

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	page xii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xiv
<i>Table of statutes</i>	xvii
Introduction: beginning the story	1
The pervasive influence of the criminal process	4
The relevance of ‘theatre’	7
A story and some themes	9
Tensions and transient connections: the lighthouse’s beam	10
Theatrical distortion	10
(Political) liberalism	11
Moral sentiment and medical politics	11
<b>Part I Setting the scene</b>	
1 Courtrooms, ‘physic’ and drama	15
The ‘criminal process’ and the regulation of medicine before 1858	16
The gruesome history of dissection	20
No peaceful burial place	22
Body snatching	23
<i>Causes célèbres</i> in the twentieth century: faulty connections?	25
Bourne: a reluctant ‘rebel’?	26
Bodkin Adams: ‘deserved to hang 20 times over’	30
<i>R v. Arthur</i> : framing bioethical debate	33
2 Crime, doctors and the body (politic)	38
Medical ‘assaults’	40
Rhetoric not reality	41
Bodily integrity, autonomy, self-determination	42
The tort of battery and criminal assault – one and the same?	43
‘Proper medical treatment or care’	45
Not wholly or solely ours	52
Is my body mine?	52
Kidneys for sale	53
Organ retention	55
	ix

x	Contents	
	Appropriate consent	57
	Organ trafficking	61
3	From 'theatre' to the dock – via the mortuary	66
	Serious wrongs	71
	What makes wrongs 'serious'?	72
	'Medical manslaughter'	73
	Responsibility for medial error	75
	Gross negligence, recklessness and manslaughter	77
	Moral luck and a game of chance	79
	Harm short of death	80
	Serious but not 'wrong'	82
	Escaping from sanctity of life?	83
 <b>Part II Judges on the stage: case studies</b>		
4	Protecting life before birth?	95
	Not a unique dilemma?	96
	'Proper medical treatment'	99
	Protecting women: the power of the profession	101
	Protection of morals and society	105
	Protecting future children	106
	Decriminalising abortion: a case study from Canada	109
	Muddling through in England	114
	Abortion and sanctity of life	118
5	Medical (and non-medical) ending of life	124
	Criminal responsibility and the (ir)relevance of motivation	125
	Killing with compassion: motive's role in attenuating the strict legal response to assisted dying cases	126
	Intention, causation, the doctrine of double effect and the murky waters of the 'doctor's defence'	133
	Intention	133
	Causation	135
	Double effect and its application in the medical setting	135
	The slipperiness of the central premise(s) of the 'doctor's defence': does the DDE have a place in the criminal law?	137
	Does the DDE reflect medical practice at the end of life?	145
	Implications for the criminal law and bioethics	145
	The criminal law's differentiation between doctors and relatives: a 'benign conspiracy'?	148
	(Evading) the criminal law's role in cases of withdrawing life-sustaining treatment	152
	Charades and prosceniums: it's all an act, but to what end?	159
6	Which twin lives?	163
	Jodie and Mary (Gracie and Rosie)	164
	The heart of the matter: the criminal process	165
	Necessity and 'self-defence': a utilitarian calculus disguised	166

Contents	xi
Conflict of duty	167
Designated for death	168
‘A very unique case’?	168
The rejected ‘defences’	169
Immunity and double effect	169
‘Monstrous births’	172
Stillborn or a tumour?	174
Withdrawing life support	176

**Part III Bioethics and the criminal law: connecting performances?**

7 Drawing connections: moral philosophy, (political) liberalism, responsibility and the theatre of interpretation	181
Bioethics, moral philosophy and law	183
The criminal law, moral philosophy and introducing (political) liberalism	187
The ‘common morality’ and political liberalism	195
Responsibility in bioethics and criminal law	199
The theatre of interpretation	209
Moving from broader connections between bioethics and criminal law to more specific links	216
8 Parallels and disconnects: bioethical principles, principles of criminalisation and the rule of law	217
Principlism in bioethics	219
Principles of criminalisation	223
Parallels and disconnects between the ‘four principles’ in bioethics and principles of criminalisation	224
Nonmaleficence	224
Beneficence	230
Autonomy	237
(Liberal) justice	245
Connections between the four principles and the rule of law	247
Can principles of bioethics and the criminal law work together? Are they compatible?	250
Concluding thoughts: a story part told?	255
Theatrical distortions	257
Culture, medicine and public sentiment	259
Medicine framing the criminal process’ response: promoting a dialogue?	260
References	264
Index	284