

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-01683-5 - Hedge Fund Activism in Japan: The Limits of Shareholder Primacy

John Buchanan, Dominic Heesang Chai and Simon Deakin

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- ABN AMRO, 90–91
 ACGA, *see* Asian Corporate Governance Association
 criticism of corporate governance in Japan, 257–58
 activist hedge funds, 66–94
 as agents of change in corporate governance, 72–73
 definition, 67–68, 166
 distinct from corporate raiders, 68–69
 emergence, 4–5
 Europe, 86–94, 240
 financial attraction of Japanese market, 146–52
 impact of interventions on target firms, 199–205, 304–10
 impact on corporate governance in Japan, 5, 305–10
 large-cap strategists, 70
 litigation, 218–20, 268–73
 meaning of intervention, 67–68
 media coverage of interventions, 163, 177–78, 222, 237–38, 275–79, 287
 noisy activists, 68
 quiet activists, 68–9, 289–92
 returns from confrontational interventions, 205–09
 shareholder primacy investment approach, 6
 small-cap strategists, 69–70
 UK and US differences, 83–86
 United Kingdom, 79–86
 United States, 73–79, 240
 Aderans, 178–79, 180, 258, 277–78
 agency theory, 42–44, 45, 303
 Algebris, 92–93
 Alliance Trust, 81
 annual general meetings (AGMs)
 Japan, 119–20, 145–46
 Arlington Capital Investors, 69–70
 Asian Corporate Governance Association
 criticism of corporate governance in Japan, 257–58
 Atticus Capital, 89, 90
 auditors
 corporate auditors in Japan, 111–13
 Autobacs Seven, 269
 banks
 commercial banks as shareholders in Japan, 123, 139–41
 crisis in Japan, 140
 trust bank shareholders in Japan, 123–24
 BCCI bank collapse, 51
 board of directors, 24,
 see also directors; management
 accountability, 24
 codes of conduct, 51–53
 duties, 26
 Japanese attitude to external directors, 115–18, 146, 302–03
 United States, 52–53
 Brandes Investment Partners, 287
 British Aluminium takeover, 48–49
 British Land, 80–81, 82
 Brother, 179
 Bull-Dog Sauce, 174, 177, 178, 269
 ‘abusive acquirer’ judgment on Steel Partners, 224, 272–73
 background, 213
 litigation, 218–20, 263–64, 272
 public reaction to failed takeover by Steel Partners, 221–24
 Steel Partners’ tender offer, 213–24
 Cadbury code, 32, 33–34, 51–53
 Cadbury Schweppes, 81, 83
 CalPERS, 142–43

- Canon, 115, 138, 149, 155
- Children's Investment Fund, *see* TCI
- Chisso, 134
- Chūbu Electric, 182–83, 225
- Citizen, 179
- civil service, *see also* METI
reaction to activism in Japan, 223, 259–68
- Coloroll, 51
- community firm in Japan, 1, 3, 107–30
corporate auditors, 111–13
corporate governance reform
through modernisation of the firm, 312–13
development, 107–10
durability, 310–12
external directors, attitude to, 115–18, 146, 302–03
extortion issues, 133–36
internal focus, 110–18, 297–304
shareholders' role, 118–28
- company, *see also* community firm in Japan; joint stock company
definition, 27
Japan, 3
legal form, 21–26
meaning, 20
shareholder primacy vs community concept, 1
- company law
incompleteness, 26–32
Japan, 96–97
relationship with corporate governance, 20
- company with committees system, 113–15
- corporate auditors in Japan, 111–13
- corporate failures, 51
- corporate governance
activist hedge funds
as agents of change, 72–73
codes, 51–53
criticism by ACGA, 257–58
definitions, 33, 34–35, 316
emergence of debate in Japan, 32–33
Europe, 86–87
financial model of firm, 44–47
impact of activist hedge funds in Japan, 5, 305–10
institutions, 32–39
Japan, 110–28, 136–39, 297–304
Japan distinct from USA and UK, 313
Japanese debate on convergence
with global standards, 310–13
reform through modernisation of the community firm, 312–13
relationship with company law, 20
response to local markets, 313–22
shareholder primacy vs community concept of the company, 1
terminology, 32–35
UK and US differences, 84
- corporate personality, 22
- corporate raiders, 68–69
- corporate shareholders in Japan, 126–28, 139–41
- Corporate Value Study Group Reports, 260–65, 272
- CVSG Reports, *see* Corporate Value Study Group Reports
- Dai-ichi Hotel chain, 275
- Dalton, 172, 251, 269, 283–85
background, 183–84
Fujitec intervention, 186–85
interventions in Japan, 184–87
Nippon Fine Chemical intervention, 186–85
Sun Telephone intervention, 184–85
- data
documentary sources, 17
EDINET, 17, 18, 331–32
interviews, 15–16, 322–25
press reports, 16–17
quantitative, 17
Thomson Reuters, 12, 17, 167–67, 325–30
- Delaware, 50, 53, 180, 250, 272
- delegated management, 23–24
- Dengen Kaihatsu, *see* J-Power
- Deutsche Börse, 88–90
- directors, *see also* board of directors; management
communitarian ethos in Japan, 3
Japanese attitude to external, 115–18, 146, 302–03
- dividends
attitudes to, 123–24, 127, 219, 243–45, 255–57, 312
increase in, 148–50

- trend at targeted companies, 197, 204
- pressure from activists in USA to increase, 77–78
- documentary sources, 17
- Dodge & Cox, 258
- economy
 - collapse of Japanese bubble, 136–37, 139–40
 - Japanese system, 307–10
 - EDINET data, 17, 18, 331–32
 - efficient capital market hypothesis, 46–47
 - Effissimo Capital Management Pte. Ltd., 158
 - Electric Power Development Company, *see* J-Power
 - Electronic Disclosure for Investors' NETwork, *see* EDINET
 - employees
 - at Bull-Dog Sauce, 216
 - communitarian ethos in Japan, 3
 - Enron collapse, 58, 138
 - Euronext, 90
 - Europe
 - corporate governance, 86–87
 - hedge fund activism, 86–94
 - extortion
 - Japanese community firms' vulnerability, 133–36
 - financial crisis, 58–59, 92, 180
 - financial institutions
 - Japanese shareholdings, 123–26
 - focus fund, 70–71
 - foreign investors, *see* non-resident investors
 - Fuji Bank, 155
 - Fuji Sankei group, 135–36, 158
 - Fujitec, 186, 251
 - Generali, 92–93
 - Government Pension Investment Fund, *see* GPIF
 - GPIF, 126, 254, 311–12
 - Hanshin Electric Railway, 157
 - hedge funds, *see also* activist hedge funds
 - definition, 62–65
 - origins, 62–63
 - size of market, 65–66
 - Hermes UK Focus Fund, 70–71, 80
 - Hokuetsu, 263
 - Hoya, 285
 - Ichigo, 170, 172–73, 283–285
 - background, 187–88
 - Tokyo Kohtetsu intervention, 188–89
 - insider dealing, 158, 164
 - institutional shareholders, 47–48
 - activism, 71–72
 - Japan, 123–28, 139–41, 255–58
 - non-resident investors in Japan, 141–43, 145, 257–59
 - reaction to hedge fund interventions, 252–59
 - United States, 49
 - institutions
 - institutional norms and practice, 13
 - institutional perspective, 8–12
 - institutional theory, 1–2
 - insurance companies
 - indifference to shareholder value in Japan, 252–54
 - Japanese shareholdings, 124–25
 - interviews, 12–14
 - data, 15–16, 322–24
 - investor relations in Japan, 143–46, 246–49
 - investors, *see* institutional shareholders; non-resident investors in Japan; shareholders
 - Japan, *see also* community firm in Japan
 - aim of study of hedge fund activism, 6
 - annual general meetings, 119–20, 145–46
 - banking crisis, 140
 - collapse of economic bubble, 136–37, 139–40
 - commercial bank shareholdings, 123, 139–41
 - company law, 96–97
 - company with committees system, 113–15
 - corporate auditors, 111–13
 - corporate extortion, 133–36

- Japan (*cont.*)
- corporate governance, 110–28, 136–39, 297–304
 - corporate shareholdings, 126–28, 139–41
 - economic system, 307–310
 - emergence of corporate governance debate, 32–33
 - impact of activist hedge funds
 - on corporate governance, 5, 305–10
 - institutional shareholders, 123–28, 139–41, 255–57
 - insurance companies indifferent to shareholder value, 252–54
 - insurance company shareholdings, 124–25
 - investor relations, 143–46, 246–49
 - joint stock company, 96–107
 - media coverage of hedge fund activism, 163, 177–78, 222–23, 237–38, 275–78, 287
 - pension fund shareholdings, 125–26, 254–57, 311–12
 - shareholders, 3, 4, 118–28, 138, 145–46
 - trust bank shareholdings, 123–24
- Japan Radio, 157
- Japan Tobacco, 287–89, 293
- joint stock company, 1–2, 5–6
- evolution, 28–30
 - evolution in Japan, 98–107
 - Japan, 96–107
 - legal form, 21–26
- J-Power, 183–82
- allegations of misconduct, 234–35
 - background, 226
 - effect of TCI intervention, 238
 - media coverage of TCI intervention, 237, 277–79
 - METI's response to bid by TCI, 231–232, 233, 235, 266–68
 - TCI intervention, 225–38, 258–59
- Kabunushi Ombudsman, 122
- Kikkōman, 179, 251
- Knight Vinke Asset Management, 70
- Koito Seisakusho, 118, 128–30, 132, 136
- Kyōsan Electric, 251
- Laxey Partners, 69, 80–81, 82
- interventions in Europe, 91–92, 93
- Lichtenstein, Warren, 173–74, 177–78, 216
- limited liability for shareholders, 22–23
- listing rules, 24–25
- litigation
- activist hedge funds, 268–73
 - Livedoor and Nippon Broadcasting System, 270, 271, 272
 - SFP and Nireco, 269–70
 - Steel Partners and Bull-Dog Sauce, 218–20, 263–64
- Livedoor, 135–36, 158, 218–19
- litigation, 270, 271, 272
- London Stock Exchange (LSE), 88–90
- Long Term Credit Bank, *see* Shinsei Bank
- M&A Consulting, 154, *see also* Murakami Fund
- management, *see also* board of directors; directors
- anti-takeover defences by listed Japanese companies, 249–51, 300–02
 - attitudes to shareholders in Japan, 247–48, 299–300
 - autonomy, 5, 24, 29, 154, 210, 245, 257, 265–66, 272, 291, 293, 299, 300, 304, 309
 - communitarian ethos in Japan, 3
 - delegated, 23–24
 - impact of shareholder primacy, 53–55
 - legal dimension of function of, 30
 - reaction to hedge fund interventions, 240–51, 299–304
 - response to shareholder assertiveness in Japan, 241–42, 244–46
- Maxwell group, 51
- media coverage of hedge fund activism, 13, 16–17, 237–38
- Japan, 163, 177–78, 222–23, 275–78, 287
 - outside Japan, 278–79
- METI
- Guidelines on anti-takeover defences, 260–66

- reaction to hedge fund interventions, 222–23, 259
 - response to TCI's bid for J-Power, 231–32, 233, 235, 266–68
- Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, *see* METI
- Ministry of Justice, 114, 223, 260
- minority shareholders, 25, 48, 49
- Murakami Fund, 18, 154–65, 269, 283
 - fund assets, 156
 - Hanshin Electric Railway
 - intervention, 157
 - media coverage, 163
 - New Japan Radio tender offer, 157
 - returns from interventions, 158–62
 - shareholdings, 156–57
 - Shōei tender offer, 154–55
 - Tokyo Style intervention, 155–56
- Murakami Yoshiaki, 154
 - insider trading, 158, 164
 - philosophy, 162–65
- Myōjō Foods, 174, 176–77, 178

- National Express, 81
- NEC Electronics, 190–92
- Nemic Lambda, 266, 270
- New Japan Radio ('NJR'), 157
- Nippon Broadcasting System, 135–36, 158, 218–19
 - litigation, 270, 271
- Nippon Fine Chemical, 186
- Nireco, 269
 - litigation, 269–70
- Nisshinbō, 157, 179
- non-executive directors, 51
- non-resident investors in Japan, 122, 141–43, 145, 257–59
 - TCI and J-Power, 225–38
- Nōritz, 179
- novels portraying hedge fund activists, 275

- OECD Corporate Guidelines, 52
- Ōji Paper, 263
- overseas investors, *see* non-resident investors

- Penn Central bankruptcy, 51, 54
- Pension Fund Association, *see* PFA
- pension funds
 - as shareholders in Japan, 125–26, 254–57, 311–12
- Pentax, 285
- Perry, 172–73, 283–85
 - background, 190
 - NEC Electronics intervention, 190–92
- PFA, 121, 125–26, 254–55
- politicians, *see also* civil service; METI
 - reaction to hedge fund interventions, 222–23, 259
- Polly Peck, 51
- press reports, *see* media coverage
- pressure groups, 122
- public companies, 24–25

- qualitative analysis, 13
- quantitative analysis, 12
 - data, 17
- 'quiet' activism, 68–69, 289–92

- research methodology, 8–15
 - interviews, 12–14
 - multi-methods approach, 10, 14–15
 - qualitative analysis, 13
 - quantitative analysis, 12
- retail investors, 122
- Ripplewood, 275
- Royal Bank of Scotland, 91

- Sapporo, 179, 251
- Sarbanes Oxley Act 2002, 58
- SFP, 171, 269, 286, 290
 - litigation, 269–70
- shareholder primacy, 1, 50, 53
 - definition, 41–42
 - hedge fund activism, 6
 - impact on management, 53–55
 - limits, 313–22
 - reaction to, 56–59, 306–07
 - theory, 41–47
 - USA and UK, 2, 314
- shareholder value, 45–47, 50, 54–55, 58, 299, 302, 304, 320
 - Japan insurance companies' indifference to, 252–54
- shareholders, *see also* institutional shareholders
 - attitude to activism, 252–57

- shareholders (*cont.*)
- decline of stable shareholdings, 139–41
 - delegated management, 23–24
 - Japan, 3, 4, 118–28, 138, 145–46
 - Japanese commercial banks, 123, 139–41
 - Japanese corporate shareholders, 126–28, 139–41
 - Japanese insurance companies, 124–25
 - Japanese insurance companies reluctant to support activism, 252–54
 - Japanese management response to assertiveness by, 241–42, 244–46
 - Japanese pension funds, 125–26, 254–57, 311–12
 - Japanese trust banks, 123–24
 - limited liability, 22–23
 - management attitudes in Japan, 247, 299–300
 - non-resident investors in Japan, 141–43, 145, 257–59
 - ownership principle, 25–26
- shares
- free transferability, 24–25
- Shinsei Bank, 275
- Shōei
- Murakami tender offer, 154–55
- short-termism, 316–17
- Silchester, 169–73, 269, 287
- social values, 310
- Sogō Department Store, 275
- Sony, 113
- Sotoh, 175–76, 179, 246
- Sparx Asset Management, 143, 154, 285
- stakeholder theory, 56
- stakeholders, 2
- interests as defence against interventions, 249
 - legal dimension, 31
- Steel Partners, 169, 172–73, 251, 269, 283–85
- ‘abusive acquirer’ judgment, 218–20, 224, 272–73
 - Aderans intervention, 179–78, 180, 258
 - background, 173–74
 - Bull-Dog Sauce intervention, 177, 213–24
 - Bull-Dog Sauce litigation, 218–20, 263–64
 - Japanese interventions, 174–80
 - Myōjō Foods intervention, 176–77
 - public reaction to failed bid for Bull-Dog Sauce, 221–24
 - Sapporo intervention, 179
 - Sotoh intervention, 175–76
 - Sun Telephone, 184–85, 269
 - Symphony Financial Partners, *see* SFP
- T. Boone Pickens, 118, 128–30, 132
- Taiyo Fund Management, 285
- Takeover Code, 49
- takeovers, 48–50
- ‘abusive acquirer’ terminology, 218–20
 - anti-takeover defences by listed Japanese companies, 249–51, 300–02
 - guidance on anti-takeover defences, 260–66, 271–72
 - hostile, 48–49, 50
 - Steel Partners and Bull-Dog Sauce, 213–24
 - UK and US differences, 84–85
 - US regulations, 49–50
- TCI (The Children’s Investment Fund), 70, 93, 172–73, 283–85
- background, 180–82
 - Chūbu Electric intervention, 182–83, 225
 - interventions in Europe, 88–91
 - Japan Tobacco intervention, 287–89
 - J-Power intervention, 183, 225–38, 258–59
 - media coverage of J-Power intervention, 237–38, 277, 278–79
 - METI’s response to bid for J-Power, 231–32, 233, 235, 266–68
- team production theory, 56
- Thomson Reuters data, 12, 17, 167, 325–30
- Tokyo Kohtetsu, 188–89
- Tokyo Style, 155–56, 269

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-01683-5 - Hedge Fund Activism in Japan: The Limits of Shareholder Primacy

John Buchanan, Dominic Heesang Chai and Simon Deakin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

377

- Tower Investment, 154, 168–69, 170, 285
- Toyota, 115, 138
- trust banks
 - Japanese shareholdings, 123–24
- Turnbull report, 52
- UK Corporate Governance Code, 52, 58
- United Kingdom
 - activist approach different from USA, 83–86
 - activist hedge funds, 79–86
 - corporate governance different from USA, 84
 - joint stock company and shareholder primacy, 2
 - takeover regime different from USA, 84–85
- United States
 - activist approach different from UK, 83–86
 - activist hedge funds, 73–79, 240
 - board of directors, 52–53
 - corporate governance different from UK, 84
 - joint stock company and shareholder primacy, 2
 - takeover regime different from UK, 84–85
 - takeover regulations, 49–50
- value funds, 68
- Wyevale Garden Centres, 81, 82
- Yushiro, 175, 179, 246