

## CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS

A Comparative Law Study

In all democratic states, constitutional courts, which are traditionally empowered to invalidate or to annul unconstitutional statutes, have the role of interpreting and applying the Constitution to preserve its supremacy and to ensure the prevalence of fundamental rights. In this sense, they were traditionally considered "negative legislators," unable to substitute for the legislators or to enact legislative provisions that could not be deduced from the Constitution. During the past decade, the role of constitutional courts has dramatically changed, as their role is no longer limited to declaring the unconstitutionality of statutes or annulling them. Today, constitutional courts condition their decisions on the presumption of constitutionality of statutes, opting to interpret them according to or in harmony with the Constitution to preserve them, instead of deciding their annulment or declaring them unconstitutional. More frequently, constitutional courts, instead of dealing with existing legislation, assume the role of assistants or auxiliaries to the legislator, creating provisions they deduce from the Constitution when controlling the absence of legislation or legislative omissions. In some cases, they act as "positive legislators," issuing temporary or provisional rules to be applied pending the enactment of legislation. This book analyzes this new role of the constitutional courts, conditioned by the principles of progressiveness and of prevalence of human rights, particularly regarding the important rediscovery of the right to equality and nondiscrimination.

Since 1963, Allan R. Brewer-Carías has been Professor at the Central University of Venezuela. He was Simón Bolívar Professor at the University of Cambridge, where he was a Fellow of Trinity College (1985–6); he was Adjunct Professor at the University of Paris II (1990) and at Columbia University in New York (2006–7). He is Titular Member of the International Academy of Comparative Law, where he served as Vice President (1982–2010), and he is a member of the Venezuelan National Academy of Political and Social Sciences, where he served as President (1997–9). He has extensively worked and written on matters of public law and comparative law, particularly on matters of judicial review.





# CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS

### A Comparative Law Study

#### Allan R. Brewer-Carías

Professor of Law, Central University of Venezuela Academy of Political and Social Sciences, Venezuela International Academy of Comparative Law





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107011656

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United States of America

 $\label{lem:analytication} A\ catalog\ record\ for\ this\ publication\ is\ available\ from\ the\ British\ Library.$ 

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Brewer Carías, Allan-Randolph.

Constitutional courts as positive legislators : a comparative law study / Allan Brewer-Carias.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01165-6 (hardback)

1. Constitutional courts. 2. Legislative power. 3. Judicial review. 4. Comparative law.

I. Title.

K3370.B735 2011

347'.035-dc22 2011002968

ISBN 978-1-107-01165-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



#### **CONTENTS**

|   |   | Page |
|---|---|------|
| <b>A</b> U'   | THOR'S NOTE   | 1    |
| PAI   | RT ONE  |      |
|   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS IN MPARATIVE LAW  | 5    |
| INTRODUCTION: HANS KELSEN, JUDICIAL REVIEW, AND THE NEGATIVE LEGISLATOR |   |      |
| СН  | APTER 1   |      |
|   | DICIAL REVIEW OF LEGISLATION AND THE GISLATOR   | 13   |
| I.  | THE SYSTEMS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW AND THE ROLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS  | 13   |
| II.   | CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY AND CONTROL OF CONVENTIONALITY   | 20   |
| III.  | THE INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION AND THE INFLUENCE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS ON CONSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL REFORMS | 29   |
| IV.   | THE QUESTION OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS   | 31   |
| CH  | APTER 2   |      |
|   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE NSTITUENT POWER  | 41   |
| I.  | CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES OF CONSTITUTIONAL RANK AND ENACTMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL RULES                | 41   |
| II.   | CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW OF PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AND OF CONSTITUTIONAL REFORMS AND AMENDMENTS  | 45   |

V



#### **CONTENTS**

| III. | OF  | E ROLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS'ADAPTATION THE CONSTITUTION AND THE QUESTION OF GITIMATE CHANGES TO THE CONSTITUTION  | 50  |
|------|-----|---|-----|
|      | 1.  | Adapting the Constitution on Matters of Fundamental Rights<br>Guarantees  | 51  |
|      | 2.  | The Mutation of the Constitution on Institutional Matters   | 65  |
| IV.  |     | E PROBLEM OF ILLEGITIMATE MUTATIONS OF THE NSTITUTION   | 68  |
| СН   | APT | ER 3  |     |
|      |     | ITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE ATOR ON EXISTING LEGISLATION  | 73  |
| I.   |     | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERPRETATION OF ATUTES IN HARMONY WITH THE CONSTITUTION  | 73  |
| II.  | LEC | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS COMPLEMENTING THE<br>GISLATOR BY ADDING NEW RULES (AND NEW<br>ANING) TO AN EXISTING LEGISLATIVE PROVISION   | 79  |
| III. | LEC | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS COMPLEMENTING GISLATIVE FUNCTIONS BY INTERFERING WITH THE MPORAL EFFECTS OF LEGISLATION   | 94  |
|      | 1.  | The Power of the Constitutional Court to Determine When<br>Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: Postponing<br>the Effect of the Court's Ruling                | 95  |
|      | 2.  | The Power of the Constitutional Court to Determine When<br>Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: Retroactive<br>or Nonretroactive Effects of Its Own Decisions | 103 |
|      |     | A. The Possibility of Limiting the Retroactive <i>Ex Tunc</i> Effects Regarding Declarative Decisions   | 103 |
|      |     | B. The Possibility of Retroactive Effects for <i>Ex Nunc</i> Constitutive Decisions   | 108 |
|      | 3.  | The Power of Constitutional Courts to Revive Repealed Legislation   | 114 |
| IV.  | PRI | E DEFORMATION OF THE INTERPRETATIVE NCIPLE: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' REFORMING OF ATUTES AND INTERPRETING THEM WITHOUT  |     |
|      | INT | ERPRETING THE CONSTITUTION  | 115 |

vi



#### **CONTENTS**

| CHAPTER 4 |
|-----------|
|-----------|

|      |   | ITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE<br>ATOR REGARDING LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS  | 125 |  |  |
|------|---|--|-----|--|--|
| I.   | CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' FILLING THE GAP OF |  |     |  |  |
|      | AB  | SOLUTE LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS   | 126 |  |  |
|      | 1.  | Direct Action against Absolute Legislative Omissions   | 126 |  |  |
|      | 2.  | The Protection of Fundamental Rights against Absolute<br>Legislative Omissions by Means of Actions or Complaints for<br>Their Protection | 135 |  |  |
| II.  |   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS' FILLING THE GAP OF<br>LATIVE LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS  | 148 |  |  |
|      | 1.  | Constitutional Courts and Equality Rights: Deciding on the<br>Unconstitutionality of Statutes without Declaring Their Nullity            | 149 |  |  |
|      | 2.  | Constitutional Courts' Issuing Nonbinding Directives to the Legislator   | 153 |  |  |
|      | 3.  | Constitutional Courts' Issuing Binding Orders and Directives to the Legislator   | 160 |  |  |
| III. |   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS PROVISIONAL<br>GISLATORS  | 165 |  |  |
| СН   | APT                                       | ER 5   |     |  |  |
|      |   | ITUTIONAL COURTS AS LEGISLATORS ON<br>CRS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 173 |  |  |
| I.   |   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS CREATING THEIR OWN<br>DICIAL REVIEW POWERS   | 173 |  |  |
|      | 1.  | The Judge-Made Law Regarding the Diffuse System of Judicial Review   | 173 |  |  |
|      | 2.  | The Extension of Judicial Review Powers to Ensure the Protection of Fundamental Rights   | 174 |  |  |
|      | 3.  | The Need for the Express Provision in the Constitution of Judicial Review Powers of the Constitutional Jurisdiction and Its Deviation    | 178 |  |  |
| II.  |   | NSTITUTIONAL COURTS CREATING PROCEDURAL<br>LES ON JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCESSES  | 186 |  |  |
| FIN  | AL:                                       | REMARKS  | 189 |  |  |

vii



#### **CONTENTS**

|      |       | TWO<br>NAL I   | REPORTS   | 193        |  |  |
|------|-------|--|---|------------|--|--|
|      |       |  | A: Alejandra Rodríguez Galán and Alfredo Mauricio nstitutional Courts as Positive Legislators | 195        |  |  |
| I.   |       |  | TION OF THE SCOPE OF THE POLITICAL<br>ONS DOCTRINE  | 199        |  |  |
| II.  |       | RECOGNITION OF PROCEDURAL GUARANTEES IN THE ABSENCE OF LEGISLATION |   |            |  |  |
| III. | ST    | ANDII  | NG  | 202        |  |  |
| IV.  | JUI   | OGME   | DECLARATIVA DE CERTEZA (DECLARATORY ENTS) AS A DIRECT FORM OF EXERCISE OF TUTIONAL REVIEW     | 202        |  |  |
| 17   |       |  |   | 203        |  |  |
| V.   |       |  | ONTE CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW  | 204        |  |  |
| VI.  |       |  | MNES EFFECT OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS   | 205        |  |  |
| VII  |       |  | T JURISPRUDENCE   | 205<br>206 |  |  |
|      | 1.    |  | ucating" Congress   | 206        |  |  |
|      | 2.    |  | onciling Internal Legislation with Human Rights Treaties<br>Jeans of Interpretation           | 207        |  |  |
|      | 3.    | Ame  | nding Political Will and Rewriting Statutes   | 208        |  |  |
| VII  | I. CC | NCLU   | USION   | 211        |  |  |
| ΑU   | STR   | ALIA   | : Cheryl Saunders, <i>Interpretation and Review</i>   | 213        |  |  |
| I.   |       |  | WORK  | 213        |  |  |
| 1.   | 1.    |  | pority for Review   | 213        |  |  |
|      | 2.    |  | sdiction  | 215        |  |  |
|      | 3.    | Conc   | crete Review  | 217        |  |  |
|      |       | A.   | Advisory Opinions   | 217        |  |  |
|      |       | B.   | Declarations of Incompatibility   | 219        |  |  |
|      | 4.    | Stan   | ding  | 220        |  |  |
| II.  | AP    | PROA   | .СН   | 223        |  |  |
|      | 1.    | Phas   | ses   | 224        |  |  |
|      |       | A.   | 1903–1920   | 224        |  |  |
|      |       | B.   | 1920–1944   | 225        |  |  |
|      |       | C.   | 1944–1981   | 226        |  |  |
|      |       | D.   | 1981–1998   | 228        |  |  |
|      |       | E.   | 1998 to the Present   | 230        |  |  |

viii



|      |  | CON  | TENTS |
|------|--|--|-------|
|      | 2.   | Legalism and Realism   | 231   |
|      |  | A. Legalism  | 231   |
|      |  | B. Realism   | 232   |
|      |  | C. Realistic Legalism  | 234   |
|      | 3.   | Interpretation and Change  | 236   |
|      |  | A. An Instrument of Government   | 236   |
|      |  | B. Relevance of the Framers  | 238   |
| III. | SO   | URCES  | 240   |
|      | 1.   | Precedent  | 240   |
|      | 2.   | Extrinsic Materials  | 241   |
|      | 3.   | Foreign Law  | 244   |
|      | 4.   | International Law  | 246   |
| BIB  | LIO  | GRAPHY   | 249   |
| AII  | стр  | IA: Konrad Lachmayer, Constitutional Courts as Positive                            |       |
|      |  | slators  | 251   |
| I.   | INT  | RODUCTION: CONSTITUTIONAL JUSTICE IN AUSTRIA                                       | 251   |
| II.  |  | IEF DESCRIPTION OF THE JUDICIAL REVIEW METHOD PLIED IN AUSTRIA                     | 252   |
|      | 1.   | Introduction   | 252   |
|      | 2.   | Access to Constitutional Justice   | 254   |
| III. | JUI  | DICIAL QUESTIONS ON MATTERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW                                     | 256   |
|      | 1.   | Judicial Decisions on Judicial Review  | 256   |
|      | 2.   | Effects of Judicial Decisions on Constitutional Matters                            | 257   |
| IV.  | IMPORTANT DEVELOPMENTS AND DECISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT |  |       |
|      | 1.   | Developing the Contents of the Fundamental Principles of the Austrian Constitution | 258   |
|      | 2.   | Concrete Guidelines for the Legislator   | 259   |
|      | 3.   | Creating Constitutional Systems  | 261   |
|      | 4.   | Principle of Equality  | 262   |
| V.   |  | NCLUSION – OPENING UP CONSTITUTIONAL<br>FENTIALS AND VALUE-BASED JUDGMENTS         | 263   |

ix



#### **CONTENTS**

|      | LGIUM: Patricia Popelier, The Belgian Constitutional Court as<br>Positive Legislator: In Search of a Balance Between Rights<br>Protection and Respect for Acts of Parliament | 265 |
|------|--|-----|
| IN   | TRODUCTION   | 265 |
| I.   | THE IMPACT OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT ON CONSTITUTIONAL RELATIONS   | 266 |
| II.  | LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS IN THE CASE LAW OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT  | 269 |
|      | 1. Some Examples   | 271 |
|      | 2. Two Examples  | 272 |
| III. | LEGAL FRAMEWORK  | 273 |
| IV.  | CONSEQUENCES OF THE FINDING THAT A LEGISLATIVE   |     |
|      | LACUNA IS CONTRARY TO THE CONSTITUTION   | 275 |
| CO   | NCLUSIONS  | 279 |
| BR   | AZIL: Thomas Bustamante and Evanilda de Godoi Bustamante,<br>Constitutional Courts as Negative Legislators: The Brazilian Case   | 283 |
| IN   | TRODUCTORY CONSIDERATIONS  | 283 |
| I.   | THE DIFFUSE AND INCIDENTAL SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 285 |
| II.  | THE CONCENTRATED SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 289 |
| 11.  | Concentrated Constitutional Jurisdiction by Direct Actions   | 289 |
|      | A. The Direct Action of Unconstitutionality  | 290 |
|      | B. The Declaratory Action of Constitutionality   | 292 |
|      | C. The Direct Action against Unconstitutional Omissions  | 293 |
|      | D. The Claim against the Disrespect to a Fundamental Precept   | 296 |
|      | 2. Concentrated Constitutional Review by Concrete Claims   | 298 |
|      | A. Mandado de Segurança (When Used to Contest an Act   | 296 |
|      | within the Legislative Procedure)  | 299 |
|      | B. The Writ of Injunction (Mandado de Injunção)  | 300 |
|      | C. Additive Decisions and the Writ of Injunction   | 302 |
| III. | EFFECTS OF THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS ON   |     |
|      | CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS   | 303 |
|      | 1. Inter Partes and Erga Omnes Decisions   | 303 |
|      | 2. Binding and Nonbinding Decisions  | 305 |
|      | 3. The Scope of the Binding Effects of the Decisions of the Court  | 306 |

X



#### **CONTENTS**

|     | 4.  | Interpretative and Reductive Decisions by the Federal Supreme<br>Court                              | 308 |
|-----|-----|---|-----|
|     | 5.  | The Temporal Effects of the Decisions on Constitutional   | 308 |
|     | ٥.  | Matters (on Direct Actions of Unconstitutionality)  | 310 |
|     | 6.  | The Temporal Effects of the Decisions on Constitutional   |     |
|     |     | Matters (on Diffuse Decisions on Constitutional Matters)  | 311 |
|     | 7.  | Constitutional Mutations  | 312 |
| IV. | CO  | NCLUSION: THE IDEA OF THE NEGATIVE LEGISLATOR   | 312 |
|     |     | A: Kent Roach, The Canadian Constitutional Courts as ive Legislators                                | 315 |
|     |     | -   |     |
|     |     | OUCTION   | 315 |
| I.  |     | NADA'S SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW  | 317 |
|     | 1.  | Federalism and the Court as Negative Legislator   | 317 |
|     | 2.  | The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms   | 318 |
|     | 3.  | Negative and Positive Rights  | 318 |
|     | 4.  | Charter Litigation  | 319 |
|     | 5.  | Reference Cases   | 319 |
|     | 6.  | Constitutional Remedies   | 321 |
|     | 7.  | Positive Alternatives to Judicial Invalidation: Reading In or<br>Judicial Amendments to Legislation | 322 |
|     | 8.  | Alternatives to Immediate Invalidation: Delayed Declarations of Invalidity and Reply Legislation    | 324 |
|     | Sui | mmary   | 326 |
| II. | _   | NADA'S EXPERIENCE WITH COURTS AS POSITIVE   |     |
|     | LE  | GISLATORS   | 328 |
|     | 1.  | Criminal Justice  | 328 |
|     | 2.  | National Security   | 329 |
|     | 3.  | Gay Rights  | 331 |
|     | 4.  | Aboriginal and Treaty Rights  | 333 |
|     | 5.  | Political Policy  | 334 |
|     | 6.  | Minority-Language Policy  | 335 |
|     | 7.  | Social and Economic Policy  | 337 |
|     | 8.  | Labor Policy  | 338 |
|     | 9.  | Health-Care Policy  | 340 |
| CO  | NCL | USION   | 342 |

хi



#### **CONTENTS**

|      |     |       | : Germán Alfonso López Daza, <i>Le juge constitutionnel</i><br>, <i>législateur-cadre positif: un gouvernement des juges</i> | 345 |
|------|-----|-------|--|-----|
| INT  | ROD | UCT   | TION   | 345 |
| I.   | COI | LOM   | IISME DE LA COUR CONSTITUTIONNELLE<br>BIENNE DANS SON RÔLE DE PROTECTRICE DE LA<br>TUTION DE 1991                            | 346 |
| II.  |     |       | E CONSTITUTIONNELLE VERSUS POUVOIR<br>FIF-POUVOIR LEGISLATIF   | 348 |
| III. |     |       | R CONSTITUTIONNELLE COLOMBIENNE-<br>ATEUR CADRE POSITIF  | 350 |
|      | 1.  | Sent  | tences manipulatrices  | 353 |
|      | 2.  | Seni  | tence substitutive   | 353 |
|      | 3.  | Sent  | tences interprétatives ou conditionnées  | 353 |
|      | 4.  | Sent  | tence additive ou d'intégration  | 354 |
|      | 5.  | Seni  | ence de recommandation du législateur  | 355 |
|      | 6.  | Les   | sentences-lois   | 355 |
|      | 7.  |       | sentences à effet différé  | 355 |
| IV.  | CO  | NSTE  | RUCTION THÉORIQUE DU CONCEPT<br>ERNEMENT DES JUGES"  | 356 |
| RIR  |     |       | PHIE   | 359 |
| סוט  | LIO | JIVAI | THE  | 339 |
| CO   |     |       | : Sandra Morelli, The Colombian Constitutional Court:  |     |
|      | Fro | m In  | stitutional Leadership to Conceptual Audacity  | 363 |
| I.   | PRE | ELIM  | INARY CONSIDERATIONS   | 363 |
| II.  | DIS | PLA   | CEMENT IN COLOMBIA   | 366 |
|      | 1.  |       | at Is the Unconstitutional State of Affairs?   | 367 |
| III. | THI | E CO  | NSTITUTIONAL CONTROL OF LAWS   | 378 |
|      | 1.  | War   | ning   | 378 |
|      | 2.  |       | Control of the Constitutionality of the Acts Amending the stitution  | 383 |
|      |     | A.    | The Constitutional Control Limited to the Vices of Procedure   | 384 |
|      |     | B.    | The Procedural Vices of the Law through Which a Constitutional Referendum Is Summoned  | 385 |
|      |     |       | a. The Power of the Congress of the Republic to Amend<br>the Law Presented at the Request of a Popular<br>Initiative         | 386 |
|      |     |       | b. <i>The Violation of the Law of Benches</i> (Bancadas)   | 386 |
|      |     |       | o. The ribidition of the burn of behiches (balleadas)  | 200 |

xii



#### **CONTENTS**

| CO   |      |      | A: Rubén Hernández Valle, <i>The Normative Resolutions onstitutional Court</i>                          | 389 |
|------|------|------|---|-----|
| I.   | •    |      | OGY OF FAVORABLE LEGAL REVIEW   | 20) |
| 1.   |      |      | UTIONS  | 389 |
|      | 1.   |      | vorable Exhortative Resolutions   | 389 |
|      | 2.   |      | aple Unconstitutionality Resolutions  | 391 |
|      |      | A.   | Simple Unconstitutionality Declaration of Partial Nature  | 391 |
|      |      | B.   | Simple Unconstitutionality Declaration of Full Nature   | 391 |
|      | 3.   | Inte | erpretative Resolutions   | 392 |
|      |      | A.   | Unconstitutionality Due to Erroneous Interpretation or Misapplication of a Provision in a Specific Case | 392 |
|      |      | B.   | Unconstitutionality as a Result of the Effects of the Challenged Text or Provision                      | 394 |
|      | 4.   | Fav  | vorable Resolutions of Inapplicability  | 395 |
|      | 5.   | Noi  | rmative Resolutions   | 395 |
|      |      | A.   | Favorable Additive Resolutions  | 396 |
|      |      | B.   | Favorable Substitutive Resolutions  | 397 |
| II.  | CR   | ITIC | AL ANALYSIS OF NORMATIVE RESOLUTIONS  | 401 |
|      | 1.   | The  | g Justification of Normative Resolutions  | 401 |
|      | 2.   | Cri  | ticism of Regulatory Resolutions  | 402 |
| III. |      |      | ATORY RESOLUTIONS AND THE LIMITS TO ITUTIONAL JURISDICTION  | 403 |
| BIB  | BLIO | GRA  | PHY   | 406 |
|      |      |      | Sanja Barić and Petar Bačić, Constitutional Courts as egislators  | 407 |
| I.   |      |      | ONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF IA: COMPOSITION, COMPETENCES, AND                                |     |
|      | PRO  | OCE  | EDINGS  | 407 |
|      | 1.   | Intr | roduction   | 407 |
|      | 2.   | Cor  | mposition and Terms of Duty   | 409 |
|      | 3.   | Cor  | mpetences of the Constitutional Court   | 410 |
|      | 4.   | Pro  | oceedings of the Constitutional Court   | 411 |
|      | 5.   | Abs  | stract Review   | 413 |
|      | 6.   | Сог  | ncrete Review   | 415 |
|      | 7.   | Effe | ects of the Constitutional Court's Decision   | 415 |

xiii



#### **CONTENTS**

|      | 8.  | Con   | stitutional Complaint  | 417 |
|------|---|-------|--|-----|
|      | 9.  | Othe  | er Competences   | 420 |
|      |   | A.    | Jurisdictional Disputes  | 420 |
|      |   | B.    | Impeachment of the President of the Republic   | 420 |
|      |   | C.    | Supervision of the Constitutionality of Programs and Activities of Political Parties   | 420 |
|      |   | D.    | Control of the Constitutionality and Legality of the Elections and the National Referendum and the Electoral Disputes  | 421 |
|      |   | E.    | Constitutional Court Procedures of Appeal  | 421 |
|      |   | F.    | Monitoring the Execution of Constitutionality and<br>Legality, and Supervisory Control over Passing<br>Regulations for Executing the Constitution, Laws, and |     |
|      | шт  | TOT A | Other Regulations  | 422 |
| II.  |   |       | L ACTIVISM IN CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW ONS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF THE   |     |
|      |   |       | JC OF CROATIA  | 423 |
|      | 1.  | Judi  | icial Activism versus the Legislative Branch   | 424 |
|      | 2.  |       | hodological Activism of the Constitutional Court – The<br>rpretation and Power of the Legal Argument   | 428 |
|      | 3.  | Proc  | cedural Activism – Precedence of Form over Content   | 431 |
|      | 4.  |       | stantial Activism – Support for Democracy and<br>stitutional Rights  | 433 |
| III. | CO  | NCLU  | JSIONS ON THE ACTIVISM OF THE  |     |
|      | CO  | NSTI  | TUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA  | 440 |
|      |   |       | UBLIC: Zdenek Kühn, Czech Constitutional Court as egislator?   | 445 |
| I.   |   |       | TORY AND THE POWERS OF THE CZECH<br>TUTIONAL COURT   | 446 |
| II.  | CONSTITUTIONAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST DECISIONS OF GENERAL COURTS                               |       |  | 448 |
| III. | INTERPRETATIVE DECISIONS IN ABSTRACT CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW: "SOFT CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW" 4: |       |  |     |
| IV.  | POS   |       | E ASPECT OF NEGATIVE DECISIONS OF THE  | 458 |

xiv



#### **CONTENTS**

| V.   | PRO  | CONSTITUTIONAL GAPS IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM:  OVIDING CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES OR JUDICIAL  BSTITUTION FOR THE LEGISLATOR? | 461  |
|------|------|---|------|
| VI.  | AS   | E CZECH CONSTITUTIONAL COURT ACTING OPENLY POSITIVE LEGISLATOR – CASES OF NSTITUTIONALITY OF AMENDMENTS TO THE LAW    | 466  |
| VII. |      | URT'S SELF-PERCEPTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS  | 468  |
| FRA  | ANC  | E: Bertrand Mathieu, Le Conseil constitutionnel "législateur  |      |
|      |      | f." Ou la question des interventions du juge constitutionnel  | 471  |
| J.   |      | ais dans l'exercise de la function legislative<br>RE LIMINAIRE: ELÉMENTS D'ANALYSES SUR LE                            | 471  |
| 1.   |      | NTRÔLE DE CONSTITUTIONNALITÉ EN FRANCE  | 472  |
| II.  | LES  | SINTERACTIONS ENTRE LA FONCTION   |      |
|      |      | AIDICTIONNELLE DU CONSEIL CONSTITUTIONNEL ET  | 450  |
|      |      | FONCTION LÉGISLATIVE  | 478  |
|      | 1.   | Les principes cadres  | 478  |
|      | 2.   | De quelques modalités d'intervention médiates du Conseil constitutionnel  | 479  |
|      |      | - Les incidences sur la fabrication de la loi   | 479  |
|      |      | - Les incidences sur les révisions constitutionnelles   | 479  |
|      |      | - La question de la séparabilité des dispositions inconstitutionnelles  | 480  |
|      |      | - Les conséquences tirées de l'inconstitutionnalité relevée   | 481  |
|      |      | - L'outil de la proportionnalité  | 483  |
| III. | D'II | ALYSE D'UNE MODALITÉ SPÉCIFIQUE<br>NTERVENTION DU CONSEIL CONSTITUTIONNEL: LES  | 40.4 |
|      |      | SERVES D'INTERPRÉTATION   | 484  |
|      | 1.   | Eléments de définition et champ d'application   | 484  |
|      | 2    | Les destinataires des réserves d'interprétation   | 488  |
|      | 3.   | La portée des réserves d'interprétation: essai de typologie   | 489  |
|      |      | -L'exclusion d'une interprétation inconstitutionnelle: le postulat du respect de la Constitution par le législateur   | 489  |
|      |      | -L'argument de la maladresse du législateur   | 490  |
|      |      | -La tentation de la réécriture  | 490  |
|      |      | -La modification du champ d'application de la loi   | 492  |
|      |      | -La paralyse de l'application de la loi   | 493  |
|      | 4.   | Eléments statistiques sommaires   | 494  |
| EN   | GUIS | SE DE CONCLUSION  | 495  |

ΧV



#### **CONTENTS**

| GE.  | RMANY: Ines Härtel, Constitutional Courts as Positive  |     |  |  |  |
|------|--|-----|--|--|--|
|      | Legislators  | 497 |  |  |  |
| I.   | JUDICIAL MEANS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW   |     |  |  |  |
|      | 1. Civil Rights Injunctions  | 498 |  |  |  |
|      | 2. Direct Actions to Control the Constitutionality of Statutes   | 499 |  |  |  |
|      | 3. Direct Actions to Control the Constitutionality of Legislative Omissions  | 500 |  |  |  |
|      | 4. Ex Officio Powers of Constitutional Judges and of the Constitutional Court or Tribunals                                     | 501 |  |  |  |
|      | 5. Authority of the Supreme Court of Constitutional Courts to<br>Remove and Take Over Cases from Lower Courts<br>(Avocamiento) | 501 |  |  |  |
| II.  | JUDICIAL DECISIONS ON JUDICIAL REVIEW  | 502 |  |  |  |
| 11.  | 1. Constitutional Interpretation   | 502 |  |  |  |
|      | 2. Declaration of the Unconstitutional Character of Statutes (Declaration of Incompatibility)                                  | 503 |  |  |  |
|      | 3. Annulment (Complete or Partial) of Unconstitutional Statutes  | 506 |  |  |  |
|      | 4. Influencing the Constitution  | 509 |  |  |  |
|      | 5. Decisions Including Legislative Structures  | 510 |  |  |  |
|      | 6. Additive Decisions Reforming Legislation  | 513 |  |  |  |
|      | 7. Admonitory Decisions  | 514 |  |  |  |
|      | 8. Judicial Replacement of the Legislator  | 516 |  |  |  |
|      | 9. Judicial Replacement of the Government in Policy-Making Processes   | 517 |  |  |  |
| III. | EFFECTS OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS ON CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS  | 518 |  |  |  |
|      | LGIUM, FRANCE, GERMANY: Christian Behrendt, L'activité du juge constitutionnel comme législateur-cadre positif                 | 521 |  |  |  |
|      | RODUCTION  | 521 |  |  |  |
| I.   | LA NOTION D'"INTERFÉRENCE"   | 525 |  |  |  |
| II.  | LA CLASSIFICATION DES INTERFÉRENCES  | 527 |  |  |  |
| III. | L'IMPORTANCE QUANTITATIVE DES INTERFÉRENCES  | 529 |  |  |  |
| 111. | L'importance des lignes directrices  | 530 |  |  |  |
|      | <ol> <li>L'importance des injonctions</li> </ol>   | 531 |  |  |  |
| IV.  |  | 221 |  |  |  |
|      | UNE TENTATIVE D'ÉVALUATION   | 533 |  |  |  |
| CO   | NCLUSIONS  | 537 |  |  |  |

xvi



#### **CONTENTS**

| GR   | EEC. | E: Jı | ılia Iliopoulos-Strangas and Stylianos-Ioannis G.  |     |
|------|------|-------|--|-----|
| ]    | Kout | natzi | is, Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators  | 539 |
| I.   | THI  | ESY   | STEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW  | 540 |
|      | 1.   | Jud   | icial Organization   | 540 |
|      | 2.   | Con   | ntrol of Legislative and Executive Acts  | 541 |
|      |      | A.    | Origins and Development of Judicial Review throughout<br>Greece's Constitutional History | 541 |
|      |      | B.    | Judicial Review under the Greek Constitution of 1975                                     | 542 |
|      |      |       | a. Constitutional Basis of Judicial Review   | 542 |
|      |      |       | b. Substantive and Procedural Constitutional Claims                                      | 543 |
|      |      |       | c. Primary Features of Judicial Review   | 544 |
|      |      |       | d. Means of Concentration of Review  | 546 |
|      |      |       | e. The Proposal to Establish a Constitutional Court                                      | 549 |
|      |      |       | f. Control of Conventionality  | 552 |
|      |      |       | g. Control of Executive Acts   | 553 |
|      |      |       | h. Control of Constitutional Amendments  | 555 |
| II.  | THI  | E AP  | PLICATION OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 555 |
|      | 1.   | The   | Development of Constitutional Jurisprudence  | 556 |
|      |      | A.    | The Greek Courts' Deferential Tradition  | 556 |
|      |      | B.    | Phases in the Judicial Implementation of the 1975<br>Constitution                        | 558 |
|      | 2.   | Pos   | itive Legislation through Constitutional Adjudication                                    | 563 |
|      |      | A.    | Extension of Preferential Treatment in Equality Principle Cases                          | 563 |
|      |      | B.    | The Council of State's Environmental Jurisprudence                                       | 567 |
|      |      |       | a. Constitutional Entrenchment of the Current Status of<br>Environmental Protection      | 568 |
|      |      |       | b. The Principle of Sustainable Development  | 570 |
| III. | FIN  | AL F  | REMARKS  | 571 |
|      |      |       | Lóránt Csink, József Petrétei, and Péter Tilk, onal Court as Positive Legislator         | 575 |
| I.   | AN   | ГЕСІ  | EDENTS OF CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION  | 575 |
| II.  | THI  | E ES  | TABLISHMENT OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT  | 576 |

xvii



#### **CONTENTS**

| III. |       | E LEGAL CHARACTER OF THE DECISIONS OF THE                                    |     |
|------|-------|--|-----|
|      |       | URT  | 578 |
| IV.  | TH    | E MANIFESTATION OF POSITIVE LEGISLATION                                      | 579 |
|      | 1.    | Constitutional Requirement   | 579 |
|      | 2.    | Mosaic Annulment   | 581 |
|      | 3.    | Role of the Court in the Examination of Omissions                            | 582 |
|      | 4.    | Interpretation of the Constitution   | 583 |
|      |       | Surya Deva, Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators: The               |     |
|      | India | ın Experience  | 587 |
| INT  | ROI   | DUCTION  | 587 |
| I.   |       | DICIAL REVIEW: CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND                                 |     |
|      | JUI   | DICIAL INTERPRETATION  | 588 |
|      | 1.    | Judicial Review: The Provisions  | 588 |
|      | 2.    | Judicial Review: The Interpretation  | 591 |
| II.  |       | DICIARY BECOMING THE LEGISLATOR: SOME<br>AMPLES                              | 594 |
|      | 1.    | Guidelines for Police Arrest and Detention: D K Basu v. State of West Bengal | 594 |
|      | 2.    | Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace: Vishaka v. State                    | 374 |
|      | ۷.    | of Rajasthan   | 596 |
|      | 3.    | Ragging Menace at Universities   | 598 |
| CO   | NCL   | USION  | 600 |
| ITA  | LY:   | Giampaolo Parodi, The Italian Constitutional Court as                        |     |
|      | Posit | ive Legislator   | 603 |
| BIB  | LIO   | GRAPHY   | 620 |
|      |       | O: Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor, The Mexican Supreme                            |     |
|      | Cour  | t as Positive Legislator   | 623 |
| INT  | ROI   | DUCTION  | 623 |
| I.   | TH    | E SUPREME COURT AS A CONSTITUTIONAL COURT                                    | 624 |
|      | 1.    | Brief Background   | 624 |
|      | 2.    | Constitutional Evolution   | 625 |
|      | 3.    | Powers   | 625 |
|      |       | A. Constitutional Control  | 625 |
|      |       | B. Other Jurisdiction and Powers   | 627 |

xviii



**CONTENTS** 

| II.  | THI | E SUPREME COURT AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR  | 627  |
|------|-----|---|------|
|      | 1.  | Amparo Proceedings (Specific Effects)   | 628  |
|      | 2.  | Abstract Unconstitutionality Cause of Action against General<br>Norms (Laws and International Treaties) and Constitutional<br>Controversies (Erga Omnes Effect) | 632  |
|      | 3.  | Legislative Omissions   | 639  |
| CO   | NCL | USIONS  | 642  |
|      |     |   |      |
|      |     | RLANDS: Jerfi Uzman, Tom Barkhuysen, and Michiel L.<br>Emmerik, <i>The Dutch Supreme Court: A Reluctant Positive</i>  |      |
|      |     | lator?  | 645  |
|      | _   | OUCTION   | 645  |
| I.   |     | E BAN ON JUDICIAL CONSTITUTIONALITY REVIEW  | 0.15 |
|      |     | D ITS SCOPE   | 648  |
|      | 1.  | Article 120 of the Dutch Constitution   | 648  |
|      | 2.  | Summary   | 651  |
| II.  | ENI | FORCING INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW  | 652  |
|      | 1.  | Introduction: Monism and Article 94 of the Constitution   | 652  |
|      | 2.  | "Eligible to Bind All Persons" and Judicial Lawmaking   | 653  |
|      | 3.  | The Increasing Role of the European Convention in National  |      |
|      |     | Case Law  | 656  |
|      | 4.  | Concluding Remarks  | 660  |
| III. |     | E LAWMAKING ROLE OF THE COURTS  | 661  |
|      | 1.  | Introduction  | 661  |
|      | 2.  | Defining the Process of Lawmaking   | 662  |
|      | 3.  | The Case Law of the Supreme Court Concerning Its Lawmaking Role   | 663  |
|      |     | A. The <i>Dual Custody</i> Case: Distinguishing Positive from   |      |
|      |     | Negative Lawmaking  | 664  |
|      |     | B. The <i>Dutch Citizenship</i> Case: Avoiding Policy Decisions   | 665  |
|      |     | C. The <i>Spring</i> Decisions: Judicial Activism or Prudent Lawmaking?   | 667  |
|      |     | D. After the High-Water Mark: A Slow Retreat to Judicial Restraint  | 667  |
|      |     | E. Toward a New Model: The 1999 <i>Labour Expenses</i> Deduction Judgment   | 669  |
|      |     | F. The Exception to the Rule: European Union Law  | 673  |
|      | 4.  | Reactions of "La Doctrine" after 1999   | 675  |
|      |     |   |      |

xix



#### **CONTENTS**

| IV.  | MEANS AND EFFECTS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW  | 678 |
|------|---|-----|
|      | 1. Introduction   | 678 |
|      | 2. Procedures Available to Enforce Fundamental Rights Law   | 678 |
|      | 3. Remedies for Fundamental Rights Violations   | 679 |
|      | 4. Effects of Judgments   | 681 |
|      | 5. Mitigating the Temporal Effects of Judgments   | 683 |
|      | 6. Judicial Reforms   | 685 |
| SU   | MMARY   | 686 |
| BIE  | BLIOGRAPHY  | 688 |
|      | RWAY: Eivind Smith, Constitutional Courts as Positive<br>Legislators                                    | 693 |
| I.   | ON THE ORIGINS OF THE NORWEGIAN SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 693 |
| II.  | ON THE CHARACTER OF THE NORWEGIAN SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 695 |
| III. | THE SUPREME COURT OF NORWAY AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR?   | 697 |
|      | LAND: Marek Safjan, <i>The Constitutional Courts as a Positive</i><br>Legislator                        | 701 |
| I.   | PRELIMINARY REMARKS   | 701 |
| II.  | WHAT IS THE FUNCTION OF THE COURT AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR?   | 703 |
| III. | INDIRECT, POSITIVE IMPACT – EFFECTS OF NEGATIVE LEGISLATION   | 703 |
| IV.  | DIRECT FORMS OF IMPACT EXERTED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS VERSUS NORMATIVE ACTS                       | 708 |
|      | 1. Interpretation of the Constitution   | 708 |
|      | 2. Interpretation of Laws: Interpretative Rulings   | 714 |
|      | 3. Signalization  | 717 |
| CO   | NCLUSIONS   | 718 |
| PO   | RTUGAL: Joaquim de Sousa Ribeiro and Esperança Mealha,<br>Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators | 721 |
| I.   | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE JUDICIAL REVIEW METHOD APPLIED IN PORTUGAL                                     | 721 |

XX



**CONTENTS** 

|       | 1.  | The Preemptive Control  | 722 |  |  |  |
|-------|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
|       | 2. The Abstract Review and the Concrete Review of Legislation |   |     |  |  |  |
|       | 3.  | The Enforceability and Implementation of Decisions of the   |     |  |  |  |
|       |   | Constitutional Court  | 723 |  |  |  |
| II. I | II. POWERS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT                        |   |     |  |  |  |
|       | 1.  | Portuguese Constitutional Court as a Negative Legislator  | 724 |  |  |  |
|       | 2.  | Powers of the Court and Effects of Constitutional Review Decisions  | 725 |  |  |  |
|       | 3.  | Interpretation of Statute in Harmony with the Constitution  | 728 |  |  |  |
|       | 4.  | Manipulative and Additive Decisions   | 729 |  |  |  |
|       | 5.  | The Impact of Constitutional Case Law   | 730 |  |  |  |
|       |   | a: Boško Tripković, A Constitutional Court in Transition: ing Sense of Constitutional Adjudication in Postauthoritarian |     |  |  |  |
|       | vraki<br>Serbi  | · ·   | 735 |  |  |  |
| INT   | r∩i   | DUCTION   | 735 |  |  |  |
| I.    |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| II.   |   | REFORMANCE OF THE SERBIAN CONSTITUTIONAL  | 736 |  |  |  |
| 11.   |   | URT   | 741 |  |  |  |
|       | 1.  | General Features of the System of Judicial Review   | 741 |  |  |  |
|       | 2.  | Constitutional Adjudication and Democratic Process  | 747 |  |  |  |
|       |   | A. Representation   | 747 |  |  |  |
|       |   | B. Deliberation   | 753 |  |  |  |
|       | 3.  | Constitutional Court and Liberal Values   | 757 |  |  |  |
|       |   | A. Direct Protection of Liberal Values  | 758 |  |  |  |
|       |   | B. Indirect Protection of Liberal Values  | 762 |  |  |  |
| CO    | NCL   | USION   | 764 |  |  |  |
| SLO   | OVA   | K REPUBLIC: Ján Svák and Lucia Berdisová,   |     |  |  |  |
|       |   | titutional Court of the Slovak Republic as Positive Legislator  | 7.5 |  |  |  |
|       |   | pplication and Interpretation of the Constitution   | 767 |  |  |  |
| I.    |   | TERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION  | 769 |  |  |  |
| II.   |   | STRACT CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY   | 771 |  |  |  |
|       | 1.  | Effect of the Ruling of the Constitutional Court regarding the Unconformity Between Legal Regulations                   | 773 |  |  |  |
| III.  | CO  | NCRETE CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY   | 775 |  |  |  |

xxi



#### **CONTENTS**

| SW   | TTZ                  | ERLAND: Tobias Jaag, Constitutional Courts as Positive     |            |
|------|----------------------|--|------------|
|      |                      | slators  | 783        |
| I.   | INT                  | RODUCTION  |            |
|      | 1.                   | Courts as Legislators in General                           | 783        |
|      | 2.                   | The Federal Supreme Court as a Constitutional Court        | 784        |
|      |                      | A Judicial Review in General                               | 784        |
|      |                      | B. Limitations on Judicial Review                          | 785        |
|      | 3.                   | The Federal Supreme Court as Legislator                    | 786        |
|      |                      | A. Negative Legislation                                    | 786        |
|      |                      | B. Positive Legislation                                    | 788        |
|      | 4.                   | Federal and Cantonal Law                                   | 788        |
| II.  |                      | FUSAL OF THE FEDERAL SUPREME COURT TO ACT AS               |            |
|      | PO                   | SITIVE LEGISLATOR  | 789        |
|      | 1.                   | Principle  | 789        |
|      | 2.                   | Examples   | 789        |
| III. |                      | E FEDERAL SUPREME COURT AS POSITIVE                        | 701        |
|      |                      | GISLATOR<br>In General                                     | 791<br>791 |
|      | 1.<br>2.             | n General<br>Fundamental Rights                            | 791<br>791 |
|      | ۷.                   | A. New Fundamental Rights                                  | 791        |
|      |                      | B. Interpretation and Substantiation of Fundamental Rights | 791        |
|      | 3.                   | Political Rights   | 793        |
|      | 3.<br>4.             | Procedural Guarantees                                      | 795        |
|      | <del>4</del> .<br>5. | Federal Conflicts  | 796        |
|      | 6.                   | Compensation for Infringement of Property Rights           | 797        |
|      | 7.                   | Further Examples   | 798        |
| CO   |                      | USIONS   | 798        |
|      |                      | GRAPHY   | 800        |
|      | _                    | VIATIONS   | 802        |
| AD.  | DKE                  | VIATIONS   | 802        |
| IIN  | ITFI                 | D KINGDOM: John Bell, Constitutional Courts as Positive    |            |
|      |                      | slators  | 803        |
| INT  | ROI                  | DUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY                                    | 803        |
| I.   | CO                   | NSTITUTIONAL (JUDICIAL) REVIEW, CONCENTRATED               |            |
|      | OR                   | DISTRIBUTED  | 806        |
| II.  | SPECIFIC ISSUES 8    |  |            |

xxii



|      |   |   | CONTENTS     |
|------|---|---|--------------|
|      | 1.  | Constitutional Review Procedures  | 807          |
|      | 2.  | Judicial Decisions  | 808          |
|      |   | A. Human Rights and Judicial Activism   | 809          |
|      |   | B. Judicial Substitution  | 811          |
|      | 3.  | Effect of Decisions   | 813          |
|      |   | D STATES OF AMERICA: Laurence Claus and Richard<br>Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators in the United            |              |
|      | State   | S   | 815          |
| I.   | LEG   | GISLATORS AND POSITIVE LEGISLATORS  | 815          |
| II.  | НО  | W AMERICAN COURTS LEGISLATE THROUGH   |              |
|      | CO  | NSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION   | 820          |
| III. | EN  | FORCING JUDICIAL LEGISLATION  | 829          |
|      |   | UELA: Daniela Urosa Maggi, Constitutional Courts as tive Legislators: The Venezuelan Experience                           | 843          |
| I.   |   | E NORMATIVE JURISDICTION ACCORDING TO THE RISPRUDENCE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBE  |              |
| II.  | I. CASES WHERE THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER HA<br>EXTENDED ITS OWN COMPETENCIES THROUGH THI<br>PRACTICING OF THE NORMATIVE JURISDICTION |   | S 847        |
|      | 1.  | Competencies in Constitutional Amparo Matters   | 848          |
|      |   | A. Modification of the Competencies to Hear the Ampar Claims  | ro<br>849    |
|      |   | B. Modification of the Procedure of the Constitutional Amparo Claim   | 849          |
|      | 2.  | Competencies in the Special Recourse to Review Decision   | s 850        |
|      | 3.  | Competencies to Hear the Interpretation of the Constitution Recourse  | on<br>852    |
|      | 4.  | Competencies in Matters of Concentrated Control of the<br>Constitutionality of Statutes and Other Acts Having Rank<br>Law | of<br>855    |
|      |   | A. Jurisprudential Inclusion of the Competency of Incide Control over Statutes  | ental<br>855 |
|      |   | B. Modification of the Procedure of the Nullity Cases   | 857          |
|      | 5.  | Competencies in Matters of Control over Unconstitutional for Omission   | lity 858     |

xxiii



#### **CONTENTS**

|      | 6.       | Competency in Matters of Claims for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests  | 859 |
|------|----------|---|-----|
|      |          | A. Creation of a Claim for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests   | 859 |
|      |          | B. Procedure to Be Followed and Legitimacy in Claims for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests   | 860 |
|      | 7.       | Competencies in Matters of Habeas Data  | 860 |
| III. | CON      | SES OF JUDICIAL ACTIVISM ON MATTERS OF NSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION: THE NSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER AS POSITIVE NSTITUENT  | 862 |
|      | 1.       | Decisions No. 6 of January 27, 2000 (case Milagros Gómez et al.) and No. 180 of March 28, 2000 (case Allan Brewer-Carías et al.): Legitimization of the Constitutional Transition Regime Approved by the National Constituent Assembly on December 1999 and Legitimization of the Acts Performed by Such Assembly in the Execution of Such Transition Regime. | 863 |
|      | 2.       | Decision No. 34 of January 26, 2004 (case Vestalia Araujo):<br>Interpretation of Article 203 of the Constitution  | 864 |
|      | 3.       | Decision No. 565 of April 15, 2008 (case Attorney General of the Republic): Interpretation of Article 164.10, of the 1999 Constitution  | 865 |
| IV.  | WH<br>TO | CEDENTS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER IN ICH IT ACTED AS POSITIVE LEGISLATOR FOR ADDING THE LEGAL SYSTEM REGULATORY PRINCIPLES THAT NOT EXIST BEFORE  | 867 |
|      | 1.       | Decision No. 1013 of June 12, 2001 (case Elias Santana):<br>Interpretation and Limitation of Rights Related to Freedom of<br>Expression and Right to Rebuttal   | 867 |
|      | 2.       | Decision No. 978 of April 30, 2003 (case Bolívar Banco):<br>Resolution of the Method and Opportunity for Exercising the<br>State Tax Authority on Tax Stamp Matters Pending the Issuing<br>of the Relevant National Legislation   | 868 |
|      | 3.       | Decision No. 511 of April 5, 2004 (case Maira Rincón Lugo):<br>Decision on the Taking over Proceeding of Cases from Lower<br>Courts (Avocamiento), Having Binding Nature for All Other<br>Courts of the Supreme Tribunal of Justice   | 869 |

xxiv



#### **CONTENTS**

|    | 4. | Inte<br>betv  | rision No. 1682 of July 15, 2005 (case Carmela Manpieri): rpretation of Article 77 of the Constitution – Comparison ween Men and Women De Facto and Men and Women riage – and the Praetorian Development of Its Legal ime | 870 |  |  |  |
|----|----|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
|    | 5. | Gode  | sion No. 1456 of July 27, 2006 (case Yamilex Núñez de by) and the Praetorian Development of the Legal Regime sisted Reproduction  | 871 |  |  |  |
| V. | AC | TS A  | DENTS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT THAT<br>S POSITIVE LEGISLATOR TO MODIFY<br>STING LAWS   | 872 |  |  |  |
|    | 1. |   | risions That Modify Legal Rules through Substitutive  | 072 |  |  |  |
|    |    |   | risions on No Prior Declaration of Annulment of the Rule  | 872 |  |  |  |
|    |    | A.  | Decision No. 2855 of November 20, 2002 (case <i>FEDENAGA</i> ): Constitutionality of Articles 40 and 43 of the Decree with Force of Law on Rural Lands and Agrarian Development   | 873 |  |  |  |
|    |    | B.  | Decision No. 2560 of August 5, 2005 (case <i>Rómulo Pacheco</i> ): Modification of Article 172 of the Organic Code of Criminal Procedure  | 874 |  |  |  |
|    |    | C.  | Decision No. 301 of February 27, 2007 (case <i>Adriana Vigilanza</i> ): Modification of the Sense and Scope of Article 31 of the Income Tax Law   | 875 |  |  |  |
|    | 2. | Decisions of the Constitutional Chamber That Annulled and Established the New Wording of Legal Provisions |   |     |  |  |  |
|    |    | A.  | Decision no. 80 of February 1, 2001 (Case of Procedural Terms): Modification of Article 197 of the Civil Procedure Code Regarding the Calculation of Procedural Terms   | 877 |  |  |  |
|    |    | B.  | Decision No. 1264 of June 11, 2002 (case <i>Jesús Rendón</i> ):<br>Partial Annulment of Article 201 of the Civil Procedure<br>Code That Governs Judicial Holidays   | 878 |  |  |  |
|    |    | C.  | Decision No. 2241 of September 24, 2002 (case <i>Andrés Velázquez</i> ): Partial Annulment of Article 80 of the Organic Law on the Financial Administration of the Public Sector  | 879 |  |  |  |
|    |    | D.  | Decision No. 3241 of December 12, 2002 (case <i>COVEIN</i> ): Partial Annulment of Article 1 of the Ordinance on the Industry and Commerce License Tax of the Bolivar Municipality of the Anzoátegui State                | 880 |  |  |  |

XXV



#### **CONTENTS**

|         | E.         | Decision No. 865 of April 22, 2003 (case <i>Ernesto José Rodríguez Casares</i> ): Annulment of Article 48 of the Ordinance on Urban and Rural Common and Personal Lands for the Bolivar District of the Zulia State | 881        |
|---------|------------|---|------------|
|         | F.         | Decision No. 1104 of May 23, 2006 (case <i>Carlos Brender</i> ): Partial Annulment of Article 90 of the Organic   |            |
|         | G.         | Law of the Attorney General of the Republic Decision No. 163 of February 28, 2008 (case <i>Ciro Ramón Araujo</i> ): Partial Annulment of the Organic Law of Public  | 882        |
|         |            | Defense  a. Partial Annulment and Modification of the Text of Article 3 of the Organic Law of Public Defense  | 883<br>883 |
|         |            | b Partial Annulment and Modification of Articles 11, 12, and 13 of the Organic Law of Public Defense  | 883        |
|         |            | c. Partial Annulment and Modification of Article 15 of<br>the Organic Law of Public Defense   | 884        |
| VI.     | UNO<br>NOI | EF CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE<br>CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE EXERCISE OF THE<br>RMATIVE POWERS BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL<br>AMBER OF THE SUPREME CHAMBER OF JUSTICE   | 885        |
| PART T  | HRE        | E   |            |
|         |            | REPORT: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS<br>EGISLATORS IN COMPARATIVE LAW   | 889        |
| PRELIM  | INAF       | RY REMARKS  | 889        |
| 1.      | The        | Subordination of Constitutional Courts to the Constitution  | 889        |
| 2.      |            | Role of Constitutional Courts and the Question of Acting Positive Legislators   | 891        |
| FIRST T | RENI       | D: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING<br>WITH THE CONSTITUENT POWER  | 893        |
| 1.      |            | stitutional Courts Resolving Constitutional Federal<br>outes and Enacting Constitutional Rules  | 893        |
| 2.      |            | stitutional Courts Exercising Judicial Review on<br>stitutional Provisions  | 894        |
| 3.      |            | stitutional Courts Exercising Judicial Review on<br>stitutional Reforms and Amendments  | 894        |
| 4.      | The        | Role of Constitutional Courts Adapting the Constitution on ters of Fundamental Rights   | 896        |
| 5.      |            | Mutation of the Constitution on Institutional Matters   | 897        |

xxvi



#### CONTENTS

| SECC | ONE | ) TR | END: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING WITH EXISTING LEGISLATION   | 899 |
|------|-----|------|--|-----|
| ]    | 1.  |      | stitutional Courts Complementing Legislative Functions by rpreting Statutes in Harmony with the Constitution   | 899 |
| 2    | 2.  | Add  | stitutional Courts Complementing the Legislator by<br>ing New Rules (and a New Meaning) to the Existing<br>islative Provision                                    | 900 |
| 3    | 3.  |      | stitutional Courts Complementing Legislative Functions by rfering with the Temporal Effects of Legislation   | 902 |
|      |     | A.   | The Power of the Constitutional Courts to Determine<br>When Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effect:<br>Postponing the Effect of the Courts' Ruling       | 903 |
|      |     | B.   | The Power of the Constitutional Courts to Determine When Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: The Retroactive or Nonretroactive Effects of Their Own | 004 |
|      |     |      | Decisions  | 904 |
|      |     |      | a. The Possibility of Limiting the Retroactive Ex Tunc<br>Effects of Declarative Decisions   | 905 |
|      |     |      | b. The Possibility of Retroactive Effects for Ex Nunc<br>Constitutive Decisions  | 906 |
| 4    | 4.  | The  | Power of Constitutional Courts to Revive Repealed  |     |
|      |     | Legi | islation   | 907 |
| ΓHIR | D T | REN  | ID: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING<br>WITH THE ABSENCE OF LEGISLATION OR<br>WITH LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS  | 907 |
| ]    | 1.  | Con  | stitutional Courts Filling In Absolute Legislative   |     |
|      |     | Omi  | ssions   | 907 |
|      |     | A.   | The Direct Action against Absolute Legislative Omissions   | 908 |
|      |     | B.   | The Protection of Fundamental Rights from Absolute<br>Legislative Omissions by Means of Actions or   | 000 |
|      |     |      | Complaints for Their Protection  | 909 |
| 2    | 2.  |      | stitutional Courts Filling In the Gap of Relative Legislative<br>sssions   | 912 |
|      |     | A.   | Constitutional Courts' Issuing of Nonbinding Directives to the Legislator  | 913 |
|      |     | B.   | Constitutional Courts' Issuing of Binding Orders and<br>Directives to the Legislator   | 914 |
| 3    | 3.  | Con  | stitutional Courts as Provisional Legislators  | 915 |

xxvii



#### **CONTENTS**

| FOURTE                  | I TREND: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS   |            |
|-------------------------|---|------------|
|                         | LEGISLATORS ON MATTERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW   | 917        |
| 1.                      | Constitutional Courts' Creating of Their Own Judicial Review<br>Powers  | 917        |
|                         | A. The Judge-Made Law on the Diffuse System of Judicial Review  | 917        |
|                         | B. The Extension of Judicial Review Powers to Ensure the Protection of Fundamental Rights   | 918        |
| 2.                      | The Need for the Express Provision in the Constitution of<br>Judicial Review Powers of the Constitutional Jurisdiction and<br>Its Deviation | 919        |
| 3.                      | Constitutional Courts' Creation of Procedural Rules on<br>Judicial Review   | 920        |
| FINAL REMARKS  APPENDIX |   | 921<br>925 |
|                         |   |            |

xxviii