

Contents

<i>Series editors' preface</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xxviii
Introduction	1
1 Setting the scene: WTO disputes, retaliation and the EU courts' reception of WTO law	6
1.1 Relevant international trade disputes so far: the <i>Hormones</i> and <i>Bananas</i> cases brought before the WTO	7
1.2 Overview of existing EU jurisprudence	19
1.3 EU conduct under review	37
1.4 Conclusions	42
2 Liability for unlawful conduct: the role of the legal remedy and conditions of the right to compensation in the EU legal order	44
2.1 Background	45
2.2 Independence and complementarity of legal actions in the EU legal order	47
2.3 EU liability for unlawful conduct	50
2.4 EU liability in the absence of unlawfulness	78
2.5 Conclusions	80

3	Enforceability of the EU's WTO law obligations in the EU legal order: EU liability due to WTO law infringement	81
3.1	Unlawfulness of EU conduct in breach of WTO law	82
3.2	Sufficiently serious breach	112
3.3	'Conferral of rights': 'right holders' or 'beneficiaries'?	114
3.4	Further conditions	119
3.5	Conclusions	124
4	The impact of EU general principles on the EU's liability regime I: liability due to infringement of EU general principles	126
4.1	Liability for breaches of general principles of EU law	127
4.2	Effect of the external dimension of EU conduct on the applicability of general principles of EU law	128
4.3	Effect of the external dimension of EU conduct on the scope of general principles of EU law	138
4.4	Scope of the general principles of EU law invoked by retaliation victims	140
4.5	Conclusions	147
5	The impact of EU general principles on the EU's liability regime II: liability in the absence of (invokable) unlawfulness in international trade disputes or 'no-fault liability'	148
5.1	Existence of the liability principle in the absence of unlawfulness under EU law	149
5.2	Application of the liability regime to the situation of retaliation victims	159
5.3	Conclusions	172
6	The current situation of retaliation victims and how to fill the gap in judicial protection while further respecting the EU institutions' international scope for manoeuvre	173
6.1	WTO law and the position of individuals	173
6.2	EU constitutional law and retaliation victims	187

6.3 Concluding remarks: the EU as a global actor, the international legal order and individual rights	195
<i>Bibliography</i>	200
<i>Index</i>	218