

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>List of tables</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
1 Introducing the political system of the European Union	1
2 Research design: measuring controversy spatially	21
Part I: Inputs	49
3 The European Union’s political space	51
4 The European Commission’s policy positions	79
5 The European Parliament’s policy positions	102
6 Member states’ policy positions	132
Part II: Processes	157
7 Transforming policy positions into decision outcomes	159
8 The relative power of the institutions	188
9 The relative power of the member states	212
Part III: Outputs	227
10 Decision outcomes	229
11 Delegation	252
12 Evaluating and improving the European Union	279

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-01376-6 - Resolving Controversy in the European Union: Legislative Decision-Making
Before and After Enlargement
Robert Thomson
Table of Contents
[More information](#)

vi	Contents	
	<i>Appendix: The selection of legislative proposals</i>	297
	<i>References</i>	304
	<i>Index</i>	320

Figures

1.1 EU member states' wealth and domestic economic freedom	<i>page 9</i>
1.2 The consultation and co-decision procedures. <i>Source: Article 251 TEC; Thomson and Hosli (2006a)</i>	12
2.1 Controversial issues raised by the proposal on sugar sector reform	23
3.1 The main controversial issue raised by the proposed working time directive	59
3.2 Structure in actor alignments in the EU-15	63
3.3 Structure in actor alignments in the post-2004 EU	64
3.4 Confidence intervals (95 per cent) for the positions of actors on issues concerning choices between different levels of harmonization before (above) and after (below) the 2004 enlargement	71
3.5 Confidence intervals (95 per cent) for the positions of actors on issues concerning choices between regulation and free market alternatives before (above) and after (below) the 2004 enlargement	72
3.6 Confidence intervals (95 per cent) for the positions of actors on issues regarding financial subsidies before (above) and after (below) the 2004 enlargement	73
4.1 The main controversies raised by the proposed directive on emission allowances in the aviation sector	88
4.2 The structure of the data analyses	91
4.3 The Santer, Prodi and Barroso Commission's policy positions on different types of controversies	93
5.1 Controversial issues raised by the proposed regulation establishing a European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument	115
5.2 The fourth, fifth and sixth EP's policy positions on different types of controversies	118
	vii

viii	List of figures	
5.3	EP party groups' policy positions on a subset of issues from the sixth legislature	120
5.4	Frequency of national factions in the sixth legislature	122
6.1	The main controversial issue raised by the proposed directive on postal services	141
7.1	Predictions of a procedural model. <i>Source:</i> Adapted from Tsebelis and Garrett (2000) and König and Proksch (2006)	163
7.2	Controversial issues raised by the proposed regulation on production aid for cotton	174
8.1	A controversy raised by the proposed directive on data retention	199
8.2	Errors from varying the Commission and Council's relative power under consultation	205
8.3	The distribution of power between the Commission and the Council under the consultation procedure	206
8.4	Errors from varying the three institutions' relative power under co-decision	208
8.5	The distribution of power among the three institutions under the co-decision procedure	209
9.1	The regressive power distribution in the Council	215
9.2	A controversy raised by the payment services directive	218
10.1	Controversial issues raised by the proposed directive on rules for the protection of chickens kept for meat production	239
10.2	Distances between actors' policy positions and decision outcomes before and after enlargement	243
11.1	Controversial issues raised by the proposed directive on the taxation of savings income	263
11.2	The effect of polarization in the Council on delegation to member states in national regulatory issues	274

Tables

1.1 EU member states' population sizes and voting weights	<i>page</i> 11
2.1 Distribution of selected proposals and issues by decision-making procedures	29
2.2 Distribution of the selected legislative proposals by policy area	31
2.3 Interviews held to obtain information on the selected legislative proposals	33
2.4 Numbers of actors that took positions on the controversial issues	42
2.5 The importance of the controversial issues in the sugar sector reform to each of the actors	46
3.1 Frequency of actor alignments identified in the MDS results	66
3.2 Frequency of other actor alignments	68
3.3 Frequencies of prominent actor alignments on different types of controversial issues	69
4.1 The commissioners who were primarily responsible for the selected legislative proposals	87
4.2 Factors affecting the distance between the Commission and member states' positions on regulation and subsidy issues	94
4.3 Factors affecting the distance between the Commission and member states' positions	97
5.1 The composition of the fourth, fifth and sixth legislatures	113
5.2 Factors conditioning the effect of rapporteurs' nationalities on the distance between the EP and member states' positions	125
5.3 The effect of rapporteurs from different party groups	128
5.4 The effect of rapporteurs' nationalities under different conditions	129
6.1 Descriptive statistics for dependent and independent variables	140
	ix

x	List of tables	
6.2	Factors affecting the distance between member states' positions on issues regarding the strength of regulation and levels of financial subsidies	146
6.3	Factors affecting the distance between member states' positions on issues regarding the level of European integration	150
6.4	Factors affecting the distance between member states' positions on issues in the policy area of internal market	151
6.5	Factors affecting the distance between member states' positions on issues in the policy area of agriculture	153
7.1	Differences between point predictions of models, reference points and actual decision outcomes	180
7.2	Errors of the models in predicting decision outcomes before and after enlargement	181
7.3	Errors of the models by policy area	182
7.4	Errors of the models by number of policy alternatives on policy scales	182
7.5	Number of times the row prediction was better than the column prediction	183
8.1	The intergovernmental and supranational models compared	202
8.2	Errors of the models in predicting decision outcomes before and after enlargement	203
8.3	The relative predictive accuracy of supranational and intergovernmental models	204
9.1	Differences between models' predictions and actual decision outcomes	221
9.2	Errors of the models in predicting decision outcomes before and after enlargement	222
9.3	Number of times the row prediction was better or worse than the column prediction	223
10.1	Descriptive statistics for dependent and independent variables on the observations included in this chapter's analyses	242
10.2	Factors affecting the distance between member states' policy positions and decision outcomes on all issues	245
10.3	Factors affecting distances between states' positions and outcomes on issues subject to different decision-making rules	246
10.4	Factors affecting distances between states' positions and outcomes on issues before and after enlargement	247

List of tables	xi
11.1 The nine decision outcomes that delegate discretionary power to the Commission	268
11.2 Factors affecting delegation to the Commission	269
11.3a The relative frequency of decision outcomes that delegate discretionary power to member states with a narrow definition of delegation	272
11.3b The relative frequency of decision outcomes that delegate discretionary power to member states with a broad definition of delegation	272
11.4a Factors affecting delegation to member states (narrow definition of delegation)	273
11.4b Factors affecting delegation to member states (broad definition of delegation)	273