Republic of Women

Rethinking the Republic of Letters in the Seventeenth Century

Republic of Women recaptures a lost chapter in the narrative of intellectual history. It tells the story of a transnational network of female scholars who were active members of the seventeenth-century republic of letters, and demonstrates that this intellectual commonwealth was a much more eclectic and diverse assemblage than has been assumed. These seven scholars – Anna Maria van Schurman, Princess Elisabeth of Bohemia, Marie de Gournay, Marie du Moulin, Dorothy Moore, Bathsua Makin, and Katherine Jones, Lady Ranelagh – were philosophers, schoolteachers, reformers, and mathematicians. They hailed from England, Ireland, Germany, France, and the Netherlands. And together with their male colleagues – men like Descartes, Huygens, Hartlib, and Montaigne – they represented the spectrum of contemporary approaches to science, faith, politics, and the advancement of learning. Carol Pal uses their collective biography to reconfigure the intellectual biography of early modern Europe, offering a new, expanded analysis of the seventeenth-century community of ideas.
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Translations
All translations from Latin, French, and Dutch sources, unless otherwise indicated, are my own. For translations from German, Greek, and Hebrew sources, I am grateful to Kristin Rebien, Karen Gover, and Claire Sufrin.

Transcriptions
Sources in English are cited in their original, unmodernized spelling. Exception is made in substituting “j” for “I,” “v” for “u,” and “the” for “ye.” I have also expanded early modern contractions; for instance, “wch” and “y’ Maty” are expanded to “which” and “Your Majesty.”

Sources in Print
Unless otherwise indicated, I cite from manuscript sources. However, some of this correspondence is now available in English translation. Joyce Irwin has published an excellent translation of a selection of Anna Maria van Schurman’s letters, along with her Dissertatio and some excerpts from Eukleria, in Anna Maria van Schurman, Whether a Christian Woman Should Be Educated: and other writings from her intellectual circle (Chicago, 1998); and much of Dorothy Moore’s correspondence has been published by Lynette Hunter in The Letters of Dorothy Moore, 1612–64: The Friendships, Marriage, and Intellectual Life of a Seventeenth-Century Woman (Aldershot, 2004). In those instances where printed English translations or transcriptions are available, this fact will be mentioned in the footnotes.

Abbreviations
BL British Library (London)
CSPD Calendar of State Papers, Domestic
CSPV Calendar of State Papers, Venetian
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## Definitions and conventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSPI</td>
<td>Calendar of State Papers for Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC</td>
<td>George Turnbull, Hartlib, Dury, and Comenius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>Historical Manuscripts Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB</td>
<td>Koninklijke Bibliotheek (The Hague)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODNB</td>
<td>Oxford Dictionary of National Biography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prologue

Around 1742, Lady Mary Wortley Montagu received a letter from Anna Maria van Schurman. Montagu was a well-known writer and scholar manqué, while van Schurman was a celebrated Dutch intellectual. Thus their correspondence could be considered a fairly unremarkable exchange between two learned women – but there was just one problem. Van Schurman, by this date, had been dead for over sixty years.

During her lifetime, van Schurman had been a scholarly celebrity – an intellectual prodigy, and the center of an international network of female scholars. Now, however, it seemed she had triumphed even over death. And the apparently indestructible van Schurman, pausing amid the joys of her Elysian intellectual circle, was still managing to find the time to correspond with other female scholars.

Montagu then returned the empyrean favor. She wrote back to say that she was flattered van Schurman would find her worthy of her time and attention, and she applauded the improvement in her correspondent’s posthumous literary style. Montagu also thought the departed scholar would like to know that there were now modern, politicized arguments to support women’s access to higher learning and participation in the republic of letters. Montagu was proud of her new century. But there were tradeoffs; and perhaps the seventeenth century had given female scholars something that Montagu could only dream of.

The dream was one of belonging to a female scholarly community. Thus Montagu concluded her letter to Heaven with a plaintive postscript:

I am so charmed by your coterie that if you promise to admit me immediately I shall throw myself into the Rhône to seek you, half through desire of seeing you, and half from boredom with all those whom I do see.¹

Van Schurman’s “coterie” had not been a coterie at all, but rather an international network of learned women; and, like van Schurman herself, it had ceased to exist. But Montagu clearly wanted more than what her century could offer her. The eighteenth century could offer her a level of inclusion in the abstract intellectual community known as the republic of letters. But what Montagu wanted as well was to be included in a community of female scholars – a Republic of Women.

Republic of Women tells the story of a multinational network of female scholars in the seventeenth century, and reinserts their forgotten history into the narrative of early modern intellectual culture. Together with their male colleagues, these women worked to help further the advancement of learning. Thus in documenting a vital, yet previously unexplored identity – that of the collegial female scholar – the book also documents a surprisingly inclusive, heterogeneous, and dually gendered republic of letters in the middle of the seventeenth century. It is there that this story begins.