

# EVOLUTION IN INVESTMENT TREATY LAW AND ARBITRATION

International investment law is in a state of evolution. With the advent of investor–State arbitration in the latter part of the twentieth century – and its exponential growth over the last decade – new levels of complexity, uncertainty and substantive expansion are emerging. States continue to enter into investment treaties, and the number of investor–State arbitration claims continues to rise. At the same time, the various participants in investment treaty arbitration are faced with increasingly difficult issues concerning the fundamental character of the investment treaty regime, the role of the actors in international investment law, the new significance of procedure in the settlement of disputes, and the emergence of crosscutting issues. Bringing together established scholars and practitioners, as well as members of a new generation of international investment lawyers, this volume examines these developments and provides a balanced assessment of the challenges being faced in the field.

CHESTER BROWN is Associate Professor at the Faculty of Law, University of Sydney and a barrister. He is also a door tenant at Essex Court Chambers, London, and Maxwell Chambers, Singapore. He has previously worked as Assistant Legal Adviser at the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London, and as Senior Associate in the International Law and International Arbitration Group of Clifford Chance LLP, London.

KATE MILES is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Law, University of Sydney. She currently serves on the International Law Association's New Study Group on the Role of Soft Law Instruments in International Investment Law. She is also a Research Fellow of the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law, Montreal, and co-ordinates the International Investment Law Network for the Society of International Economic Law.





# EVOLUTION IN INVESTMENT TREATY LAW AND ARBITRATION

CHESTER BROWN and KATE MILES





# CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107014688

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Evolution in investment treaty law and arbitration / [edited by] Chester Brown and Kate Miles.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-1-107-01468-8 (Hardback)

- 1. Investments, Foreign-Law and legislation. 2. Arbitration and award, International.
  - 3. Commercial treaties. I. Brown, Chester, 1972- II. Miles, Kate, 1971-

K3830.E96 2011

346.'092-dc23

2011018536

ISBN 978-1-107-01468-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



# **CONTENTS**

Notes on contributors	ix
Editors' preface and ac	knowledgements xvii
Table of cases xix	
Table of treaties xx	xxiii

# PART I Introduction 1

1 Introduction: Evolution in investment treaty law and arbitration 3

CHESTER BROWN AND KATE MILES

# PART II Shifts in fundamental character 17

- 2 Conflict and conflicts in investment treaty arbitration: Ethical standards for counsel 19
  PHILIPPE SANDS QC
- Recent developments in the approach to identifying an 'investment' pursuant to Article 25(1) of the ICSID Convention 42

DAVID A. R. WILLIAMS QC AND SIMON FOOTE

- 4 Investment treaty interpretation and customary investment law: Preliminary remarks 65

  MARTINS PAPARINSKIS
- 5 The public–private dualities of international investment law and arbitration 97

  ALEX MILLS
- 6 Outline of a normative framework for evaluating interpretations of investment treaty protections 117
  JONATHAN BONNITCHA

v



vi	CONTENTS	
7	Investment treaty arbitration as global administrative law: What this might mean in practice 145	
	DANIEL KALDERIMIS	
	PART III Actors in international investment law 161	
8	Sovereign wealth funds and international investment law 163	
	MARKUS BURGSTALLER	
9	Investor misconduct: Jurisdiction, admissibility or merits? 187	
	ANDREW NEWCOMBE	
10	The European Union as a global investment partner: Law, policy and rhetoric in the attainment of development assistance and market liberalisation? 201	
	PAUL JAMES CARDWELL AND DUNCAN FRENCH	
11	The 'fair and equitable treatment' standard and the circumstances of the host State 223	
	NICK GALLUS	
12	The plea of necessity under customary international law: A critical review in light of the Argentine cases 246	
	AVIDAN KENT AND ALEXANDRA R. HARRINGTON	
13	Making way for the public interest in international investment agreements 271	
	SUZANNE A. SPEARS	
14	The participation of sub-national government units as <i>amici curiae</i> in international investment disputes 298	
	ANDREA K. BJORKLUND	
	PART IV The new significance of procedure 317	
15	The new rules on participation of non-disputing parties in ICSID arbitration: Blessing or curse? 319	
	CHRISTINA KNAHR	
16	The role of procedure in the development of investment law: The case of Section B of Chapter 11 of NAFTA 339	
	SERGIO PUIG	



CONTENTS vii

17	Navigating the parallel univer	se of investor-State arbitrations
	under the UNCITRAL Rules	369
	JUDITH LEVINE	

- The scope of 'amount of compensation' dispute-resolution clauses in investment treaties 409
  - J. ROMESH WEERAMANTRY AND CLAIRE WILSON
- 19 Interference by a local court and a failure to enforce: Actionable under a bilateral investment treaty? 429
  ANDREW STEPHENSON, LEE CARROLL AND JONATHON DEBOOS
- 20 Bias challenges in investor–State arbitration: Lessons from international commercial arbitration 445

  SAM LUTTRELL

# PART V Engagement with cross-cutting issues 483

- 21 Protecting intellectual property rights under BITs, FTAs and TRIPS: Conflicting regimes or mutual coherence? 485
  HENNING GROSSE RUSE-KHAN
- Stabilisation clauses and sustainable development: Drafting for the future 516
   ANTONY CROCKETT
- 23 A new investment deal in Asia and Africa: Land leases to foreign investors 539

  ANASTASIA TELESETSKY
- 24 Thirst for profit: Water privatisation, investment law and a human right to water 570

  EMMA TRUSWELL
- 25 Economic development at the core of the international investment regime 586

  OMAR E. GARCÍA-BOLÍVAR
- 26 Regulatory chill and the threat of arbitration: A view from political science 606

  KYLA TIENHAARA



viii contents

PART VI Conclusions 629

- 27 Evolution or revolution in international investment arbitration? The descent into normlessness 631
  - M. SORNARAJAH
- 28 Evolution or revolution? 658
  SIR FRANKLIN BERMAN KCMG QC

Index 673



# **CONTRIBUTORS**

SIR FRANKLIN BERMAN KCMG QC is a barrister and arbitrator, Essex Court Chambers, London; a member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration; a member of the ICSID Panel of Arbitrators; and a Visiting Professor of International Law at the University of Oxford and the University of Cape Town. He was the legal adviser to the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London, from 1991–9, and has been a judge ad hoc on the International Court of Justice.

PROFESSOR ANDREA BJORKLUND is Professor of Law at the School of Law, University of California, Davis. She is co-rapporteur of the International Law Association's Study Group on the Role of Soft Law Instruments in International Investment Law. Professor Bjorklund has written extensively on investor—State arbitration issues. Prior to entering the academy, Professor Bjorklund worked on the NAFTA arbitration team in the US Department of State's Office of the Legal Adviser, and also worked for Commissioner Thelma J. Askey on the US International Trade Commission and in private practice at Miller & Chevalier in Washington DC.

JONATHAN BONNITCHA is a D.Phil. candidate at the University of Oxford and, during 2010, was a Visiting Fellow at the Crawford School of Economics and Government of the Australian National University. He holds the degrees M.Phil. (Oxon), BCL (Oxon), LLB (Hons) (Syd), and BEC (Hons) (Syd).

DR CHESTER BROWN is Associate Professor at the Faculty of Law, University of Sydney, a barrister and a door tenant at Essex Court Chambers, London, and Maxwell Chambers, Singapore. He previously served as Assistant Legal Adviser at the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London, and prior to that he was Senior Associate in the International Law and International Arbitration Group of Clifford Chance LLP, London. He is the author of *A Common Law of International Adjudication* (2007), which was



# X NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

awarded the ASIL Certificate of Merit. He was educated at the Universities of Melbourne, Oxford and Cambridge.

DR MARKUS BURGSTALLER is a Senior Associate at Hogan Lovells in London. He represents both investors and States in international disputes. Previously, he served as international legal adviser to the Austrian Chancellor. He was educated at the Universities of Vienna, Stockholm and New York. He is qualified as an attorney and counsellor at law in New York and as a solicitor in England and Wales. He is a member of the ICSID Panel of Conciliators.

DR PAUL JAMES CARDWELL is a Senior Lecturer at the School of Law, University of Sheffield. He holds degrees in law and political science from the Universities of Edinburgh and Warwick, UK, and Sciences Po Bordeaux, France. He has also studied in Japan and previously worked at the Delegation of the European Commission, Tokyo. His principal research area is the external relations of the European Union and he has published widely on the subject, including *EU External Relations and Systems of Governance* (2009) and a forthcoming edited collection, *EU External Relations Law and Policy in the Post-Lisbon Era* (2012).

LEE CARROLL is a Senior Associate at Clayton Utz, Melbourne, and holds the degrees BA (UWA), LLB (Hons) (UWA), BCL (Oxon), and the Diploma in International Commercial Arbitration (Chartered Institute of Arbitrators). Prior to joining Clayton Utz, she was an associate at Allen & Overy LLP, London and was associate to the Hon. Justice Hayne AC of the High Court of Australia from 2005 to 2006.

ANTONY CROCKETT is a lawyer at Clifford Chance LLP in the International Arbitration and International Law Group. He holds the degrees LLB (Hons) (Melb), BSc (Melb), and LLM (LSE). Prior to joining Clifford Chance, he completed an internship at the United Nations Office of Legal Affairs (Vienna), within the UNCITRAL Secretariat. He has represented the Arbitration Committee of the IBA as an observer delegate to Working Group II of UNCITRAL.

JONATHON DEBOOS is a lawyer at Clayton Utz, Melbourne, and holds the degrees BSc (Melb), Grad. Dip. Biotech (Melb), and LLB (Hons) (Deakin). During his time at Clayton Utz, he has worked on arbitrations under the ICC, ICSID, DIAC and SIAC Rules of Arbitration on disputes relating to



# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

xi

major infrastructure projects, commercial property, power plants and foreign investment. Since 2006, he has served as the Deputy Secretary-General of ACICA and on the Executive Committee of AFIA.

SIMON FOOTE is a barrister at Bankside Chambers, Auckland, New Zealand, focusing on commercial and construction litigation and international commercial arbitration. He holds the degree of LLB (Hons) (University of Canterbury) and the Diploma in International Commercial Arbitration (Chartered Institute of Arbitrators). He is a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators and the Arbitrators' and Mediators' Institute of New Zealand.

PROFESSOR DUNCAN FRENCH is Professor of International Law at the School of Law, University of Sheffield. He holds degrees from the University of East Anglia, the University of Nottingham and the University of Wales, Cardiff. Professor French is director of the Sheffield Centre for International and European Law and deputy head of the School of Law. He is the co-rapporteur of the International Law Association Committee on International Law on Sustainable Development.

NICK GALLUS is a counsel in the Trade Law Bureau of the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Government of Canada, and Assistant Professor at Queen's University in Canada. He has published widely on investment treaties, including a book entitled *The Temporal Scope of Investment Protection Treaties* (2009). He has degrees in law (with first-class honours) and economics from Flinders University, Australia. He also has a Bachelor of Civil Law (with distinction) and Masters of Philosophy in Economics from Oxford University.

DR OMAR E. GARCÍA-BOLÍVAR is an international lawyer, public policy consultant and arbitrator. He is president of BG Consulting in Washington DC, specialising in law and development consultancy. Dr García-Bolívar is an arbitrator before ICSID, WIPO, and a member of the panel of arbitrators of the AAA, the ICC and CIETAC. He is admitted to legal practice in Venezuela, New York, Washington DC and the US Court of International Trade. He holds law degrees from Universidad Católica Andrés Bello in Venezuela; Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas; and the University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

ALEXANDRA HARRINGTON is a Doctor of Civil Law candidate at McGill University.



xii

# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

DANIEL KALDERIMIS leads the international arbitration and trade law practice of New Zealand law firm Chapman Tripp. He is listed, the only lawyer from a New Zealand firm, in *The International Who's Who of Commercial Arbitration* for 2010 and 2011. He is also an adjunct lecturer at Victoria University of Wellington Law School, where he teaches international economic law; a field in which he is widely published. He was previously a Fulbright Scholar and Associate-in-Law at Columbia Law School in New York, and a senior associate in the International Arbitration Group of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP in London.

AVIDAN KENT (LLB (Haifa University), LLM (McGill University)) is a Ph.D. candidate in the Department of Politics and International Studies at the University of Cambridge, and an Associate Fellow with the Centre of International Sustainable Development Law in the Trade, Investment and Competition Law Research Programme. His research interests include the field of international trade and investment law and its intersection with other legal disciplines such as competition law and climate-change law. He is a member of the Israeli Bar.

DR CHRISTINA KNAHR has recently joined the Austrian Federal Ministry of Economy. Prior to that she has been a post-doctoral researcher at the Department for European, International and Comparative Law at the University of Vienna. She holds Master's degrees in law from the University of Vienna and in public administration from Harvard University, as well as a Doctorate in law from the University of Vienna. She has published several articles and co-edited three books on international investment arbitration, and has given a number of presentations in this field. She is a member of the ILA Committee on Non-State Actors and a member of the Organizing Panel of the SIEL Investment Network.

JUDITH LEVINE is legal counsel at the Permanent Court of Arbitration. From 2003 to 2008, she was an attorney in the Arbitration Group at White & Case LLP in New York where she represented corporations and sovereign States in ICSID, ICC, AAA and UNCITRAL arbitrations. Her prior roles include serving as law clerk at the International Court of Justice, adviser to the Australian Attorney-General, associate at the High Court of Australia, lecturer at UNSW and for the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators and she also served on the Australian delegation to UNCITRAL Working Group II. She holds a BA (UNSW), LLB (University Medal) (UNSW), and LLM (NYU).



# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

xiii

DR SAM LUTTRELL is an Associate in the International Arbitration Group at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, Paris, and holds the degrees LLB/BA (Hons) (UWA), and Ph.D. (Murdoch).

DR KATE MILES is a Senior Lecturer at the Faculty of Law, University of Sydney. She currently serves on the International Law Association's New Study Group on the Role of Soft Law Instruments in International Investment Law. She is also a Research Fellow of the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law, Montreal, and co-ordinates the International Investment Law Network for the Society of International Economic Law. She has also practiced in leading commercial law firms in Auckland and Sydney, including at Allens Arthur Robinson.

DR ALEX MILLS is Slaughter and May Lecturer in Law, Selwyn College, University of Cambridge, where he teaches public international law and conflict of laws. He has a BA (Hons) and LLB (Hons) from the University of Sydney, and an LLM and Ph.D. from the University of Cambridge, and has practised as a solicitor in Australia. He is the author of *The Confluence of Public and Private International Law: Justice, pluralism and subsidiarity in the international constitutional ordering of private law* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).

ANDREW NEWCOMBE is Associate Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Victoria, British Columbia, Canada. Prior to joining the Faculty in 2002, he worked in the International Arbitration and Public International Law Groups of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Paris. Professor Newcombe's research focuses on investment treaty law and arbitration. He is the co-author of Law and Practice of Investment Treaties: Standards of treatment (2009) and co-editor of Sustainable Development in World Investment Law (2010). He created and maintains ita (http://ita.law.uvic.ca), a research website focused on international investment treaty law, practice and dispute resolution.

DR MARTINS PAPARINSKIS is a Junior Research Fellow at Merton College, University of Oxford. He has been a Hauser Research Scholar at New York University. Dr Paparinskis has varied research interests in the field of general international law. He has published on different aspects of investment law, including in the *British Year Book of International Law*. His forthcoming publications include *International Minimum Standard and Fair and Equitable Treatment* and *Basic Documents on International Investment Protection*.



xiv

# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

DR SERGIO PUIG is a Teaching Fellow at Duke University Law School. From 2007 to 2010 he was a counsel at the World Bank and ICSID. He holds a law degree from ITAM (Mexico), as well as a JSM and JSD from Stanford (USA). Prior to joining the World Bank Group, he worked as a legal consultant in Mexico and the United States.

DR HENNING GROSSE RUSE-KHAN is a Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property and Competition Law in Munich, Germany. He previously worked as a lecturer in international trade law at the University of Leicester. His research and teaching focuses on international intellectual property protection and development issues, law and organisation of the WTO and other issues of international economic law. He teaches at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, the International Max Planck Research School for Competition and Innovation, at the Centre for International Intellectual Property Studies, Strasbourg and the Munich Intellectual Property Law Centre.

PROFESSOR PHILIPPE SANDS QC is Professor of Law and director of the Centre on International Courts and Tribunals at the Faculty of Laws, University College London, and a barrister at Matrix Chambers, London. He has extensive experience litigating cases before the International Court of Justice, the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes, and the European Court of Justice. He also appears regularly before the English courts. More recently, Philippe has accepted appointments as an arbitrator is several cases under the ICSID and UNCITRAL rules.

PROFESSOR M. SORNARAJAH is CJ Koh Professor of Law, National University of Singapore, and Tunku Abdul Rahman Professor of Public International Law, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

SUZANNE SPEARS is a counsel in the International Arbitration Group at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, London. Earlier in her career, Ms Spears worked with the Council on Foreign Relations, the Inter-American Institute of Human Rights, Amnesty International and the United Nations. She received a JD from Columbia Law School, an MIA from Columbia's School of International and Public Affairs and a BA in International Relations from Tufts University. She was a Fulbright Scholar in Spain.



# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

XV

ANDREW STEPHENSON heads the International Arbitration Group and Construction Group in Melbourne of Clayton Utz. He is also a member of the Clayton Utz National Board. He has over thirty years of experience in contentious matters relating to all types of major projects. He is a Senior Fellow of the University of Melbourne Law School, and the Australian correspondent to the International Construction Law Review. He has been lead partner or counsel in arbitrations with various seats including Geneva, Singapore, Vancouver, Dubai, London, Sydney and Melbourne.

ANASTASIA TELESETSKY is Associate Professor, College of Law, University of Idaho. As an attorney, she has worked on cases involving international arbitration. She is a former Bosch Fellow, and worked in Germany for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on drafting guidelines for the implementation of the right to food. Her current research interests include food security, climate-change adaptation and international environmental governance.

DR KYLA TIENHAARA is a Research Fellow at the Regulatory Institutions Network (RegNet), Australian National University. Her research is focused on the public-policy implications of investment agreements and investment arbitration. In particular, she has examined disputes between investors and States that have concerned environmental regulation. She is the author of *The Expropriation of Environmental Governance: Protecting foreign investors at the expense of public policy* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).

EMMA TRUSWELL holds degrees in economics and law from the University of Sydney, and completed her studies on exchange at Cornell Law School in 2009. She is currently working for the Australian Government, having previously worked at Herbert Smith LLP in London and Freehills in Sydney. She has undertaken research in international law, including international investment law and refugee law, at Sydney Law School and the University of New South Wales.

DR J. ROMESH WEERAMANTRY is an Associate Professor at the City University of Hong Kong. His professional experience includes work in international arbitration, dispute resolution and public international law at the Iran—United States Claims Tribunal, the United Nations Compensation Commission and at a leading Swiss law firm. He has also been an international law consultant to several international organisations. His first book, *International Commercial Arbitration: An Asia-Pacific perspective* 



xvi

# NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

(co-authored with Simon Greenberg and Chris Kee) was published by Cambridge University Press in 2010. His Ph.D. thesis on the interpretation of investment treaties will be published in 2011 by Oxford University Press.

DAVID A. R. WILLIAMS QC is a barrister and arbitrator at Bankside Chambers, Auckland and Essex Court Chambers, London. He is an Honorary Professor of Law at the University of Auckland and holds an LLB (Auckland), and LLM (Harvard). He has been involved in over one hundred international arbitrations and has extensive experience as an arbitrator in investment treaty cases. From 1992 to 1994, he was a judge of the High Court of New Zealand. He serves part-time as a Justice of the Cook Islands Court of Appeal and as a Justice of the DIFC Court in Dubai, UAE. He is presently a member of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA).

CLAIRE WILSON is a legal consultant in the insurance sector advising on corporate restructuring within major Hong Kong and United Kingdom corporations. She has an LLB (Hons) degree from Nottingham Trent University Law School, United Kingdom, and a Masters of International Economic Law with distinction from the City University of Hong Kong. She is currently writing her doctorate in the field of international investment arbitration at the City University of Hong Kong.



# EDITORS' PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publication of this volume has been a collaborative effort made possible as a result of various types of support and financial assistance that we have received from a number of sources, and we are pleased to record, in this formal way, our thanks to those people and institutions.

Many of the chapters in this volume were first presented at a conference, entitled 'Investment Treaty Law and Arbitration: Evolution and Revolution in Substance and Procedure', which was hosted by Sydney Law School, the University of Sydney, Australia, on 19–20 February 2010. That conference was made possible by a Research Development Grant under the New Capacity Programme from the Institute of Social Sciences at the University of Sydney, a Conference Seeding Grant from Sydney Law School and further financial assistance from the Sydney Centre for International Law; the Centre for Asian and Pacific Law at the University of Sydney; and the Parsons Centre of Commercial, Corporate and Taxation Law, each of which are housed within Sydney Law School. Additional sponsorship for the conference was generously provided by Allens Arthur Robinson, Blake Dawson, Clayton Utz and Mallesons Stephen Jaques.

We also wish to record our appreciation to all of the participants who came to Sydney and contributed to the success of the conference. Special thanks go to our keynote speaker and distinguished speakers, namely Professor Philippe Sands QC, Professor Sir Franklin Berman KMCG QC and Professor M. Sornarajah. We are also particularly grateful to the Dean of Sydney Law School, Professor Gillian Triggs, for her support of the conference and this project.

The editing of this book took place at Sydney Law School, the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law at the University of Cambridge, where Dr Miles spent the latter half of 2010 as a Visiting Fellow, and Harvard Law School, which Dr Brown visited during January–February 2011. Dr Miles is grateful to the director of the Lauterpacht Centre, Professor James Crawford, and subsequently Professor Marc Weller, as well as the

xvii



# xviii EDITORS' PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Fellows of the Lauterpacht Centre for having hosted her stay. Dr Brown wishes to express his thanks to Professor Bill Alford and those involved in Harvard Law School's International Legal Studies Programme who facilitated his visit. The editorial work was supported by a Legal Scholarship Support Fund Grant from Sydney Law School, and a Donation from the Edward and Emily McWhinney Foundation. We are greatly indebted to our two research assistants, Emanuel Blum and Marty Bernhaut, who worked with us, tirelessly and cheerfully, throughout the editing process.

We also wish to express our sincere thanks to Finola O'Sullivan and Nienke van Schaverbeke at Cambridge University Press for their support of this project, their assistance as this book came together, and also for having seen this volume so efficiently through the production process.

Last, but definitely not least, Chester is immensely grateful to his wife Catherine and his daughter Caroline for their love, support and encouragement, and Kate wishes to thank her husband, Matthew, and son, Samuel, for their love, patience and support throughout this project.

\* \* \*

For the sake of economy in referencing, the editors note that the various bilateral investment treaties, multilateral investment treaties and investment treaty awards which are referred to throughout this edited collection are largely retrievable from publicly available websites such as Investment Treaty Arbitration (http://ita.law.uvic.ca), the website of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (www. worldbank.org/icsid), the UNCTAD compendium of investment instruments online (www.unctadxi.org/templates/DocSearch.aspx?id=780) and NAFTA claims (www.naftalaw.org).

The views expressed in this volume are those of the various contributors, and are not necessarily those of any institutions or organisations with which the editors have been or are presently affiliated.

Chester Brown and Kate Miles



# TABLE OF CASES

# Decisions of ICSID and investment treaty tribunals

- ADF Group Inc. v. United States (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award of 9 January 2003) 283
- AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft v. The Republic of Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22, Award of 23 September 2010) 311, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335
- African Duty Free Ltd v. Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award of 4 October 2006) 647
- AGIP SpA v. People's Republic of the Congo (ICSID Case No. ARB/77/1, Award of 30 November 1979) 560
- Aguas del Tunari SA v. Republic of Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, Letter from President of Tribunal Responding to Petition of 29 January 2003) 310
- Aguas del Tunari SA v. Republic of Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, Decision on Respondent's Objection to Jurisdiction of 21 October 2005) 45, 273, 644
- Amco Asia Corporation and ors ν. Republic of Indonesia (ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Decision on Proposal to Disqualify an Arbitrator of 24 June 1982) 445, 446, 461, 463, 464, 465, 466, 470, 473
- Amco Asia Corporation and ors *v*. Republic of Indonesia (ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Decision on Jurisdiction of 25 September 1983) 598
- Amco Asia Corporation and ors ν. Republic of Indonesia (ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Award of 20 November 1984) 598
- American Manufacturing and Trading Inc. ν. Zaire (ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, Award of 21 February 1997) 242
- Alasdair Ross Anderson and ors v. Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/3, Award of 19 May 2010) 191
- Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas Inc. v. United Mexican States (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/5, Order of the Consolidation Tribunal of 20 May 2005) 347
- Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas Inc. ν. United Mexican States (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/5, Award of 21 November 2007) 83

xix



XX

# TABLE OF CASES

- Austrian Airlines v. Slovak Republic (Final Award of 20 October 2009) 415, 416, 425
- AWG Group Ltd ν. The Argentine Republic (Decision on Liability of 30 July 2010) 87, 88, 183, 377, 390, 405, 460, 461, 468
- Azinian, Davitian, & Baca v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/2 (Award of 1 November 1999) 347, 350, 355, 465
- Azurix Corp. v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award of 14 July 2006) 115, 273, 277, 283, 292, 571, 582, 583
- Azurix v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Decision on Annulment of 1 September 2009) 83
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AS v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction of 14 November 2005) 49, 226, 234, 235
- Giovanna a Beccara and ors ν. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/5, Procedural Order No. 3 (Confidentiality Order) of 27 January 2010) 350
- Berschader v. Russia (Award of 21 April 2006) 411, 413, 414, 421, 424, 425
- BG Group Plc v. The Republic of Argentina (Award of 24 December 2007) 183
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd v. United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Procedural Order No. 2 of 24 May 2006) 324
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd v. United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Procedural Order No. 3 of 29 September 2006) 320, 323, 324, 325, 326
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd ν. United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Procedural Order No. 5 on amicus curiae of 2 February 2007) 305, 324, 379
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd v. United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Award of 24 July 2008) 103, 136, 327
- Iurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd and Agurdino-Chimia JSC ν. Republic of Moldova (Arbitral Award of 22 September 2005)243
- Jan de Nul NV ν. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/13, Decision on Jurisdiction of 16 June 2006) 597
- Brandes Investment Partners LP v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/08/3, Decision on the Respondent's Objection under Rule 41(5) of the ICSID Arbitration Rules of 2 February 2009) 394
- Bureau Veritas, Inspection, Valuation, Assessment and Control, BIVAC BV ν. Paraguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/9, Decision of the Tribunal on Objections to Jurisdiction of 29 May 2009) 195
- Burlington Resources Inc. and ors ν. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (PetroEcuador) (ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5, Decision on Jurisdiction of 2 June 2010) 196
- Canadian Cattlemen for Fair Trade v. United States (Procedural Order No. 1 of 20 October 2006) 351, 359, 367



# TABLE OF CASES

xxi

- Canadian Cattlemen for Fair Trade v. United States (Award on Jurisdiction of 28 January 2008) 351, 359, 367
- Canfor Corporation v. United States, Tembec and ors v. United States, Terminal Forest Products Ltd v. United States (Order of the Consolidation Tribunal of 7 September 2005) 350, 351, 353
- Canfor and ors v. United States (Decision on the Preliminary Question of 6 June 2006) 292, 466, 467, 480
- Cargill Inc. v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/05/2, Award of 18 September 2009) 347, 352
- CDC v. Seychelles (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/14, Award of 17 December 2003) 179 Cementownia 'Nowa Huta' SA v. Turkey (Award of 13 August 2009) 191, 192
- CEMEX Caracas Investments BV and CEMEX Caracas II Investments BV v. Bolivarian
- Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/08/1, Decision on the Respondent's Proposal to Disqualify a Member of the Tribunal of 6 November 2009)
- Ceskoslovenska Obchodni Banka (CSOB) v. The Slovak Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4, Decision on Jurisdiction of 24 May 1999) 46, 47, 49, 179, 598
- Chemtura Corporation v. Canada (Award of 2 August 2010) 241, 272, 284
- Chevron Corporation (USA) and Texaco Corporation (USA) v. Ecuador (Partial Award on Merits of 30 March 2010) 90
- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic (Partial Award of 13 September 2001) 280, 401, 649
- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic (Final Award of 14 March 2003) 44, 101, 114, 242, 243, 649
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Decision on Jurisdiction of 17 July 2003) 195
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award of 12 May 2005) 92, 109, 115, 153, 182, 184, 185, 223, 227, 229, 242, 247, 250, 251, 253, 255, 257, 258, 259, 261, 263, 267, 268, 269
- CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Decision on Annulment of 25 September 2007) 66, 92, 185, 472
- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Award of 21 November 2000) 33
- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Decision on Challenge to President of 3 October 2001) 33, 391, 446
- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Decision on Annulment of 3 July 2002) 33
- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Decision of the ad hoc Committee on the Request for Supplementation and Rectification of its Decision Concerning Annulment of the Award of 28 May 2003)



xxii

# TABLE OF CASES

- Compañiá de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, Award of 20 August 2007) 136
- Compañiá del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. Republic of Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, Award of 17 February 2000) 136, 243, 277, 551, 655
- Conoco-Philips v. Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/30) 644
- Consortium RFCC v. The Kingdom of Morocco (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6, Decision on Annulment of 18 January 2006) 479
- Continental Casualty Company v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/9, Award of 5 September 2008) 92, 153, 182, 184, 247, 249, 252, 255, 259, 260, 269, 534, 652
- Corn Products International Inc. v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/01, Decision on Responsibility of 15 January 2008) 83, 347, 351, 352
- Desert Line Projects LLC v. Yemen (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17, Award of 6 February 2008) 227
- Duke Energy Electroquil Partners & Electroquil SA v. Republic of Ecuador (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/19, Award of 18 August 2008) 115, 235
- Eastern Sugar BV v. Czech Republic (SCC Case No. 088/2004, Partial Award of 27 March 2007) 227, 236, 312
- EDF International, SAUR International SA & León Participaciones Argentinas SA v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/23, Decision on Jurisdiction of 5 August 2008) 469
- EDF (Services) Limited v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13, Award and Dissenting Opinion of 8 October 2009) 110, 239
- Electrabel SA *v.* Republic of Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19) 330, 331, 332, 333, 335
- Electricidad Argentina SA & EDF International SA  $\nu$ . Argentina (ICSID Case ARB/03/22) 469
- Empresas Lucchetti SA and Lucchetti Peru SA v. Republic of Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/4, Award of 7 February 2005) 138
- Empresas Lucchetti SA and Lucchetti Peru SA ν. Republic of Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/4, Decision on Annulment of 5 September 2007, Dissenting Opinion of Sir Franklin Berman of 13 August 2007) 668
- En<br/>Cana Corporation  $\nu$ . Ecuador (LCIA Case No. UN3481, Award of 3 February<br/>2006) 80
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, LP v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Award of 22 May 2007) 92, 153, 185, 242, 247, 252, 253, 254, 258, 267, 280, 292
- Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on the Application for Annulment of the Argentine Republic of 30 July 2010) 153, 182, 185, 252, 253, 254, 258, 349, 403
- Ethyl Corp. v. Canada (Decision on Jurisdiction of 24 June 1998) 272, 638, 655 Eureko v. Slovakia [2010] PCA Case No. 2008–13 (Award on Jurisdiction, Arbitrability and Suspension of 26 October 2010) 205, 312, 313



# TABLE OF CASES

xxiii

- Europe Cement Investment & Trade SA v. Turkey (Award of 13 August 2009) 191 Saba Fakes v. Turkey (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20, Award of 14 July 2010) 54, 198, 600 Fedax NV v. Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3, Award on Jurisdiction of 11 July 1997) 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 63
- Feldman v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002) 276, 647
- Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli and ors ν. The Republic of South Africa (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/1) 138, 378
- Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli and ors ν. The Republic of South Africa (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/1, Letter Regarding Non-disputing Parties of 5 October 2009) 304, 328, 329
- Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli and ors ν. The Republic of South Africa (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/1, Award and Concurring Statement of Arbitrator Matthews of 4 August 2010)
   272, 330
- Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide ν. Philippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Dissenting Opinion of Mr Bernardo Cremades of 19 July 2007) 190, 191, 197, 198, 635, 639, 647
- Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide ν. Philippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Award of 16 August 2007) 190, 191, 197, 198, 635, 639, 647
- Vito G. Gallo v. Government of Canada (Decision on the Challenge to Mr J. Christopher Thomas QC of 14 October 2009) 34
- GAMI Investments Inc. ν. Mexico (Final Award of 15 November 2004) 285, 347, 354, 366, 367
- Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine (ICSID Case ARB/00/9, Award of 16 September 2003) 195, 196, 234, 235, 446, 458, 466, 467, 473, 474
- Genin and ors v. Estonia (ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award of 25 June 2001) 191 Glamis Gold Ltd v. The United States of America (Quechan Indian Nation Application for Leave to File a Non-Party Submission of 16 September 2005) 10, 299, 306,
- Glamis Gold Ltd ν. The United States of America (Award of 8 June 2009) 79, 138, 240, 241, 245, 272, 282, 283, 284, 359, 361, 364, 367
- Global Trading Resource Corp. and Globex International Inc. ν. Ukraine (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/11, Award of 1 December 2010) 394, 395
- Government of the Province of East Kalimantan v. PT Kaltim Prima Coal and ors (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3, Award on Jurisdiction of 28 September 2009) 302
- Grand River Enterprises and ors v. United States of America (Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 20 July 2006) 272, 467, 480
- Helnan International Hotels A/S ν. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/19, Decision on Jurisdiction of 17 October 2006) 597
- HICEE BV v. The Slovak Republic (Permanent Court of Arbitration) 381 Hrvatska Elektroprivreda d.d. (HEP) (Croatia) v. Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case ARB/05/24, Tribunal's Ruling Regarding the Participation of David Mildon

310, 361, 380



xxiv

# TABLE OF CASES

- QC in Further Stages of the Proceedings of 6 May 2008) 31, 35, 447, 456, 476, 477, 478, 482
- Hrvatska Elektropriveda d.d. (HEP) (Croatia) ν. Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24, Decision on the Treaty Interpretation Issue of 8 June 2009) 150
- ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited  $\nu$ . Republic of Argentina (Decision on Challenge to Mr Stanimir A. Alexandrov of 17 December 2009) 33
- Inceysa Vallisoletana SL v. Republic of El Salvador (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, Award of 2 August 2006) 190, 191, 197, 199, 647
- Inmaris Perestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH v. Ukraine (ICSID Case No. ARB/08/8, Decision on Jurisdiction of 8 March 2010) 43, 57, 61, 63
- International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation ν. Mexico (Award of 26 January 2006) 152, 364, 366, 367
- Joy Mining Machinery Limited ν. Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Decision on Jurisdiction of 23 July 2001) 597
- Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Award of 6 August 2004) 45, 47, 49, 51, 56, 61, 396
- Lauder v. Czech Republic (Final Award of 3 September 2001) 104, 649
- Joseph Charles Lemire ν. Ukraine (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/18, Decision on Jurisdiction and Liability of 14 January 2010) 79, 191
- L.E.S.I. SpA et ASTALDI SpA v. Algeria (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/3, Decision on Jurisdiction of 12 July 2006) 49, 599
- LG&E v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, Decision on Liability of 3 October 2006) 92, 109, 153, 182, 183, 184, 227, 247, 249, 251, 252, 253, 255, 258, 261, 262, 263, 533
- Libananco Holdings Co. Limited v. Turkey (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/8, Decision on Preliminary Issues of 23 June 2008) 194
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen ν. United States (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, Award of 26 June 2003) 66, 67, 71, 72, 73, 80, 82, 308, 354, 355
- Maffezini v. Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision on Jurisdiction of 25 January 2000) 471
- Maffezini ν. Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Award of 13 November 2000) 227
  Malaysian Historical Salvors Sdn, Bhd ν. Malaysia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10, Award on Jurisdiction of 17 May 2007) 45, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 61, 63, 396, 597, 646
- Malaysian Historical Salvors Sdn, Bhd ν. Malaysia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10, Decision of the Application for Annulment of 16 April 2009) 43, 50, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, 61, 63, 199, 397, 589, 597, 645
- Maritime International Nominees Establishment  $\nu$ . Republic of Guinea (1989) 4 ICSID Reports 79 349
- M.C.I. Power Group L.C. and New Turbine Inc. ν. Ecuador (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/6, Award of 31 July 2007) 50
- Merrill & Ring v. Canada (Award of 31 March 2010) 283, 284



# TABLE OF CASES

XXV

- Metalclad Corporation v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award of 30 August 2000) 136, 138, 157, 272, 277, 279, 299, 347, 359, 363, 366, 401, 497, 613
- Metalplar SA and Buen Aire SA ν. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/5, Award of 6 June 2008) 261
- Methanex v. United States (Decision on Amici Curiae of 15 January 2001) 358, 360, 365, 380
- Methanex v. United States (Partial Award of 7 August 2002) 195
- Methanex ν. United States (Final Award of 3 August 2005) 138, 272, 277, 279, 299, 307, 360, 362, 380, 561, 581, 613
- Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula, S.C. European Food SA, S.C. Starmill SRL and S.C.
   Multipack SRL ν. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20, Decision on Jurisdiction and Admissibility of 24 September 2008) 195, 332
- Mihaly International Corporation v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/2, Award of 15 March 2002) 42, 47, 59, 60
- Patrick Mitchell v. Democratic Republic of the Congo (ICSID Case No. ARB/99/7, Decision on the Application for Annulment of the Award of 1 November 2006) 49, 59, 92, 242, 599, 645
- Mondev International Ltd ν. United States of America (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, Award of 11 October 2002) 282, 283, 355
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. & MTD Chile SA v. Chile (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, Award of 25 May 2004) 138, 191, 192, 226, 243, 280, 292, 601
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. & MTD Chile SA v. Chile (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, Decision on Annulment of 21 March 2007) 341
- National Grid plc v. The Argentine Republic (Award of 3 November 2008) Occidental v. Ecuador (LCIA Case No. UN 3467, Final Award of 1 July 2004) 183, 224, 230, 231, 237, 238, 245, 247, 258
- Pantechniki SA Contractors & Engineers v. Republic of Albania (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21, Award of 30 July 2009) 231, 232, 233, 234, 237, 238, 245, 346
- Parkerings-Compagniet AS v. Lithuania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award of 11 September 2007) 235, 280, 285, 523, 524
- Perenco Ecuador v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (ICSID Case No. ARB/08/6, PCA Case No. IR-2009/1, Decision on Challenge to Arbitrator of 8 December 2009) 32, 467, 470, 471, 473, 474
- Victor Pey Casado v. Chile (ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2, Award of 8 May 2008) 54
  Phoenix Action Ltd v. Czech Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/5, Decision of 15 April 2009) 191, 197, 198, 589, 599, 600, 646
- Plama Consortium Limited v. Bulgaria (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, Decision on Jurisdiction of 8 February 2005) 412
- Plama Consortium Limited v. Bulgaria (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, Award of 27 August 2008) 197
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada (Interim Award of 26 June 2000) 276



xxvi

# TABLE OF CASES

- Pope & Talbot Inc. ν. Canada (Award on the Merits of Phase 2 of 10 April 2001) 281, 285, 359, 364, 652
- Pope & Talbot Inc.  $\nu$ . Canada (Award on Damages of 31 May 2002) 365, 367
- PSEG Global Inc., The North American Coal Corporation, and Konya Ingin Electrik Uretim ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi v. Turkey (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5, Award of 19 January 2007) 280, 281
- Renta 4 SVSA, et. al v. Russian Federation (SCC Case No. V024/2007, Award on Preliminary Objections of 20 March 2009) 420, 421, 425, 428
- Romak SA (Switzerland) v. The Republic of Uzbekistan (PCA Case No. AA280, Award of 26 November 2009) 61, 62, 63, 64, 377, 382, 398, 399, 400, 601
- Rompetrol Group NV v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3, Decision on Respondent's Preliminary Objections on Jurisdiction and Admissibility of 18 April 2008) 83, 195, 397
- Rompetrol Group NV v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3, Decision on the Participation of Counsel of 14 January 2010) 35, 456, 473, 478
- RosInvestCo UK Ltd v. The Russian Federation (SCC V 79/2005, Award on Jurisdiction of October 2007) 81, 414, 415, 421, 424, 425, 661
- Rumeli Telekom AS and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri AS ν. Kazakhstan (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, Award of 29 July 2008) 179, 227
- Saipem SpA v. The People's Republic of Bangladesh (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/07, Decision on Jurisdiction and Recommendation on Provisional Measures of 21 March 2007) 418, 424, 433
- Saipem SpA v. The People's Republic of Bangladesh (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/07, Award of 30 June 2009) 431, 432, 433, 434, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 444
- Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v. Morocco (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction of 23 July 2001) 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 63, 396, 586, 596, 597, 598, 645, 646
- Saluka Investments BV ν. Czech Republic (Partial Award of 17 March 2006) 67, 79, 89, 90, 103, 111, 115, 154, 281, 292, 382, 524
- S. D. Myers Inc. v. Canada (First Partial Award of 13 November 2000) 272, 277, 294, 613
- S. D. Myers Inc. v. Canada (Second Partial Award (Damages) of 21 October 2002) 364, 613
- S. D. Myers Inc. v. Canada (Final Award of 30 December 2002) 613
- Franz Sedelmayer v. The Russian Federation (Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, Award of 7 July 1998) 416
- Sempra Energy International *v.* The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, Award of 28 September 2007) 66, 71, 72, 153, 182, 183, 185, 224, 225, 228, 229, 230, 237, 238, 241, 242, 245, 247, 252, 253, 254, 258
- Sempra Energy International v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, Decision on Annulment of 29 June 2010) 66, 71, 72, 92, 153, 224, 231, 403
- Siemens v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB 02/8, Decision on Jurisdiction of 3 August 2004) 242, 277, 291, 601



# TABLE OF CASES

xxvii

- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13, Decision on Claimant's Proposal to Disqualify an Arbitrator of 19 December 2002) 465, 466, 470, 472
- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13, Decision on Jurisdiction of 6 August 2003) 67, 110, 194, 195, 196, 649
- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Republic of the Phillippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6, Decision on Jurisdiction of 29 January 2004) 110, 637, 639, 649
- Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/6, Decision on Jurisdiction and Competence of 19 June 2009) 422, 425, 426, 427
- Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15, Award of 1 June 2009) 72
- Société Générale v. Dominican Republic (Award on Preliminary Objections to Jurisdiction of 19 September 2008) 85
- Southern Pacific Properties v. Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/84/3, Award of 20 May 1992) 19
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA, and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17, Order in Response to a Petition for Amicus Curiae of 17 March 2006) 321, 380, 468
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA, and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case ARB/03/17, Decision on the Proposal for the Disqualification of a Member of the Arbitral Tribunal of 22 October 2007) 446, 457, 458
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA and Vivendi Universal SA  $\nu$ . Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19, Order in Response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae of 19 May 2005) 321, 460
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA and Vivendi Universal SA  $\nu$ . Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19, Decision on a Second Proposal for the Disqualification of a Member of the Arbitral Tribunal of 12 May 2008) 390, 405, 460, 469
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA and Vivendi Universal SA  $\nu$ . Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19, Decision on Liability of 30 July 2010) 183, 184, 185, 460, 469
- TCW Group Inc. and Dominican Energy Holdings LP v. The Dominican Republic (Procedural Order No. 1 of 23 June 2008) 380, 385
- Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed SA v. United Mexican States (ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/00/02, Award of 29 May 2003) 109, 110, 135, 136, 137, 138, 195, 225, 236, 243, 259, 277, 280, 282, 551, 552
- Telenor Mobile Communications AS v. Republic of Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award of 13 September 2006) 179, 417, 418, 424, 426



xxviii

# TABLE OF CASES

Terminal Forest Products Ltd v. The United States of America (Decision on Preliminary Question) [2006] 18 World Trade and Arbitration Materials 4 466 Tokio Tokeles v. Ukraine (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Decision on Jurisdiction of 29 April 2004) 643

Toto Construzioni Generali SpA v. Republic of Lebanon (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12, Decision on Jurisdiction of 11 September 2009) 233, 234, 245, 397

Tradex Hellas v. Albania (ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2, Award of 29 April 1999) 19
Trans-Global Petroleum Inc. v. Jordan (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/25, Decision on the Respondent's Objection under Rule 41(5) of the ICSID Arbitration Rules of 12 May 2008) 394

TSA Spectrum de Argentina SA v. Argentina Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/5, Award of 19 December 2008) 397, 398

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada (Decision on Amici Curiae of 17 October 2001) 380

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada (Award on Jurisdiction of 22 November 2002) 100

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada (Award on the Merits and Separate Statement of Dean Ronald A. Cass of 24 May 2007) 380

Urbaser v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26, Decision on Claimant's Proposal to Disqualify Professor Campbell McLachlan of 12 August 2010) 471, 472, 473

Vannessa Ventures Ltd ν. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/6) 31

Vattenfall and ors ν. Federal Republic of Germany (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/6) 273 Walter Bau AG ν. Thailand (Award of 1 July 2009) 640

Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3, Decision on Jurisdiction of 2 June 2000) 193

Waste Management Inc. v. Mexico (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3, Final Award of 30 April 2004) 104, 283, 346, 366

Wintershall Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/14, Award of 8 December 2008) 81

World Duty Free Company Limited v. Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award of 4 October 2006) 196, 197, 199

Yukos Universal Ltd v. Russian Federation (PCA Case No. AA227, Interim Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility of 30 November 2009) 72, 73

Zhinvali Development Ltd v. Republic of Georgia (ICSID Case No. ARB/00/1, Decision on Respondent's Proposal to Disqualify Arbitrator of 19 January 2001) 446, 464

# Decisions of other international courts and tribunals

Aegean Sea Continental Shelf (Greece ν. Turkey) (Judgment) [1978] ICJ Rep 3 79, 87, 90 Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company Limited (Belgium ν. Spain) [1970] ICJ Rep 3 83, 84



# TABLE OF CASES

xxix

Buscemi ν. Italy (European Court of Human Rights Case No. 29569/95, Court Decision of 16 December 1999) 27

Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (Germany v. Poland) (Jurisdiction), Ser A (No. 6) (PCIJ, 1925) 345

Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia (Germany ν. Poland) PCIJ Rep, Series A (No. 7), 21 69, 87

Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France) [2008] ICJ Rep 177 70, 71

Oscar Chinn (United Kingdom v. Belgium) PCIJ Rep, Series C (No. 75) 69

Commission v. Austria (Case No. C-205/06) [2009] ECR I-1301 205

Commission v. Finland (Case No. C-118/07) [2009] 205

Commission v. Netherlands (Case No. C-282/04) (2008) ECR I-9141 206

Commission v. Sweden (Case No. C-249/06) [2009] ECR I-1335 205

Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo) (Preliminary Objections) [2007] ICJ Rep 83

Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo) (Merits) (Judgment of 30 November 2010) 84

Elettronica Sicula SpA (ELSI) (United States of America ν. Italy) [1989] ICJ Rep 15 346

Factory at Chorzøw (Germany ν. Poland) (Jurisdiction), PCIJ Ser A (No. 13) (1928) 157

Factory at Chorzøw (Germany ν. Poland) (Merits), PCIJ Ser A (No. 17) (1928) 157
 Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary ν. Slovakia) (Judgment) [1997] ICJ Rep
 3 182, 250

Government of Kuwait v. American Independent Oil Company (Award of 24 March 1982), 21 ILM 976 243, 518, 560, 652

Himpurna California Energy Ltd (Bermuda) ν. The Republic of Indonesia (2000) XXV Yearbook Commercial Arbitration 109 449

Iran-United States, Case No. A/18 (1984) 5 Iran-USCTR 251 72, 85, 443

The Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America (Award No. 586-A27-FT of 5 June 1998), 34 Iran–US CTR 39 443

Kasikili/Sedudu Island (Botswana/Namibia) [1999] ICJ Rep 1059 589

Landis v. United States Anti-Doping Agency, CAS 2007/A/1394 (Court of Arbitration for Sport, 2008) 38, 39

Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory [2004] ICJ Rep 136 250, 252

Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States) (Jurisdiction and Admissibility) [1984] ICJ Rep 14 78, 92, 181

Nasser Esphahanian  $\nu$ . Bank Tejarat (1983) 2 Iran–USCTR 157 72

LFH Neer & Pauline Neer v. Mexico, 4 UNRIAA 60 (US-Mexico General Claims Commission, 1926) 283, 650

Northern Cameroons (Cameroon v. United Kingdom) [1963] ICJ Rep 15 194



XXX

# TABLE OF CASES

Oil Platforms (Iran v. US) (Judgment) [2003] ICJ Rep 161 71, 75 Phillips Petroleum Company Iran v. The Islamic Republic of Iran, The National Iranian Oil Company (Award No. 425–39–2 of 29 June 1989), 21 Iran–US CTR

Phosphates in Morocco (Italy v. France), PC J Rep. Series C (No. 85) 69 69 Georges Pinson (France v. Mexico) (1928) 5 RIAA 327 76

Prosecutor v. Furundzija (ICTY Appeals Chamber, Case No. IT-95–17/1-A, Judgment of 21 July 2000) 22

Sapphire International Petroleums Ltd v. National Iranian Oil Company (1963) 35 ILR 136 243

United States and Great Britain ν. Portugal (Delagoa Bay Railway), Moore, International Arbitrations, vol. II, 1865; La Fontaine, Pasicrisie Internationale (1900) 397 19

# Decisions of national courts

- Applied Industrial Material Corp. (AIMCOR) ν. Ovalar Makine Ticaret ve Sanayi, AS, 492 F 3d 132 (2d Cir, 2007) 453
- Argentine Republic v. National Grid (Civil Action No. 09–248, Order of 7 June 2010) 224
- ASM Shipping Ltd of India v. TTMI Ltd of England [2005] APP.L.R.10/19 448, 453, 475
- AT&T Corporation and Lucent Technologies Inc. ν. Saudi Cable Company [2000] All ER (Comm) 625 24, 448
- BG Group Plc v. Argentina (Review of Award by US District Court for the District of Columbia of 7 June 2010) 479
- Commonwealth Coatings Corp. v. Continental Casualty Co., 393 US 145 (1968) 26 Czech Republic v. CME Czech Republic BV (Case No. T8735–01, Judgment of the Svea Court of Appeal of 15 May 2003) 401
- Czech Republic v. European Media Ventures SA [2007] EWHC 2851 (Comm) 409, 411, 418, 419, 420, 424, 428
- Ebner ν. The Official Trustee in Bankruptcy; Clanae Pty Ltd and ors ν. Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd (2000) 205 CLR 337 455
- Eisenwerk Hensel Bayreuth Dipl-Ing Burkhardt GmbH  $\nu$ . Australian Granites Ltd [2001] 1 Qd R 461 442
- In re Enoch and Zaretsky Bock & Co. [1910] 1 KB 327 437
- Eureko v. Republic of Poland (R.G. 2006/1542/A, Decision of the Brussels Court of First Instance of 22 December 2006) 30, 227, 239, 447, 453, 473, 478, 479, 480, 481
- Eureko v. Republic of Poland (Case No. R.G. 2007/AR/70, Decision of the Belgian Court of Appeal of 29 October 2007) 30
- Gas and Fuel Corporation of Victoria v. Wood Hall Ltd [1978] VR 385 437