

Index

- 50+20 Management Education for the World project, 83, 182–3
- A-journals, 37, 54, 67
- AACSB International, 7, 28–30
- academic gurus
 as business school competitors, 119–20
- academic model for business schools, 71, 86
- academic respectability of business schools, 68
- accreditation agencies, 28–30
- accreditation of business schools, 158
- adhocracy organisations, 210
 Mintzberg's definition, 207
 strategy formation process, 207–8
- Aditya Birla Group, 111
- administration of business schools, 78–82
- ageing population
 challenges for management education, 96–9
 in advanced countries, 106–7
- AMBA (Association of MBAs), 28–30
- American Association of Collegiate Schools of Business. *See* AACSB International
- analytics
 over-emphasis in business schools, 67
- Angus, Michael, 57
- Apollo Group, 32, 110, 121, 179, 264
- Apple Inc., 116, 119, 155, 251
 Corporate University, 54
- Apple iPad, 101
- Arthur D. Little Institute, 179
- Ashridge Management College, 96
- Asian business schools, 17, 24
 comparison with those in the US and Europe, 25–45
 institutional characteristics, 28–31
 size of, 30–1
- Association of Business Schools (ABS), 13
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business. *See* AACSB International
- AT&T, 75
- autonomy of business schools, 74–8
- Azim Premji University, 160
- Babson business school (US), 117
- balanced scorecard viewpoint, 244
- behavioural theory of the firm, 246
- belief types, 22
- Bezos, Jeff, 116
- Birmingham University (UK), 5
- blended learning, 35, 100–3
- blended learning model (Open University), 177–81
- Bloom, Allan, 58, 64–5
- Bok, Derek, 64
- Bologna Accord (1999), 13, 28
- Borges, Antonio, 260
- Boston University, 112
- brand loyalty effects for business schools, 38–43
- Branson, Richard, 116
- Brazil, 106
- BRIC nations (Brazil, Russia, India and China), 106
- British Institute of Management (BIM), 5
- bubble
 business education as, 139
- business model of business schools, 267–9
- business school concept
 origins and evolution, 2–4
- business school criticisms, 1, 64–71
 ambiguous positioning, 64–5
 bad habits of business schools, 139–40
 emphasis on shareholder capitalism, 67
 emphasis on the wrong model, 69–70
 ethical issues, 67
 failure to meet society's needs, 70
 fostering undesirable attitudes, 70
 'greed is good' attitude, 67
 important work is ignored, 70
 lack of academic respectability, 68
 lack of focus on leadership/managerial skills, 67
 lack of legitimacy, 68
 lack of moral responsibility, 67
 lack of professional identity, 68
 lack of relevance to business, 67
 lack of relevance to management, 68

- lack of social conscience, 67
- market-driven focus, 68–71
- narrow focus on scientific rigour, 67–8
- over-attention to rankings, 68–71
- over-emphasis on 'A-journal' research, 67
- over-emphasis on analytics, 67
- production of ineffective ideas and research, 67
- socialisation mechanism, 66
- tensions between school and university, 64–5
- business school development
 - Asian business school models, 17
 - collegiate schools of business, 7
 - European models, 10–16
 - history of, 4–17
 - launch of the MBA degree, 7
 - positivist model, 8–10
 - trade school era, 7
 - US business schools, 6–10
- business school identity
 - tensions with universities, 71–3
- business school models
 - academic model, 71, 86
 - alternative models, 82–6, 122–3
 - diversity of models and philosophies, 200
 - guidelines for transformation, 189–95
 - internationalisation strategies, 104–5
 - professional model, 71, 86
 - re-evaluating and rethinking for the future, 82–6
 - rethinking the traditional model, 122–3
 - Starkey model, 183–4
 - US and European styles, 2–4
 - See also* Lorange model of management education, Sheth model of business education.
- business schools
 - changing student demand patterns, 95
 - conflicting demands, 200–2
 - context of controversy, 200–2
 - entrepreneurial enterprise teaching, 116–18
 - imperative for change, 203
 - local options in emerging nations, 107–12
 - resistance to cultural change, 138–41
 - similarities to PSFs, 230–4
 - tensions with universities, 71–82
 - Businessweek* rankings of business schools, 139, 153
 - calendar changes in business schools, 75
 - Cameralist education system (Germany), 3, 6
 - capabilities, 247
 - dynamic capabilities approach, 247–8
 - capability review models
 - basic philosophy, 242
 - leadership focus, 243–4
 - model evaluation, 245–6
 - overall design and structure, 242–3
 - performance delivery focus, 244–5
 - strategy focus, 244
 - strengths and weaknesses, 245–6
 - Carnegie Foundation report (1959), 6–8, 55
 - Carnegie Mellon Business School, 8
 - Carnegie Mellon University, 8, 100, 112
 - Cass (City) Business School, 13, 15, 37, 211
 - Center for Creative Leadership, Chicago, 167
 - change resistance in business schools, 138–41
 - Cheit, Earl, 68–71
 - Chicago Booth School of Business, 8
 - business model, 177
 - China, 106
 - economic growth forecast, 106–7
 - management education options, 108–9
 - China Europe International Business School (CEIBS), 24, 30, 109
 - client relation organisations, 209
 - Cole, Jonathan, 57
 - Colgate, 111
 - collegiate schools of business, 7
 - Cologne business school, 13
 - Columbia Business School, 6, 8, 75
 - competence-destroying innovations, 100
 - competition between business schools, 95
 - competitive differences between business schools, 25, 31–8
 - competitive forces for business schools, 118–22
 - corporate universities, 119
 - faculty gurus as competitors, 119–20
 - management consultants, 119–20
 - non-traditional competition, 120–1
 - response to new competitors, 264
 - university alliance programmes, 120
 - conflict resolution skills of managers, 93
 - constructive innovation, 133–4
 - continuous lifelong education, 156–7
 - core competencies, 247
 - corporate links and partnerships, 38

274 INDEX

- corporate scandals, 83
 - and business school-trained managers, 67
- corporate social responsibility, 138
- corporate universities, 77, 110–11, 119
- Cranfield School of Management, 13
- creative destruction concept (Schumpeter), 246
- criticism of business schools. *See* business school criticisms
- curricula
 - autonomy of business schools, 75–8
 - debate over content of programmes, 92–5
 - dominance of domain knowledge, 94
 - skills and qualities required by managers, 92–4
 - stakeholder perspective, 163
 - transformational change, 163
 - See also* management education models
- curriculum changes
 - dynamic capabilities approach, 248–51
- curriculum design in practice
 - dynamic capabilities approach, 251–4
- curriculum development
 - dynamic capabilities concept, 241
 - scope of management education, 240–1
- Dartmouth (US), 3, 6
- deans of business schools, 71
 - age management of faculty, 99
 - associate deans, 79
 - challenges for the future, 267–71
 - challenges of change, 203
 - challenges of high knowledge intensity, 216–24
 - changes in selection and recruitment, 78–82
 - characteristics of effective leaders, 256–8
 - implementing strategic change, 258–60
 - implications of new technologies, 101
 - leadership capabilities, 255–6
 - leadership challenges, 202–3, 211–14
 - leadership of academics, 207–9
 - leadership training, 260–2
 - organisational challenges, 211–14
 - positioning and identity of business schools, 71–3
 - role of, 197, 214–15
 - similarities to managing PSFs, 230–4
 - strategy relating to faculty, 227–9
 - tensions with universities, 78–82
- decision making under ambiguity, 93
- decisional skills of managers, 92
- degree accreditation agencies, 28–30
- Delanty, G., 58
- demographic change
 - challenges for management education, 96–9
- design thinking model (Rotman School, University of Toronto), 173–5
- DeVry group, 179
- digital libraries, 101
- disruptive technology, 100–3
- distance learning, 35, 100–3
 - early distributed learning model, 177–8
 - management education options, 112–14
 - management education programmes, 121
 - MBAs, 15
 - practice-based model, 178–9
- domain knowledge in management education, 94
- Dr Reddy's, 111
- Drucker, Peter, 91, 106, 255
- Dubai, 112
- Duke Corporate Education, 126
- dynamic capabilities approach, 247–8
 - curriculum changes in business schools, 248–51
 - curriculum design in practice, 251–4
 - curriculum development, 241
 - funding and fundraising, 264–5
 - future applications for business schools, 262–5
 - greater faculty differentiation, 263–4
 - issues for business schools, 262
 - leadership, 254
 - response to new competition, 264
- dynamic capabilities model
 - Haas School, Berkeley, 171–3
- e-learning, 100–3
- EABIS (European Academy for Business in Society), 10
- EAP (France), 12
- early distributed learning model, 177–8
- Eastern European business schools, 14
- EBS (European Business School), 13
- écoles de commerce (France), 2
- écoles supérieures de commerce (France), 4, 12, 16

- economic power
 - shift towards emerging markets, 106–14
- EduComp, 110
- EFMD (European Foundation for Management Development), 10, 30
- EGOS (European Group of Organisational Studies), 37
- emerging economies
 - growing economic power, 106–14
 - growth of business schools in, 114–16
 - local opportunities for management education, 107–12
 - management education requirements, 106–14
- emerging markets
 - implications for business schools, 160–1
- emerging markets perspective, 154–5
- EMLyon (France), 12
- endowed chairs, 159
- endowments of business schools
 - comparisons, 32–4
- Engwall, Lars, 55
- Enron, 67, 83
- entrepreneurial capitalism, 66
- entrepreneurial enterprise
 - inclusion in management education, 116–18
- entrepreneurial skills of managers, 93
- EON, 119
- EPAS (European programme accreditation system), 30
- EQUIS (European Quality Improvement System), 28–30
- ESADE (Spain), 13
- ESCP (France), 12
- ESMT (European School for Management and Technology), 13
- ESSEC (France), 12
- ethical issues for business schools, 67
- European business school models, 10–16
- European business schools
 - comparison with those in the US and Asia, 25–45
 - institutional characteristics, 28–31
 - origins of, 4–6
 - size of, 30–1
- Everonn, 110
- executive education, 100
- expertise
 - importance in PSFs and KIFs, 204–5
- export model of internationalisation, 104
- faculty
 - creating greater differentiation, 263–4
 - flexibility in business schools, 77–8
- faculty gurus
 - as business school competitors, 119–20
- Fernandes, Tony, 116
- fifth generation of business schools, 24
- Financial Times (FT)* MBA rankings, 32, 35, 39, 41, 43
- first generation of business schools, 22–3
- five forces model (Porter), 246
- Fleck, James, 101–2, 177
- flexible learning models
 - comparison of business schools, 35–7
- focused innovation model (UC San Diego), 181–2
- Ford Foundation, 8, 161
- Ford Foundation report (1959), 5, 7–8, 55
- Foundation for Management Development, 44
- fourth generation of business schools, 24
- France
 - development of business schools, 12
 - diversity of business school models, 15–16
 - écoles de Commerce, 2
 - écoles supérieures de commerce, 4, 12, 16
 - grandes écoles, 12, 15
- Franks Report (1963) (UK), 5–6
- Fudan University (China), 24, 108
- full-time education
 - traditional commitment to, 124–5
- Fundação Dom Cabral, Brazil, 111
- funding of business schools
 - comparisons, 32–4
 - competition for external funding, 159
 - dynamic capabilities approach, 264–5
 - future challenges, 264–5
 - patterns of expenditure, 268–9
 - sources of funding, 267–8
 - teaching and research costs, 268–9
- funding of management education, 95
- Fuqua School of Business, Duke University, 76, 126
- Gates Foundation, 161
- Gates, Bill, 116

276 INDEX

- Gay, Edwin, 6
 General Electric (GE)
 Crotonville campus, 119
 Workout Program, 110
 Genpact, 111
 Georgetown University, 112
 Germany
 Cameralist education system, 3, 6
 development of business schools, 12–13
 Handelshochschulen, 2
 global financial crisis, 83
 Global Leadership Responsibility Initiative (GLRI), 138, 182
 global learning networks, 101
 Global Responsible Leadership Institute (GRLI), 10
 globalisation, 86
 challenges for business schools, 269–71
 impact and implications for management education, 103–5
 Goldman Sachs, 119
 governance of business schools, 75–8, 159
 comparison of systems, 32
 Graduate School of Business Administration (GSBA) Zurich, 126
 Graduate School of Industrial Administration (GSIA), Carnegie Mellon, 8
 grandes écoles (France), 12, 15
 Green, Philip, 116
 Grey, Chris, 55, 65–6, 68
- Haas School of Business (UC Berkeley), 253
 dynamic capabilities model, 171–3
 Hamel, Gary, 119
 Handelshochschulen (Germany), 2
 Handy, Charles, 255
 Hartley, Wynn, 72
 Harvard Business School, 2, 6, 8, 32, 77, 117, 167
 launch of MBA degree, 7
 Harvard University, 112
 HDFC Bank (India), 111
 HEC (France), 12, 30
 Henley Business School, 13, 15, 35, 121
 historical evolution of business schools, 4–17
 HKUST–Northwestern EMBA programme, 120
 Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, 24, 29–30
 Hult International Business School, 179
- IBM, 75
 ICT (Information and Communication Technologies), 100–3
 identity of business schools, 45–9, 52–6, 68
 IESE (Spain), 10, 13, 30
 IMD (Switzerland), 14, 30–1, 125
 Imperial College Business School, 13, 15, 118
 IMPM (International Master's Program in Practising Management), 169–71
 import model of internationalisation, 104
 India, 106
 economic growth forecast, 106–7
 management education options, 107–10
 Indian Institutes of Management (IIM), 24, 63, 108, 126, 148
 Indian Institutes of Technology (IIT), 63, 148
 Indian School of Business, 24, 31–2, 107, 109
 Indiana University, 146
 Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), India, 112–13, 161
 individualised learning, 155–6
 Industrial Revolution, 2
 industry belief systems, 22
 information-processing skills of managers, 93
 informational skills of managers, 92
 Infosys Technologies, 110–11, 117, 119
 innovation
 comparison of business schools, 35–7
 focused innovation model, 181–2
 INSEAD, 10, 12, 15, 30, 112, 167, 260
 institutional differences between business schools, 25, 28–31
 Instituto de Empresa (Madrid), 13, 35, 180–1
 intangibility of professional services, 218–22
 intangible assets, 246
 integrated learning, 155
 interactionist model of leadership, 257
 interactive learning, 155
 international mindset
 comparison of business schools, 36
 internationalisation strategies, 104–5
 interpersonal skills of managers, 92
 introspective skills of managers, 93
 iPad (Apple), 101
- James, Edmund, 2, 6
 Jobs, Steve, 116
 Johns Hopkins University, 73
 Judge Business School (Cambridge), 13
 just-in-time on-the-job training, 101

- Kaufman Foundation, 161
- Kellogg School of Management, 74, 109
- Knight, Phil, 116
- knowledge-based economy, 100
- knowledge intensity in PSFs, 216–24
- knowledge-intensive firms (KIFs), 197
 - expertise of employees, 204–5
 - research on organisations, 198–9
 - underlying knowledge systems, 205–6
- knowledge management
 - and value creation, 199–200
- knowledge transmission methods
 - comparison of business schools, 37
- knowledge types
 - influence on organisational form, 205–6
- Kuala Lumpur, 112

- Lancaster University Management School (LUMS), 13, 97
- leadership
 - and organisational change, 258–60
 - challenges for business school deans, 211–14
 - characteristics of effective leaders, 256–8
 - dynamic capabilities approach, 254
 - elements of strategic leadership, 255–6
 - focus in capability review models, 243–4
 - in academic institutions, 214–15
 - interactionist model, 257
 - path-bending leaders, 254
 - roles, 258–60
 - styles, 258–60
- leadership capabilities
 - business school context, 255–6
- leadership inventories, 261
- leadership skills
 - lack of focus on, 67
- leadership skills of managers, 93
- leadership style
 - leadership of academics, 207–9
 - professional organisations, 207–9
- leadership training, 260–2
 - Zurich Living Case approach, 261
- learning communities, 180–1
- legitimacy of business schools, 45–9, 52–6, 68
- Leicester University School of Management, 15
- lifelong education, 156–7
- lifelong learning, 100–1
- linear thinking, 124

- Livingston, J. S., 91
- logical positivism, 8–10, 55
- London Business School (LBS), 6, 13, 30, 104, 112
- London School of Economics (LSE), 5, 126
- Lorange Institute of Business Zurich, 91, 179, 265
 - brand, 127
 - business organization, 131
 - cutting-edge innovation, 129–30
 - faculty, 127–9
 - flat organizational structure, 131
 - integration across axiomatic divides, 131–2
 - mixture of participants, 129
 - modular programme structure, 130
 - network model, 184–6
 - new paradigm for management education, 126–32
 - no governance hierarchy, 131
 - participants stay in full-time corporate positions, 130
 - programme offerings, 130–1
 - two-way learning, 129
 - Zurich Living Case approach, 261
- Lorange model of management education, 123–34
 - changing faculty mindset, 125–6
 - constructive innovation, 133–4
 - criticisms of traditional business school teaching, 123–5
 - eliminating faculty silo mentality, 125–6
 - making the model work, 132–3
 - new paradigm for management education, 126–32
 - schools heading in the right direction, 126
 - summary of the vision, 132
- Lorange, Peter, 123, 255, 261, 265
- low capital intensity
 - in professional service firms (PSFs), 224–9
- Lyons, Richard, 167, 171, 253–4

- Mahindra & Mahindra, 111
- management
 - art or science debate, 91–2
 - nature of, 91–2
 - relevance of business schools to, 68
- Management Analysis Center, 120

278 INDEX

- management consultants
 - competition with business schools, 119–20
- management education
 - and global shifts in economic power, 106–14
 - blended learning, 100–3
 - challenges facing, 95–114
 - challenges of demographic change, 96–9
 - changing student demand patterns, 95
 - competition between business schools, 95
 - critical view, 90
 - debate over content of programmes, 92–5
 - distance learning, 100–3
 - domain knowledge, 94
 - e-learning, 100–3
 - funding issues, 95
 - growth of business schools in emerging nations, 114–16
 - impact and implications of globalisation, 103–5
 - impact and implications of technology, 99–103
 - implications of ICT, 100–3
 - inclusion of entrepreneurial enterprise, 116–18
 - inclusive distance learning options, 112–14
 - influence of social factors, 96
 - key forces driving change, 95–114
 - local options in emerging nations, 107–12
 - positivist model, 8–10
 - quality issues, 95
 - requirements of emerging markets, 106–14
 - scope of the curriculum, 240–1
 - skills and qualities required by managers, 92–4
 - unmet needs in MBA programmes, 168
- management education models
 - 50+20 Management Education for the World project, 182–3
 - challenges in the operating environment, 186–8
 - guidelines for transformation, 189–95
 - Haas/Berkeley dynamic capabilities model, 171–3
 - ideas from Chicago/Booth, 177
 - ideas from Stanford, 176–7
 - ideas from Yale, 175–7
 - Lorange network model, 184–6
 - Mintzberg's IMPM (International Master's Program in Practising Management), 169–71
 - Open University (OU) blended learning model, 177–81
 - Rotman design thinking model, 173–5
 - UC San Diego focused innovation model, 181–2
 - See also* curricula, Lorange model of management education, Sheth model of business education.
- management knowledge
 - lack of agreement on definition, 65
- managerial skills
 - lack of focus on, 67
 - skills and qualities required, 92–4
- Manchester Business School, 6
- Manchester University, 5
- Manipal University, India, 110, 161
- Mannheim business school, 13
- market-driven focus of business schools, 68–71
- Martin, Roger, 7, 167, 173–5
- Master of Business Administration degree. *See* MBA degree
- MBA degree
 - blended learning, 35
 - distance learning, 35
 - flexible one-year model, 37
 - launch of, 7
- MBA programmes
 - unmet needs in, 168
- McArthur Foundation, 161
- McCombs business school (Texas), 74
- McGill University, 207
- Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame, 73
- Mintzberg, Henry, 63, 67, 91–4, 119, 167, 206–9, 254, 257
 - IMPM (International Master's Program in Practising Management), 169–71
- MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 100
- MIT business school. *See* Sloan School of Management
- models. *See* management education models, business school models
- Monitor Consulting Company, 120

- moral compass, 150
- moral responsibility
 - absence in business school teaching, 67
- Motorola, 119
- multiple stakeholders, 86
- Myers-Briggs personality inventory, 261

- network model (Lorange Institute of Business), 184–6
- network model of internationalisation, 104
- Newman, John Henry, 58, 62–3, 92–4
- NGOs (non-governmental organisations), 144
- North Carolina State University, 112
- Northwestern University, 112
- Nottingham Business School, 183
- Nueno, Pedro, 10
- NUS (Singapore), 24

- Open University Business School, 15, 35, 101, 121, 146
 - blended learning model, 177–81
- organisational change
 - and leadership, 258–60
- organisational structures
 - academic organisations, 209–11
 - business schools, 210–11
 - Mintzberg’s classification, 207
 - professional service firms (PSFs), 209–11
 - tension between autonomy and control, 209–11

- Page, Larry, 116
- paradigm shift model of evolution, 22
- path-bending leaders, 254
- peer skills of managers, 93
- Peking University, 108
- pension provision and retirement age, 97
- performance delivery
 - focus in capability review models, 244–5
- personality inventories, 261
- Peters, Kai, 265, 269
- Pfeffer, Jeff, 54
- Podolny, Joel, 54, 175, 251
- Porter, Michael, 119
 - five forces model, 246
- positioning of business schools, 45–9
 - ambiguity of relationship with universities, 64–5
 - as professional service firms (PSFs), 206

- positivist model of management education, 8–10
- practice-based model of distance learning, 178–9
- Princeton University, 73
- Principles for Responsible Management Education (PRME), 182
- problem-solving and creative organisations, 209
- professional campus forms of PSF, 227–9
- professional competencies, 216–18
- professional identity of business schools, 68
- professional model for business schools, 71, 86
- professional organisations
 - leadership style, 207–9
 - Mintzberg’s definition, 207
 - strategy formation process, 207–9
- professional service firms (PSFs)
 - business schools as, 197
 - definition, 197
 - expertise of employees, 204–5
 - knowledge intensity, 216–24
 - low capital intensity, 224–9
 - organisational challenges, 211–14
 - organisational structure, 209–11
 - professionalised workforce, 229–31
 - research on organisations, 198–9
 - underlying knowledge systems, 205–6
- professionalised workforce
 - in PSFs, 229–31
- professionalising management
 - goal of business schools, 198
- professions
 - debate over inclusion of management, 65
- Public Ivies, 39–40
- public/private partnerships, 144, 161–2
- purpose-driven values in students, 149–50

- Qatar, 112
- Quality Assurance Agency, 95
- quality issues in management education, 95

- Rameau, Claude, 10
- ranking of business schools
 - Businessweek* rankings, 139, 153
 - Financial Times (FT)* MBA rankings, 32, 35, 39, 41, 43
 - influence on business school culture, 153–4

280 INDEX

- ranking of business schools (cont.)
 - influence on business schools, 68–71
 - University of Texas (Dallas) rankings, 17, 43
- reputation effects for business schools, 38–43
- research by business schools
 - criticisms of, 67
- Research Excellence Framework, 95
- research in business schools
 - costs of, 268–9
 - quality of, 95
- research on business schools
 - dataset, 216
- resource-allocation skills of managers, 93
- resource-based view (RBV) of the firm, 246–8
- retirement age, 97
- Rhodes, Frank, 57–8, 65
- Rochester Institute of Technology, 100, 112
- Roll, Martin, 72
- Rotman School of Management, 7
 - design thinking model, 173–5
- Royal Holloway college, 112
- Russia, 106

- Saïd Business School (Oxford), 13
- Saloner, Garth, 176
- Scandinavian business schools, 14
- Schoemaker, Paul, 55, 83
- schools of commerce (UK), 2
- Sciences Po, Paris, 118
- scientific logical positivism, 8–10
- scientific management (Taylor), 6
- scientific rigour
 - narrow focus in business schools, 67–8
- second generation of business schools, 23
- self-destructive habits of businesses, 139–40
- shareholder capitalism
 - promotion by business schools, 67
- Sheth model of business education
 - augmented student selection criteria, 147–51
 - broadening the market, 141–7
 - building selection value, 147–51
 - changing business school culture, 151–4
 - competition for external funding, 159
 - continuous lifelong education, 156–7
 - emerging markets perspective, 154–5
 - enabling non-linear transformation, 154–7
 - enhancing development value, 151–4
 - exogenous factors in development, 157–62
 - exogenous factors in selection, 157–62
 - growth of emerging markets, 160–1
 - impact of technology advances, 159–60
 - important elements of change, 162–4
 - individualised learning, 155–6
 - integrated learning, 155
 - interactive learning, 155
 - need for change, 137–8
 - problems with accreditation, 158
 - public/private partnerships, 161–2
 - resistance to change, 138–41
 - role of reputation rankings, 153–4
 - Simon’s approach to research and teaching, 164
 - stakeholder perspective, 141–7
 - student selection criteria, 164
 - university governance, 159
- Sheth, Jagdish, 137
- short-term bottom-line focus, 124
- silo mentality, 124–6
- Simon, Herbert, 7, 164, 241
- Singapore Management University (SMU), 24, 29, 37, 63, 105
- size of business schools
 - variations in, 30–1
- Skapinker, Michael, 54–5
- Sloan School of Management, 8, 74, 109
- SMEs (small and medium-sized enterprises), 118, 183
- Smith, Frederick, 116
- social capital
 - differences between business schools, 25, 38–43
- social conscience
 - issues for business schools, 67
- social construction model
 - belief types, 22
 - competitive differences, 25, 31–8
 - differentiation phase, 24
 - fifth generation of business schools, 24
 - first generation of business schools, 22–3
 - fourth generation of business schools, 24
 - future evolution, 25
 - identity issues for business schools, 45–9
 - institutional differences, 25, 28–31
 - legitimacy issues for business schools, 45–9

- positioning issues for business schools, 45–9
- present situation, 25
- reputation effects and brand loyalty, 38–43
- second generation of business schools, 23
- social capital differences, 25, 38–43
- third generation of business schools, 24
- social factors
 - influence on demand for education, 96
- social/digital media
 - learning communities, 180–1
- socialisation mechanism
 - criticism of business schools, 66
- solution and output organisations, 209
- South Korea, 16, 32, 112
- SP Jain Institute of Management and Research, 109
- Spain
 - development of business schools, 13
- St Gallen (Switzerland), 4, 11, 81
- stakeholder capitalism, 66, 138
- stakeholder perspective, 141–7, 163
- Stanford Business School, 8, 167
- Stanford Graduate School of Business
 - restructured curriculum, 176–7
- Starkey, Ken, 86, 183–4
- Starkey model, 183–4
- strategic change
 - role of the business school dean, 258–60
- strategic leadership, 255–6
- Strategos Consulting, 120
- strategy
 - focus in capability review models, 244
- strategy formation process
 - adhocracy organisations, 207–8
 - professional organisations, 207–9
- student selection criteria, 164
 - augmented criteria, 147–51
 - building selection value, 147–51
 - compassion, 150
 - influence of exogenous factors, 157–62
 - passion, 149
 - purpose-driven values, 149–50
- students
 - changing student demand patterns, 95
- Sugar, Alan, 116
- Sullivan, Bob, 181
- SUNY at Stonybrook, 112
- Switzerland
 - business schools, 14
- tangible assets, 246
- Tata Consultancy Services, 37
- Taylor, Frederick, 6
- Taylorism, 6
- teaching in business schools
 - costs of, 268–9
 - quality of, 95
- technology
 - competence-destroying innovations, 100
 - disruptive technology, 100–3
 - impact and implications for management education, 99–103
- technology advances
 - impact on business schools, 159–60
- Teece, David, 241, 247–8, 252–4
- Texas A&M University, 112
- The Economist*, 120
- The World is Flat* (Friedman), 103
- theoretical pluralism, 86
- theory of managing, 241
- third generation of business schools, 24
- Thomas, Howard, 163, 265, 269
- Thunderbird School of Global Management, 113
- trade school era of business schools, 7, 64
- training for leadership, 260–2
- transformational change in curricula, 163
- triple bottom line focus, 138
- TRIUM Global Executive MBA programme, 120
- trust building in professional situations, 218–22
- Tsinghua University, 109, 111
- Tuck, Amos, 3
- Tyson, Laura, 103, 167
- UCLA business school, 8
- UK
 - development of business schools, 13
 - diversity of business school models, 14–15
 - schools of commerce, 2
- U-NEXT, 179
- United Arab Emirates, 111
- universities
 - acceptance of a business school, 74
 - criticisms of academic institutions, 56–9

282 INDEX

- universities (cont.)
 - debate over the place of business schools, 62–4
 - dominance of committees and red tape, 61
 - effects of explosion in student numbers, 62
 - failure to balance research and teaching, 60
 - governance of business schools, 159
 - impediments to change and liberalisation, 59–64
 - inflexible academic structures, 59
 - lack of curriculum innovation, 61
 - lack of top-down strategic direction, 61–2
 - slow pedagogical progress, 61
 - tensions with business schools, 64–5
 - traditional axiomatic structure, 60
- university affiliation
 - and business school governance, 75–8
 - and business school identity, 71–3
 - autonomy of business schools, 74–8
 - business school administration, 78–82
 - debate over the place of business schools, 62–4
 - issues for business schools, 52–6
 - lack of integration of business schools, 74–5
 - tensions between school and university, 71–82
- university alliance programmes, 120
- University of California, Berkeley
 - business school, 8
- University of California, San Diego
 - focused innovation model, 181–2
- University of London, 112
- University of North Carolina, 35, 146
- University of Phoenix, 32, 110, 121, 179
- University of Texas (Dallas) rankings, 17, 43
- US
 - development of business schools, 6–10
- US business schools
 - comparison with those in Asia and Europe, 25–45
 - institutional characteristics, 28–31
 - size of, 30–1
- value creation through knowledge management, 199–200
- Veblen, Thorstein, 63, 69
- Virginia Commonwealth University, 112
- virtual ‘click’ universities, 101
- Warwick Business School (WBS), 13, 15, 35, 121
- Watson, Steven, 55, 92–4
- Weill Cornell Medical College, 112
- Welch, Jack, 119
- Wharton School, 2, 6, 74, 77, 111, 117, 144
- Wharton, Joseph, 3, 6
- WHU Koblenz, 13
- Wipro, 111
- Woo, Carolyn, 73
- World Bank, 161
- World Business School Council for Sustainable Business (WBSCSB), 182
- World Trade Organization (WTO), 103
- WorldCom, 67
- Yale School of Management, 161, 167, 251
 - restructured curriculum, 175–7
- Yale University, 73, 143, 175–7
- Zuckerberg, Mark, 116
- Zurich Living Case approach, 261