

International Drug Control

There remains substantial agreement among the international community on many aspects of the contemporary United Nations drug control regime. However, diverging views on the non-medical and nonscientific use of a range of controlled substances make drug policy an increasingly contested and transitionary field of multinational cooperation. Employing a fine-grained and interdisciplinary approach, this book provides the first integrated analysis of the sources, manifestations and sometimes paradoxical implications of this divergence. The author develops an original explanatory framework through which to understand better the dynamic and tense intersection between policy shifts at varying levels of governance and the regime's core prohibitive norm. Highlighting the centrality of the harm reduction approach and tolerant cannabis policies to an ongoing process of regime transformation, this book examines the efforts of those actors seeking to defend the existing international control framework and explores rationales and scenarios that may lead to the international community moving beyond it.

DAVID R. BEWLEY-TAYLOR is a senior lecturer in the Department of Political and Cultural Studies at Swansea University. He is the author of *The United States and International Drug Control*, 1909–1997 (1999).



International Drug Control

Consensus Fractured

David R. Bewley-Taylor

Swansea University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107014978

© David R. Bewley-Taylor 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Bewley-Taylor, David R., 1968-

International drug control: consensus fractured / David R. Bewley-Taylor.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01497-8 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-64128-0 (paperback)

1. Drug control-International cooperation. I. Title.

HV5801.B47 2012

363.45-dc23

2012000290

ISBN 978-1-107-01497-8 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-64128-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



This book is dedicated to the memory of Jan van der Taas, 1928–2009



Contents

	List of figures and maps	page ix
	List of tables and boxes	X
	Preface and acknowledgements	xi
	List of abbreviations	xiv
1	Introduction	1
	The global drug prohibition regime	3
	On the right path? Differential metrics of success	11
	Approaches and key themes	18
	Plan of the book	33
2	Soft defection and the domestic normalization of harm	
	reduction	36
	The mechanics of soft defection: harm reduction via glitches	
	in the system	44
	The domestic normalization of harm reduction	53
	'Condemned to pragmatism': the emergence of harm	
	reduction as a core component of EU drug policy	80
	Concluding discussion	93
3	Harm reduction at the UN: member state	
	tension and systemic dissonance	100
	Harm reduction at the CND, 1998-2009: schisms,	
	science and suasion	102
	The dynamics of dissonance: regime (in)stability	127
	Concluding discussion	148
4	Cannabis, soft defection and regime weakening	152
	Cannabis within the conventions: composite classification	154
	First and second waves of soft defection	157
	Commonalities underpinning soft defection	190
	The issue of cannabis at the CND, 1998–2009	197
	Concluding discussion	213
5	Defending the regime: the International	
	Narcotics Control Board	219
	The INCB and its place within the international drug	
	control system	220
		vii



viii	Contents	
	Defence via the Annual Report	224
	Defence by other means: missions, letters and misuse	
	of expert roles	250
	Coca and the conventions: the hardening of the	
	INCB's prohibitionist stance	255
	Explaining INCB behaviour during the UNGASS decade	266
	Concluding discussion	276
6	Beyond regime weakening? Lessons from the	
	UNGASS decade	279
	Rationales for regime modernization	282
	Reformist debate and political pragmatism	304
	Negotiating the obstacles to a change of regime	310
	Harnessing like-mindedness	316
	Concluding discussion	332
	Index	337



Figures and maps

T::		
rı	प्रा	re

1.1	The constituent elements of the transformative process	page 21
Ma	ps	
2.1	Soft defection: harm reduction hotspots c.2009	64
4.1	Soft defection: cannabis <i>c</i> .2009	163



Tables and boxes

Tables

2.1	Soft defection: harm reduction interventions	
	and treaty participation, c. March 2009	page 55
3.1	HIV/AIDS-related CND resolutions, 1998–2009	119
4.1	Soft defection: cannabis and treaty participation,	
	c. March 2009	164
4.2	CND cannabis resolutions, 1998–2009	198
Box	K	
2.1	Core harm reduction interventions and	
	the evidence for their effectiveness	41

X



Preface and acknowledgements

'Oh, you poor thing' has been the usual response of my colleagues to the news that I was going to spend another week observing events at the annual Commission on Narcotic Drugs in Vienna. It is true that the apparently endless discussions of the placement of a comma or deliberation over whether to include the words 'Calls upon' or 'Urges' within an operative paragraph of a resolution can often be enough to induce coma. However, having studied various aspects of international drug control for nearly two decades I have become intrigued with the operation of this little-known Commission and what it reveals about the increasingly fluid field of drug policy; an area of public policy that one way or another touches all of our lives. Indeed, after my first direct experience of events at the Vienna International Centre in 2004, I realized that as an observer at the back of either the Commission's Committee of the Whole or its Plenary sessions, I was witnessing a change in not only the nature of the issues being discussed but also in political dynamics and the intensity of the discussions. While this was the case, I struggled to understand what this meant and how all the moving parts fitted together. This book is the result of that struggle. And during what has been a longer and more painful process than I could have imagined I have accrued a variety of debts of gratitude to many people.

The content of the pages that follow has been informed and hopefully nuanced by information, insights and practical perspectives of over fifty formal interviewees. These comprise a range of parliamentarians, government, European Commission and United Nations officials, senior representatives of non-governmental organizations and fellow academics (in post and retired), in Washington DC, New York, Ottawa, London, Brussels, Amsterdam, Bern, Canberra, Sydney, Melbourne, Vienna and Tokyo. Thanks go to all those who generously gave their time to be interviewed and to the many others who were willing to discuss a myriad of related issues in a less-structured fashion.

хi



xii Preface and acknowledgements

As with most endeavours of this type, much of the research herein builds on the work of colleagues within the field. On this point, I would especially like to thank Catherine Cook and colleagues at Harm Reduction International (formerly the International Harm Reduction Association) whose *Global State of Harm Reduction 2008* was invaluable for Chapter 2. Likewise, gratitude goes to Robin Room and colleagues for graciously allowing me to draw on sections of *Cannabis Policy: Moving Beyond Stalemate*. Indeed, the output of the Beckley Foundation Global Cannabis Commission provided particular organizational inspiration and source material for Chapter 4. Special thanks also go to Ethan Nadelmann for not only recognizing the existence of what he called the Global Drug Prohibition Regime in 1990 but also for then choosing not to explore the concept any further.

During various stages of this project, I have benefitted enormously from the advice and expertise of a number of people who generously read, discussed with me or clarified specific aspects of this work. In particular, I am immensely grateful to Thanasis Apostolou, Damon Barrett, Tom Blickman, John Carnevale, Alan Collins, Alison Crocket, Victor Everhardt, Cindy Fazey, Christopher Hallam, Alison Jamieson, Martin Jelsma, Simon Lenton, Harry Levine, Don McPherson, Pien Metaal, Craig Reinarman, Volker Roeben, Gabor Somogyi, Mike Trace, Coletta Youngers and Garzia Zuffa. Thanks are also due to John Haslam (surely the world's most supportive commissioning editor) and Carrie Parkinson at Cambridge University Press, and the two anonymous reviewers for their perceptive comments and encouragement.

My access to the Commission over the years has been made possible through my involvement with a number of civil society organizations with which I have collaborated in the production of various UN-related policy reports. Particular thanks in this respect go to Ann Fordham and Marie Nougier of the International Drug Policy Consortium. I would also like to thank Ernie Drucker for his introduction to and the staff of the Australian Health Policy Institute at the University of Sydney for providing me with a research home during my time in Australia in 2006. For providing a home of a more traditional variety during my travels, thanks go to Mike and Francis McDonnell in Sydney and the Eachus family in Washington DC. For support with the more technical aspects of word processing and cartography, I am indebted to Branwen Lloyd and Giles Pattison.

This book has been made possible thanks to the generous financial support I received from the Leverhulme Trust and the J. Paul Getty Jr. Charitable Trust. Particular thanks go to the trustees, Peter Miller and Samantha Gross of the latter for their patience and belief during the



Preface and acknowledgements

xiii

glacially slow completion of this work. The conclusions herein do not necessarily represent the positions of either of these funding bodies.

My deepest gratitude, however, is reserved for Cath, Fynn and Poppy (and still Jordan). Your support, encouragement and love make it all worthwhile.

Thanks.



Abbreviations

ACC UN Administrative Committee on Coordination

ACT Australian Capital Territory

AIDS acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

ATS amphetamine-type stimulants

CELAD European Committee to Combat Drugs

CEN cannabis expiation notice

CHALN Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network CHP controlled heroin prescription

CICAD OAS Inter-American Drug Abuse Control

Commission

CIN cannabis infringement notice COW Committee of the Whole DCR drug consumption room

DEA Drug Enforcement Administration
DFAF Drug Free America Foundation
DIN drug infringement notice

DIN drug infringement notice EC European Commission

ECDD WHO Expert Committee on Drug Dependence

ECOSOC Economic and Social Council

EMCDDA European Monitoring Centre on Drugs and Drug

Addiction

ENCOD European Coalition for Just and Effective Drug

Policies

EU European Union

FCTC WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control

FDA Food and Drug Administration

GATT General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

GDPR Global Drug Prohibition Regime

GRULAC Group of Latin American and Caribbean Countries

GTZ Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische

Zusammenarbeit

xiv



Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-01497-8 — International Drug Control:

978-1-107-01497-8 — International Drug Control: Consensus Fractured

David R. Bewley-Taylor

Frontmatter

More Information

List of abbreviations

xv

HDG Horizontal Drugs Group HIV human immunodeficiency virus HLS (CND) High Level Segment

HR2 Harm Reduction and Human Rights Monitoring and

Policy Analysis Programme (IHRA)

HRW Human Rights Watch

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and

Cultural Rights

IDPC International Drug Policy Consortium

IDU intravenous drug use/user

IHRA International Harm Reduction Association INCB International Narcotics Control Board

IND Investigational New Drug compassionate access

program

LAS Legal Affairs Section, UNDCP

LMG like-minded group

MMAR Medical Marijuana Access Regulations

MMT methadone maintenance therapy

MMU medical marijuana use

NAOMI North American Opiate Medication Initiative

NGO non-governmental organization
NIDA National Institute on Drug Abuse
NSP needle and syringe programme
NYPD New York Police Department
OAS Organization of American States

ONDCP Office of National Drug Control Policy

OST opioid substitution therapy

PCB UNAIDS Programme Coordinating Board

PCOB Permanent Central Opium Board PSA WHO Programme on Substance Abuse

SCON simple cannabis offence notice

SEA Single European Act

SWAP UN System Wide Action Plan on Drug Abuse Control

THC tetrahydrocannabinol
TNI Transnational Institute
UAE United Arab Emirates

UN United Nations

UNAIDS Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
UNDP United Nations Development Programme
UNDCP United Nations International Drug Control

Programme



xvi List of abbreviations

UNGA United Nations General Assembly

UNGASS United Nations General Assembly Special Session

UNODC United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime USAID US Agency for International Development

VIC Vienna International Centre WHO World Health Organization