Introduction 57

Access to justice and collective actions 60
The justice concepts envisioned by law enforcement 60
Access to justice as redress for harm 61

Harm in a competition law context 63
Harm determined by the protective scope of competition law 63
The stakeholders in competition law infringements 67

Relationships among the stakeholders 70
Multilayer interests among the stakeholders 70
Tensions among the multilayer interests 72

Collective actions in a competition law context 73
Reconciling tensions through collective actions 75
Alleviating tension through joinder procedures or test cases 75
Representative actions’ influence on tension among individual interests 76
A tension too big for class actions 78

The tension between individual interests and the public interest 81
Compensatory justice and the impediment of legal standing 81
The stakeholders and legal standing 82
Competitors 82
Direct purchasers 83
Indirect purchasers 84
Umbrella customers 84
CONTENTS

Deadweight loss customers 85
Creditors, shareholders, employees and suppliers 86
Collective actions and compensatory justice 86
What about deterrence? 87
Hybrid enforcement mechanisms 89
Conclusion 91

4 Private enforcement of directors’ duties: Derivative actions as a global phenomenon 93
MATHIAS M. SIEMS

Introduction 93
The problem of enforcing directors’ duties 94
Derivative actions in six countries 96
The United States, Japan and France: more similarities than differences? 97
The United Kingdom, China and Germany: do the new laws make a difference? 101
Discussion: convergence and legal families 105

Derivative actions in twenty-five countries 106
Methodology and dataset 107
The development of the law between 1995 and 2005 109
Legal families, complements and substitutes 111
Conclusion 115

PART II. Cross-continental perspectives on collective actions 117

5 From peasant to shareholder: Divergent paths of group litigation in Tokugawa Japan and England 119
SEAN MCGINTY

Introduction 119
Feudal origins: the cases of Martin and Usuha 122
The issues of group litigation 122
Martin’s case 123
The Usuha case 123
A common heritage? 125
| CONTENTS |
|------------------|------------------|
| New groups: joint stock companies and *kabunakama* | 127 |
| English joint stock companies | 128 |
| Kabunakama | 130 |
| Explaining the difference | 134 |
| The Tokugawa legal system | 134 |
| The nature of the *kabunakama* | 137 |
| Social and political context | 138 |
| The difference | 139 |
| Conclusion | 141 |
| 6 Reconciling multilayer interests in environmental law: Access to justice in environmental matters in the European Union and the United States | 143 |
| MONIKA HINTEREGGER |
| Access to justice as a means to reconcile multilayer interests in the protection of the environment | 143 |
| The public interest: protection of the environment as a public duty | 143 |
| The private interest: access to justice for individual persons | 143 |
| Reconciling individual and collective interests: access to justice for environmental organizations | 147 |
| Collective actions in the environmental law of the European Union | 148 |
| The Aarhus convention and its implementation in EU law | 148 |
| Aarhus convention | 148 |
| Implementation acts | 149 |
| Collective actions | 151 |
| The 2004 environmental liability directive | 153 |
| The availability of collective actions under U.S. law | 154 |
| The public trust doctrine and claims for natural resource damage | 154 |
| Citizen suits | 157 |
| Class actions | 160 |
| Comparison and conclusion | 161 |
| Outlook: collective action in environmental torts | 164 |
PART III. A need to enhance collective actions in Japan? 167

7 Recent problems of group rights protection for consumers in Japan 169

KUNIHIRO NAKATA

Introduction – localization of the problem 169

The actual state: the system for relief of consumer group damages 171

The debate about a group action system 173

Different types of collective claims 173
The ‘opt-out solution’ 174
The authorization for the representative plaintiff 175
The affected individual’s right to choose 176
Individuality of claims 176
The problem of money distribution 177
Payment for pain and suffering as a sanction 178

The opt-in solution 178
The basic structure of the opt-in solution 178
Problematic points 178

The two-step solution 179
The meaning of the basic type 179
Problematic points 179

The claim for skimming off unjust enrichment 180

Other problems – the structure surrounding qualified consumer organizations 180

Conclusion 181

8 Can collective actions be a solution to improve access to justice in Japan? Examination of measures to enhance the private enforcement of competition law in Japan 184

AKINORI UESUGI

Introduction 184

Importance of private enforcement of competition law 185
Evaluation of public enforcement in Japan 185
Public enforcement record of cartel regulations 185
Trends in public enforcement of cartel provisions 186
Amendment of the AMA in 1977 189
Sanctions on cartels 189
Evaluation of private enforcement in Japan 192
   General picture of private enforcement in Japan 192
   AMA Article 25 suits 192
   Article 709 civil code suits 194
   Injunction suits 194

Concentration of enforcement power in the JFTC and THC 195
   Why is the enforcement power concentrated in the JFTC and THC? 195
   Gradually reduced concentration of enforcement powers 197
   Changes to the previous systems 197
   Analysis 199
   Comparison with EU modernization reform 200

Relationship between the JFTC’s fact finding and the court’s fact finding 201
   Finding of facts in cases decided by recommendation decisions 201
   Recommendation decisions 202
   Consent decisions 204
   Changes to the recommendation decision system in 2005 and their meaning for private enforcement 204
   Increase in cases concluded after hearing proceedings 205
   Are current damage awards by courts sufficient to recover damages? 207
   Amendment of Article 84 and its meaning for private enforcement 209
   Possible impacts of eliminating the JFTC hearing proceedings on private enforcements in Japan 210
   Elimination of Article 70–15 and its possible effects on private enforcement in Japan 211
   The role of the JFTC in facilitating private damage suits 212
   Impacts on the right to litigate 212

Measures to encourage private enforcement of competition law in Japan 214
   Reasons for inactive private enforcement 214
      Situation 214
      Analysis 214
      Introduction of representative suits by qualified associations via the excessive premium and misrepresentation act violations 216

Conclusion 216
PART IV. Collective enforcement of company and securities law 219

9 Does more litigation mean more justice for shareholders? the case of derivative actions in Vietnam 221
QUYNH THUY QUACH

Introduction 221

Newly adopted litigation rights – more ways for oppressed shareholders to access justice 223

Poor enforcement and the gap between promises of law and reality 223

More litigation rights for shareholders – attempt by lawmakers to widen the way for shareholder access to justice 226

Derivative action – shareholders’ ‘weapon for others’ interests 229

Forgotten derivative suits in their origin country 229

Driving forces in the emergence of derivative suits in East Asia Countries 231

The case against more litigation in Vietnam 234

Insufficiencies of the court 234

Critical paucity of judges 234

Capacity of judges is insufficient 235

Court judgments are commonly unenforceable 235

Disfavour of litigation by shareholders 236

An immature legal profession and the hurdle of litigation costs 238

Small lawyer population 238

Limited litigation skills 239

Litigation costs poorly incentivize lawyers to initiate cases 239

Policy implications 241

Litigation as hardship route to justice for shareholders 241

Less costly mechanisms as possible alternatives for litigation 242

Strong regulator 242

Arbitrators 243

Conclusion 244

10 The United States Supreme Court and implied private cause of actions under SEC Rule 10b-5: The politics of class actions 245
ARTHUR R. PINTO
CONTENTS

Introduction 245

The development of an implied private cause of action under Rule 10b-5 248

The Supreme Court and Rule 10b-5 class actions 252
   The Burger Court (1969–1986) 254
   An important exception 258
   The Rehnquist Court (1986–2005) 260
   Congressional action 262
   The Roberts Court (2005–present) 265

Conclusion 270

PART V. Indirect purchasers and collective actions 273

11 Indirect purchaser suits under the class action fairness act: Reconciling multilayer interests in antitrust litigation 275
   WILLIAM H. PAGE

   Introduction 275

   Class certification in pre-CAFA indirect purchaser litigation 279

   Class certification in indirect purchaser litigation after CAFA 282

   The continuing failure of indirect purchaser suits 293

   Conclusion 298

12 Collective actions by indirect purchasers: Lessons from the Japanese oil cartel cases 299
   SIMON VANDE WALLE

   Introduction 299

   Relevance of Japan’s experience with indirect purchaser litigation 300

   Overview of the three oil cartel cases 302
      The kerosene cartel 303
      The consumers go to court 305
      The procedural mechanism: the Japanese class action 306
A bitter settlement in the first Tokyo case 308
Rejection by the Supreme Court in the two other cases 309
The merits of indirect purchaser litigation 312
Access to justice 312
Direct purchasers do not sue 313
The problems with indirect purchaser litigation 314
Costly and inefficient: ‘it’s just not worth it’ 314
Attorneys’ fees for plaintiffs exceeded the potential recovery 315
The total costs caused by the litigation exceeded the potential recovery 316
Lengthy and complex 318
Implications for indirect purchaser litigation in the EU 321
Indirect purchaser litigation is unlikely to be effective with an opt-in mechanism and traditional rules on causation and damages 321
Possible solutions 323
First option: an opt-out class action 324
Second option: no standing for indirect purchasers 326
Annex: translation of the Supreme Court Judgment in the Tsuruoka oil cartel case 327

PART VI. Recent developments in and future perspectives on collective actions 339
13 Collective enforcement: European prospects in light of the Swedish experience 341
ANNINA H. PERSSON
Introduction 341
Structure and purpose of this chapter 343
The Swedish group proceedings act 346
The National Board for consumer complaints 350
Group action at ARN 350
KO as representative for individual consumers 354
Evaluation of the Swedish group proceedings act 355
The Swedish view on the green paper on collective redress 357
xiv CONTENTS

Collective redress on its way? 359
Conclusion 362

14 Transnational class settlements: Lessons from Converium 364
BENOÎT ALLEMEERSCH

Introduction 364
The Dutch class settlement 366
The path leading to Converium 366
The Converium case 368
The international Converium settlement and the Dutch court ruling 368
The Dutch Courts’ arguments in favour of jurisdiction 369
The obligation to pay and the applicability of Article 5(1) 371
Forum Connexitatis 374
Is a forum clause the solution? 376
Converium, the aftermath 378
Access to justice 379
Multilayer interests 380
Conclusion 382

15 The impetus for class actions reform in England arising from the competition law sector 385
RACHAEL MULHERON

Introduction 385
The impetus for class actions reform in the competition law sector from a judicial and litigant perspective 387
Faltering attempts to use the representative rule 387
A representative statutory action, under the Competition Act 1998, is of limited utility 391
OFT-imposed fines do not lead to many subsequent private actions for compensation 394
The ‘missing’ competition law cases in England 396
The need for 'add-on' English classes to U.S. federal class actions for competition law infringements 398
Important commentary by the President of the English Competition Appeal Tribunal 399

The impetus for class actions reform in the competition law sector: an examination of the governmental position 400
The OFT's curtailed function 400
Political admissions that there is a problem, both in England and in the EU 401
The Civil Justice Council's recommendation and the Ministry of Justice's response 406

The certification hurdles for competition law collective actions 407
Conclusion 411

Index 413
Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02154-9 - Collective Actions: Enhancing Access to Justice and Reconciling Multilayer Interests?
Edited by Stefan Wrbka, Steven Van Uytse, and Mathias Siems
Table of Contents
More information
FIGURES

12.1 Distribution chain  page 304
12.2 Comparison amount of claim and plaintiffs’ attorneys’ fees  316
12.3 Comparison amount of claim and total litigation costs  317
### TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Financial risk of shareholder filing a derivative action</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Availability of derivative actions (min 0; max 1)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>English-speaking countries and the rest of the world</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Correlation between derivative actions and other variables</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>The number of cartel cases dealt with by the JFTC</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Enhancement of cartel regulation in Japan since FY1990</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Total amount of surcharges ordered in each year since FY1990</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Top ten companies in terms of the amount of surcharges (as of December 2011)</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Win-loss records for plaintiffs in Article 25 suits</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Injunction suits (Article 25 cases)</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Hearing cases</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Cases that went to hearing proceedings</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Investor Protection Index of Vietnam compared with other countries</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Statistics of members v. companies cases at Hanoi People Court, 2007–2009</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Indirect purchaser class certification I</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>Indirect purchaser class certification II</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>Proposed certification criteria for the CPR</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>