Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds

This book explores the relationship between sport and democratization. Drawing on sociological and historical methodologies, it provides a framework for understanding how sport affects the level of egalitarianism in the society in which it is played. The author distinguishes between horizontal sport, which embodies and fosters egalitarian relations, and vertical sport, which embodies and fosters hierarchical relations. He also differentiates between societies in which sport is played and watched on a mass scale and those in which it is an ancillary activity. Using ancient Greece and nineteenth-century Britain as case studies, he analyzes how these variables interact and finds that horizontal mass sport has the capacity to both promote and inhibit democratization at a societal level. He concludes that horizontal mass sport tends to reinforce and extend democratization.

Paul Christesen is Professor of Classics at Dartmouth College. He is the author of *Olympic Victor Lists and Ancient Greek History* (Cambridge, 2007) and co-editor (with Donald Kyle) of the *Wiley-Blackwell Companion to Sport and Spectacle in Greek and Roman Antiquity* (forthcoming).

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01269-1 - Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds Paul Christesen Frontmatter <u>More information</u> Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01269-1 - Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds Paul Christesen Frontmatter More information

Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds

PAUL CHRISTESEN Dartmouth College



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107012691

Paul Christesen 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Christesen, Paul, 1966– Sport and democracy in the ancient and modern worlds / Paul Christesen. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-01269-1 (hardback) I. Sports – History. 2. Sports – Sociological aspects. I. Title. GV573.C57 2012 306.4'83-dc23 2011049737

ISBN 978-1-107-01269-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> viii
List of Maps	ix
List of Tables	x
Acknowledgments	xi
Preface	xiii
A Note on the Title	xvii
1 Introduction	I
1.1. Setting the Scene	I
1.2. Looking Forward	6
2 Terms and Concepts	12
2.1. Democratization	12
2.2. Mass Sport	15
2.3. Horizontal and Vertical Sport	20
3 Previous Work Positing a Causal Relationship between Sport	
and Democratization	28
3.1. Sources of Social Order	28
3.2. The American Progressives	31
3.3. Functionalism	35
3.4. Critical Sport Sociology	38
3.5. Robert Putnam, Social Capital, and Sport	41
4 Congruence between Society and Sport	47
4.1. The Impact of Society on Sport	47
4.2. The Impact of Sport on Society	56
5 Sport as a School for Democracy	64
5.1. Introduction	64
5.2. Small-Scale, Tightly Bonded, Horizontal Groups	66
5.3. Particularized and Generalized Trust	78

vi	Contents	
	5.4. Political Efficacy	85
	5.5. Disciplined Citizens	91
6	Sport as an Impediment to Democratization	99
	6.1. Introduction	99
	6.2. Docility	100
	6.3. Exclusion	102
	6.4. Hostility	104
	6.5. Inequalities Springing from Meritocratic Competition	105
7	The Cumulative Effect of Horizontal Mass Sport on Democratization	
	7.1. Measurement	107
	7.2. Outcomes	107 111
	7.3. The Use of Historical Evidence	115
8	Sport and Society in Early Iron Age Greece	119
0	8.1. Introduction	119
	8.2. Social History	120
	8.3. Sport History	125
	8.4. Sport and Society in Early Iron Age Greece	132
9	Sport and Society in Sixth- and Fifth-Century BCE Greece	135
	9.1. Social History	135
	9.2. Sport in Athens	145
	9.3. Sport in Sparta	151
	9.4. The Emergence of Mass Sport	153
	9.5. Horizontality and Verticality in Greek Sport	160
10	1	
	Greece 10.1. Introduction	164
	10.1. Sport as an Arena of Meritocratic Status Competition	164 166
	10.3. Sport as a Model of and for Horizontal Relationships	168
	10.4. Sport and Group Closure	172
	10.5. Transition	178
II	Sport and Society in Britain from 1800 to 1840	184
	11.1. Introduction	184
	11.2. Background	186
	11.3. Social History	188
	11.4. Education	192
	11.5. Sport	195
12	Sport and Society in Britain from 1840 to 1870	202
	12.1. Social History	202
	12.2. Education	205
	12.3. Sport	207

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-01269-1 - Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds
Paul Christesen
Frontmatter
More information

Contents	vii
13 Sport in Nineteenth- and Early Twentieth-Century Germany	217
13.1. Historical Overview	217
13.2. Education	219
13.3. Gymnastics	220
13.4. Other Forms of Sport	223
13.5. Conclusion	228
14 Sport and Society in Britain from 1870 to 1900	229
14.1. Social History	229
14.2. Education	232
14.3. Sport	233
15 Sport and Democratization in Nineteenth-Century Britain	239
15.1. Introduction	239
15.2. Meritocratic Status Competition, Horizontal	
Relationships, and Group Closure	241
16 Mass Sport in the United States	251
17 Conclusion	260
Bibliography	271
Index	301

List of Figures

Ι	Percentage of Females among Total Number of Individuals in Various Fields and Activities in the United States, 1970	
		<i>b</i> 4 9 4 4
	to Present, by Decade	page 3
2	Schematic Representation of Allen Guttmann's Definition	
	of Sport	16
	"Foot Ball at Rugby" Engraving	23
4	Swedish Gymnastics at the Hague Street School, Miles Platting,	
	Manchester, 1911	24
5	The Boys' Soccer Team at Franklin Monroe High School in	
	Arcanum, Ohio, Does Caterpillar Pushups, 2010	26
6	Students Do Morning Calisthenics at the Wenxuan Middle	
	School in Liaocheng, Shandong Province, China, 2002	52
7	Text of 1971 Memo from the Football Coach at the University	
	of South Carolina	102
8	Marble Statue of a Girl Runner (Vatican Museum #2784)	
	Roman-Era Copy of Bronze Original from c. 460 BCE	130
9a	Flute Player and Chorus from Vase Painted in Corinth	2
	c. 580 BCE	148
9b	Drawing of the Entire Scene Painted on the Vase Pictured in	
/ -	Figure 9a	149
то	Chorus of Pyrrhic Dancers Shown on Marble Relief from	- + 2
10	Athens (Acropolis Museum #1338), 330–320 BCE	150
тт	Cartoon from the June 15, 1872, Edition of <i>Punch</i> Showing	1,0
11	Unsuccessful Rower and Coach	213
та	Polity Project Democratization Scores for Britain and Germany,	213
14	1800–1940, by Decade	
		219
-	<i>Turnfest</i> in Cologne, Germany, 1928	223
	<i>Turnfest</i> in Frankfurt, Germany, 1930	224
15	Jackie Robinson Integrates Baseball, Opening Day,	
	April 15, 1947	259

List of Maps

I	Map of Greece Showing Location of Olympia and Other	
	Panhellenic Game Sites	page 127
2	Map of Laconia and Sparta	142

List of Tables

I	Characteristics of Horizontal and Vertical Sport	page 22
2	Unified Democracy Scores for Norway, 1946–2008, by	
	Half Decade	55
3	Types of Social Capital	67
4	Sport Participation Rates and Unified Democracy Scores for	
	Thirty-Four Countries in the Years 2007–2008	112
5	Changes in Sport Participation Rates and Unified Democracy	
	Scores over Time in Denmark, Japan, and West Germany	114
6	Polity Project Democratization Scores for Nineteenth-Century	
	Britain	185
7	Dates of the Creation of Sports Governing Bodies in Nineteenth	-
	Century Britain	237

Acknowledgments

In the course of bringing this project to completion I have been immeasurably aided by more individuals than I can properly thank. The Humanities Research Center at Rice University generously provided a fellowship that made it possible to write much of the text of this book. The Dean of the Humanities at Dartmouth College was kind enough to supply funds to defray the costs of acquiring publication rights for the images reproduced herein.

Numerous people, most notably Allen Guttmann, Richard Holt, Donald Kyle, Ned Lebow, Aurora McClain, and Stephen Miller read earlier drafts and made suggestions that contributed markedly to the final product. My colleagues in the Department of Classics at Dartmouth, Margaret Graver and Jeremy Rutter, also provided helpful comments for which I am grateful. Special thanks are due to James Tatum, who provided invaluable notes on a near-final version of the manuscript. Along the way I have received advice on specific points and assistance from Paul Cartledge, Danny Diceanu, Matthew Guterl, Stephen Hodkinson, Claire Hornig, Samuel Kahng, Zara Martirosova Torlone, Ellen Millender, and Max Weiner. Jennifer Carey edited the manuscript and notably improved it in the process. The debt I owe to my family in general and my parents in particular defies simple expression but is no doubt well known to readers from their own experience. Finally, special thanks are due to my wife Cecilia and my son Michael for their unswerving patience and support.

Hanover, New Hampshire January 1, 2012 Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01269-1 - Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds Paul Christesen Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Preface

Living on top of a fault line tends to make people uneasy. Consciously choosing to do so may seem more than a bit perverse, and the architecture of this book, which is built astride two distinct fault lines, merits brief explanation.

In general terms, scholars who study sport fall into two broad groups: historians and sociologists. Given that they share a passionate interest in a similar body of material, one might well expect there to be a constant, fruitful interchange between sport historians and sport sociologists, but in practice such interchanges occur with less than optimal frequency. The divide between the two groups is evident in the existence of two separate professional associations, the North American Society for Sport History and the North American Society for the Sociology of Sport, which hold annual conferences, at different times and in different cities. In 2011, for example, sport historians came together in May in Austin, sport sociologists in November in Minneapolis. Sport historians are few and far between at the conferences organized by the North American Society for the Sociology of Sport, and sport sociologists equally scarce at North American Society for Sport History gatherings.¹

This situation is less inexplicable than it might seem at first glance. The relationship between history and sociology has been complicated ever since these disciplines coalesced in a formal fashion in the nineteenth century. Despite the fact that scholars in both disciplines explore and seek to explain human social activity, particularly within the bounds of complex, literate societies, and despite repeated calls for a symbiotic relationship between the

¹ I am aware that the preferred usages are "sociology of sport" and "sociologist of sport" and will, throughout the text that follows, regularly (though not exclusively) employ the former but not the latter. The reason for this is purely stylistic – "sociologist of sport" is less than an entirely elegant phrase. On the reasons why these are the preferred usages, see Malcolm 2012, 27.

xiv

Preface

two,² history and sociology remain largely separate enterprises.³ Among the many reasons why this is the case, two stand out.

First, historians tend to emphasize human agency as a key factor shaping social activity, whereas sociologists stress the importance of social structures. A satisfactory resolution for what might be called the agency/ structure dilemma has remained elusive. As Philip Abrams put it more than three decades ago:

It is the problem of finding a way of accounting for human experience which recognizes simultaneously and in equal measure that history and society are made by constant, more or less purposeful, individual action and that individual action, however purposeful, is made by history and society. How do we as active subjects make a world of social objects which then, as it were, become subjects making us their objects?

Abrams concluded that the dilemma "is easily and endlessly formulated but, it seems, stupifyingly difficult to resolve."⁴

Second, historians tend to have an idiographic orientation, sociologists a nomothetic one. Although there is enormous variation among individual scholars, it is probably safe to say that historians typically focus on "the meanings of the complexity of lived experience" and assume that "God is in the details." Sociologists, on the other hand, "aim to cut through the messy details that make up real life" and seek "to find underlying general structures and principles."⁵ That is not to say that historians are disinterested in theoretical approaches and that sociologists are disinterested in detailed factual information, but that historians tend to see theory as a means to the end of understanding the material they study, whereas many sociologists see the generation of theories as an important goal of their work. Historians and sociologists can thus have considerable difficulty in agreeing on either what to do or how to do it.

Nonetheless, the potential value of a hybridized approach incorporating both history and sociology remains significant. This book seeks to untangle the relationship between democratization in society and democratization in sport by using an approach that draws heavily on both sport history and the sociology of sport. Thus, Chapters I to 7 draw heavily on the sociology of sport, Chapters 8 to 16 on sport history. As a result, the work that follows rests directly on the fault line between the history of sport and the sociology of sport.

² See, for instance, C. W. Mills 1959, 143–64.

³ There are of course a number of notable exceptions, including much of the scholarship produced by *Annalistes* such as Braudel, on which see L. Hunt 1986. For a discussion of the relationship between the history of sport and the sociology of sport, see Malcolm 2012, 117–34 (with the caveat that this discussion was written by a sport sociologist).

⁴ Abrams 1980, 7, 8, respectively.

⁵ Morris 2002, 8. On idiographic and nomothetic approaches, see Windelband 1894.

Preface

This book also sits atop another fault line, this one within sport history itself, because it incorporates and juxtaposes both ancient and modern material. Chapters 8 to 10 explore sport in ancient Greece, Chapters 11 to 15 sport in nineteenth-century Britain and Germany, Chapter 16 sport in the United States in the twentieth century. Classicists specializing in the study of ancient Greek history have since the nineteenth century evinced an interest in sport, based on an awareness of the great importance of sport in ancient Greek society.⁶ It was, however, not until the 1960s that the study of sport in more recent periods emerged as a distinct subfield.⁷ Since that time, sport historians who focus on ancient and modern material have for the most part formed two distinct groups, with an amicable but functionally distant relationship. That distance reflects a commonly held belief, perhaps most evident in the influential work of Allen Guttmann,⁸ that ancient and modern sport were fundamentally different, not just with respect to the sports that were played, but also with respect to the very nature of sport.

My decision to write a book that sits astride these two disciplinary fault lines was not driven by a quixotic quest to seek out and bridge scholarly divides. Rather it reflects my conviction that it is impossible to study the relationship between democratization in society and in sport in a satisfactory manner without drawing on a broad range of methodologies and material. Indispensable analytical benefits flow from simultaneously bringing empirical and theoretical perspectives to the same questions, and the juxtaposition of ancient and modern material makes it possible to clarify issues of causation in ways that would be otherwise impossible.

In carrying out this project I have had both the disadvantage and advantage of being in many ways an outsider. I was trained as an ancient historian, with a particular focus on the economic history of ancient Greece, and in the past decade I have worked extensively on the historiography and history of ancient Greece in the Archaic and Classical periods (700-323 BCE). I would describe myself as neither a sport historian nor a sport sociologist, but as a social historian with a strong interest in ancient Greece. My point of entry for this project had significant disadvantages, among them the need to familiarize myself with a considerable body of theoretical and historical material. On the other hand, I had the advantages of not being invested in any particular approach to studying the relationship between sport and democratization and of having a grounding in economic history, a field in which the intermingling of theoretical and empirical approaches is almost a given. Moreover, my familiarity with ancient Greek sport conferred a certain breadth of vision when it came to dealing with modern-day sport. As an outsider, I was in perhaps a better position than I otherwise would have been

xv

⁶ See, for instance, Gardiner 1910.

⁷ See Struna 1985.

⁸ See in particular Guttmann 1978.

xvi

Preface

when it came to thinking about the most productive fashion of exploring the complicated interconnections between sport and democratization.

To endeavor to bring together sport history and the sociology of sport and ancient and modern material inevitably entails certain risks and could easily be construed as (and might well be) foolhardy. A text that draws on a diverse array of methodologies and material may attract the approbation of none and the ire of all, and individual readers, with varying areas of expertise, may find some parts of the text overly simplified, other parts overly complex. My hope is that each part of the discussion that follows has done sufficient justice to the subject matter as to satisfy specialists and enlighten nonspecialists. Rather than attempting to provide a comprehensive bibliography, I have cited only those pieces of scholarship that are most directly relevant. My expectation is that, for any given point, specialists will already be familiar with the full spectrum of pertinent sources, and that nonspecialists are best served by being guided to good starting points for further reading.

The appeal and the importance of the questions addressed in this book are, I think, apparent, and I hope that the same can be said about the possibilities created by bringing together sociology and history and ancient and modern material. Whether the promise inherent in subject matter and approach is realized is of course a matter for the reader to decide.

A Note on the Title

The title on the cover of this book is something of a relic. When I originally conceived this project, I imagined it to be an exploration of the relationship between sport and democracy. As the project moved forward, I discovered that it was much more productive to consider the relationship between sport and democratization. However, by the time I made that discovery the manuscript was already under contract, and a title had been irrevocably chosen. If I had to do it over again, I would give this book a different title: *A School for Democracy: Sport and Democratization in the Ancient and Modern Worlds*. Democracy and democratization are sufficiently closely related that the title as given is not, I think, fundamentally misleading. However, the reader should be aware that this is a book about democratization rather than democracy, an important distinction that is discussed in detail in the text that follows.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01269-1 - Sport and Democracy in the Ancient and Modern Worlds Paul Christesen Frontmatter <u>More information</u>