

INDEX

- anti-competitive foreclosure 378–9, 386–7, 389
- Antitrust Logit Model (ALM) 216
- asymmetry and collusion 330–2, 339
 - capacity, asymmetry in 331–2, 371
 - costs, asymmetry in 331, 371
 - increasing symmetry 371–2
 - multi-market contact/asymmetry in individual market 360
 - substitutable product varieties, asymmetry in number of 331, 371
- benchmarking correlation 111–14, 129–30
- Bertrand model 151, 153–4, 216
 - and Cournot competition 153
 - merger simulation 227–30
- ‘binary fallacy’ 37–8
- bundling 387
- buyer power 288–97
 - buyer power in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 288–90
 - ability to prevent supplier from increasing prices 289, 292–7
 - buyer power created by mergers 289–90
 - buyers must be of considerable size 289, 291
 - definition of countervailing buyer power 289
 - nature of buyer power 288–9
- case study: the *Enso/Stora* decision 292–7
 - small losses in volume significantly impacting suppliers’ average costs 293–5
 - sponsoring new entry 296–7
 - conclusions 297
 - and coordinated effects, assessing *see* external stability condition
 - relevance in EU cases 290–2
 - Conner effect 291
 - price discrimination/differentiation and smaller customers 291–2
 - vulnerability of smaller customers 291
- capacity
 - collusion 330–2, 351
 - asymmetry in capacity 331–2
 - excess industry capacity 333–4
 - retaliation and excess capacity 362
- rivals
 - rivals’ ability to expand output/ease of adding capacity 261–4
 - rivals’ capacity in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 258–9
- ‘cellophane fallacy’ 38–9
- chains of substitution 41–3
- Chicago School 388
- Chief Economist Team/Chief Competition Economist, EU 12–13
 - benchmarking correlation 112
 - shock analysis/supply outages 136
- collusive behaviour *see* horizontal mergers: coordinated effects
- commitments/remedies 8–10
- common costs 107–11, 129
- Community dimension *see under* concentrations

- competition
 - and elasticity 26–7
 - number of competitors *see* number of competitors and collusion
 - policy 24–5
 - unilateral effects *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
- competitive constraints *see* important competitive constraints; important competitive
- competitive fringe *see under* external stability condition
- complementary and substitutable products 380–2
- concentrations
 - application of EC Merger Regulation 3–4
 - Community dimension 4
 - mandatory notification 7
 - definition 3 *see also* legal framework and Commission procedure
- conglomerate mergers 2, 378
 - anti-competitive foreclosure 387
 - economies of scope, giving rise to 384
 - ‘one monopoly profit’ 388
- coordinated effects *see* horizontal mergers: coordinated effects
- cost curve 20–2
 - economies of scale 21–2
 - fixed and variable costs 20
 - marginal cost 20–2
 - sunk costs 22
- countervailing factors 150, 288–317
 - buyer power *see* buyer power efficiency analysis *see* efficiency analysis
 - failing firm defence *see* failing firm defence
 - product re-positioning and new entry *see* product re-positioning and new entry
- Cournot, Antoine 93
- Cournot model 150–3
 - and Bertrand competition 153
 - Cournot effect 382
 - market price decreasing as number of competing firms increases 151
 - predicted prices exhibiting direct relationship with HHI 152–3
- critical discount factor *see under* economic concepts of tacit coordination
- critical loss analysis 46–64
 - description of technique 46–57
 - calculation of the critical loss in practice 52–6
 - data requirements 56–7
 - SSNIP and critical loss analysis 51–2
 - SSNIP and pricing decisions 46–51
 - example 58–9
 - use in EU merger control 59–64
 - Commission undertaking own critical loss analysis 63–4
 - critical loss analysis not appropriate in airline industry 59–62
- customer switching 35, 76–7, 94–5
 - customer switching analysis *see* customer switching analysis 156
 - differentiated products 156
 - greater switching propensity and greater unilateral effects 148
 - switching costs *see* switching costs
- customer switching analysis 183–91
 - description of technique 183–5
 - aim/focus of switching analysis 183–4
 - overlapping customers analysis 184–5, 190
 - example 185–9
 - use in EU merger control 189–91
 - assessing degree of closeness of competition 189–91
- customers
 - buyer power *see* buyer power
 - customer/market sharing 338, 340–1, 374–5
 - infra-marginal customers 35–6, 76–7
 - marginal customers 35, 76–7, 94–5
 - overlapping customers 184–5

- customers (cont.)
 - switching *see* customer switching
- see also* survey evidence: market
 - definition; survey evidence: competitive constraints
- data room process for third party
 - information 9
- Davis, P.J. 371–2
- demand curve 14–20
 - elasticity of demand *see* elasticity of demand
 - marginal revenue 17–18
- demand estimation 28, 64–74
 - description of technique 64–9
 - demand estimation and market definition 69
 - empirical demand estimation and identification 65–9
 - example 69–71
 - use in EU merger control 71–4
 - demand elasticity estimation in merger analysis seldom used 71–2
 - unsafe to rely solely on demand estimation analysis 73–4
- demand fluctuations/lumpiness of
 - demand and collusion 329–30, 367
- demand growth and collusion 329
- demand-side substitution 34–5, 94–5, 136
- diagonal mergers 390
- Dickey-Fuller test 129–31
- differentiated products/services
 - closeness of competition and differentiated products 161
 - competitive constraints in industries with differentiated products 148–9
- coordination terms agreement more difficult 338–40
- definition of relevant market in
 - assessing unilateral effects 148–9
- diversion ratios *see* diversion ratios
- HHIs not taking account of product differentiation 286
- merger simulation models 215
- mergers in markets where
 - goods/services are differentiated 148–9
 - price levels analysis 95–6
 - product specifications differentiation as evidence of separate national markets 103–4
- diversion ratios 156
 - approximating 184
 - calculated from responses to hypothetical price increases 179–80
 - combined with survey information on merging firms' gross margins 183
 - example of diversion ratios in merger assessment 157–8
 - price pressure tests 218
 - use of surveys to estimate diversion ratios 177
- dominance *see* monopoly or dominant positions
- double marginalisation, elimination of 382
- EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines
 - see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
- econometric analyses/techniques 43, 72–4, 129–30, 133
 - bidding data analyses 242, 245–6
 - case study: *Statoil/JET* 203–6
 - econometric estimates 204–5
 - JET's* role 203–4
 - placing econometrics in right economic context 205–6
 - complex econometric analyses remaining the exception 27–8
 - demand estimation 65, 69–71
 - economic evidence provided by structural econometric models 29
 - entry analysis 209–10
 - instrumental variables 68–9
 - as key source of quantitative evidence on market definition 65

- nature of 3
- price/concentration studies 192, 198–206
- ‘reduced form’ econometric models 29
- scanner data for mergers in branded consumer goods industries 215
- economic concepts, basic 3, 13–27
 - cost curve *see* cost curve
 - demand curve *see* demand curve
 - market power *see* market power
 - profit maximisation *see* profit maximisation
- economic concepts of non-horizontal mergers 379–91
- foreclosure and anti-competitive foreclosure 385–9
 - anti-competitive foreclosure 378–9, 386–7, 389
 - foreclosure 386
 - ‘one monopoly profit’ 388–9
- other anti-competitive effects 389–91
 - coordinated effects 390–1
 - other non-coordinated effects 389–90
- pro-competitive effects 380–5
 - complementary and substitutable products 380–2
 - economies of scope, conglomerate mergers giving rise to 384
 - ‘efficiency offence’ 385
 - ‘hold-up’ problem, non-horizontal mergers eliminating 383–4
 - merger between complementary products giving price reductions 382
 - supply chain efficiencies, vertical merger generating 384
 - supply side efficiencies 383–5
- economic concepts of tacit coordination 319–35, 369
 - factors that affect the critical discount factor 326–35
 - asymmetry (+) 330–2
 - demand fluctuations/lumpiness of demand (+) 329–30, 367
 - demand growth (–) 329
 - ease of entry (+) 328
 - excess industry capacity (?) 333–4
 - frequency of interaction/price adjustments (–) 328
 - innovation (+) 332–3
 - market demand elasticity (?) 334–5
 - multi-market contact (–) 332
 - number of competitors (+) 327–8
 - substitutability, degree of (?) 333
 - transparency (–) 328–9
- textbook tacit coordination 321–6
 - coordination sustainable if firms sufficiently ‘patient’ 324–5
 - critical discount factor 323–5
 - how firms reach collusive agreement, repeated games theory silent on 325–6
 - modification to textbook model allowing tacit coordination 323–5
 - no framework to identify change from competitive to collusive 326, 337
 - non-cooperative game theory/repeated games theory 321
 - ‘textbook’ model of coordination 321–3
 - theory silent on how firms select between equilibria 369
- economic theory: important competitive constraints 150–8
 - closeness of competition and diversion ratios 155–6
 - example of diversion ratios in merger assessment 157–8
- economic models and market concentration 150–4
 - Bertrand model 151, 153–4
 - Cournot model 150–3
- economics in EU merger control, role of 2, 12–13
- Chief Competition Economist post *see* Chief Competition Economist, EU
- ‘effects-based’ approach, consequences of 12

- economies of scale 21–2
- economies of scope 384
- ‘effects-based’ and ‘form-based’ approaches 12
- efficiency analysis 304–10
 - efficiency analysis in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 304–7
 - consumer benefit 305–6
 - merger specificity 305
 - requirements to sustain efficiency defence 305–6
 - sufficiency of claimed efficiencies to offset lessening of competition 307
 - verifiability 306
 - relevance in EU cases 308–10
- elasticity of demand 16–20, 80
 - and competition 26–7
 - inelastic demand as sufficient to identify a relevant market 73
 - market demand elasticity and collusion 334–5
 - and market power 25–6
- Elzinga, Kenneth 81 *see also* LIFO/LOFI (Elzinga-Hogarty) test
- empirical economic evidence 3, 27–9
 - economic evidence provided by structural econometric models 29
 - empirical evidence comprising basic statistics 28–9
 - empirical evidence deriving from use of ‘reduced form’ 29
 - methods unified by use of statistical inference 29
- empirical techniques: competitive constraints *see* important competitive constraints between the parties: empirical techniques
- empirical techniques to assess market definition 43–126
 - analysis of price levels *see* price levels analysis
 - analysis of sales patterns *see* sales patterns analysis
 - critical loss analysis *see* critical loss analysis
- demand estimation *see* demand estimation
- price correlation analysis *see* price correlation analysis
- qualitative evidence *see* qualitative evidence
- shock analysis *see* shock analysis
- stationarity analysis *see* stationarity analysis
- survey evidence *see* survey evidence: market definition
- entry analysis 207–10
 - description of technique 207
 - example 207–8
 - use in EU cases 209–10
- entry of new suppliers or products
 - entry analysis *see* entry analysis
 - product re-positioning and new entry *see* product re-positioning and new entry
 - shock analysis 134–5
 - sponsoring new entry/buyer power 296–7, 367
 - ease of entry and collusion 328
- Epstein, R. 216
- equality of prices *see under* price levels analysis
- exchange rate shocks or fluctuations *see under* shock analysis
- external stability condition 319, 349–50, 362–8
 - buyer power 367–8
 - assessment of role of buyers in *Pirelli/BICC* 367–8
 - bulk purchases influencing demand fluctuations/lumpiness of demand 367
 - sponsoring new entry/growth of smaller players 367
 - undermining stability of coordination 367
- importance of buyer power and potential competition 363
- non-colluding rivals 363–7
 - assessment of role of fringe in *ABF/GBI Business* 366–7

- assessment of role of fringe
 - in *Airtours/First Choice* 363–4
- assessment of role of fringe in
 - Norske Skog/Parenco/Walsum* and *UPM-Kymmene/Haindl* 365–6
- colluding firms constrained by expansion of competitive fringe 363, 367
- non-horizontal mergers 391
- failing firm defence 310–17
 - failing firm defence in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 310–12
 - conditions for use of failing firm defence 311–13
 - high hurdle for failing firm defence 311–13, 317
 - nature of failing firm defence 310–11
 - purposes of tests 312
 - relevance in EU cases 312–17
 - cases providing the foundation for the three criteria 313–15
 - ‘failing division’ argument 316–17
 - rejection of failing firm defence in recent investigations 315–16
- Farrell, J. 61–2, 220
- fixed costs 20
 - efficiency analysis/reductions and pricing 305–6, 308–9
 - fixed cost spreading 21–2
- Foncel, J. 217
- foreclosure 2, 386
- framework for the assessment of
 - coordinated effects 320–1, 335–77
- ability of firms to reach coordination terms, factors affecting 327, 338–9
- complexity of market 338–9
- institutional features of market
 - facilitating coordination 339
- number of players 338
- supply and demand conditions, stability of 339
- symmetry of firms 339
- market characteristics so that tacit understanding likely to be sustained? 336–7, 349–68
 - external stability *see* external stability
 - internal stability *see* internal stability
- merged entity/remaining
 - competitors able to reach tacit understanding? 336–49
 - complex or non-transparent market conditions 338–41
 - customer/market sharing 338, 340–1, 374–5
 - innovation 338–9, 341
 - non-price parameters of competition, coordination on 336, 340
 - parameters over which firms likely to agree to coordinate 337–41
 - price coordination 338–41
 - theory of coordination in *ABF/GBI Business* 346–9
 - theory of coordination in *Sony/BMG* 341–5
- more systematic approach to assessment of coordinated effects 336–7
- past coordination, account taken of 337
 - lower threshold 337
- proposed transaction makes tacit coordination likely or more effective? 337, 368–77
 - assessment of effect of merger in *ABF/GBI Business* 375–7
 - merger specific factors *see* merger specific factors affecting likelihood and effectiveness of tacit coordination
 - when coordinated effects/concerns arise 368–9
- traditional ‘checklist approach’ to assessment of coordinated effects 335–6
- fringe/competitive fringe *see under* external stability condition
- Froeb, L. 216

- game theory *see* economic concepts of tacit coordination
- Gross Upward Pricing Pressure Index* (GUPPI) 219–22, 231
 - modified for use in partial ownership cases 286–7
- harm, theory of 206
 - coordinated effects theory of harm 2, 12, 337
 - pre-existing coordination 337
 - threshold for harm lower if
 - existing tacit coordination strengthened 337, 369
 - see also* framework for the assessment of coordinated effects
 - non- horizontal theory of harm 12
- Herfindahl–Hirschman Index (HHI) 152–3
 - modified to take account of incentives changes from minority shareholdings 285–6
 - limited value 286
 - non-binding presumptions based on HHI 160
- Hogarty, Thomas 81 *see also* LIFO/LOFI (Elzinga-Hogarty) test
- ‘hold-up’ problem 383–4
- horizontal mergers: coordinated effects 318–77
 - coordinated effects, nature of 318 and unilateral effects 320, 326
 - framework for assessment
 - see* framework for the assessment of coordinated effects
 - tacit coordination
 - collusive outcomes easier to achieve/sustain in concentrated markets 319
 - economic concept *see* economic concept of tacit coordination
 - elements required to arise/be sustained 318–19
 - externally sustainable collusive agreement *see* external stability condition
 - internally sustainable collusive agreement *see* internal stability condition
 - mergers increasing market concentration increasing the risk of 319
 - nature of 318
- horizontal mergers: unilateral effects 148–295, 380
 - coordinated effects and unilateral effects 320, 326
 - countervailing factors
 - see* countervailing factors
 - economic concept of unilateral effects analogous to single firm dominance 148–9
 - further issues relevant in the assessment of unilateral effects 149–50, 251–87
 - case study: analysis of potential entry in UK *Svitzer/Adsteam* case 255–8
 - elimination of potential competition in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 252–8
 - elimination of potential competition in EU precedents 253–5
 - partial ownership *see* partial ownership
 - rivals’ ability to increase supply
 - see* rivals’ ability to increase supply
 - switching costs *see* switching costs
- important competitive constraints
 - see* important competitive constraints; important competitive constraints between the parties: empirical techniques
 - when mergers give rise to unilateral effects 148–9
- Huse, C. 371–2
- hypothetical monopolist/‘SSNIP’ test
 - see under* market definition
- identification 67–9
- Illustrative Price Rise* (IPR) 218–19, 231

- import duties, changes in *see under* shock analysis
- important competitive constraints 148–76
 - Commission's recent enforcement practice 163–76
 - differentiated products *see under* differentiated products/services
 - EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines *see* important competitive constraints: EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines
 - economic theory *see* economic theory: important competitive constraints
 - strength of competitive constraints proportionate to market share 148
 - when important competitive constraints likely to exist 158–61
- important competitive constraints: EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 158–63
 - merger eliminates an 'important competitive force' 149, 159, 162–3
 - 'maverick firms' 162–3, 165
 - merging firms are close competitors 160–2, 165, 177
 - degree of substitutability 161, 165
 - differentiated products and closeness of competition 161
 - likelihood of unilateral effects inferred from market shares 160–1
 - remaining rivals not providing effective competitive constraint 161–2
 - merging firms have large market share 159–61, 165, 176
 - market shares and scope for unilateral price increases 159
 - market shares thresholds 159–60, 176
 - non binding presumptions based on HHI 160
 - 'safe harbour' for firms with market share below 25% 159, 176
 - unilateral effect concerns if combined market shares over 50% 159–60, 165, 176
- important competitive constraints
 - between the parties: empirical techniques 149, 176–251
 - customer switching analysis *see* customer switching analysis
 - entry analysis *see* entry analysis
 - merger simulation *see* merger simulation
 - natural experiments *see* natural experiments
 - price/concentration studies *see* price/concentration studies and analysis of the impact of rivals' presence
 - survey evidence *see* survey evidence: competitive constraints
 - win/loss and bidding analysis *see* win/loss and bidding analysis
- 'important competitive force' *see under* important competitive constraints: EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines
- industrial organisation 13–14, 25–6
- infra-marginal customers *see under* customers
- innovation and collusion 332–3, 338–9, 341
- instrumental variables 68–9
- internal stability condition 319, 349–62
 - monitoring deviations 350–5
 - assessing degree of transparency/firms inferring rivals' actions 350
 - assessing degree of transparency/firms' information on rivals' decisions 351
 - reducing the number of market participants, effect of 371
 - transparency affected by way transactions take place 350
 - transparency higher when fewer firms active in market 350

- internal stability condition (cont.)
 - monitoring in *ABF/GBI* Business 351–2
 - monitoring in *Sony/BMG* (2007) 351–5
 - retaliation *see* retaliation
- isoelastic demand 56
- Ivaldi, M. 217
- lagged responses 114–15
- legal framework and Commission procedure 2–11
 - EC Merger Regulation 3–7
 - addressing enforcement gap in 1989 Merger Regulation 5–7
 - ‘concentrations’, application to 3–4
 - European Commission jurisdiction 4
 - permitted mergers 3–4
 - substantial impediment to effective competition/competitive assessment 4–6
 - procedure for notification and assessment 7–11
 - Art. 6 approval/conditional approval decisions 9
 - Art. 8 clearance/clearance subject to commitments/prohibition 9–10
 - doubts triggering Phase II investigation 9–10
 - mandatory notification and Phase I competitive assessment 7–9
- Lerner condition 61
- LIFO/LOFI (Elzinga-Hogarty) test 81–5
- linear demand 56
- marginal cost 20–2
 - profit maximisation 23–4
- marginal customers *see under* customers
- marginal revenue 17–18
 - profit maximisation 23–4
- market concentration and economic models *see* economic theory and key concepts: competitive constraints
- market definition 31–126
 - asymmetry in market definition 39–40
 - conceptual framework 33–42
 - hypothetical monopolist/‘SSNIP’ test 32–6
 - issues and complications arising in market definition 36–42
 - empirical techniques *see* empirical techniques to assess market definition
 - indirect constraints and chains of substitution 41–3
 - Market Definition Notice/identifying relevant market 31–2, 43, 45–6, 54, 65, 75, 105
 - ‘SSNIP’/hypothetical monopolist test 32–6, 39–40, 43, 94–5
 - critical loss analysis *see under* critical loss analysis
 - magnitude of SSNIP and the ‘binary fallacy’ 36–8
 - plant shutdowns 136
 - relevant pre-SSNIP price and ‘cellophane fallacy’ 38–9
- market investigation 8–9, 75
- market power 24–7
 - constraints on
 - buyer power *see* buyer power
 - potential competition *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
 - and demand elasticity 25–6
 - ‘one monopoly profit’ 388–9
- market shares *see under* EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines: important competitive constraints
- market sharing 338, 340–1, 374–5
- ‘maverick firms’ 162–3, 165
 - meaning of ‘maverick’ 373–4
 - mergers creating 374
- Merger Regulation, EC *see under* legal framework and Commission procedure
- merger simulation 214–31

- description of technique 214–23
 - as highly technical/data intensive process 214–15
 - methodological challenges 215
 - ‘nests’ in demand assumptions, introducing 217
 - predictions dependent on key technical parameters 217–18
 - price pressure tests *see* price pressure tests
 - principle of merger simulation 214
 - simple simulation models, development of 216
 - simplifying assumptions reflecting central elements of competition 215–16
 - statistical estimates of individual own/cross-price elasticities as inputs 217
 - whether models provide reliable guide to actual effects of mergers 218
- example 223–6
- use in EU cases 226–31
 - Commission’s general support for technique 228–31
 - restrictive assumptions/use in relatively limited number of cases 226–7
- merger specific factors affecting likelihood and effectiveness of tacit coordination 370–5
- increasing market segmentation and retaliation possibilities 374–5
- increasing symmetry 371–2
- reducing the number of market participants 370–1
- removing a ‘maverick’ 372–4
- monopoly or dominant positions 165–76
- dominance threshold 176
- ‘one monopoly profit’ 388–9
- single firm dominance 148–9, 165
- tacit coordination as collective dominance 319–20
 - assessing *see* framework for the assessment of coordinated effects
- Moresi, S. 221
- multi-market contact and collusion 332, 359–62, 374–5
 - non-horizontal mergers 391
- ‘multi-sourcing’ 84–5, 291
- Nash Equilibrium 323
- natural experiments 211–14
 - description of technique 211
 - example 211–13
 - rivals’ ability and incentive to increase output 266–7
 - use in EU cases 213–14
- ‘nests’
 - in demand assumptions 217
 - nested-logit demand 227–30
- non-colluding rivals *see under* external stability condition
- non-cooperative game theory *see* economic concepts of tacit coordination
- non-coordinated effects *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
- non- horizontal mergers 2, 378–475
 - economic concepts *see* economic concepts of non-horizontal mergers
 - less likely to be anti-competitive 378–9
 - meaning 378
- non- horizontal theory of harm 12
- notification and assessment *see under* legal framework and Commission procedure
- number of competitors and collusion 327–8, 338
 - non-horizontal mergers 390–1
 - reducing the number of market participants 370–1, 390–1
- O’Brien, D. 286–7
- OECD Policy Roundtables paper on minority shareholdings 286
- oligopoly theory: theoretical models *see* Bertrand model; Cournot model

- partial ownership 282–7
 - example of effects on competitive behaviour/market outcomes 283–7
 - modified HHI 285
- increasingly prominent issue 282–3
- minority shareholdings in absence of control 282
- plant outages or strikes *see* shock analysis
- potential competition
 - constraint on market power *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
 - and coordinated effects, assessing *see* external stability condition
- price/concentration studies and analysis of the impact of rivals' presence 191–207
- case study: *Statoil/JET* *see under* econometric analyses/techniques
- description of technique 191–2
 - markets differing in respects other than the level of concentration 192
 - selection of suitable comparator markets as most important element 191–2
- example 192–201
- use in EU merger control 201–3
- price correlation analysis 105–27, 134, 137
- description of technique 105–15
 - benchmarking correlation 111–14, 129–30
 - lagged responses 114–15
 - principle on which price correlation analysis based 105–6
 - spurious correlation 107–11, 129
- example 115–19
- use in EU merger control 119–27
 - downside of correlation analysis 126
 - evidence to be viewed in context of other forms of evidence 119
- price-cost margin 25–6
- price elasticity
 - high margins and low price elasticity 60–1
 - merger simulation 217
 - surveys not capturing customers' average willingness to switch 80
- price levels analysis 93–105
 - description of technique 93–7
 - differentiated products 95–6
 - equality of prices 93–5
 - geographic market definition 96–7
- example 97–100
- use in EU merger control 100–5
 - Commission using analysis of price levels in defining relevant markets 103
 - recent citing of price level evidence 100
 - unsafe to draw conclusions on market definition based on price levels 103
- price pressure tests 218–23
 - aim to provide initial screen not in-depth investigation 222
 - criticised 222–3
 - unifying feature 218
- variants of price pressure tests 218–22
 - Gross Upward Pricing Pressure Index* (GUPPI) 219–22, 231
 - Illustrative Price Rise* (IPR) 218–19, 231
 - Upward Pricing Pressure* (UPP) 219–21, 231
- pricing decisions
 - coordination on price 338–41
 - differentiated products 339–40
 - frequency of interaction/price adjustments and collusion 328
 - Cournot effect/elimination of double marginalisation 382
 - incentives to increase price 389–91 and SSNIP 46–51
 - product re-positioning and new entry 298–304

- in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 298–302
 - brand re-positioning changing product characteristics or marketing 299
 - circumstances where threat of entry more likely to be credible 302
 - markets with large buyers and significant sunk costs 301–2
 - need to understand how other firms would respond to increased prices 300
 - new entry to market reducing concerns over unilateral price increases 299
 - new entry more effective if done relatively quickly and cheaply 300–1
 - product re-positioning as effective countervailing factor 298–9
 - product re-positioning reducing incentive for unilateral price increases 298
 - relevant benchmark for assessing viability of entry 299–300
 - re-positioning by non-merging entities 298
 - threat of exercising buyer power constraining post-merger prices 302
- relevance in EU cases 302–4
 - entry barriers 303–4
 - mere possibility of entry 304
 - supply-side response arguments 302–3
- profit maximisation 15, 23–4
 - firms passing on part of variable cost reduction to customers by lower prices 304–5
 - fixed cost spreading 21–2
 - organising to set marginal revenue equal to marginal cost 23–4
- Proportionally Calibrated Almost Ideal Demand System (PCAIDS) model 216
- qualitative evidence 27–8, 44–5, 78, 119, 180
 - forms in market definition analysis 44
 - no hierarchy between ‘technical’ and ‘non-technical’ evidence 9–10
 - survey information as qualitative information on customer preferences 177
- quantitative evidence *see* empirical techniques to assess market definition
- regression analysis 28, 66, 103–4
 - price/concentration studies 198–201
- repeated game theory *see* economic concepts of tacit coordination
- retaliation 355–62, 374–5
 - credible punishment may not be effective 357–8
 - excess capacity 362
 - multi-market contact 359–62
 - timing of retaliation 358–9
 - effective punishment may not be credible 356–7
 - Norske Skog/Parenco/Walsum/UPM-Kymmene/Haindl assessment 356–7
- importance of establishing firms can punish deviation 355–6
- rivals
 - ability to increase supply *see* rivals’ ability to increase supply
 - anti-competitive foreclosure 378–9, 386–7, 389
 - firms’ information on rivals’ decisions 351
 - foreclosure 386
 - inferring rivals’ actions 350
 - harmed by mergers/‘efficiency offence’ 385
 - non-colluding *see* external stability condition
 - rivals’ ability to increase supply 258–75

- rivals' ability to increase supply (cont.)
 - assessing mergers in electricity markets 267–75
 - case study: *Gas Natural/Endesa* 273–5
 - market characteristics 268–70
 - unilateral effect concerns 270–3
- EU cases 261–7
 - rivals' ability to expand output/ ease of adding capacity 261–4
 - rivals' incentive to expand output 264–7
- example 259–61
- rivals' capacity in EC Horizontal Merger Guidelines 258–9
- Rubinfeld, D. 216
- sales patterns analysis 81–93
 - description of technique 81–5
 - geographic market definition 82–3
 - product market definition – 'multi-sourcing' 84–5
 - example 85–8
 - use in EU merger control 88–93
 - potential distinction between transport modes 92–3
 - potential impact of shipment size 93
 - proportion of sales to be considered/threshold 91–2
- Salop, S.C. 221, 286–7
- Shapiro, C. 61–2, 220
- shock analysis 134–47
 - description of technique 134–8
 - changes in exchange rates/ import duties, asymmetric pricing from 137–8
 - entry of new suppliers or products 134–5
 - nature of shock analysis 134
 - supply outages/plant shutdowns affecting firm's supply ability 135–7
 - example 138–44
 - use in EU merger control 144–7
- entry 144–5
- exchange rate shocks or fluctuations 147
- plant outages or strikes 145–7
- single firm dominance *see* monopoly or dominant positions
- spurious correlation 107–11, 129
- 'SSNIP' test *see* market definition
- statement of objections 10
- stationarity analysis 105, 127–34
 - description of technique 127–30
 - advantages as alternative to price correlation 129–30
 - increasingly important role of stationarity analysis 127
 - rationale 128
 - example 130–1
 - use in EU merger control 131–4
 - more sceptical view of stationarity analysis taken 132–4
 - price stationarity not dispositive of competitive interaction 133
 - role of stationarity analysis within market definition 133–4
 - spurious stationarity 133
 - stationarity analysis as complement to correlation analysis 131–2
- strikes or plant outages *see under* shock analysis
- substitutability between products
 - complementary and substitutable products 380–2
 - degree of substitutability and collusion 333
 - unilateral effects *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
- sunk costs 22
 - product re-positioning and new entry 301–2
- supply chain efficiencies, vertical merger generating 384
- supply outages
 - natural experiments 211
 - shock analysis *see* shock analysis
- supply side efficiencies *see* economic concepts of non-horizontal mergers

- supply-side response arguments 302–3
- supply-side substitution 34, 136
- survey evidence: competitive constraints 177–83
 - description of technique 177–9
 - customers identifying range of credible alternative suppliers 178
 - customers ranking suppliers for products' technical features/pricing 179
 - diversion ratios from responses to hypothetical price increases 179–80
 - important role of customer surveys in merger assessment process 178
 - need to adhere to principles of survey design 177–8
 - survey information as qualitative information on customer preferences 177
 - surveys as source of quantitative information on degree of substitution 178
- example 179–80
- use in EU merger control 180–3
 - diversion ratios evidence and survey information on gross margins 183
 - use of large-scale consumer surveys 180–1
- survey evidence: market definition 74–80
 - description of technique 74–8
 - customer surveys 75–8
 - market investigation *see* market investigation
 - problems with customer surveys 76–8, 177
- use in merger control 78–80
 - Commission substituting own views for consumers'/evidence value 80
 - qualitative evidence 78–9
 - surveys not capturing customers' average willingness to switch 80
- switching *see* customer switching; switching costs
- switching costs 275–82
 - conclusions 281–2
 - effects of switching costs on competition 276–7
 - implications of switching costs for merger assessment 277–81
 - Lloyds/Abbey National* 278–9
 - Lloyds/HBOS* 280–1
- tacit coordination *see under* horizontal mergers: coordinated effects
- transparency and collusion 328–9
 - complex or non-transparent market conditions 341
 - monitoring deviations 350–5
 - assessing degree of transparency/firms inferring rivals' actions 350
 - assessing degree of transparency/firms' information on rivals' decisions 351
 - transparency affected by way transactions take place 350
 - transparency higher when fewer firms active in market 350
 - non-horizontal mergers 391
 - timing of retaliation/time lag 358
- tying 387
- undertakings 165, 268
- unilateral effects *see* horizontal mergers: unilateral effects
- Upward Pricing Pressure* (UPP) 219–21, 231
- variable costs 20
 - efficiency analysis/reductions and pricing 305–6, 309
- Verboven, F. 217
- vertical mergers 2, 378
 - anti-competitive foreclosure 387
 - 'one monopoly profit' 388
- Werden, G. 216
- win/loss and bidding analysis 231–51
 - case study: *Pirelli/BICC* 248–51
 - analysis of credible bidders 251

- win/loss and bidding analysis (cont.)
 - bidding competition 250
 - transitional state of the industry 249–50
- description of technique 231–6
 - analysis of the relationship between prices and number of bidders 235–6
 - analysis of the relationship between prices and runner-up/ bidders 235
 - competition between suppliers by bidding for customer contracts 232–4
 - participation analysis 234–5
 - runner-up analysis 235
- example 236–41
 - analysis of the relationship between prices and identity of runner-up 239–40
 - analysis of the relationship between prices and number of bidders 240–1
 - participation analysis 236–9
 - runner-up analysis 239
- use in EU merger control 241–8
 - comprehensive and representative bid data samples 246–7
 - most frequent reliance on participation analyses 242–4
 - overstating importance of less competitively significant firms 244–5
 - runner-up analyses, advantages of 244–5
 - segments representing a separate market 246