

Index

- ABS (access and benefit sharing)
 arrangements 55–6, 70–1, 194–6, 213
 host State rights/reponsibilities 195–6
 model agreements 56
 access to justice 271, 356
 accidents, industrial 228–9
 accountability mechanisms 60, 63, 71–82
 direct 71–9
 hard vs. soft 71, 391
 indirect 71–2, 79–82
see also ‘watchdogs’
 AD Hydro Project 78–9
 administrative conduct
 due process standard 359
 regular vs. irregular 358–61
 administrative permits 232–6
 Affolder, N. 73
 Africa
 regulation of harmful substances
 230, 245–6
 as repository for waste disposal 225
 Agenda 21 27, 63, 222–3
 Aldrich, G. H. 297
 ALI (American Law Institute) 367–8
 Allard, Peter A. 89
 Amazon Fund 56
amicus curiae see non-disputing parties
 Ando, Nisuke 207–8
 applicable law 100–12, 290–1
 disagreements over 287–9
 layers 100–1
 procedural issues 113–23
see also choice of law; relevance
 aquaculture 193
 arbitral tribunals *see* tribunals
 arbitration clauses 86–8, 94, 318
 in investment treaties 87–8
 proceedings brought under head not
 covered by 90–2
 areas, protection of 192–3, 198–204,
 215, 228
see also habitats, protection of
 Argentina
 cases involving 91–2, 106, 107–8, 122–3,
 155–6, 172–3, 175–6, 179, 180–2, 186,
 187, 233–4, 236, 304–5, 348, 349, 351–3,
 374–5, 379, 385–9
 investment treaties 104–5, 383, 385–7
 political/economic crisis (2001–3) 385–7
 arms, illegal trade in 77
 Australia
 disputes involving 99, 203–4, 216
 model ABS agreement 56
 Bangladesh 179
 Barbados, claims brought against 26, 89, 202
 Belgium
 cases involving 156
 model BIT (with Luxembourg) 139
 Benelux, investment treaties 334
see also individual countries
 Bhopal (India), deaths by poisoning 25, 61
 biodiversity 35–6, 37, 41, 50, 54–8, 66–7,
 189, 217–18
 conflict norms 144
 contractual provisions 70–1
 national strategies 36
 tradeable rights/credits 55, 58
see also diversity, biological/cultural
 biological/genetic resources, access to
 194–6
 bioprospecting rights 55, 213
 BITs (Bilateral Investment Treaties) 97–8,
 112, 195
 ABS arrangements 195
 (alleged) violations 174, 202, 213, 372–3
 arbitration clauses 87–8
 choice of law clauses 102–4

- BITs (Bilateral Investment Treaties) (*cont.*)
 emergency clauses 382
 environmental provisions 282, 285;
 rarity 283
 limits on investment protection 97–8
 models *see under country names*
 non-discrimination clauses 334
- Bolivia 161
 claims brought against 177
- Brazil
 environmental fund 46
- British Columbia (Canada), emission
 reduction mechanisms 255–6
- Brownlie, Ian 368
- Brundtland, Gro Harlem 12
- BTC (Baku–Tbilisi–Ceyhan) pipeline 78
- Bulgaria
 claims brought against 89–90, 331, 350,
 354–5
 emission trading restrictions 264
- burden of proof
 in differentiation/discrimination cases
 319–20, 332–3
 in expropriation cases 306, 307,
 308–9, 312
 relaxation of standards 123
 shifting 122–3
 specific assurances 375
- Cameroon, claims brought against 110
- Canada
 claims brought against 23, 109, 125–6,
 169–70, 176–7, 207–8, 211–13, 233, 235,
 236–8, 240–1, 249–52, 270, 303–4, 309,
 310, 312–13, 317, 331–2, 360–1, 362,
 369, 371, 378
 claims brought by State/nationals
 95–6, 202 (*see also* Barbados, claims
 brought against)
 Environmental Agency 312–13, 358–9
 environmental agreements 69–70, 74, 312
 investment treaties 15, 322–3, 382–3
 model BIT 102, 311–12
- CAO (Compliance Advisor/Ombudsman) 72,
 77–9, 80
 assistance towards settlements 78
 caseload 78
 recommendations addressed directly to
 investor 78–9
 requirements for bringing complaints
 before 78
 role in relation to IFC 81
- cap-and-trade schemes 255–6, 257, 267,
 269–70, 277
 case-law 261–5
 components 256, 261
 impact on foreign investment 256
- carbon taxes/duties 257–8, 267
- CDM (clean development mechanism) 51–2,
 264–5
 benefits/attractions 51–2
- Chile 161
 claims brought against 128, 177–8,
 213–14, 302–3, 372–3
 Foreign Investment Commission 372–3
- China 179
 investment treaties 334
 model BIT 88, 102
 wind energy subsidies 267
- choice of law 101–8
 contractual clauses 101–2, 339–41
 default rules 105–8
 relative hierarchies 108
 treaty provisions 102–4
 validity of investments 104–5
see also relevance
- Christie, G. C. 368–9
- cigarettes, commercial promotion 239
- civil society 74, 115–17, 118
see also NGOs
- climate change 41, 50–4, 253–78, 286
 administrative prices 267–8, 276–7
 case-law 260–73
 command-and-control regulation 256–7,
 259, 265–6
 contemporary practices 273–8
 Decisions (non-binding) 275
 differentiation measures 257–8,
 267–70, 277
 diversity of policies 274
 general principles 277–8
 human rights approach 254, 258–60,
 271–3, 276
 links with other environmental issues
 265–6
 normative conflicts: absence to date
 273–4; potential for 274
 operation of conflict norms 277–8
 overview of policies 253
 practical problems 274–8
 project-based mechanisms 51–3;
 advantages 51; new forms 52–3
 regulatory approach 254–8
 State obligations 254–5, 275–6; latitude
 provided by 255
 subsidies related to 257–8, 267–9
see also cap-and-trade schemes; carbon
 taxes/duties; emissions; greenhouse
 gases
- Commission on Sustainable
 Development 48
- compensation 123–9, 219–20, 299
 assessment 126, 127–9, 289–90, 304
 and biological/cultural diversity 200–1

- and emergency/necessity defences 389–90
- for environmental damage 236–7
- environmental issues as irrelevant to 124–5
- exclusion 125–6, 301, 305–6, 369
- in human rights courts 206–7
- limitation 218
- middle-ground approach 126–9
- reduction 302–3, 390
- for subsequent regulation 341–2, 345–7, 348–9, 376
- compensatory mitigation credits 58
- conduct *see* standards of conduct
- conflict norms 31–2, 281
 - broadly formulated rules 286
 - and climate change 277
 - common features 133
 - and dangerous substances 251–2
 - general 140–57
 - lack of specificity 187–8, 220–1
 - ‘open-ended’ 147–8
 - order of priority 133–57
 - scopes 133
 - sequential application 140
 - specific treaty provisions 136–40, 283–6
 - see also* interpretation techniques; *lex posterior* principle; *lex specialis* principle; *lex superior* principle
- conflicts (environment–investment) 28–38
 - characterisation 148
 - legal perspective 31–4
 - logical perspective 29–31
 - organisational criteria 30; problems of 30–1
 - in treaty practice 34
 - types 28–9
 - see also* conflict norms; investment disputes; legitimacy conflicts; normative conflicts
- Congo *see* Democratic Republic of the Congo, complaints brought against
- consent
 - and arbitration clauses 86–8, 94
 - insufficiently informed 144–5
 - relationship with jurisdiction 86–9
- consultation requirements 213–14, 357
- consumer protection, State measures 239
- contemporaneity, principle of 156–7, 219
- contracts *see* arbitration clauses; contractual obligations, law of; environmental contracts; investment contracts; renegotiation/adjustment clauses; treaties/treaty law
- contractual obligations, law of 340
- Costa Rica
 - biodiversity projects 55–6
 - claims brought against 124, 200, 202, 289–90, 295–6
 - claims brought by 203
 - counterclaims 92–4, 103–4
 - arising directly from subject matter of original claim 93–4
 - requirements 92–4
 - Crawford, James 389
 - cultural diversity *see* cultural heritage, protection of; diversity, biological/cultural
 - cultural heritage, protection of 66–7, 198–200, 205–6, 217–18
 - see also* heritage sites
 - cultural rights 229
 - customary law
 - denial of justice 365
 - expressed in Declarations 152
 - as source of international law 141
 - see also* necessity defences
 - Czech Republic, claims brought against 125, 170, 310
 - Czechoslovakia, claims brought against 171–2
- damages *see* compensation
- dangerous substances 222–52
 - broadly formulated provisions 248–9
 - case-law 231–47
 - changes in regulatory framework 247, 250, 252
 - conflict norms 251–2
 - contemporary practice 246–7
 - disproportionally adverse measures 250–1
 - human rights approach 224, 229–31
 - import/export 225–6
 - legal irregularities 244
 - (limits of) international co-ordination 248
 - movement/trade, restrictions on 225–6, 239–42, 248, 313; impact on foreign investors 240–2
 - potential conflict points 232
 - practical problems 247–52
 - prevention of harm to the environment 228–9
 - procedural flaws 251
 - procedural vs. substantive obligations 234
 - production/use/release 226–7, 249–50; bans 226; restrictions 226–7
 - regional regulatory measures 223–4
 - regulatory approach 225–9
 - safety standards 236–9; evolving 236–8; impact on time/cost issues 238
 - suspension of activities 236–8

- Danone–Evian Fund for Water Resources 49
- Danube, River 163
- DDT 127, 226–7
- De la Rúa, Fernando 386
- defence arguments 366–90
 - terminology 366
- deforestation 214
- delays 361
- Democratic Republic of the Congo,
 - complaints brought against 76–7
- denial of justice 356, 363–5
 - additional requirements 363–4
 - analytical units 364–5
 - claims for 364–5
 - exhaustion of local remedies 365
 - factual configurations 363–4
 - judicial impropriety 364
 - legitimacy conflicts 364–5
- deontic logic 29
- derogation, permissibility of 344–5
- developing countries 24–6
 - emissions trading 54, 259
 - ‘green’ investment in 28
 - regulation of dangerous substances 225–6
- Diavik Environmental Agreement 73–4
- differentiation (between foreign and local investors)
 - differing effects of clauses 318
 - environmental purpose 330–2; relevance of 333
 - justification 153, 319, 332–6;
 - clauses 333–4; as exception/rule 332;
 - justifying factors 332–3
 - legitimacy conflicts 322–4
 - pre-/post-establishment phases 324
 - specificity of definition 318
 - time of operation 317
 - see also* discrimination (alleged), against foreign investors; ‘like circumstances’ criterion; non-discrimination standards
- direct expropriation 294
 - compensation for 299
 - environmental 294–5
 - lawful vs. unlawful 294
- disclosure requirements 177–8
- discretionary powers 361–2
- discrimination (alleged), against foreign investors 152–3, 201–2
 - disingenuousness, allegations of 327–31, 332
 - ‘less favourable treatment’ criterion 330
 - objective tests 330–2
 - potential for 321
 - role of intent 330–1, 333
 - see also* non-discrimination standards
- diversity, biological/cultural 189
 - access to benefits/resources 194–6
 - contemporary practice 214–21
 - decisions of adjudicatory bodies 198–214
 - human rights approach 191, 197
 - pending cases 202–3
 - procedural requirements 196
 - regulatory approach 191–6
 - substantive scope of instruments 190
 - transboundary movements of flora/fauna 193–4
- domestic law 39, 100–1
 - (alleged) failure to enforce 26
 - ambiguity as to status 107–8
 - analysis of reasons for measures 185
 - applicability (in absence of choice of law clause) 106
 - changes to environmental provisions 104, 127–9
 - and choice of law clauses 340–1
 - consent provisions 88
 - cost–benefit analysis 185
 - indirect relevance to treaty claims 107–8
 - investments in violation of 96–100, 104–5
 - normative hierarchy 290–1
 - uneven enforcement 90
 - violations impacting on international public policy 105
 - see also* environmental regulation; links
- due diligence
 - failures of 355
 - flawed assessments 217
 - investors’ obligations 354–5, 362, 375–6
- due process 355–7
 - administrative standards 359
 - claims for breach of 357–62
 - and climate change 276, 277
 - denial of justice 363–5
 - see also* access to justice; denial of justice; procedural fairness
- Duhalde, Eduardo 386
- Earth Summit 2012 (‘Rio plus 20’) 13–14
- ecosystem services 46
 - see also* PES (payments for ecosystem services)
- Ecuador
 - claims brought by/against 173–5, 210, 327, 351, 353, 363–4, 365
 - environmental fund 46
- effet utile* doctrine 219–20
- Egypt, claims brought against 23, 126, 198–201, 218, 219, 287–9, 299–300

- EIAs (environmental impact assessments)
163-4, 175-7, 183, 219, 272, 290, 380
obligation to conduct 236
potential normative conflicts 234-5
- Ekati diamond mine (Canada) 69-70, 72-3
- El Salvador, claims brought against 97,
99-100, 176, 202
- Electrabel 47
- electricity, production of 23, 376
- Emerald Network 192
- emergency/necessity clauses 283, 291-2,
307, 366, 381-90
assertion of defence 383-4
differences between 382-3, 384
effects 389-90
interpretation 383-4
requirements for admission 384
sources 381-3
specific treaty provisions 382-3, 389
see also necessity defences
- emissions
AAUs (assigned amount units) 54, 96, 264
acquisition of rights 264-5
(alleged) deprivation of units 96
conflicts at trading level 263-4
conflicts over allocation of allowances
262-3
'Contraction and Convergence' model 259
distribution of rights 258-9
flexible mechanisms 46, 47, 50-4
'luxury' vs. 'subsistence' 259
measures lacking in clarity 35
NAPs (national allocation plans) 262-3,
268-9
non-compliance procedures 263-4, 271-3
project-based mechanisms 51
reduction commitments 28, 227, 254;
voluntary 275-6
trading schemes 51, 53-4, 58, 264; State
interference with 261
transaction risks 51
see also cap-and-trade schemes
- endangered species, *see* species,
protection of
- English law, early 115-16
- environment, protection of
as essential interest 143
- environment-related disputes
evidentiary issues 118-23
fora 356-7
procedure 113-23
public significance 118
- environmental contracts
content 69-71
control mechanisms based in 60, 67-71
'macro' vs. 'micro' 68
regulatory angle 68-9
relationship with regulatory
framework 69
'watchdog' provisions 72-4
see also transnational conservation
contracts
- environmental issues
'first generation' 10
impact on damages/compensation 123-9
importance in treaty interpretation 143
increasing concern for 10-11, 392-3
raising procedure 113-15
reconciliation with economic
development 11-12, 27-8
'second generation' 23
tension with economic development
10-11
tribunals' discretion to introduce 110
- environmental law *see* international
environmental law
- environmental litigation
(increasing) caseload 83-4
(lack of) specialised institutions 84-6, 392
lack of specific rules/constraints 85-6
varying receptivity of tribunals 85-6
see also counterclaims; independent head
of claim
- environmental norms 34-8
contemporaneity principle 156-7
increasing significance 392
inspirational use 112
interpretative use 112
new, application of 151-2
possibility of derogation 344-5
process of application 114
specific uses 112
- environmental project finance 41, 42-7
market mechanisms 42, 45-6
scale of market 42
see also GEF (Global Environmental
Facility); PPPs (public-private
partnerships)
- environmental regulation 316-36, 369-71
applicability of expropriation clauses
306-7, 309; problems of 306-7
applicability to prior investments 341
compared with targeted measures
297-9, 306
due process 355-7
effects, importance of 310
general exceptions 307
investors' expectations 354-5
not amounting to expropriation 298,
305-6, 307-15
purpose, relevance of 310-14
specific exceptions 307, 314-15
updating in line with treaty
requirements 26, 306-7, 345-6

- environmental takings *see* direct expropriation; indirect expropriation
- environmental treaties
- agreements not to conform to 345–6
 - burden of proof provisions 122–3
 - compliance provisions 82
 - conflicting/ambiguous provisions 34–8
 - 'dormant' commitments 221
 - 'emergence'/'executability' of obligations 220–1
 - impact on jurisdiction of tribunals 91–2
 - investment provisions 9, 391–2
 - (non-)ratification, significance of 240
 - overlooked by tribunals 200
 - relative importance to States' co-operative obligations 138–40
 - ruled inapplicable 112
- Equator Principles 65, 68
- equilibrium, obligations to restore 348–9
- Estonia, claims brought against 361, 362
- European Community/Union
- biotechnological products/law 239–40
 - challenges to 261–2, 269–70
 - chemical regulation 223
 - Climate and Energy Package 256–7, 266
 - conflicting obligations 238, 274, 335–6
 - emission reduction mechanisms 46, 255–6, 261–4, 267–70, 276–7
 - water law 173, 183
- European countries, claims brought against 23
- see also individual countries*
- European Court of Human Rights 179, 206–7, 230, 243–5, 376–7, 379
- application of decisions in other regions 112, 380–1
 - expropriation claims 296–7, 301, 308, 312, 313–14
- 'European Diploma' system 192
- evidence 118–23
- adjustments to standards 121–3
 - expert 120–1
- expropriation(s) 124, 219–20, 287–90, 293–315
- assessment of value 128–9, 303–4, 308–9
 - of biodiversity-rich land 200, 289–90
 - clauses 293, 306
 - scope of definition 125–6, 127–9, 297, 307–15
 - State 210–11
 - types 294–5
 - see also* compensation; direct expropriation; environmental regulation; indirect expropriation; targeted measures
- family life, right to *see* private and family life, right to
- FET (fair and equitable treatment)
- principle/clauses 154–5, 317–18, 379
 - (alleged) breaches 331, 372–3, 374–5
 - broad conception 360; objections to 351–2
 - legitimate expectations 339, 350–5
 - procedural fairness under 357–62
- financial intermediaries 60, 65–4
- fisheries 193
- forests
- preservation projects 46, 52–3, 58
 - State, reclassification of private lands as 206–7
 - see also* deforestation
- fragmentation 133–6, 182
- France
- cases brought against 261–2, 335–6
 - treaty reservations 207
- freshwater *see* pollution; water
- FTAs (free trade agreements), environmental provisions in 92, 139–40, 282–6
- insistence on economic efficiency 284–5
 - litigation risks 286
 - maintenance of standards 283–4
 - performance requirements 284
 - potential effects 286
- GEF (Global Environmental Facility) 12, 42–5, 48
- allocation of funds 50
 - co-financiers 45
 - focal areas 50
 - Implementing Agencies 43
 - objectives 43
 - Operational Policies 44
 - procurement 45
 - Strategy to Engage with the Private Sector 43–5; direct engagement 44; indirect engagement 44
- Germany
- cases involving 170–1, 173, 176, 186, 238, 266, 268–9
 - investment treaties 334
 - model BIT 88
- Ghana, claims brought against 90–1
- Global Compact Office 72, 74–5
- caseload 74–5
 - procedure 74
 - see also* NCPs (National Contact Points)
- GMOs (genetically modified organisms) 129, 239
- gold mining 110–11, 201, 210, 235–6, 243–4
- good faith 315, 374–5
- Great Lakes 163

- Greece, non-compliance with emissions standards 263–4
- 'green economy', move towards 13, 27
role of foreign investment 13–14
- 'green investment' 54
'protectionism' 320–1
- 'green investment schemes' 54
- greenhouse gases 227
see also emissions
- Greenpeace 171
- habitats, protection of 35–7
- Halonen, Laura 94
- Hammamoto, Shotaro 33–4
- hazardous substances *see* dangerous substances
- health, right to 229
related rights 229
- heritage sites 196, 201–2, 203–4, 219, 288–9
- host States
ABS rights/reponsibilities 195–6
assurances of no adverse regulation 127–9
breaches of investment protection 89–90
environmental commitments 217;
'dormant' 221
illegal acts by 98
inconsistency of actions 302
justifications for interference with foreign investors 197
limits on normative powers 338
negligence 355
see also domestic law; environmental regulation
- human rights 112, 154, 155–6
adjudicatory bodies 40, 85–6, 232
(alleged) violations by host State 90–1
and biological/cultural diversity 197
case law 204–11
and climate change 253–4, 258–60,
271–3, 276; mobilisation in support of policies 259–60
and hazardous substances 224, 229–31,
232, 248–9
peremptory norms 344–5
procedural rights 231
State obligations 82, 180–2
treaties 204, 248–9, 344–5
- Hungary, cases involving 119–20, 171–2,
267–8, 270, 276–7, 384–5, 389–90
- ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) 86–7
- ICJ (International Court of Justice) 84
- ICSID (International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes) 86–7, 93, 105–6, 114, 287–8
- IFC (International Finance Corporation) 347
see also IFC Performance Standards
- IFC Performance Standards 65–7, 68, 77–9
content 66–7
enforcement mechanisms 66, 67
see also CAO (Compliance Advisor/Ombudsman)
- IFCS (Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical Safety) 223
- IAs (International Investment Agreements) 14–17
increase in environmental provisions 14, 16
types of environmental provisions 15–16
variations 15, 16–17
- ILC (International Law Commission) 33–4, 159, 381–2
Group on Fragmentation 146, 147, 148, 151
- Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency, establishment of 72–4
- independent head of claim 90–2
- indigenous peoples 38, 55, 66, 111, 178, 191, 196–7, 214, 215
claims to differentiated treatment 154–5
conflict with property rights of investors 205–6
contractual provisions safeguarding 69–70
right to communal property 204–11, 245–6
- indirect expropriation 124–5, 294–5
environmental vs. non-environmental 295, 299
regulatory 294–5, 297
targeted *see* targeted measures
types 294–5
- individuals, rights of 190–1, 242–6
- information, right of access to 231, 260, 271
- 'Integrity Measures' 64–5
- intent, role in assessment of discrimination 330–1, 333
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights 174, 210
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights 204–6
- intermediaries *see* financial intermediaries
- International Commission of Jurists 117, 211
- international environmental law
breadth of scope/diversity 135
broad areas of 39–40
evolution 9–14, 134–6, 147, 183–4, 392, 339
flexibility 340, 344–5
human rights approach 39, 40, 342, 345

- international environmental law (*cont.*)
 institutionalist vs. conservationist approaches 218, 220–1
 investments contrary to 96–100
 limits on investment practice 391–2
 regulatory approach 39, 345
 role in choice of law 101–2
 substantive fields 39
see also environmental treaties;
 relationship of environment and investment
- international investment law
 degree of specialisation 135, 145
 evolution 134–6, 147, 184, 392–3
see also investment disputes; investment treaties; relationship of environment and investment
- international law 39, 100–1
 applicability (in absence of choice of law clause) 106
 increased specialisation 134–5
 instruments for developing environment–investment relationship 41–58
 (lack of) obligations on investors 93
 prevalence over domestic law 31–2, 241, 287
 private, approach to legitimacy conflicts 290
 sources 141
 States' obligations 81–2
see also international environmental law; international investment law; links (between domestic and international law)
- interpretation techniques 148–57, 188, 281
 evolving 342–4
 mutual supportiveness 148–50
 systemic integration 151–6
- investment contracts
 choice of law clauses 101–2
 environmental liability clauses 363
 forced renegotiation 187
 as layer in legal system 39, 100–1, 109
see also arbitration clauses; renegotiation/adjustment clauses
- investment disputes
 annual variations 13–18
 applicability of margin of appreciation 377–8
 arising from environmental due process claims 363–5
 case-law 17–23
 increasing environmental focus 9, 11–17, 18, 392–3
 'mixed' nature 32
 national variations 23
 pending 21–2
 submissions of parties 183, 185–6
 types of questions arising 23
see also tribunals
- investment law *see* international investment law; investment disputes; relationship of environment and investment
- investment treaties 100–1, 311–12
 choice of law provisions 102–5
 conflict with human rights obligations 180–2
 entry regulation 322
 environmental provisions 9, 92; explicit reference to purpose 311–12
 justification of breach 385–7
 non-discrimination standards 317–18, 333–4
 protection of stability 350–2
 umbrella clauses 349–50
see also BITs (Bilateral Investment Treaties); IIAs (International Investment Agreements); MITs (Multilateral Investment Treaties); umbrella clauses
- investment tribunals *see* tribunals
- investment(s)
 contrary to environmental law 96–100
 illegal 97, 98–100, 104–5
 impact on local stakeholders 357
 perceived desirability 25–6
 protection standards 37
 validity 104–5
see also investment disputes; investment tribunals; protected investments
- investors
 acquisition of local companies 363
 conduct, impact on procedural fairness 362
 connections between different assets 304, 308
 'conspiracy' claims 375
 deprivation of due process 356–7
 impact of litigation on reputation 356–7
 need to be aware of regulatory environment 302–3, 312–13, 346, 354, 375–6
 obligations 93, 354–5
see also due diligence; legitimate expectations; reasonableness, as criterion for investors' behaviour/expectations
- IOMC (Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals) 223
- Iran 161

- Iran–United States Claims Tribunal 297–8, 300, 368
- Ireland, cases involving 241–2
- Israel 161
- Italy, claims brought against 296–7
- ITLOS (International Tribunal of the Law of the Sea) 84, 123, 241
specialised chambers 84, 85
- Japan, investment treaty practice 322–3
- Jl (joint implementation) mechanism 23, 51–2, 256, 264–5
benefits/attractions 52
- Jiménez de Aréchuga, Eduardo 198–9
- Johannesburg Conference on Sustainable Development (2002) 12, 48
Implementation Plan 27
- joint ventures 363
- judicial recourse, right to 177, 179
- judicial review, right to 231
- judiciary, improper conduct of 364
- jurisdiction 86
distinguished from applicable law 100
excluded by illegality 98–9
investment tribunals 86–9
see also applicable law; choice of law; freshwater
- justice *see* access to justice; denial of justice
- Kakadu National Park (Australia) 203–4, 216
- Kenya 179
- Kläger, R. 352
- Kuwait 161
- Lalive, Pierre 94
- land management, environmentally friendly schemes 55, 56–8
types 56–7
- landfill 124–5, 300, 331, 380–1
- Latin American countries, claims brought against 23
- legitimacy conflicts 28–9, 33–4, 281
approaches to solving 38, 287–92, 393
between domestic legal systems 282, 290–1
between international and domestic law 282–3, 287–90
denial of justice 364–5
and differentiation measures 322–4
differing forms 281–3
distinguished from normative conflicts 38
and hazardous substances 232, 249
interaction with normative conflicts 37–8
international law component 38
manner of framing 282–3
substance of contending norms 281–2
- legitimate expectations 339, 350–5
environmental perspective 354–5
general requirements 352–3
relevance of circumstances 354
- Lesotho 161
- lex posterior* principle 32, 146–8, 220, 252
inapplicability to treaties between different parties 146
and means of settlement 146
problems of determination 147
- lex specialis* principle 32, 144–6, 252
applicability to conflicts between policy goals 145
- lex superior* principle 141–4, 252
- ‘like circumstances’ criterion 324–30, 393
broad vs. narrow interpretations 328
consideration of appropriate treaty provisions 328–9
denied on grounds of differing impact 329
determination of comparator 327–30
intermediate approach 328–30
- ‘likeness’, as component of differentiation 318–20
failure to distinguish from treatment 319–20
- lindane 109, 125–6, 233, 235, 236–8, 247, 303–4, 312–13, 357, 362
- links (between domestic and international law) 32–3, 184–8, 393
and biological/cultural diversity 200–4, 216–21
and climate change 255, 260, 267–8, 275–6
and dangerous substances 236, 247
invocation in investment proceedings 185–6, 218, 249–50
potential for clarification/strengthening 203–4, 217–18, 274, 275–6
unclear 185, 217–18, 248–9, 257
vulnerability 186–7, 218, 250–1, 276–7, 345
- Lithuania, claims brought against 152–3, 201–2, 329–30, 353
- litigation *see* environmental litigation; investment disputes; tribunals
- logging 208–9
- Lufthansa 49
- Luxembourg, model BIT *see* Belgium
- Maffezini, Emilio Agustín 177
- malaria 127
- Malaysia 161
- mandate 361–2

418 INDEX

- margin of appreciation doctrine 126, 243, 244, 283, 291–2, 366, 376
 applicability to investment disputes 377–8
 compared with police powers doctrine 379–81
 focus on factual analysis 379–80
 formulation 376–7
- marine environment, protection of 228, 241–2
- MEAs (multilateral environmental agreements)
 dispute settlement clauses 84–5
- Merck Pharmaceuticals 55–6
- Metcalf, G. E. 258
- methanol 326–7, 329–30, 370–1
- Mexico, claims brought against 23, 26, 95, 112, 124–5, 128–9, 169, 300–1, 310, 331, 351, 356, 359–61, 370, 372, 374–5, 380–1, 314
- MFN (most-favoured nation) clause 90, 92, 112, 152–3, 317–18
 distinguished from national treatment 323
 extension of scope 322–4
- MIGAs (Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agencies) 77–8
- mills, construction/operation 233–4, 236
- Minamata, Japan, mercury poisoning 10
- mining operations 196, 202–4, 208
see also gold mining
- minorities, rights of 190–1, 197, 204–11, 215, 242–6
- MITs (Multilateral Investment Treaties)
 arbitration clauses 87–8
 environmental provisions 282
- Mitsubishi Corporation 47
- Mobile Phone Partnership Initiative 49–50
- Morgera, E. 66, 78
- Morocco, claims brought against 97
- Müller, Michael 171
- multinational companies
 ‘non-communicating’ (under UNGC) 64–5
 standards of conduct aimed at 60–5
- mutual supportiveness, as interpretive guidance 148–50, 277–8
 legal value 150
 treaty provisions 149–50
- national authorities *see* States
- national treatment clause 90, 317–18
 extension of scope 322–4
see also MFN (most-favoured nation) clause
- NCPs (National Contact Points) 75–7
 co-ordination with other mechanisms 76–7
 implications of decisions 76
- non-compliance procedures 82
see also emissions
- necessity defences 181–2
 customary basis 381–2, 389–90
 recognition of applicability 384–9
 rejection 388–9
see also emergency/necessity clauses
- negligence (of States/investors) 355
- Nepal 179
- Nepolsky, Georg 170
- Nestlé Waters 57–8
- Netherlands, cases involving 156
- new economic paradigm 13–14
- New Zealand, emission reduction mechanisms 255–6
- NGOs (non-governmental organisations) 117, 211, 234–5, 242, 271–3
- Nicaragua, claims brought against 203, 205
- Nigeria, claims brought against 209, 245–6
- non-discrimination standards 316–36
 broad customary provisions 317–18
 burden of proof, complexity of 319–20
 components 318–20; relations between 320
 in investment law 317–20
see also ‘like circumstances’ criterion
- non-disputing parties 113–18
 absence of bias 118
 access to hearing 117
 applicable framework for intervention 115–18
 aspects of role 114–15
 in biological/cultural diversity cases 203–4
 contribution to legitimacy of courts’ decisions 116, 118
 feedback from 117
 historical roots 115–16
 instances of interventions 114, 155–6, 387–8
 ‘no-disturbance’ requirement 118
 reasons for intervention 115–16
 requirements for submission of briefs 116–18
- normative conflicts 28, 33–4, 281–92, 392, 393
 and biological/cultural diversity 214–21
 and climate change 273–4
 conditions for existence 34
 distinguished from legitimacy conflicts 38
 diversity 167–8
 and hazardous substances 232
 interaction with legitimacy conflicts 37–8
 legal tools 281
 rules for solving 38
 in water regulation 167, 182

- norms
- applicable, selection of 108, 110–11
 - binding vs. non-binding 31
 - compliance with, defined 29–30
 - formulation 30, 34; examples 30
 - hierarchical relationships 141–4, 148
 - international vs. domestic 31–2
 - lack of clarity 35–7
 - legal effects 31–2
 - level of specificity/generality 144–5
 - peremptory 142–3, 344–5
 - prohibitive 34–5
 - see also* conflict norms; environmental norms
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) 14–17, 27–8, 33–4, 136, 187–8, 223, 283, 320–1
- agreements/contracts between members/non-members 15, 16–17, 346, 347–8
 - see also* OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises 60–3, 72, 75–7, 346
- adhering countries 62
 - environmental principles 62
 - regulatory angle 61–2
- Ogoni people 209, 245–6
- oil, exploration/exploitation 173–5, 207–8, 209–10, 363–0
- Oxford Handbook of International Environmental Law* 40
- Pakistan, claims brought against 327–8, 351–2, 360
- Pantanos de Villa wetland 201
- Paraguay, cases involving 179
- participation
- and climate change 260, 271–3; (alleged) failure to provide 271–3
 - increasing acceptance of requirements 357
 - right to 214, 231
 - and right to water 166–7, 177, 178–9
 - World Bank criteria 81
- Pavoni, Riccardo 150
- PCA (Permanent Court of Arbitration) 84, 86–7, 121
- PCF (Prototype Carbon Fund) 42, 46–7, 48
- objectives 47
 - participants 47
- peoples, rights of 190–1
- see also* indigenous peoples
- permits, refusal/non-renewal 300–1, 302–3, 370, 372, 380–1
- Peru, claims brought against 201, 202–3, 210, 342–4, 363–4
- PES (payments for ecosystem services) 54–5
- types 54–5, 56–7
- pesticides *see* lindane
- Philippines, claims brought against 97–8
- police powers doctrine 125–6, 154, 282, 290–2, 305, 306, 307, 310, 333, 366–76, 393
- applicability 127
 - boundaries of relevance 379
 - compared with margin of appreciation doctrine 379–81
 - international recognition 369
 - invocation in investment disputes 369–71
 - legal formulation 371
 - sources 367–9
 - specific exceptions 314–15
 - tribunals' stances equating to 127
- pollution 66–7, 109, 236–8
- of ancestral lands 209–10
 - compensation 236–7
 - freshwater 171–5, 198, 370–1
- PPMs (production processes and methods) 324
- and discrimination 325
- PPPs (public–private partnerships) 12, 41, 47–50, 67–8
- for communication purposes 49
 - numbers 48
 - objectives 49
 - principal characteristics 48
 - precautionary principle 63
 - predictability 337–9
 - defined 337
 - difficulty of determination 338–9
- preventive principle 172, 219, 222–3, 229, 236
- prior informed consent procedures 225–6
- private and family life, right to 89–90, 207, 229, 230–1
- private sector
- concern for corporate image 49
 - engagement in pro-environment activity 43–5
 - environmental compliance initiatives 49–50
 - guidelines in international instruments 27–8
 - joint undertakings with environmental organisations 49–50
 - role in global environmental governance 9, 12–13
 - see also* PPPs (public–private partnerships)
- procedural fairness 356, 357–62
- administrative conduct 358–61
 - delays 361
 - flaws cured by subsequent action 360
 - identification of comparator 358

- procedural fairness (*cont.*)
 investor's conduct 362
 lack of sufficient reasons 360
 mandate/discretionary powers 361–2
 right to be heard 359, 362
 process, as focus of margin of appreciation
 claims 377–8
see also due process
 project finance, social/environmental
 standards 65–7
see also environmental project finance
 property, right to 38, 178, 204–11, 216,
 244–5
 broad view 205
 communal 205–6, 207–9, 245–6
 restrictions on 206–7
 proportionality principle 276–7, 301,
 313–14, 378, 380–1
 protected investments 95–100
 environmental limits 95
 right/entitlement to 95–6
 purpose(s)
 multiple 310–14
 relevance to discrimination claims 333
 relevance to expropriation claims 312
 pyramids 126, 198–201, 299–300
- 'race to the bottom' 24–5
 reasonableness, as criterion for investors'
 behaviour/expectations 353, 375–6
 environmental perspective 354–5
 relevance of circumstances 355
 REDD-plus scheme 46, 52–3, 56, 58
 'regulatory chill', risk of 342
 regulatory risk, reduction of 217
 relationship of environment and
 investment 23, 391–3
 contemporary practice 182–8
 factors impacting on 26
 levels of interaction 39
 lost opportunity for clarification 111
 mutual supportiveness 27–8, 41
 normative changes 134
 regulatory sectors 39
 (supposed) prioritisation of investment
 33, 391–2
 synergistic/conflicting dimensions 24
see also conflicts
 (environment–investment); synergies
 relevance 108–12, 145
 boundaries 109
 pleadings of the parties 109–11
 renegotiation/adjustment clauses 339,
 347–9
 legal consequences 348–9
 State obligations 348
 triggering events 347–8
- RGGI (Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative)
 255–6
 right to be heard 359–60, 362
 Rio Conference on environment and
 Development (1992) 11, 13–18, 27, 48,
 222–3
see also Agenda 21
 Romania
 claims brought against 243–4
 emission trading restrictions 264
 Russia
 emissions allowances/trading 53–4
- Sadat-Akhavi, Seyed Ali 29
 safe environment, right to 229–30
 SAICM (Strategic Approach to International
 Chemicals Management) 223
 Santa Elena Property (Costa Rica) 200
 science 251
 downplaying of role 119–20
 expert witnesses 120–1
 and margin of appreciation 377–8,
 379–80
 tribunals' range of approaches to 119
 sea turtles, protection of 202, 207
 Shemberg, A. 348–9
 Singapore 161
 Slovakia, cases involving 119–20, 234–5,
 262–3, 271–3
 Snap Lake Environmental Agreement 73–4
 soft control mechanisms 59–82, 391
 defined 59–60
 principal categories 60
 softwood lumber, import/export
 212–13, 312
 sole effects doctrine 308–9
 Sornarajah, Muthucumaraswamy 99, 297
 South Africa 161
 BEE (black economic empowerment)
 policies 211
 claims brought against 23, 115–17
 Spain
 claims brought against 177, 290
 treaty provisions 104–5
 species, protection of 189–90, 192, 193–4,
 198, 228
 specific assurances 314–15, 345, 371–3,
 374–5
 circumscription of scope 374–6
 conditions 374
 prior 375–6
 sponsors, definition/liabilities 77
 stabilisation clauses (*stricto sensu*) 15, 339,
 341–7
 case-law 342–4, 346–7
 implied exceptions 344–5
 restricted to States 349–50

- stability, protection of 338, 339–50
 in investment treaties 350–2
see also stabilisation clauses
- stakeholders, definition/rights 74
- standards of conduct 60–7
 basis in international environmental law 66–7
 legally enforceable 62
- States
 deference to authorities of 377, 379
 duty to maintain public order 367–9
 economic co-operation obligations 138–40
 obligation to protect against harmful substances 229–31, 243–4, 247, 248–9
 regulatory obligations 81–2
 regulatory powers 291–2, 299–322, 371–2;
 restrictions 322–3
 and stabilisation clauses 349–50
 territorial subdivisions, applicable law of 290
see also climate change; emissions; host States
- steel, differential treatment from other industries 261–2, 269–70, 335–6
- Stewart, R. 68
- Stockholm Conference on the Human Environment (1972) 10–11
- substantial deprivation, criterion of 303–4, 306, 308–9
- sustainable development 11–13, 284–5
 in developing countries 24–6
 institutional framework 13
see also Johannesburg Conference on Sustainable Development (2002)
- synergies 27–8, 41, 67–8, 391
 examples 50–8
 market mechanisms 45–6
 measures designed to implement 41–58
- systemic integration 151–6
 as boundary-redefining tool 154–5
 case-law 151–6
 in water disputes 155–6
- targeted measures 295, 369–71
 amounting to expropriation 297–301
 compared with general regulation 297–9, 306
 not amounting to expropriation 301–5
- taxation 297, 344
 interpretation of domestic laws 342–4
see also carbon taxes/duties
- technology, environmentally friendly 64
- third parties, States' obligations to regulate 229–31, 243–4
- tobacco, investments related to 128–9
Torrey Canyon (oil tanker) 10
- trade
 compared with investment law 321–2, 324–6
 conflicts with environmental norms 147–8, 239–40
 restrictions: environmental 320–2;
 non-environmental 240
 treaties 311–12
- transnational conservation contracts 70–1
- treaties/treaty law
 conflicting provisions 146
 elevation of contractual considerations to level of 339–50
 external sources of interpretation 151–6
 institutional links/divergences between 136
 mutual supportiveness provisions 149–50
 need for adaptation 143
 as source of international law 141
see also BITs (Bilateral Investment Treaties); conflicts (environment–investment); environmental treaties; FTAs (free trade agreements), environmental provisions in; IIAs (International Investment Agreements); interpretation techniques; investment treaties; MITs (Multilateral Investment Treaties)
- 'treatment', as component of differentiation 318–20
- TREMs (trade-related environmental measures) 193–4, 211–13
- tribunals 40, 86
 application of *lex specialis* 145
 application of *lois de police* 291
 application of systemic integration norms 152
 appointment of experts 120–1
 avoidance of controversial issues 110–11
 bias towards own regime 146
 choice of law clauses 120–1
 discretion regarding relevance 108, 110
 downplaying of science 119–20
 failure to consider environmental issues 200, 215–16, 218, 219–20, 299
 idiosyncrasies 215–16
 interpretation of emergency/necessity clauses 383–4
 interpretation of 'like circumstances' 327–8
 introduction of environmental issues 113–14
 jurisdiction 86
 problems with scientific evidence 118–19
 required change of mindset 392–3

- tribunals (*cont.*)
 role in development of environmental law 84–6
 ‘substantial deprivation’ criteria 309
- Turkey 161
 claims brought against 98–9, 206–7, 297
- Uganda 179
 investment treaties 334
- Ukraine
 claims brought against 96
 emissions allowances/trading 53–4, 265
- umbrella clauses 339, 349–50
- United Kingdom
 cases involving 241–2, 296
 model BIT 87–8
 Model Project Finance Agreement 348–9
see also English law, early
- United Nations
 Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) 105–6
 Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) 224
 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) 152–3
 Environment Programme (UNEP) 56
 Millennium Development Goals 61
 Special Rapporteur on Business and Human Rights 15, 347
 standards of conduct framework 60–1
see also United Nations Global Compact
- United Nations Global Compact 60–1, 63–5, 74
 compliance procedure *see* Global Compact Office
 enforcement 64–5
 environmental content 63–4
 regulatory approach 63
- United States
 border disputes/agreements 169, 240–1, 369
 claims brought against 23, 110–11, 127, 154–5, 201, 214, 235–6, 310, 314–15, 326–7, 359, 360, 365, 370–1, 372, 374–5, 377–8
 company law 93
 conditions on contribution to World Bank 80
 domestic investment laws 304, 308
 emission standards 257
 energy dispute with China 267
 extraterritorial claims 95–6
 legal theory 367–8
 model BIT 91, 102–3
 non-ratification of treaties 240
- treaties/agreements 139–40, 174–5, 312, 322–3, 383, 385–7
 water regulation 58
- Uruguay, cases involving 156–7, 172–3, 175–6, 233–4, 239
- Vilnius (Lithuania) 202, 329
 Vittel (mineral water) 57–8
- waste disposal 211–12
 costs 225
 desirability of foreign investment 25–6
see also landfill
- ‘watchdogs’ 72–4, 76
- water 158–88
 affordability 179–82
 allocation 160–2, 168–71
 areas of conflict 182
 case-law 167–84, 387–8; settled/
 abandoned claims 167
 competing uses 170–1
 cross-border rights 95
 desirability of foreign investment 25
 disputes 157; reference to systemic integration 155–6
 EIAs 175–7
 as fundamental human need 180
 human rights approach 160, 164–7
 inter-State co-operation 163–4
 inter-State disputes 171–3, 176
 international regulatory bodies 163
 investor–State disputes 173–5, 176–8
 jurisdiction over 159–60
 large-scale/industrial use 165–6
 natural springs 57–8
 pollution/depletion 171–5, 198
 preservation of resources 49
 principles of distribution 159–60
 procedural requirements 160, 163–4, 175–9, 183
 protection of quality 159–60, 162–3, 179–82
 regulatory approach 160–4
 as resource/medium 158–9
 tariffs 305
 treaty provisions 159–60, 161–3
 types of obligation 160
 use of interpretation techniques 188
 weaknesses of links between domestic and international law 184–8
see also aquaculture; fisheries; marine environment, protection of; water, right to; watercourses, international regulation
- water, right to 159–60, 164–7, 179–82, 183, 231, 387–8
 conflict with investment disciplines 165–6

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00638-6 - Foreign Investment and the Environment in International Law

Jorge E. Viñuales

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX 423

- focus on personal use 165–6
- overruling of investment disciplines 180–2
- procedural content 166–7
- substantive content 165–6
- watercourses, international regulation 159–60, 189
- Weeramantry, Judge 143, 151–2, 156
- WEHAB (water, energy, health, agriculture, biodiversity) agenda 12
- Weisbach, D. 258
- wetlands 35–6, 58, 89, 192, 196, 201, 203
- WHO (World Health Organization) 226–7
- WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) 56
- World Bank 46, 178–9, 331
see also IFC (International Finance Corporation); IFC Performance Standards; World Bank Inspection Panel
- World Bank Inspection Panel 79–81
establishment 80
mandate 80–1
- WTO (World Trade Organisation) 86, 239–40, 320
- Yasuni Initiative 56
- Yellowstone National Park (US) 204
- Zimbabwe 210–11