decisions, 120–121;
 institutional division of
 responsibilities, 113–115;
 interpretation of constitution,
 119–120; overview, 7–8,
 111–113; pluralist concept of
 democracy, 121–122; political
 theory, 121
 embeddedness, 4–5
 epistemological skepticism:
 conclusions as to, 155; and
 minimalist approach to
 standards, 87–90; overview,
 82–85; and rules, 85–87;
 formalism and, 15
 and free speech, 62, 70–71,
 118
 intent-based model *see* intent-based
 constitutional model
 Langdellianism and *see* Langdell,
 Christopher Columbus
 legal culture and *see* cultural context
above
- Lochner* decision *see* *Lochner*
 decision
- McCarthy Era, 41–43
 minimalism and, 87–90
 origins: anti-formalism, 33, 37;
 conclusions as to, 153–154;
 critique of American private
 law, 33; Langdellianism
see Langdell, Christopher
 Columbus; *Lochner*erism
see *Lochner* decision; McCarthy
 Era, 41–43; overview, 32–33
- Progressive movement and anti-
 Langdellianism, 34–35, 38–41,
 128–129
- proportionality compared: analytical
 similarities, 16–23; *Lüth*
 decision, 59–60
- purpose and structure of book, 3–5
 as to rules and standards, 82–90
 scope of study, 5, 153
 as to standards and rules, 82–90
 strict sense, 58–60
 suspicion-based concept of state,
 57–58
- Barak, Aharon
 and Israeli legal system reform,
 101–102, 116
 use of proportionality test, 107–108
 use of US jurisprudence, 143–145
- Beatty, David
 on proportionality, 21, 92–93,
 106, 121
- Berg, Günter Heinrich von
 on proportionality and natural
 rights, 28–29
- Blum, Binyamin
 on evidentiary law ruling, 136
- Bomhoff, Jacco
 on balancing and proportionality,
 59–60
- Bork, Robert
 on growth of judicial power, 110–111
- Brazil
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- Breyer, Stephen
 on balancing and proportionality, 4,
 14–16, 23

- Brugger, Winfried
 on balancing and proportionality, 50
- Burke, Edmund
 epistemological skepticism, 83–84,
 120–121
- Canada
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3,
 138–142
 case citation in foreign law, 146–147
 citation of foreign law, 146–148
 communication of judicial decisions
 to other jurisdictions, 134–135
 constitutional law as common law
 system, 139–140
 culture of justification, 7–8, 124–125
 discourse on proportionality, 2
 influence of legal system, 1
 reference point for determining
 proportionality, 94
 removal of barriers to judicial review,
 117
 spread of proportionality, 12–14
- Cardozo, Benjamin
 progressivism and balancing, 35–36,
 58–59
- Cheshin, Mishael
 valued-based jurisprudence, 145–146
- China
 discourse on proportionality, 2
 citation of foreign law
 adoption of proportionality, 146–148
- civil law
 attributes in private law, 149
 common law contrasted, 91, 148–149
- Columbia
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- common law
 administrative law's origins in, 90–91
 and American legal tradition, 155
 balancing and, 36
 Canadian constitutional law,
 139–140
 civil law contrasted, 91, 148–149
 evolution in case law, 84
 proportionality and, 142, 148–150
- communitarian concept of state
 proportionality and, 49–53
- conflict management
 balancing, 8, 21, 30, 35–36, 38–42
 proportionality, 2, 6, 21, 49–53,
 106–109
- constitutional law
 'administratization' process, 8,
 129–132
 American *see* American
 constitutional law
 concept of state, 44–49
 constitutional courts and judicial
 review, 47–48
 convergence, 4–5
 European *see* European
 constitutional law
 German *see* German constitutional
 law
 impact-based model, 64–66
 'indifference' to infringement
see intent-based constitutional
 model
 influence of foreign legal systems, 1
 intent-based model *see* intent-based
 constitutional model
 internalization and realization of
 constitutional norms, 80
 interpretative approaches,
 119–120
 'less restrictive alternative' analysis,
 19
 national basis of, 136–137
- contractual freedom
 public policy and, 38–39
- control
 judicial system: American and
 German systems contrasted, 98;
 American and Israeli systems
 contrasted, 97–102; extent of
 remit (docket size), 97–100; size
 of system, 97–100
 overview, 94–95
 vertical control and horizontal
 control contrasted, 95–96
- convergence
 constitutional law, 4–5
 rights and legal principles, 34–35
- court system
 control and *see* control

- cultural context *see* balancing, cultural context, proportionality, cultural context
 culture of authority *see* balancing
 culture of justification
 see proportionality
- deliberative concept of democracy
 culture of justification, 122
- democratic political theory
 concepts contrasted, 121–122
- Dewey, James
 progressivism and balancing, 36
- Dickson, Brian
 proportionality jurisprudence, 13
- doctrinal imperialism
 proportionality and, 150–152
- Dworkin, Ronald
 on balancing, 57–58, 62
 and Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 139–140
- Eastern Europe
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 12
- Ely, John Hart
 ‘less restrictive alternative’ analysis, 19
- epistemology
 epistemological optimism
 see proportionality
 epistemological scepticism
 see balancing
- Eskridge, William
 on proportionality, 109
- European constitutional law
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 11
 concept of rights, 118–119
 deliberative and substantive concepts of democracy, 122
 disconnection with American constitutional law, 3
 epistemological optimism
 see proportionality
 impact-based model, 64–66
 interpretative approaches, 120
 political theory, 121
 proportionality *see* proportionality
- rationalism in legal and political culture, 90–91
 see also German constitutional law
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 adoption of proportionality, 11
 case citation in Israeli law, 147
 culture of justification, 120, 124
 increase in case load, 117
 spread of proportionality, 2–3, 12–13, 104–105
- European Court of Justice (ECJ)
 adoption of proportionality, 11
 spread of proportionality, 13–14
- European judicial system
 finality of judicial decisions, 121
 removal of barriers to judicial review, 115–117
- exceptionalism
 and American constitutional law, 4
- Fallon, Richard
 on balancing, 22, 71
- foreign law
 citation of, proportionality and, 146–148
 influence of, 1
- formalism
 balancing and, 15
 private law, 36, 149
 proportionality and, 5–6, 28–32, 59–60
 see also anti-formalism
- Frankfurter, Felix
 progressivism and balancing, 41–42, 128–129
- free speech
 balancing and, 62, 70–71, 118
- Freirechtsschule*
 Progressive movement and, 36
 rise of, 31–32
- ‘functional’ account of proportionality
 see proportionality, spread
- Geneva Convention
 spread of proportionality, 12–13
- German administrative law

- origins of proportionality
 - see* proportionality, origins
- German constitutional law
 - American law contrasted
 - see* proportionality, balancing
 - compared
 - case-by-case, standard-based
 - approach, 149–150
 - concept of state, 44–49
 - culture of justification
 - see* proportionality, culture of justification
 - Freirechtsschule*, 31–32, 36
 - legal science movement
 - (*Rechtswissenschaft*), 30, 90–91
 - Lüth* decision, 59–60
 - origins of proportionality
 - see* proportionality, origins
 - proportionality and concept of State, 49–53
 - rationalism in legal and political culture, 90–91
 - Rechtsstaat*, 25–26, 27, 28–29, 31–32, 51, 153–154
 - reference point for determining proportionality, 94
 - spread of proportionality
 - see* proportionality, spread
- German judicial system
 - American system contrasted, 98
 - communication of decisions to other jurisdictions, 134–135
- German private law
 - Freirechtsschule* and challenge to formalism, 31–32, 36
- German public law
 - case citation in foreign law, 146–147
- Gneist, Rudolf von
 - and *Rechtsstaat* principle, 27
- Grimm, Dieter
 - on proportionality, 66
 - on role of constitutional courts, 47–48
- Hand, Learned
 - progressivism and balancing, 40
- Hayek, Friedrich
 - epistemological skepticism, 83–84, 120–121
- Hesse, Konrad
 - on proportionality, 49–50
- Hirschl, Ran
 - on growth of judicial power, 110–111
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell
 - progressivism and balancing, 33–35, 38–41, 56, 58–59, 86
- Hong Kong
 - adoption of proportionality, 12
- Horowitz, Morton
 - on balancing, 42–43
 - on rules and substantive inequality, 86
- human rights
 - proportionality as universal doctrine, 4
 - see also* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- India
 - adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 12
 - discourse on proportionality, 2
 - removal of barriers to judicial review, 117
- intent-based constitutional model
 - exceptions, 71–73
 - ‘indifference’ to infringement of constitution: examples, 73–76; *Knobe Effect*, 76–78; *Minnesota v. Barber*, 74–75; objections, 78–81; overview, 73
 - overview, 66–70
- International Center for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)
 - spread of proportionality, 12–13
- International Convention on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
 - spread of proportionality, 12–13
- international law
 - spread of proportionality, 12–13
- Ireland
 - case citation in Israeli law, 147

- Israeli constitutional law
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 13, 138–139, 142–146
 application of proportionality test, 17, 21
 case-by-case, standard-based approach, 149–150
 culture of justification, 7–8
 development of legal thought, 105
 discourse on proportionality, 2
 ‘imperialistic’ effects of proportionality, 151–152
 ‘indifference’ to infringement, 80–79
 reference point for determining proportionality, 94
- Israeli judicial system
 administrative cases handling, 98
 American system contrasted, 97–102
 citation of foreign law, 136, 146–148
 communication of decisions to other jurisdictions, 134–135
 conflict management, 107–108
 control and: extent of remit (docket size), 98–100; size of system, 98–100; by Supreme Court, 149–150; Supreme Court coherency, 101–102
 culture of justification, 115–117
 increase in case load, 117
 judicial review: of parliamentary proceedings, 93–94; removal of barriers, 115–117
- James, William
 progressivism and balancing, 36
- Jhering, Rudolf von
 balancing interests concept, 36–37, 90–91
- judicial control of legal system
 American and German systems contrasted, 98
 American and Israeli systems contrasted, 97–102
 extent of remit (docket size), 97–100
 size of system, 97–100
 Supreme Court adversarialism/coherency, 100–102
- judicial decisions
 communication to other jurisdictions, 134–135
 evolution of common law, 84
 finality, 120–121
- judicial power
 proportionality and growth of, 110–111
- judicial review
 constitutional courts and, 47–48
 and origins of proportionality, 26–28
 of parliamentary proceedings, 93–94
 removal of barriers to, 115–117
- justification, culture of
see proportionality
- Kagan, Shelly
 on indifference to causing harm to others, 75–76
- Kant, Immanuel
 maxim of action, 75–76
- Kelsen, Hans
 legal formalism, 30
- Knobe Effect
 intent-based constitutional model, 76–78
- Korea
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- Krieger, Leonard
 constitutional theory, 44
- Kumm, Mattias
 on proportionality, 126
- Lahav, Pnina
 on use of US jurisprudence, 143
- Langdell, Christopher Columbus
 balancing as anti-Langdellianism, 34–37, 128–129
 formalist legal theory, 33–34
- Latin America
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 12
- Law, David
 on constitutional design, 157
 on rights protection, 135–136
- legal community
 proportionality and legal globalism, 109–110, 134–136

- legal culture *see* balancing, cultural context; proportionality, cultural context
- legal principles
 convergence with rights, 34–35
- legal science movement
 (*Rechtswissenschaft*)
 epistemological optimism, 30, 90–91
- legal system
 early stages of development:
 proportionality and, 104–106
 institutional division of
 responsibilities, 113–115
- legal transplant *see* proportionality, effects of adoption; proportionality, spread
- legitimacy
 as proportionality subtest, 2, 17
 ‘less restrictive alternative’ analysis
 constitutional law, 19
- Lochner* decision
 balancing as anti-*Lochnerism*, 38–41
Lochnerism, 37–38
- Lüth* decision
 proportionality and balancing
 contrasted, 59–60
- Marshaw, Jerry
 on justification and administrative law, 129–130
- Mayer, Otto
 on proportionality and natural rights, 28–29
- McCarthy Era
 balancing, 41–43
- McKenna, Joseph
 on contractual freedom and public policy, 38–39
- Mexico
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- migration of law *see* proportionality, effects of adoption, proportionality, spread
- minimalism
 approach to standards, 87–90
- Minnesota v. Barber*
 intent-based constitutional model, 74–75
- Moldavia
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- natural law
 proportionality and, 28–32
- Navot, Suzie
 survey of foreign law citation, 146–147
- necessity
 as proportionality subtest, 2, 17–20, 25–26, 28–30, 50, 66, 105–106, 128
- New Zealand
 adoption of proportionality, 13
 citation of foreign law, 136
 culture of justification, 7–8
- organic concept of state
 proportionality and, 49–53
- parliamentary proceedings
 judicial review of, 93–94
- Peru
 adoption of proportionality, 12
 pluralist concept of democracy
 culture of authority, 121–122
- political culture *see* balancing, cultural context; proportionality, cultural context
- political theory
 concepts of democracy contrasted, 121–122
- Pound, Roscoe
 progressivism and balancing, 35–36, 38–39, 58–59
- principles of law
 convergence with rights, 34–35
- private law
 American *see* American private law
 civil law attributes in, 149
 formalism, 36, 149
 German *see* German private law
- Progressive movement
 balancing and anti-Langdellianism, 34–35, 38–41, 128–129
Freirechtsschule and, 36
- proportionality
 absence in American legal literature, 2–3

- proportionality (cont.)
 as administrative law principle, 5–6
 and American constitutional law, 4
 balancing compared: analytical similarities, 16–23; *Lüth* decision, 59–60; purpose and structure of book, 3–5
 common law and, 142, 148–150
 communitarian concept of state, 49–53
 conclusions as to, 153–158
 conflict management, 2, 6, 21, 49–53, 106–109
 control and: judicial system 97–100; overview, 94–95; vertical control and horizontal control contrasted, 95–96
 cultural context: concept of state, 49–53; conclusions as to, 154–155; impact of legal culture, 126–129
 culture of justification: concept of rights, 118–119; conclusions as to, 153, 155–156; deliberative and substantive concepts of democracy, 122; finality of judicial decisions, 121; interpretation of constitution, 120; origins, 122–126; overview, 7–8, 111–113; political theory, 121; removal of barriers to judicial review, 115–117; spread, 7–8
 discourse, 2–3
 effects of adoption: common-law influence, 148–150; conclusions as to, 156–157; doctrinal imperialism, 150–152; gains, 158; global legal community, 134–136; migration of methodology, 136–148; objections, 158
 embeddedness, 4–5
 epistemological optimism: conclusions as to, 155; interaction with proportionality, 92–94; overview, 90–91; and standards, 91–92
 formalism and, 5–6, 28–32
 as ‘German’ export, 136–148
 impact-based model: as feature, 2
 in legal literature, 2–3
 local and universal dimensions in relation to, 153–158
Lüth decision, 59–60
 natural law and, 28–32
 organic concept of state, 49–53
 origins: as administrative law principle, 5–6, 10–11; conclusions as to, 32; formalism, 5–6, 28–32, 59–60; in German law, 2, 24, 59–60; judicial review and, 26–28; natural law, 28–32; *Rechtsstaat*, 25–26, 28–29, 31–32, 51, 153–154
 predominance in constitutional literature, 2
Rechtsstaat and, 25–26, 28–29, 31–32, 51, 153–154
 reference point for determining, 94
 scope of study, 5, 153
 spread: conclusions as to, 153; ‘functional’ account, 104–111, 134–136; as ‘German’ export, 136–148; progression, 2–3, 10–14; resistance to, 14–16
 standard-based nature, 2
 strict sense, 2, 17–19, 66, 128
 subtests, 2, 17
 universal and local dimensions in relation to, 153–158
 universality, 4, 153
- rationalism
 epistemological optimism
 see proportionality, epistemological optimism
 in European legal and political culture, 90–91
 and finality of judicial decisions, 120–121
Rechtsstaat
 principle, 25–26
 proportionality and, 25–26, 28–29, 31–32, 51, 153–154

- Rechtswissenschaft* movement
 on creation of legal rules, 30
 epistemological optimism, 90–91
- rights
 concepts of, 118–119
 convergence with legal principles, 34–35
- Roberts, John
 on balancing, 7
 on constitutional rule making, 89–90, 98
 use of rule or standard, 100–101
- Romania
 adoption of proportionality, 12
- Rosenfeld, Michel
 on legal pluralism, 109–110
- Rubinfeld, Jed
 on balancing and free speech, 62, 70–71, 118
 on national basis of constitutional law, 136–137
- Rubinstein, Elyakim
 on internalization and realization of constitutional norms, 80
- rules and standards
 control and, 94–102
 epistemological optimism and, 87–90
 epistemological scepticism and, 82–90
 minimalist approach, 87–90
 rule-based constitutionalism, 149
 Savigny's rule-based concept of law (*Begriffsjurisprudenz*), 30, 90–91
 standard-based constitutionalism, 148–150
- Savigny, Friedrich Carl von
 legal metaphysics, 36
Rechtswissenschaft movement, 30
 rule-based concept of law (*Begriffsjurisprudenz*), 90–91
- Schauer, Frederick
 on development of legal thought, 105
 on rise of proportionality, 104
- Schlink, Bernhard
 on proportionality, 133–134, 148
- South Africa
 adoption of proportionality, 2–3, 13
- case citation in Israeli law, 147
 culture of justification, 7–8
 removal of barriers to judicial review, 117
- standards and rules *see* rules and standards
- state, concept of
 American constitutional law, 54–57
 balancing and, 57–58
 communitarian concept, 44–49
 German constitutional law, 44–49
 organic concept, 44–49
 proportionality and, 49–53
 suspicion-based concept, 54–57
- Stone, Harlan Fiske
 progressivism and balancing, 40
- strict sense of proportionality
see proportionality
- substantive concept of democracy
 culture of justification, 122
- suitability
 as proportionality subtest, 2, 17–20, 105–106, 128
- Sunstein, Cass
 on 'administrative law' reasoning, 130–131
 on balancing, 7, 68–69
 on high- and low-level disagreements, 107–108
 judicial minimalism, 87–89, 98
- Supreme Court
 control and, 100–102
- suspicion-based concept of state
 balancing and, 57–58
- Svarex, Carl-Gottlieb von
 theory of rights, 28–29
- Sweet, Alec Stone
 on constitutional courts and judicial review, 47–48
 on spread of proportionality, 10–11
- transplanted law *see* proportionality, effects of adoption; proportionality, spread
- UK
 adoption of proportionality, 11
 case citation in foreign law, 146–147

168

INDEX

UK (cont.)

culture of justification, 7–8

judicial review of parliamentary
proceedings, 93–94

universality

and constitutional law, 4

universal and local dimensions of
proportionality, 153–158

Warren, Earl

balancing and Warren Court,
41–43

Weber, Max

legal formalism, 30–32

World Trade Organization (WTO)

spread of proportionality,
12–13