

The Linguistic Typology of Templates

This book represents the first comprehensive examination of templatic constructions – i.e., linguistic structures involving unexpected linear stipulation – in both morphology and syntax from a typological perspective. It provides a state-of-the-art overview of the previous literature, develops a new typology for categorizing templatic constructions across grammatical domains, and examines their cross-linguistic variation by employing cutting-edge computational methods. It will be of interest to descriptive linguists seeking to gain a better sense of the diversity of the world's templatic constructions, theoretical linguists developing restrictive models of possible templates, and typologists interested in the attested range of patterns of linear stipulation and the application of new kinds of multivariate methods to cross-linguistic data. The new typological framework is illustrated in detail via a number of case studies involving languages of Africa, Europe, Asia, and the Americas, and numerous other templatic constructions are also considered over the course of the book.

JEFF GOOD is Associate Professor of Linguistics at the University at Buffalo. He is currently co-editor of *Language Dynamics and Change* and co-editor-in-chief of *Linguistics Vanguard*.





The Linguistic Typology of Templates

Jeff Good





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107015029

© Jeff Good 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Good, Jeff, author.

The linguistic typology of templates / Jeff Good.

pages cm

ISBN 978-1-107-01502-9 (hardback)

1. Linguistic change. 2. Typology (Linguistics) 3. Linguistic analysis (Linguistics)

I. Title.

P204.G66 2016

415.01-dc23

2015019143

ISBN 978-1-107-01502-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of figures		<i>page</i> vii	
Lis	st of to	ubles	X
Ac	knowl	ledgments	xii
Gl	ossing	gabbreviations	xiv
1	Defi	ning template	1
	1.1	Templates: often invoked, but undertheorized	1
	1.2	Theoretical context of this study	4
	1.3	Templates as unexpected linearity	6
	1.4	An initial survey of template types	7
	1.5	Templates: a twice incoherent class of phenomena	22
	1.6	Wastebaskets as a tool for theory refinement	27
	1.7	Templates as analyses not "grammar"	31
	1.8	Previous work on template typology	34
	1.9	Typologizing templates	38
2	A typological description language for templates		40
	2.1	The language of linear stipulation	40
	2.2	Overview of the classificatory scheme	46
	2.3	Components	53
	2.4	Desmemes	65
	2.5	Additional templatic complexities	87
	2.6	Typed feature structures and templatic descriptions	92
	2.7	The nature of typologization in the present study	97
	2.8	What kind of typological results might we expect?	98
	2.9	Implementing the description language in a database	100
3	Typologizing templates: case studies		104
	3.1	Introduction to the case studies	104
	3.2	Turkish stems	106
	3.3	Chintang prefixes	109
	3.4	Nimboran verbs	117

V



vi	(Contents	
	3.5	Four templates found in Bantu verb stems	130
	3.6	Chechen Preverbal 'a	168
	3.7	Serbo-Croatian <i>je</i>	184
	3.8	Serbo-Croatian topicalization	190
	3.9	Aghem clauses	191
	3.10	Mande clauses	197
	3.11	Meskwaki clauses	203
	3.12	German clauses	207
	3.13	Two non-templatic desmemes in English	211
	3.14	Summary of the case studies	214
4	Туро	logizing templates: comparison	216
	4.1	Comparing templatic descriptions	216
	4.2	From graphs to a similarity metric	220
	4.3	Detecting similar subparts of templates	233
	4.4	Exploiting the database to detect typological universals	251
	4.5	The feasibility of graph-based typology	257
5	Movi	ng forward	259
	5.1	Next steps in the study of templatic constructions	259
	5.2	Extending the present study	259
	5.3	Explaining templates	266
Ap	pendix	Specification of template description language	272
Re	ference	28	283
•	thor in		310
La	Language index		
	Term index		316 318



Figures

2.1	Overview of description language for templatic	
	constructions	page 49
2.2	Overview of description language for components of	
	templates	50
2.3	German vc component	61
2.4	German mf component	61
2.5	Graph representation of the German mf component	61
2.6	Bantu Applicative component	64
2.7	Graph representation of the Bantu Applicative component	64
2.8	Schematization of a span foundation	77
2.9	Schematization of an arch foundation	77
2.10	Bantu Causative–Applicative template	81
2.11	Graph representation of the Bantu Causative-Applicative	
	template	83
2.12	Schematization of the Bantu Causative-Applicative	
	template	84
2.13	Serbo-Croatian topicalization template	85
2.14	Graph representation of the Serbo-Croatian topicalization	
	template	86
2.15	Schematization of the Serbo-Croatian topicalization	
	template	87
3.1	Turkish disyllabic minimal size restriction template for	
	nouns	108
3.2	Turkish disyllabic minimal size restriction template for	
	verbs	109
3.3	Chintang verbal prefix template	115
3.4	A graph representation of the Chintang verbal prefix	
	template	116
3.5	Schematization of the Chintang verbal prefix template	117
3.6	Schematization of the Nimboran verbal system following	
	Inkelas (1993)	119
3.7	Nimboran verb template	127
		vii



VIII	List of figures	
3.8	Nimboran verb template restkomponenten	128
3.9	Schematization of the Nimboran verb template	129
3.10	Bantu Causative–Transitive template	137
3.11	Bantu Transitive final-positioning template	138
3.12	Schematization of the Bantu Causative-Transitive	
	template	139
3.13	Bantu Causative–Applicative template	145
3.14	Ndebele variant of Causative–Applicative template	149
3.15	Chichewa Applicative–Reciprocal template	153
3.16	Tiene derived verb stem prosodic template	158
3.17	Tiene verb stem segmental template	161
3.18	Schematization of the two Tiene templates	162
3.19	Chechen preverbal 'a template	182
3.20	Schematization of the Chechen Preverbal 'a template	184
3.21	Serbo-Croatian second-position clitic template	189
3.22	Schematization of the Serbo-Croatian second-position clitic	
	template	190
3.23	Serbo-Croatian topicalization template	192
3.24	Schematization of the Serbo-Croatian topicalization	
	template	192
3.25	Aghem clausal template	198
3.26	Schematization of the Aghem clausal template	199
3.27	Mande clausal template	201
3.28	Schematization of the Mande clausal template	202
3.29	Meskwaki clausal structure following Dahlstrom	
	(1993: 13)	203
3.30	Meskwaki clausal template	206
3.31	Schematization of the Meskwaki clausal template	207
3.32	German clausal template	209
3.33	Schematization of the German clausal template	211
3.34	English plural suffix as a desmeme	213
3.35	English verb phrase as a desmeme	214
4.1	German clausal template	218
4.2	Turkish disyllabic minimal size restriction template for	
	nouns	219
4.3	A graph representation of the Tiene derived verb stem	
	prosodic template	222
4.4	Schematic graphs for illustrating simUI graph comparison	
	method	224
4.5	Split graph for similarity among templates, including	
	components	229



	List of figures	ix
4.6	Split graph for similarity among templates, excluding	
	components	232
4.7	First schematic graph to illustrate pairwise connectivity	235
4.8	Second schematic graph to illustrate pairwise connectivity	235
4.9	Pairwise connectivity graph for the graphs in Figures 4.7	
	and 4.8	237
4.10	Transformation of the pairwise connectivity graph in	
	Figure 4.9 for flooding	239
4.11	The Chechen template in Figure 3.19 as a graph	245
4.12	The Mande template in Figure 3.27 as a graph	246
4.13	The Aghem template in Figure 3.25 as a graph	248



Tables

1.1	A pan-Athabaskan verbai tempiate (Hoijer 1971: 125)	page 2
1.2	A Japanese nickname template (Poser 1990)	2
1.3	CV templates in Sierra Miwok (Freeland 1951: 94)	10
1.4	German topological fields (Kathol 2000: 78)	18
1.5	Layered vs. templatic morphology adapted from Simpson &	
	Withgott (1986: 156)	36
2.1	Causative verb forms in Tiene (Hyman 2010a: 147–148)	42
2.2	German topological fields (Kathol 2000: 78)	60
2.3	CV templates in Sierra Miwok (Freeland 1951: 94)	67
2.4	Causative verb forms in Tiene (Hyman 2010a: 147–148)	67
2.5	Turkish stem minimality and ineffability	70
2.6	Ndebele stem minimality and insertion repair	72
3.1	Turkish stem minimality	107
3.2	Causative verb forms in Tiene	155
3.3	Applicative verb forms in Tiene	156
3.4	Stative verb forms in Tiene	156
3.5	Alternation in the realization of the Chechen Copy Infinitive	
	(Conathan & Good 2001: 54)	176
4.1	Pairwise distances among examined templates, including	
	component nodes	226
4.2	Pairwise distances among examined templates, excluding	
	component nodes	231
4.3	Application of four iterations of similarity flooding	
	procedure	241
4.4	Similarity scores for the Chechen (Figure 4.11) and Mande	
	(Figure 4.12) templates	244
4.5	Similarity scores for the Aghem (Figure 4.13) and Mande	
	(Figure 4.12) templates	249
4.6	Extraction of select features across the templates in the	
	database	252

X



	List of tables	xi
4.7	Predictability scores across different templatic features	254
4.8	Predictability scores across different templatic features	
	without potential artifacts	255
A.1	Conventions used to describe the database ontology	272



Acknowledgments

The ideas leading up to this work have been developing for well over a decade, making it difficult to properly acknowledge the full range of individuals and funding sources that have made it possible. Its origins lie in my 2003 University of California, Berkeley, Ph.D. dissertation, "Strong linearity: Three case studies towards a theory of morphosyntactic templatic constructions," where I first attempted to grapple with the issues raised by devising a typology of templatic constructions that was not limited to morphological domains. Therefore, all who were acknowledged in that work deserve acknowledgment here as well, and, rather than repeat that list, I will simply single out my committee members, Larry Hyman, Johanna Nichols, and Andrew Garrett. The influence of each can be felt here in a wide range of ways.

The specific direction this book has taken was profoundly impacted by my time in the Department of Linguistics at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig. It was there that I was able to become familiar with cutting-edge developments in linguistic typology, and I would like to single out, in particular, Balthasar Bickel (then based at the University of Leipzig), Michael Cysouw, and Martin Haspelmath for discussions which greatly informed the approach adopted here. Bernard Comrie also deserves special thanks for his role in creating such a congenial department, where new ideas about typology could be nurtured and more fully developed. During part of this same period, I also worked for the Rosetta Project (run by the Long Now Foundation) on efforts to migrate its database from a table-based format to an RDF one, and many of the skills I obtained in doing that work were put to direct use in developing the database discussed in the pages below. Those activities were funded by US National Science Foundation Award no. 0333727.

In addition, aspects of this research were developed during two graduate seminars at the University at Buffalo, and I received useful feedback from talks presented at various venues, especially at the Association for Linguistic Typology conferences in Berkeley in 2009 and Leipzig in 2013. Additional useful

xii



Acknowledgments

xiii

feedback has come from Sebastian Nordhoff as well as a number of anonymous reviewers. The majority of the text of this book was written during a sabbatical leave sponsored by the University at Buffalo in 2013. Finally, I am not sure this book ever would have seen the light of day without the encouragement of Helen Barton at Cambridge University Press.



Glossing abbreviations

Language and family names are included for glossing categories whose interpretation is especially language dependent. Where possible, glossing conventions follow the Leipzig Glossing Rules (Bickel et al. 2008).

& preverbal conjunctive enclitic (Chechen)

> (person) on (person) 1–10 (not followed by s/p) noun class (Bantu) 1, 2, 3 (followed by s/p) first, second, third person

3' third person obviative (Meskwaki)

A agent (Mohawk) actor (Chintang)

"A" nominal form (Aghem)

ACC accusative ADV adverbial suffix

AOR aorist
APPL applicative
AUX auxiliary verb

B gender category (Chechen)

"B" nominal form (Aghem)

CAUS causative CLF classifier (Ahtna)

CVANT anterior converb (Chechen)
CVSIM simultaneous converb (Chechen)
CVTEMP temporal converb (Chechen)
D gender category (Chechen)

conditional

DAT dative

dative case diminutive

DIM diminutive DPST distant past

xiv

COND



Glossing abbreviations

ΧV

dummy subject (Aghem) DS

DU dual

dualic (Mohawk) DUALIC

durative DUR

DX deictic prefix (Chechen)

ergative ERG feminine FEM FOC focus marker FUT future

final vowel (Bantu) FV

genitive GEN inanimate INAN INCL inclusive

independent conjugation (Meskwaki) **INDP**

infinitive INF imperfective **IPFV**

irrealis converb (Chechen) IRR

ITER iterative

gender category (Chechen) T lative case (Chechen) LAT

locative LOC masculine

negative NEG

NEG1 negative affix (Ahtna) NEG2 negative affix (Ahtna) NEG3 negative affix (Ahtna) nominative case NOM non-singular NS

nominalizer second object (Meskwaki) 02

object OBJ obviative OBV OPT optative

NZ

PCL proclitic (Meskwaki)

PFV perfective prefix PFX

predicate marker (Mande) PM

POSS possessive POSTP postposition progressive **PROG** PRS present particle PRT



xvi Glossing abbreviations

PST past
PTCP participle
QUAL qualifier (Ahtna)
RECP reciprocal
REFL reflexive
RPT repetitive

RR relative root (Meskwaki) S "S" perfective-negative (Ahtna)

SBJ subject

SM subject marker (Aghem)

SONG defective root with form song (Kinande)

STAT stative

THM thematic prefix (Ahtna)
TRANS transitive (Bantu)

V gender category (Chechen)
VSF1 verbal suffix (Ahtna)
VSF2 verbal suffix (Ahtna)
WP witnessed past (Chechen)

YI stabilizing prefix with form *yi* (Ndebele)