

## THE ISRAELI SUPREME COURT AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS REVOLUTION: COURTS AS AGENDA SETTERS

This book explains the reciprocal relations between the Supreme Court and the Israeli political system. It is based on a unique approach that contends that the non-governability of the political system and an alternative political culture are two key formal and informal variables affecting the behavior of several political players within the Israeli arena. The analysis illustrates the usefulness of such a model for analyzing long-term socio-political processes and explaining the actions of the players. Until this model changes significantly, the decisions of the High Court of Justice express the values of the state and enable Israel to remain a nation that upholds human rights. The court's decisions determine the normative educational direction and reflect Israel's democratic character with regard to the values of human rights.

Dr. Assaf Meydani is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Government and Society at the Academic College of Tel-Aviv–Yaffo. His research interests include public policy, politics and law, and political economy. Dr. Meydani has been a Visiting Scholar at the Center for New Institutional Science at Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, and the Institute of Management, Innovation, and Organization at the University of California at Berkeley. He is the author of *Political Transformations and Political Entrepreneurs: Israel in Comparative Perspective* (2009). His articles have appeared in journals such as *Israel Studies*, *Israel Law Review*, *Policy and Society*, *Constitutional Political Economy*, *Rationality and Society*, and *Land Use Policy*.



# The Israeli Supreme Court and the Human Rights Revolution

**COURTS AS AGENDA SETTERS** 

### Assaf Meydani

School of Government and Society, The Academic College of Tel-Aviv–Yaffo







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107012622

© Assaf Meydani 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Meydani, Assaf.

The Israeli Supreme Court and the human rights revolution : courts as agenda setters / Assaf Meydani.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01262-2 (hardback)

- 1. Courts of last resort Israel. 2. Political questions and judicial power Israel.
- 3. Constitutional law Israel. 4. Human rights Israel. 1. Title.

KMK3466.M49 2011

347.5694´035 – dc22 2011009809

ISBN 978-1-107-01262-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> To Marit, Romy, and Alma with love and appreciation. To Lea and Ben-Zion Meydani – thank you for always being there.



#### Contents

Fig	ures and Tables	page xi
Ack	knowledgments	xiii
I	Introduction	I
2	The Intervention of the Israeli High Court of Justice in Government Decisions: An Empirical, Quantitative Study	
	with Paradoxical Results	6
	2.1 On the Method of the Quantitative Study	8
	2.2 Research Findings	ΙI
	2.3 From a Descriptive Analysis to a Path-Dependent	
	Analysis: From Intervention to Involvement	16
3	Law and Politics: Theoretical Aspects	19
	<ul><li>3.1 Political Thought and Legal Thought</li><li>3.2 Major Approaches for Analyzing the Relationship</li></ul>	20
	Between Law and Politics	25
	3.3 The Supreme Court and the Political System in Israel	33
	3.4 Summary and Contribution	39
4	The Supreme Court as a Political Entrepreneur in the Proces of Institutional Change – an Analysis Based on Shared	s
	Mental Models and Political Entrepreneurship	43
	4.1 Institutional Theory	43
	4.2 The Sociological Perspective of Institutional Theory	44
	4.3 Institutional Theory as Perceived through Social	
	Choice Studies	45
	4.4 Political Entrepreneurship	51
	4.5 The Policy-Making Process and Supreme Courts	53



viii Contents

	4.6 The Involvement of the Supreme Court in Policy-Making Processes	58
5	Israeli Public Law	63
	<ul><li>5.1 Public Law and Human Rights</li><li>5.2 The Constitutional Mechanism in Law and Practice</li><li>5.3 Israeli Constitutional History and the Human</li></ul>	63 63
	Rights Statement 5.4 The Separation of Powers: The Role of the Israeli	65
	Supreme Court in Defending Human Rights in Israel 5.5 A Jewish and Democratic State: An Undefined	67
	Human Rights Attitude	68
6	Israel in Light of Structural and Cultural Variables 6.1 The Political Culture: Israel and Its Non-Liberal	69
	Culture of Alternative Politics  6.2 The Transformation of Israeli Political Culture: From a Top-Down to a Bottom-Up Orientation in	69
	Decision-Making Processes	70
	<ul><li>6.3 Non-Governability in Israel</li><li>6.4 The Alternative Politics of the State Economy</li></ul>	73
	Arrangement Law	79
7	The Supreme Court and the Political System in Light of	
	Social and Political Processes in Israel during 1948–1999	83
	<ul><li>7.1 Initial Structural and Cultural Conditions</li><li>7.2 The 1950s and 1960s: From the Establishment of the</li></ul>	84
	State to the Six Day War (1948–1967) 7.3 The 1970s: From the Bergman Petition to the	86
	Rubinstein Petition (1967–1982) 7.4 The 1980s: From the Rubinstein Petition to the Passage of Basic Laws: Human Dignity and Freedom	90
	of Occupation 7.5 The 1990s: From the Passage of Basic Laws: Human	94
	Dignity and Freedom of Occupation to the Zemach Petition (1992–1999)	100
8	The Struggle for a New Conservative Constitutional Court (2000): Redefining the Guarantor of Human Rights in	
	Israel – the Role of the Israeli Supreme Court 8.1 A Constitution for Israel and a Constitutional Court:	106
	An Ongoing Debate	107



> Contents ix 8.2 The High Court and the Process of Public Policy Making: The Proposal to Create a Constitutional Court of Law (2000) 108 8.3 The Legal System Supported by the Minister of Justice 109 8.4 Politicians - The Goal of Being Re-Elected 109 8.5 The Activities of Interest Groups III 8.6 In the Political Arena: The Role of the Chair of the Constitutional Committee TT2 8.7 The Politicians – the Prime Minister's Stand 114 9 The Rule of "Who Governs?" as Electoral Capital (1999–2007): The Supreme Court as an Agenda Setter in Israel тт6 9.1 The Supreme Court Versus the Political System: A Balance of Power (1999–2007) 116 9.2 The Israeli Supreme Court as a Political Entrepreneur, Providing an Alternative Form of Governability in Controversial Issues 117 9.3 The Rule of "Who Governs?" as Electoral Capital 119 10 The Supreme Court of Israel as an Agenda Setter: Three Cases 121 10.1 Judicial Activism in Military Courts: Toward a Human Rights Approach T 2 T 10.2 The Interrogation Case (1999) I34 10.3 The Land Decision Case (2002) 136 10.4 Analyzing Public Policy in the Interrogation Case and the Land Decision Case 137 10.5 Summary 145 On Law, Society, and Policy Design - toward a Reform in the Relationship between the High Court of Justice and the Knesset 147 11.1 Introduction 147 11.2 The Shetreet Report 148 11.3 Discussion of the Legal Literature 149 11.4 Policy Science I52 11.5 The Centrality of the High Court of Justice: Non-Governance and the Culture of **Alternative Politics**

152



X	Contents						
					_	_	

	11.6 All Arrangement That Promotes Governance is Needed 11.7 A Summary – a Proposed Institutional Arrangement	154
12	Conclusion and Normative Implications	162
Bibliography		169
Ind	lex	189



## Figures and Tables

#### **FIGURES**

2.1	The extent of the caseload in the Supreme Court	page	10
2.2	The number of petitions against the government in the HCJ	I	
	between 2000 and 2006		10
2.3	The relative percentage of petitions against the government		
	in the HCJ between 2000 and 2006, of the total number of		
	cases discussed in the Supreme Court.		ΙI
2.4	The distribution of petitions filed with the HCJ against the		
	government (by ministers)		13
2.5	The distribution of petitions filed with the HCJ against the		
	government (by judicial results)		<b>1</b> 5
<b>4.</b> I	The policy-making process and supreme courts		54
	TABLES		
6.1	Rae's Split Measure, 1949–1999		76
6.2	The effective number of parties and the measures of		
	centralization, 1949-1999		77
8.1	The level of public trust in governmental institutions,		
	1995-2000	I	13



#### Acknowledgments

This book reflects the efforts of a decade of research and study about law and politics in Israel. Parts of its rationales and ideas have appeared in journals such as Israel Studies, Israel Law Review, Policy and Society, Constitutional Political Economy, Rationality and Society, Land Use Policy, Democracy and Security, and Contemporary Security Policy. Portions of the book have also been presented at conferences held by the Law and Society Association (LSA), the American Political Science Association (APSA), the Association for Israel Studies (AIS), and the International Political Science Association (IPSA). I would like to thank Gideon Doron, Shlomo Mizrahi, David A. Frenkel, Gad Barzilai, Arye Naor, David Nachmias, Shimon Shetreet, Daphne Barak-Erez, Pablo T. Spiller, Barak Medina, Ronen Shamir, Raanan Sulitzeanu-Kenan, Yoav Dotan, Menachem Hofnung, Itzhak Galnoor, Yoram Rabin, Suzie Navot, Ilan Saban, Udi Sommer, and Guy Seidman for their advice and collaboration. I would also like to thank Naomi Chazan for her time and support. Thanks, too, to the Academic College of Tel-Aviv-Yaffo for generous support, especially Israel Zang, Nehemia Friedland, and Dror Amir. I would also like to thank The Citizens' Empowerment Center in Israel for

The manuscript would never have achieved its final form but for the editorial skill and dedication of Diane Romm. In addition, I would like to thank John Berger, my editor at Cambridge University Press, for his useful advice and support. Finally, my thanks to the anonymous readers for their useful remarks.