

HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE UNITED STATES

This book brings to light emerging evidence of a shift toward a fuller engagement with international human rights norms and their application to domestic policy dilemmas in the United States. The volume offers a rich history, spanning close to three centuries, of the marginalization of human rights discourse in the United States. Contributors analyze particular cases of U.S. human rights advocacy aimed at addressing persistent inequalities within the United States, including advocacy for the rights of persons with disabilities; indigenous peoples; lone-mother-headed families; incarcerated persons; lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered people; and those displaced by natural disasters, most notably Hurricane Katrina. This book also explores key arenas in which legal scholars, policy practitioners, and grassroots activists are challenging multiple divides between "public" and "private" spheres (e.g., in connection with children's rights and domestic violence) and between "public" and "private" sectors (e.g., in relation to health care and business and human rights).

Shareen Hertel is Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Connecticut, jointly appointed with the Human Rights Institute at the University. She is the author of *Unexpected Power: Conflict and Change Among Transnational Activists* (2006) and coeditor with Lanse P. Minkler of *Economic Rights: Conceptual, Measurement and Policy Issues* (2007). She has published in, among others, *Political Science Quarterly, Polity, International Studies Review, Global Governance, Human Rights Review*, and *Journal of Latin American Studies*. Hertel has served as a consultant to foundations, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and United Nations agencies in the United States, Latin America, and South Asia.

Kathryn Libal is Assistant Professor of Community Organization in the School of Social Work at the University of Connecticut. She has written on child welfare, children's rights, and state formation in Turkey. Libal is currently writing on international NGO advocacy for Iraqi forced migrants and the failures of the U.S. welfare state to fulfill the economic human rights of children. Her research has been published in *Human Rights Review*, *International Journal of Social Welfare*, *Violence against Women*, *Journal for Middle East Women's Studies*, and edited volumes on human rights, social welfare, international social work, and anthropology.



> In memory of Peggy And for Scott



Human Rights in the United States

BEYOND EXCEPTIONALISM

Edited by

Shareen Hertel

University of Connecticut

Kathryn Libal

University of Connecticut





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107400870

© Shareen Hertel and Kathryn Libal 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Human rights in the United States : beyond exceptionalism / [edited by] Shareen Hertel, Kathryn Libal.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00846-5 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-40087-0 (paperback)

1. Human rights – United States. I. Hertel, Shareen. II. Libal, Kathryn, 1968–III. Title.

JC599.U5H754 2011

323.0973 - dc22 2010048058

ISBN 978-1-107-00846-5 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-40087-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Contributors		page ix
Ack	Acknowledgments	
	eword: Are Americans Human? Reflections on the Future Progressive Politics in the United States Dorothy Q. Thomas	xix
I.	Paradoxes and Possibilities: Domestic Human Rights Policy in Context Kathryn Libal and Shareen Hertel	1
	SECTION I. STRUCTURING DEBATES, INSTITUTIONALIZING RIGHTS	
2.	The Yellow Sweatshirt: Human Dignity and Economic Human Rights in Advanced Industrial Democracies <i>Rhoda E. Howard-Hassmann</i>	2.5
3.	The U.S. Welfare State: A Battleground for Human Rights <i>Mimi Abramovitz</i>	46
4.	Drawing Lines in the Sand: Building Economic and Social Rights Norms in the United States <i>Cathy Albisa</i>	68
5.	State and Local Commissions as Sites for Domestic Human Rights Implementation Risa E. Kaufman	89



vi Contents

	SECTION II. CHALLENGING PUBLIC/PRIVATE DIVIDES	
6.	The Curious Resistance to Seeing Domestic Violence as a Human Rights Violation in the United States Sally Engle Merry and Jessica Shimmin	113
7.	At the Crossroads: Children's Rights and the U.S. Government <i>Jonathan Todres</i>	132
8.	Entrenched Inequity: Health Care in the United States Jean Connolly Carmalt, Sarah Zaidi, and Alicia Ely Yamin	153
9.	Business and Human Rights: A New Approach to Advancing Environmental Justice in the United States Joanne Bauer	175
S	SECTION III. FROM THE MARGINS TO THE CENTER: MAKIN HARMS VISIBLE THROUGH HUMAN RIGHTS FRAMING	٧G
10.	The Law and Politics of U.S. Participation in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities <i>Michael Ashley Stein and Janet E. Lord</i>	199
II.	The Anomaly of Citizenship for Indigenous Rights Bethany R. Berger	217
12.	Human Rights Violations as Obstacles to Escaping Poverty: The Case of Lone-Mother-Headed Families Ken Neubeck	234
13.	The Human Rights of Children in Conflict with the Law: Lessons for the U.S. Human Rights Movement Mie Lewis	255
14.	LGBT Rights as Human Rights in the United States: Opportunities Lost Julie Mertus	274
15.	No Shelter: Disaster Politics in Louisiana and the Struggle for Human Rights Davida Finger and Rachel E. Luft	291



Contents	vii
Appendix 1: Universal Declaration of Human Rights	313
APPENDIX 2: International Covenant on Economic, Social	
and Cultural Rights	320
APPENDIX 3: International Covenant on Civil and Political	
Rights	332
Index	355



Contributors

Mimi Abramovitz, DSW, is the Bertha Capen Reynolds Professor of Social Policy at Hunter College School of Social Work and The Graduate Center, City University of New York. An activist and scholar, she has authored many influential books on women, poverty, and social welfare policy. These include Regulating the Lives of Women: Social Welfare Policy from Colonial Times to the Present (1999); Taxes Are a Women's Issue: Reframing the Debate (The Feminist Press at CUNY 2006); Under Attack, Fighting Back: Women and Welfare in the US (2000; named an "Outstanding Book" by the Myers Center for Human Rights); and The Dynamics of Social Welfare Policy (Oxford University Press 2010). Abramovitz is currently writing a book titled Gendered Obligations: The History of Activism Among Black and White Low-Income Women in the US since 1900.

Cathy Albisa is a constitutional and human rights lawyer with a background in the right to health. Albisa also has significant experience working in partnership with community organizers on the use of human rights standards to strengthen advocacy in the United States. She cofounded, with Sharda Sekaran and Liz Sullivan, the National Economic and Social Rights Initiative (NESRI) to build legitimacy for human rights in general, and economic and social rights in particular, in the United States. Albisa (with Martha Davis and Cindy Soohoo) is an editor of the *Bringing Human Rights Home* series (Pennsylvania 2008) and has published on both economic and social rights, as well as reproductive rights issues, in the United States. Albisa has taught at Columbia Law School and Cornell Law School and currently teaches at the CUNY School of Law. She is Vice-Chair of the Board of Directors of the Center for Constitutional Rights and serves on the Board of Directors of the Center for Social



x Contributors

Inclusion. Albisa clerked for the Honorable Mitchell Cohen in the District of New Jersey. She received a BA from the University of Miami and is a graduate of Columbia Law School.

Joanne Bauer is Adjunct Professor in the School of International and Public Affairs at Columbia University, where she teaches business and human rights. She is also Senior Researcher and New York Representative of the Business and Human Rights Resource Centre, a London-headquartered organization working to increase the transparency of companies' human rights impact worldwide. Previously, she was Director of Studies at the Carnegie Council on Ethics and International Affairs, where she founded and directed two program areas: one on human rights; the other on environmental values. She is the founding editor of *Human Rights Dialogue* (a publication of the Carnegie Council); the editor of *Forging Environmentalism: Justice, Livelihood, and Contested Environments* (2006); and coeditor (with Daniel A. Bell) of *The East Asian Challenge for Human Rights* (Cambridge University Press 1999).

Bethany R. Berger, JD, is Professor at the University of Connecticut School of Law, where she teaches American Indian Law and Tribal Law. Her many articles have appeared in journals such as the *Duke Law Journal*, *California Law Review*, *Michigan Law Review*, and *UCLA Law Review*. She is the coauthor of *American Indian Law*: Cases and Commentary and a coauthor and member of the Board of Editors of Cohen's Handbook of Federal Indian Law, the preeminent treatise in the field. Berger is a graduate of Yale Law School.

Jean Connolly Carmalt is Assistant Professor of Law, Politics, and Society at Drake University. She holds a JD from Cornell University Law School (2003) and a PhD in Geography from the University of Washington (2010). She previously served as Legal Coordinator for the Center for Economic and Social Rights. Carmalt's research and teaching focus on the relationship between international human rights law and geographic literature, and she is the author of several articles and book chapters on that subject. Her dissertation is titled "Geographic Perspectives on International Law: Human Rights and Hurricane Katrina."

Davida Finger is Assistant Clinical Professor at Loyola University New Orleans College of Law, where she teaches the community justice section in the law clinic and the law and poverty course. In collaboration with community organizations, Finger has worked extensively on disaster-related litigation and policy, such as FEMA's rental assistance programs;



Contributors

xi

the State of Louisiana's Road Home Program for homeowners; wrongful public and private housing demolitions; public records matters; and various juvenile and housing issues. Finger is the 2011 Chair-Elect of the Association of American Law Schools' Poverty Law Section.

Shareen Hertel, PhD, is Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Connecticut, jointly appointed with the Human Rights Institute at the University of Connecticut. She is the author of *Unexpected Power:* Conflict and Change Among Transnational Activists (Cornell 2006) and coeditor (with Lanse P. Minkler) of Economic Rights: Conceptual, Measurement and Policy Issues (Cambridge 2007). Hertel has published in, among others, Political Science Quarterly, Polity, International Studies Review, Global Governance, Human Rights Review, and Journal of Latin American Studies. She has served as a consultant to foundations, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and United Nations agencies in the United States, Latin America, and South Asia. She holds a doctorate in Political Science from Columbia University.

Rhoda E. Howard-Hassmann, PhD, FRSC, is Canada Research Chair in International Human Rights at Wilfrid Laurier University, where she holds a joint appointment in the Department of Global Studies and the Balsillie School of International Affairs. She is also a Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada. In 2006, the Human Rights section of the American Political Science Association named Dr. Howard-Hassmann its first Distinguished Scholar of Human Rights. In addition to her many published works on human rights since 1980, she is coeditor of Economic Human Rights in Canada and the United States (University of Pennsylvania 2006); coeditor of The Age of Apology: Facing Up to the Past (University of Pennsylvania 2008); and author of Reparations to Africa (University of Pennsylvania 2008) and Can Globalization Promote Human Rights? (Pennsylvania State University 2010). Howard-Hassmann has a long-standing interest in economic rights. Her current research project is on the right to food and the responsibility to protect, focusing more specifically on governments that starve their own citizens; it also encompasses the responsibilities of international organizations and transnational corporations.

Risa E. Kaufman, JD, is Executive Director of the Human Rights Institute (HRI) at Columbia Law School, where she is Lecturer-in-Law. Her work is particularly focused on implementing human rights treaty norms and obligations within the United States, including at the state and local levels, and developing strategies for promoting economic and social rights in the



xii Contributors

United States. She also coordinates the Bringing Human Rights Home lawyers' network and teaches a seminar on economic justice and human rights in the United States. She has engaged in impact litigation, policy initiatives, public education, and scholarship focusing on welfare, housing rights, racial profiling, access to legal services, rights of incarcerated persons, and political participation. Kaufman holds a JD from the NYU School of Law, where she was a Root-Tilden-Snow Scholar. She clerked for Judge Ira DeMent in the U.S. District Court in Montgomery, Alabama.

Mie Lewis is a senior staff attorney with the Women's Rights Project of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), where she works on behalf of women and girls in the criminal and juvenile justice systems. She has served as lead counsel in class-action lawsuits challenging the solitary confinement and unwarranted strip searching of incarcerated girls, as well as the sex discriminatory conditions of confinement of women prisoners in a men's prison. Previously, as the Aryeh Neier Fellow at Human Rights Watch and the ACLU, Lewis conducted a year-long investigation into human rights abuses against girls incarcerated in the state of New York. She earned her JD with distinction from Stanford Law School and served as law clerk to U.S. District Court Judge Susan Y. Illston.

Kathryn Libal, PhD, is Assistant Professor of Community Organization in the School of Social Work at the University of Connecticut. She holds a doctorate in cultural anthropology from the University of Washington. She has written on children and women's rights and child welfare in Turkey. Libal's current research focuses on two topics: international NGO advocacy for Iraqi forced migrants and failures of the U.S. welfare state to fulfill the economic human rights of children. Her work has been published in *Human Rights Review*, *Violence against Women*, *Journal of International Social Welfare*, the *Journal for Middle East Women's Studies*, and edited volumes on human rights, social welfare, international social work, and anthropology.

Janet E. Lord, LLM, LLB, is Senior Partner at BlueLaw International, LLP, a service-disabled, veteran-owned international law and development firm. A research associate at the Harvard Law School Project on Disability, she is an expert in international disability rights law. Lord participated in all of the negotiating sessions during the drafting of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, serving as legal advisor to Disabled Peoples' International and several lead governments, including Mexico, Ecuador, and Costa Rica. She has designed, managed,



Contributors

xiii

and implemented disability and development programs in more than twenty-five countries worldwide for a variety of agencies, including the World Bank, the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, the UN Development Programme, the Pan American Health Organization, Handicap International, and CARE. She is adjunct Professor of Law at the University of Maryland School of Law and serves on the faculty of the American University School of International Service, where she teaches in the summer human rights program. She holds an LLM from George Washington University Law School and an LLM and LLB from the University of Edinburgh in Scotland.

Rachel E. Luft is Assistant Professor in the Department of Sociology at the University of New Orleans. Her primary areas of research specialization are race, gender, intersectionality, and social movements. Since Hurricane Katrina, she has been a participant observer in grassroots movement responses to the disaster. Her research and writing focus on the racial and gender politics of community organizing for just reconstruction, the politicization of displaced people, volunteer solidarity politics, and human rights. Her publications on Hurricane Katrina have appeared in several journals and collected volumes. Luft is also Senior Analyst in the Social Science Research Council's Research Network on Persons Displaced by Katrina.

Sally Engle Merry is Professor of Anthropology and former Director of the Law and Society Program at New York University. Her recent books include Colonizing Hawai'i: The Cultural Power of Law (Princeton University Press 2000); Human Rights and Gender Violence: Translating International Law into Local Justice (University of Chicago Press 2006); and Gender Violence: A Cultural Perspective (2009). She is past president of the Law and Society Association and President-Elect of the American Ethnological Society. The Law and Society Association awarded Professor Merry the Hurst Prize for Colonizing Hawai'i in 2002 and the Kalven Prize for overall scholarly contributions to sociolegal scholarship in 2007. The School of American Research awarded her the J.I. Staley Prize for Human Rights and Gender Violence in 2010.

Julie Mertus is Professor and the Co-Director of Ethics, Peace and Global Affairs program at American University. She has written widely on human rights and gender, conflict, the Balkans, U.S. foreign policy, and UN institutions. She is the author or editor of ten books, including *Bait and Switch: Human Rights and U.S. Foreign Policy* (Routledge 2008; named



xiv Contributors

"human rights book of the year" by the American Political Science Association) and, most recently, *Human Rights Matters: Local Politics and National Human Rights Institutions* (Stanford University Press 2009) and *The United Nations and Human Rights* (2005). She has been the recipient of several fellowships and grants from the MacArthur Foundation, United States Institute of Peace, Ford Foundation, Soros Foundation, and the Open Society Institute.

Ken Neubeck, PhD, is Emeritus Professor of Sociology at the University of Connecticut, where he served as Director of the interdisciplinary undergraduate human rights minor. Now a resident of Eugene, Oregon, Neubeck volunteers as Executive Director of Amigos Multicultural Services Center (an immigrant rights organization) and is active with the Community Coalition for Advancement of Human Rights. He also serves on the City of Eugene Human Rights Commission, which is engaged in exploring ways in which international human rights principles and standards can be implemented across Eugene's city government operations (see www.humanrightscity.com). Neubeck is the author of When Welfare Disappears: The Case for Economic Human Rights (2006) and coauthor with Noel Cazenave of Welfare Racism: Playing the Race Card Against America's Poor (2001). His current scholarship focuses on grassroots efforts to implement the human rights framework in governmental and nongovernmental organizations at the local community level.

Jessica Shimmin is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Media, Culture, and Communication at New York University, where her research focuses on gendered spaces of personal safety, institutional approaches to social and personal welfare, and the boundary between public and private. Currently, her projects include research on the institutional production by professionals of safe shelter space for abused women and children in Massachusetts' domestic violence intervention services network.

Michael Ashley Stein, JD, PhD, is Cofounder and Executive Director of the Harvard Law School Project on Disability and Cabell Professor at William & Mary Law School. An internationally acclaimed disability rights advocate, Stein participated in the drafting of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and actively consults with international governments on their disability laws and policies. He acts as a legal advisor to Rehabilitation International, Disabled Peoples' International, and Special Olympics International, and advises a



Contributors

XV

number of UN bodies. Stein has served as president of the National Disabled Bar Association and as pro bono counsel for Legal Aid Society's Juvenile Rights Division, and has most recently focused on filing disability rights briefs with the European Court of Human Rights. Stein earned his law degree from Harvard Law School and his doctorate from Cambridge University. He clerked for U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel A. Alito, Jr., and practiced law with Sullivan & Cromwell in New York.

Dorothy Q. Thomas is currently a research associate at the School of Oriental and African Studies at the University of London and was a 2008 Visiting Fellow at the London School of Economics' Centre for the Study of Human Rights. Thomas was the Founding Director of the Human Rights Watch Women's Division and served in that position from 1990 to 1998. She was a 1998 MacArthur Fellow; a 1995 Bunting Fellow of the Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study at Harvard University; and, in 1998, received the Eleanor Roosevelt Human Rights Award from Bill and Hillary Clinton. Until January 2007, Thomas was the senior program advisor to the U.S. Human Rights Fund, a collaborative grant-making initiative supporting domestic human rights work in the United States. She is a member of the Board of the British Institute for Human Rights and the Ms. Foundation for Women and sits on the advisory boards of the ACLU Human Rights Project, the American Constitution Society Human Rights Working Group, and the Human Rights Watch U.S. Program. Thomas is a graduate of Georgetown University, which awarded her an honorary doctorate in 1995.

Jonathan Todres, JD, is Associate Professor of Law at Georgia State University College of Law, where he teaches courses on children's rights and health law. His research focuses primarily on children's rights issues, in particular, trafficking and commercial sexual exploitation of children and domestic interpretations of international children's rights law. Todres lectures frequently on children's rights issues and has testified before the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child and in Congressional briefings in the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate on trafficking and commercial sexual exploitation of children. He serves as a regular advisor to nongovernmental organizations involved in children's rights issues, including as Child Rights Advisor to ECPAT-USA. Todres is the author of numerous articles on children's rights and coeditor of the book *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: An Analysis of Treaty Provisions and Implications of US Ratification* (2006).



xvi Contributors

Alicia Ely Yamin, JD, MPH, is currently Joseph H. Flom Fellow on Global Health and Human Rights at Harvard Law School, Adjunct Lecturer on Health Policy and Management at the Harvard School of Public Health, and Senior Researcher (affiliated) at the Christian Michelsen Institute in Norway. She also serves as Special Advisor to Amnesty International's global campaign on poverty. Yamin is on the editorial boards of *Health and Human Rights*, *Human Rights Quarterly*, *Human Rights and the Global Economy*, and *Revista Iberoamericana de Derechos Humanos*. She was formerly Director of Research and Investigations at Physicians for Human Rights, where she oversaw all field investigations. Yamin is Chair of the Board of the Center for Economic and Social Rights. She also serves on, among others, the Reference Group of the International Budget Project's Partnership Initiative, the Advisory Boards of the International Initiative on Maternal Mortality and Human Rights, and the Center for Policy Analysis on Trade and Health.

Sarah Zaidi is the Executive Director of the International Treatment Preparedness Coalition, a global network advocating for longer, healthier, and more productive lives of people with HIV. A pioneer in human rights, in 1993, Zaidi cofounded, along with two colleagues, the Center for Economic and Social Rights (CESR), one of the first international organizations to focus exclusively on social justice issues through a human rights lens. Zaidi holds an undergraduate degree from Brown University and a doctorate from the Harvard School of Public Health. She has written extensively on issues of health and human rights and, most recently, coauthored *Human Rights at the UN: The Political History of Universal Justice* (Indiana University Press 2007), a critical analysis of the history of human rights at the United Nations.



Acknowledgments

We are indebted to many people for the development of this edited volume. Richard Ashby Wilson (Director, University of Connecticut Human Rights Institute) and Jeremy Paul (Dean, University of Connecticut Law School) marshaled the institutional support to host a conference on "Human Rights in the USA" in October 2009. The event was cosponsored by the Thomas J. Dodd Research Center, the University of Connecticut Humanities Institute, the Institute of Puerto Rican and Latino Studies, the Center for Latin American and Caribbean Studies, and the James L. and Shirley A. Draper Chair of Early American History.

The chapters in this volume were among the many rich and varied contributions initially presented at that event. Rachel Jackson has provided invaluable logistical support throughout the process. Aviva L. Ron has offered excellent copyediting and research support, and Kathy Birnie has graciously provided technical support at key junctures in the project. We are grateful to our contributors for their commitment to completing detailed and timely revisions. We are also grateful to our colleagues in the Economic and Social Rights Group at the University of Connecticut for their critical insights on the issues that undergird this volume. We appreciate the confidence and support of John Berger at Cambridge University Press and the comments of anonymous reviewers who read earlier versions of material included in the book.

Finally, we are encouraged by the efforts of thousands of grassroots activists, policy makers, and people in local communities throughout the United States who are already working tirelessly for the realization of human rights on multiple levels. We hope this book is useful in their efforts.

xvii



Foreword

Are Americans Human? Reflections on the Future of Progressive Politics in the United States

Dorothy Q. Thomas

It is not easy being progressive in the United States today. Conservatives attack us as anathema to American values, and we portray them as a threat to progressive ones. President Barack Obama, the first avowedly progressive president since Franklin D. Roosevelt, is denounced by critics on the right as a "socialist" and decried by ones on the left as a "sell-out." Progressive Americans find ourselves caught between a political rock and a hard place: either we swallow our pride and use the opportunity of Obama's presidency to try to restore at least some semblance of progressivism to our country's policies, or we stick to our principles and risk losing the chance to govern it completely. What are we to do? Should U.S. progressives stand up for a country that continuously disappoints and even disavows us, or should we turn our back on one that still attracts our hope?

Obviously, no single answer to these questions exists, and the many underlying assumptions are open to debate. But rather than pitting one analysis of today's progressive American dilemmas against another, this volume takes a different approach: it suggests that we reconsider the state and fate of American progressivism altogether by placing it within the framework of human rights.

This is not an academic exercise. It has taken me all of my twenty-five years as a U.S. social justice activist, for example, to recast my own politics in terms of human rights, a shift that required me – as I hope this book will inspire you – to reexamine my sense of self, my connection to the various social justice movements of which I am a part, their relationship to one another and to the United States government, and the link between all of these things and what it means, in very practical terms, to be a progressive and an American in the world today.



xx Foreword

As this volume attests, reframing progressive Americanism in human rights terms offers us fresh insights into some of the most intractable social and political problems confronting the United States – and the world. We are living in an unusually unstable and precarious period, not only for our politics but also for our planet. A great deal depends on what we choose to do. Yet, at such a decisive moment, how can we determine what it means to be progressive or what it will take to progress unless we are *very aware* of the larger historical context in which we operate, of the ways in which our past is encapsulated and our future enacted by what we choose to do? Adopting a human rights lens offers us this broader perspective. It gives us an overarching framework with which to review our past politics, envision our future objectives, and, ultimately, so the contributors to this volume hope, develop new ideas about what steps progressives can take today that will help us address the challenges we face now and will face in the future.

THE LESSONS OF HISTORY

One thing I have learned from applying a human rights lens to my own past experience as an activist is how divided I was from both America and other activists. At one level, this makes perfect sense. The United States was often the target of my activism (usually as a foreign policy matter), and my early work in the anti-apartheid, women's, and even human rights movements was often focused on specific groups. Upon reflection I find that something more worrisome was also at work. My antagonism toward the United States in the late 1970s and 1980s, like that of most of my fellow progressives, reflected a legitimate disappointment with its domestic and foreign policies. Unfortunately, it also coincided with the rise of the neoconservatives. Their determination to "take back America" was matched only by our inclination to move away from it. As a result, by the 1990s, it had become nearly unthinkable in almost any political circle to be both a progressive and a patriot. And by the time President Obama was elected in 2008, it was common for conservative pundits to question his loyalty, disparage his patrimony, and wonder aloud, as did one right-wing blogger, "if anyone had noticed that these minorities who hate this country are now running it?" (Applebome 2009).

As I reviewed this history, I discovered that progressive disaffection with the United States government, however justified, had morphed into a more profound alienation with another embittering side effect: when progressives began to turn off to America, we started to turn against one



Foreword xxi

another. By some perverse political twist, we transmuted our loss of faith in the progressive ideals that America (at least arguably) represented into an inverse obsession with our own political legitimacy. To put it another way, the double whammy of our alienation from the United States and the conservatives' corresponding (and clever) denunciation of us as "un-American" led us, to varying degrees and for many different reasons, to locate our "true" identity in ever more narrow definitions of what it meant to be *authentically* progressive. Many American progressives who came of age during this period not only disavowed our country and denigrated some of our fellow activists, but also denied suspect dimensions of our own selves.

I take myself as a case in point. For almost as long as I have been a publicly recognized U.S. social justice activist, I have kept a patriotic skeleton in my progressive closet: I am also a descendant of Dorothy Quincy Hancock, one of America's founding mothers and the wife of John Hancock, the president of the Continental Congress and the boldface signer of the Declaration of Independence. What is significant to me now is not so much that I am indirectly descended from a signer of the American Declaration of Independence, but that, to retain my credibility as a leading progressive activist, I chose until this time in my life to hide it. In fact, I got into the not-unrelated habit of cloaking (or privileging) almost any aspect of my identity – be it my ancestry, my race, my class, my sexuality, my love of Terminator movies, or any other characteristic that might undermine (or advance) my credibility as a progressive activist.

From a human rights perspective, which assumes the dignity and equality of *all* people, these condemnatory and exclusionary undercurrents in progressive American politics now appear deeply reactive. I have consistently observed conservatives and progressives alike using exclusionary concepts of identity to defend rather than defeat more narrow interests – by, for example, selling out the so-called bad immigrants in order to secure legalization for the "good" ones, or by downplaying gay rights to advance equality for more "mainstream" groups, or by trading off race for gender or gender for race or both for something else, or by doubting the wisdom of youth to promote our own sage point of view. Human rights have helped me see that insofar as I participated in these dynamics – and I often did – I allowed a profound hypocrisy to infest my politics.

Every time progressives defend our legitimacy by denying the complexity of personal and/or political identity – whether our own, anyone else's, or even our country's – we compromise the principle and the power of progressivism itself, and we are complicit in the very structural inequities



xxii Foreword

we seek to depose. If we are to have any meaningful chance to take advantage of Obama's presidency and what it represents in the interest of exerting a truly progressive influence on national policy, we will first have to stop squabbling over the scattered remnants of our deeply fractured identity and come together to create a much more affirmative, inclusive, and aspirational relationship not only to one another but also to the country as a whole.

ENVISIONING THE FUTURE

By looking through a human rights lens at my own past history, I've had to face my own complicity in a distorted form of progressive identity politics; these politics were intensified by years of conservative flag-baiting and often served to cut me off from my country, from many of my fellow activists, and even from myself. I do not say this to be condemnatory. Defensive progressivism, however internally contradictory, was necessary at a certain point in American history. But Obama had to overcome these divided politics to get elected, and now we activists, academics, policy makers, and philanthropists need to get our own act together. President Obama has put it this way:

That is the story of America: of ordinary citizens organizing, agitating and advocating for change; of hope stronger than hate; of love more powerful than any insult or injury; of Americans fighting to build for themselves and their families a nation in which no one is a second-class citizen, in which no one is denied their basic rights; in which all of us are free to live and love as we see fit.... For while there will be setbacks and bumps along the road, the truth is that our common ideals are a force stronger than any division that some might sow. These ideals, when voiced by generations of citizens, are what made it possible for me to stand here today. These ideals are what make it possible for the people in this room to live freely and openly when for most of history that would have been inconceivable. That is the promise of America... That is the promise that we are called to fulfill.

(Obama 2009)

These remarks were made by a sitting president of the United States and they underscore the enormous opportunity we have, should we choose to take it, to broaden our perspective on what it means to be progressive and American, and to expand our influence on both national and global politics, even if it will not always turn out exactly as we envision it.

But are progressive Americans going to rise to the occasion? Can we envision a more unified and positive progressive American politics, even in



Foreword xxiii

the face of our own limitations, those of our national leadership, and the seeming intractability of our opponents? The broad perspective of human rights gives me a framework for such an alternative and affirmative brand of progressive American politics. Its emphasis on our common humanity mandates a profound degree of humility with respect to our own fallibility (never mind anyone else's), and as such it offers a powerful basis on which to assert and sustain a future of progressive unanimity, inclusiveness, and empathy that extends to those with whom we profoundly disagree.

Yet even if human rights offer progressive Americans a way to realign our politics with the values of connection, participation, and imagination on which social change inherently rests, I find myself wondering if we are really up for this level of inclusiveness. Can we ever find a way to connect to such a broad and expansive framework without fearing that it comes at the expense of the integrity of our own particular experience – a reasonable fear, given the lessons of American history?

Can human rights really help? Obviously, this is a question that each of us needs to answer for ourselves. Critics argue that the framework of human rights is too "foreign," too "abstract," and too "controversial" in the United States for it to be of much real use. The title of this Foreword, "Are Americans Human?" tries to address some of the assumptions behind these views. If we accept, for example, that human rights are foreign or abstract, it's almost as if we're saying the idea of human rights had nothing at all to do with the idea of the United States or that Americans are not actually part of the human race. The fact that Americans themselves as well as people in the rest of the world are asking this question (even if only by implication) suggests the precise loss of connection to ourselves, to one another, to our country, and to our fellow human beings that I feel bedevils all politics in the United States today and threatens our progress as a people and as a nation.

That human rights are controversial in the United States almost goes without saying, but we need to explore (in more detail than I have space for here) why and for whom this is the case. Suffice it to say that the controversy surrounding the realization of human rights in the United States is widespread and arises across the political spectrum with respect to a wide range of domestic and foreign policy issues including sovereignty, accountability, transparency, equality, unity, dignity, and liberty – all of which human rights aim to address. So perhaps the extent of the controversy makes sense.



xxiv Foreword

What has been interesting for me to discover, however, is that there is an inverse relationship between the age of progressives and their willingness to risk such a systemic backlash to take up human rights in the United States. The younger the activists, the more willing they are to take such risks. This gives me great hope for the future of progressive American activism and of the United States, but I do not think it is fair to rely solely on the younger generation to make this shift. Progressive people of all ages and across the political spectrum need to adopt an alternative to the disaffection and disunity of our previous practice. We must do so, if for no other reason, than because it is obviously limiting our ability to progress, along with the ability of those who come after us. We need an alternative framework that challenges our country's and even our own inclination toward habits of superiority and exclusion but does not involve, as the progressive historian Sheila Rowbotham recently cautioned, "becoming trapped in a prescriptive construct" (Rowbotham as cited in Miller 2010).

I believe the human rights framework offers progressive Americans just such an alternative construct for our politics. As some of its potential practical innovations are discussed in detail in the following essays, I will reflect here on two of its more conceptual benefits.

Human rights respect national and other identities, but defy supremacy. If we choose to reconnect the principles of human rights to the ideals America at least arguably represents, it may help us recapture an affirmative relationship to the United States and therefore to its people without acceding to the exceptionalism, nationalism, and structural inequality alienating us in the first place. Nobody died and left the conservatives in charge of what it means to be an American. The primary progressive "value-added" of human rights is that as a framework for U.S. law, policy, and social mobilization, it provides a viable alternative to the ideology of supremacy no matter who may practice human rights. Nor does the form of human rights protection matter, whether focused around safeguarding people based on national identity, gender, race, class, sexuality, party, religion, or any other status. If we begin to promote a commitment to fundamental human rights as central to the progressive identity of the United States, we may be able to reclaim an affirmative relationship to our country as core to our own progressive identity. Patriotism would then be a way to uphold rather than usurp our shared commitment to fundamental equality.

Human rights recognize all peoples' equality and dignity but decry uniformity. If we choose to use human rights to frame our alternative vision of progressive American politics, it will provide us with a desperately



Foreword xxv

needed corrective to the narrow and divisive character of much of our past practice, wherein many progressives cloaked our differences to fit into particular groups or downplayed our commonalities to protect our turf or got eaten up by some combination of both. Human rights offer American progressives an inclusive and affirmative antidote to the exclusionary side effects of our previous politics: one that recognizes we are different in virtually every respect and that those differences are usually compounded – and that we also have a lot in common. Human rights neither deny us our sense of individuality nor absolve us of our relationship to the broader community. Instead human rights offer American progressives a vastly more equitable and dynamic conception of personal and political identity, which simultaneously affirms that we are inherently different and that, in being born equal in dignity and rights, we all are also inalienably alike. This approach allows us to come together as progressive Americans based on both our identities and our values.

WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO BE A PROGRESSIVE AMERICAN IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY?

I recognize that as progressives our relationship to the United States as a country has been and probably always will be ambivalent. This ambivalence will be heightened or lessened by the nature of our own specific identities and experiences, by our race, our class, our culture, our past, our differences, our relative privilege. "Let America be America again," as Langston Hughes once put it. "(It never was America to me)" (Hughes [1936] 1994). But unless we intend to allow the "Birther Movement" or the "Tea Party Patriots" to bring down Obama's presidency and the entire agenda of progressive reform for the United States along with it, we are going to have to find a way to respect our relative ambivalence toward our country and perhaps even toward our fellow progressives without sacrificing our connection to it and to one another. As President Obama so eloquently put it, "that is the promise we are called to fulfill" (Obama 2009).

But, as I noted at the outset, it is difficult in such pressing and complex circumstances for progressive Americans to get perspective on the larger historical context we find ourselves in and then determine what we should do – both as individuals and as a movement. I had to move to England to figure out who I am as an American progressive, which the original Dorothy Quincy (who was present in Lexington, Massachusetts, when the shot "heard round the world" was fired) might find a bit ironic, to



xxvi Foreword

say the least. I now pay taxes both to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth and to President Obama. This divided state of loyalty, however costly, perfectly encapsulates the unresolved question about my own identity and that of today's progressive Americans that lies at the heart of this book: Are we traitors or are we patriots? Are we Americans or are we humans? Or, might it not be possible, as it was at this country's founding, for us all to be both? As of this writing, I have decided to come home and find out.

REFERENCES

- Applebome, Peter. 2009. "Giving Back Stature Stolen in Red Scare." *New York Times*, September 2. http://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/nyregion/03towns.html.
- Hughes, Langston. [1936] 1994. "Let America Be America Again." In *The Collected Poems of Langston Hughes*, edited by Arnold Rampersad and David Roessel. New York: Alfred A. Knopf/Random House, Inc.
- Miller, Jennifer. 2010. "The Outcast Redeemer." Review of *Edward Carpenter: A Life of Liberty and Love*, by Sheila Rowbotham. *Politics and Culture* 2 (May 24). http://www.politicsandculture.org/2010/05/24/the-outcast-redeemer-2/.
- Obama, Barack. 2009. Keynote address, Human Rights Campaign Annual Dinner, October 10, Washington, D.C. http://blogs.suntimes.com/sweet/2009/10/obama_human_rights_campaign_sp.html.