

Index

Page numbers in *italics* relate to Figures and Tables.

- abductive inference. *see* explaining (abductive inference)
- alibi (idiom and modelling), 250–254, 251–252, 254
- alternative explanations idiom, 219–220. *see also* competing causes and explanations
- evaluating alternative stories, 199–201, 206–207
- artificial intelligence (AI) systems, 203, 268, 281
- assumptions, and inference
 - causal graph construction, 65–67
 - hydraulic assumption, 159, 170
 - prosecutor's fallacy, 190, 196
 - rarity assumption, 177
 - zero-sum assumption. *see* zero-sum assumption
- availability heuristic, 100–102, 101
- backwards reasoning. *see* diagnostic (backwards) inference
- barn fire, modelling. *see also* Great Chicago fire
 - causal graphs, 38, 38, 46–48
 - conditional probability tables (CPT), 40–44, 40–44, 43–44, 45
 - inference, 49–56, 49, 49–50, 51, 55–56, 56, 61–62, 61, 71–72
- Barrett, E., 129–130
- base-rate neglect, 78–82, 107, 109, 170
- Bayes nets. *see* causal Bayes nets
- Bayes' rule, 42, 50, 75, 80, 217
- Bayesian inference and updating, 42–43, 49, 51, 54–55, 66, 72, 257–258. *see also* probabilities and probabilistic approaches and evidence idiom, 217–219
- as mentally challenging, 80, 82, 84, 208
- behaviour modelling, 20–21
- Bes, B., 84–86, 86
- bias
 - base-rate neglect, 78–82, 107, 109, 170
 - cognitive bias, 181–182
 - collider bias, 269–271, 271
 - heuristics and biases framework, 77–78
 - in polygraph test validity studies, 107
 - in sampling, failure to recognize and correct, 106–108
 - in sampling, selection bias, 106
 - in witness testimony, 141
- blood evidence, 210, 220–221, 255–257
- Bramley, N. R., 104–105
- Byrne, Caroline, 2. *see also* cliff death (crime case)
- case construction, 4, 125–126, 129. *see also* crime (case) narratives
- causal Bayes nets
 - causal Markov condition, 64
 - qualitative component. *see* causal graphs/models (formal)
 - quantitative component. *see* conditional probability tables (CPT)
 - utility as thinking tool, 263
 - utility as training tool, 262
 - utility during the legal process, 261–262
- causal chains
 - in causal graphs, 46–47, 146–147
 - vs common causes in explanation-based hypothesis, 85
- causal graphs/models (formal). *see also* causal Bayes nets
 - and actual causation, 69–72
 - background conditions, 39
 - causal chain structure, 46–47, 146–147
 - causal relations vs. probabilistic dependence in, 63–65
 - common cause structure, 47, 56, 147
 - common effect structure. *see* common effect structure, in causal graph
 - defined, 35
 - definition of causality in, 38–39, 46, 146
 - direct and indirect causes, 37–38, 145–146

- causal graphs/models (formal). (cont.)
 evidential test selection (judgment task), 164
 extending, 68–70, 68–69
 granularity, 39
 and human judgment, 78–84
 idiom-based approach. *see* idiom-based approach
 and independent vs. dependent evidence, 243–245, 243–245
 inference. *see* inference
 intention in, 237–239, 237–239, 239
 and legal reasoning (general principles), 215–216
 links, 37
 motive in, 235–239, 235–239, 237–239
 opportunity in, 231–235, 231–235, 234–235, 255
 practical aspects, 258–259
 propensity in, 239–242, 241–242
 pruning, 91–94, 93, 159
 vs purely probabilistic models, 53–54
 and relevance of evidence, 145–149
 and reliability and credibility of evidence, 151–154
 sensitivity analysis, 67
 and story model, 202–206, 204
 and strength of evidence, 149–151
 structural causal models, 59–60
 summary, 63
 utility and importance, 35–36, 72–73
 variables. *see* variables, in causal graphs
 Wigmore charts, 212–214, 213
 causal models (in general), defined, 35
 causal models (mental). *see also* mental models
 and simulations; stories and narratives
 abstraction (simplification). *see* simplified mental models
 coordinating theory and evidence, 109–110
 defined, 35, 75
 explanatory function, 22
 heuristics. *see* heuristics
 and independent vs. dependent evidence, 82–84, 83, 94
 and intuitive probability judgments, 78–87, 89–90
 intuitive theories. *see* intuitive theories
 key characteristics, 19–20
 latent causes, 26
 normative inference, 167–170, 176, 208–209
 and positive test strategy, 110, 178
 and prediction, 20
 revision and flexibility, 77–78, 105, 125, 165–171, 169, 266–269
 specific. *see* specificity of mental models
 causality, formal definition, 38–39, 46, 146
 CCTV footage evidence, 177, 217–218, 251–253, 251–253, 253–254
 chains, causal. *see* causal chains
 character evidence. *see* propensity (modelling)
 Chater, N., 175–177
 child abuse (case), 112, 114, 115–120, 119, 176
 judgment task (model updating), 165–171, 167–169
 Christie, Agatha, *Witness for the Prosecution*, 210–211, 220–221, 221, 254–258, 256–257
 chronology (of crime), and evidence, 126–128.
see also spatiotemporal frameworks of mental models
 circumstantial evidence, 7
 Clark, Sally (miscarriage of justice), 94, 185–192
 analysis of stories and causal reasoning, 192–197, 209
 cliff death (crime case), 1–11
 appeal, 13
 evidence, 2–3, 5–9
 stories and causal explanations, 1–2, 5
 trial, 6–11
 cognitive bias, 181–182
 collider bias, 269–271, 271
 combination functions, in conditional probability tables (CPT), 44–45
 common causes. *see also* confounding
 assumed (naïve Bayes model), 94
 vs causal chains in explanation-based hypothesis, 85
 in causal graphs, 47, 56, 147
 and relevance, 147
 common effect structure, in causal graph, 47–48, 147–149, 147–149, 149
 explosives handling scenario, 163
 Jill Dando (murder case), 161–162
 mammogram (judgment task), 81, 81
 competing causes and explanations, 157–159, 278–280
 alternative explanations idiom. *see* alternative explanations idiom
 hydraulic assumption, 159, 170
 simplification strategies, 165
 zero-sum assumption. *see* zero-sum assumption
 computer modelling
 analogy with mental modelling, 16–17, 25
 vs animation, 18
 fight (shooting) (crime case), 14–16, 15, 18
 conditional probability tables (CPT), 39–40. *see also* causal graphs/models (formal)
 and alibi idiom model, 251
 challenges with populating, 149
 combination functions, 44–45

- and deception model, 247
- and general-level or specific causal knowledge
 - and factors, 149–151
- in larger models, 46–48
- with multiple causes, 41–45, 43–44
- with simple cause-effect link, 40–41, 40–41, 43
- confessions, 141, 245
- confirmation bias, 30, 172–173
 - and confirmatory strategies (overview), 173, 173, 277–278
 - and evaluating evidence, 180–183
 - and presenting evidence, 183–185
 - and searching for evidence, 174–180
- confounding. *see also* common causes
 - de-confounding, and interventional inference, 56–57, 57
 - factors, 56–57, 269
 - and sampling in mental models, 106
- conjunction error, 96–97
- corroborating testimonies, 225–226, 226
- counterfactual inference, 58–63
 - and actual causation, 71–72
 - barn fire, 61–62
 - covid-19 crisis, 273–276, 275
 - fight (shooting) (crime case), 19
 - Great Chicago fire, 69
 - mental models and, 19–20, 78, 205–206
 - Sally Clark appeal (miscarriage of justice), 196
- covid-19 crisis
 - causal models, 268, 270–271
 - competing narratives and blame game, 278–279
 - confirmatory strategies, 277–278
 - danger of spurious causal inferences, 269–271
 - diagnostic inference, 267–269, 268
 - flexibility of causal thinking, 266–267
 - public health view, 274–276, 274–276
 - test reliability, 276–277, 277
 - what-ifs and counterfactuals, 271–276
- Craik, Kenneth, 16
- credibility of witness evidence. *see* reliability and credibility of witness evidence
- crime (case) narratives, 128–129. *see also* case construction
- crime typologies, 128–129
- cross-contamination of evidence
 - forensic evidence (cognitive bias), 180–181, 243–245
 - forensic evidence (physical contamination), 148
 - mitigation strategies, 183
 - witness evidence, 181–182, 182
- Dando, Jill (murder case), 123–126, 129, 156–157, 160–162, 161–162, 184, 259
- data-frame theory
 - abductive inference in, 118–119
 - child abuse (case), 114, 115–118
 - cognitive operations in sensemaking, 116–117
 - data and frame interplay in, 115, 115–116
 - and expert reasoning, 121, 130
 - functional goals and sensemaking, 117–118
 - key claims and terminology, 113–115, 114
- Dawid, P., 215, 218
- deception (modelling), 245–249, 247–249
 - selective vs. non-selective lying strategies, 246–247
- de-confounding, and interventional inference, 56–57, 57. *see also* common causes. *see also* confounding
- dependent evidence
 - formal causal models, 243–245, 243–245
 - mental causal models, 82–84, 83, 94
- diagnostic (backwards) inference, 50–51, 50
 - in child abuse (judgment task) model, 168
 - in covid-19 crisis, 267–269, 268
 - vs predictive inference in explanation-based hypothesis, 85
 - simulation in, 89–90
 - skills, relative weakness, 77–78, 85, 89–90
- diagnosticity of test outcomes, 175, 276–277, 277. *see also* evidence-reliability idiom
- direct and indirect causes
 - in causal graphs, 37–38, 145–146
 - and intuitive probability judgments, 85
- disconfirming evidence, 30, 136, 173, 218
- DNA match evidence, 91–94, 143, 147–148, 149, 152–153, 181, 218
 - modelling reliability of, 218, 229–231, 230
- do-operator, 54, 57. *see also* interventional inference
- Dror, I., 181
- drug test evidence, 152
- episode schemas, 197–198, 206. *see also* story model
- evaluating
 - by experts, 131
 - vs explaining, 11–13, 265–266, 280
 - importance, 30–31
 - as reasoning about the evidence, 12–13
 - and stories, 199–201, 206–207
- Evans, J., 178
- evidence
 - blood evidence, 210, 220–221, 255–257
 - and case narrative, 125–126, 192–194
 - and causal reasoning, 68, 93–94, 109–110, 139–144, 195–196
 - character evidence. *see* propensity (modelling)
 - and chronology of crime, 126–128

- evidence (cont.)
 circumstantial evidence, 7
 combining multiple items (evidence idiom), 216–219, 216–219, 218, 255
 cross-contamination. *see* cross-contamination of evidence
 disconfirming evidence, 30, 136, 173, 218
 DNA match evidence. *see* DNA match evidence
 drug test evidence, 152
 evaluation and alternative stories, 199–201, 206–207
 evaluation and confirmation bias, 180–183
 evaluation by experts, 131
 fingerprint evidence, 172, 180–181, 243–244, 244
 gunshot residue (GSR) evidence, 156–157, 160–162, 161–162
 hair analysis evidence, 143
 idioms. *see* evidence idiom. *see* evidence-reliability idiom
 and illusion of explanatory depth, 25
 independent vs. dependent, and cross-contamination, 180–183, 182
 independent vs. dependent, and formal causal models, 243–245, 243–245
 independent vs. dependent, and mental causal models, 82–84, 83, 94
 opportunity, 231–235, 231–235, 234–235, 255
 presenting, and confirmation bias, 183–185
 as relational and contextual, 133–134
 relevance, 135–136, 138, 145–149
 reliability and credibility. *see* evidence-reliability idiom; reliability and credibility of witness evidence; reliability of physical evidence
 searching for, and confirmation bias, 174–180
 shoeprint evidence, 217
 ‘soft’ and ‘hard’ evidence, 126
 strength. *see* strength of evidence
 as tangible objects or evidentiary facts, 134–135
 tests and test selection. *see* tests and test selection
 theory–evidence coordination (TEC), 206–209
 witness evidence. *see* witnesses and witness evidence
 evidence idiom, 216–219, 216–219, 218, 255
 evidence-reliability idiom, 217–255, 223, 257. *see also* reliability and credibility of witness evidence; reliability of physical evidence and multiple testimonies, 225–226, 225–226, 226
 and test reliability, 277, 277
 evidential test selection. *see* tests and test selection
 expert reasoning and decision-making and data-frame theory, 121, 130
 evidence evaluation, 130–131
 experimental studies of police detectives, 129–130
 fieldwork studies of police detectives, 122–129
 lines of enquiry, 126
 in self-solvers, 122–123, 123
 in whodunits, 123–124, 123–129
 expert witnesses, 6, 8–9, 144, 187–188, 192, 196–197
 misconduct, 191
 explaining (abductive inference). *see also* stories and narratives
 and data-frame theory, 118–119
 vs evaluating, 11–13, 265–266, 280
 as reasoning from the evidence, 12, 201–202
 explaining away (intercausal reasoning), 52, 52, 168, 205, 220–222, 221, 224, 249
 explanation-based hypothesis, 84–87, 86
 explosives handling (scenario), 162–164, 163
 extended crime scene, 233–235, 255
 extremity preference, in test selection, 178–179
 eyewitness testimony
 cross-contamination (cognitive bias), 181, 182
 modelling, 227–229, 227–229
 reliability, 7–8, 25, 222, 227–229, 227–229
 Fenton, N., 212, 231
 Fielder, K., 106–107
 fight (shooting) (crime case), 14–16
 causal mental models, 19, 22–24, 26, 28–29, 90
 computer modelling and reconstruction, 14–16, 15, 18
 fingerprint evidence, 172, 180–181, 243–244, 244
 forensic process (pruning example), 91–94, 91–94, 92–93
 forwards reasoning. *see* predictive inference
 frame theory. *see* data-frame theory
 frames. *see* data-frame theory
 frontrunner preference, in test selection, 179
 George, Barry. *see* Dando, Jill (murder case)
 Gerstenberg, T., 26
 Great Chicago fire, 32
 causal models, 65–69, 65–69, 67–68, 69–70
 stories and causal explanations, 32–34
 Grenfell Tower fire, 58–59
 gunshot residue (GSR) evidence, 156–157, 160–162, 161–162
 hair analysis evidence, 143
 Hastie, R., 200–201, 202

- Hayes, B. K., 82
- heuristics. *see also* simplified mental models
- availability heuristic, 100–102, 101
 - heuristics and biases framework, 77–78
 - mental simulation as, 78–87
 - reframing, 76–77
- hierarchical knowledge, in intuitive theories, 26–28, 27, 29
- 'hindsight' bias, 66
- Hogarth, R. M., 107–108
- hydraulic assumption, 159, 170
- idiom-based approach, 216, 254
- in Agatha Christie play, 254–258, 256–257
 - alibi idiom, 250–254, 252, 254
 - alternative explanations. *see* alternative explanations idiom
 - evidence idiom, 216–219, 216–219, 218, 255
 - evidence reliability idiom. *see* evidence-reliability idiom
 - explaining-away idiom, 52, 52, 168, 205, 220–222, 221, 224, 249
 - opportunity idiom, 231–235, 231–235, 235, 255
 - opportunity prior idiom, 232–235, 234
 - practical aspects, 258–259
- illusion of explanatory depth, 24–25
- inference
- abductive inference. *see* explaining (abductive inference)
 - and assumptions. *see* assumptions, and inference
 - and prior probabilities. *see* prior probabilities, and inference
 - and witness reliability assessment, 141
 - Bayesian. *see* Bayesian inference and updating
 - by experts. *see* expert reasoning and decision-making
 - counterfactual. *see* counterfactual inference
 - dangers of outstripping available evidence, 269–271
 - diagnostic. *see* diagnostic (backwards) inference
 - idioms. *see* idiom-based approach
 - intercausal reasoning (explaining away), 52, 52, 168, 205, 220–222, 221, 224, 249
 - interventional. *see* interventional inference
 - normative, 167–170, 176, 208–209
 - Pearl's 3-level hierarchy (overview), 48, 49
 - predictive. *see* predictive inference
 - what-if inference. *see* what-if inferences (suppositional reasoning)
- Innes, M., 122, 123–124, 125, 128–129
- intention
- modelling, 237–239, 237–239, 239
 - prior, 238–239
 - vs motive, 237–238
- intention-in-action, 238–239
- intercausal reasoning (explaining away), 52, 52, 168, 205, 220–222, 221, 224, 249
- interventional inference, 52, 53–56, 56, 78
- and actual causation, 71–72
- counterfactual inference. *see* counterfactual inference
- for de-confounding. *see* de-confounding, and interventional inference
- in investigative and legal contexts, 57–59
- intuitive judgments of probabilities, 78–87, 89–90
- intuitive theories
- dangers of (confirmation bias). *see* confirmation bias
 - key characteristics of, 26–29
 - and scaling up, 29
- iterative looping, 28–29, 125
- jogger, shooting (crime case), 74–75, 89, 158
- Johnson-Laird, P., 16
- jury decisions
- cliff death (crime case), 11
 - and evidence omission/mis-presentation, 196
 - and illusion of explanatory depth, 25
 - and metacognition, 110, 207
 - quality of reasoning, 110, 206–207
 - story model. *see* story model
 - utility of Bayes nets, 261–262
 - and witness credibility, 140
- just-in-time mental models, 117
- Kahneman, D., 77–78, 87, 96–97, 101, 101–102
- Klayman, J., 176–177
- Klein, G., 114
- Koehler, J. J., 91
- Krynski, T. R., 78–82, 79, 81
- Kuhn, D., 109–110, 206–207
- Lagnado, D. A., 163–165, 179, 225–226
- latent causes, 26
- Lieder, F., 103
- Liefgreen, A., 179
- likelihood framework, 136–139
- lines of enquiry, 126
- line-ups (bias), 141, 228
- links, in causal graphs, 37
- pruning, 91–94, 93, 159
- Locard, Edmond, 132–133, 144

- Madrid terrorist attack. *see* Mayfield, Brandon (miscarriage of justice)
- mammogram (judgment task), 79, 79–82, 81, 82–84, 83
- naïve sampling in, 107
- Markov condition, causal, 64
- Mayfield, Brandon (miscarriage of justice), 172, 180–181
- McKenzie, C., 180
- Meadow, Roy, 187–191
- mental models and simulations. *see also* stories and narratives
- abstraction (simplification). *see* simplified mental models
 - analogy with computer models, 16–17, 25
 - behaviour modelling, 20–21
 - causality. *see* causal models (mental)
 - confirmation bias. *see* confirmation bias and data frame theory, 116–117
 - ease of simulation as proxy for probability, 89–90
 - generic and specific models and scenarios, 17
 - heuristics. *see* heuristics
 - intuitive theories. *see* intuitive theories
 - key research, 16–17
 - metacognition. *see* metacognition
 - model refinement, 28–29, 125
 - modelling systems, 17, 25–26, 29
 - sampling. *see* sampling, in mental models
 - vs. simple imagery, 17–19
 - simulation in diagnostic inference, 89–90
 - simulations and specificity, 98–99, 165
 - simulations as heuristic vs. basic mechanism for thinking, 87–89
 - simulations in expert inference, 130
 - spatiotemporal frameworks, 21–22, 127–128
 - specificity. *see* specificity of mental models
 - utility-weighted model, 103
 - what-ifs, 53, 271–273
- metacognition, 76, 109–110
- ‘blind spot’ (cognitive bias), 181–182
 - in jury decisions, 110, 207
 - ‘metacognitive myopia’ in sampling bias, 107–108
- meta-level decisions, and confirmatory strategies, 4
- miscarriages of justice, 13, 143. *see also* Mayfield, Brandon (miscarriage of justice). *see also* Clark, Sally (miscarriage of justice)
- models. *see* computer modelling. *see* story model. *see* eyewitness testimony: modelling. *see* motive:modelling. *see* intention:modelling. *see* propensity (modelling). *see* deception (modelling). *see* alibi (idiom and modelling). *see* opportunity (idiom and modelling). *see* causal graphs/models (formal). *see* causal models (mental). *see* mental models and simulations
- modular interventions, 55. *see also* interventional inference
- motive
- as fact vs. mental state, 238
 - vs. intention, 237–238
- modelling, 235–239, 235–239, 237–239
- murder investigations
- in Agatha Christie play, 210–211, 220–221, 221, 254–258, 256–257
 - fieldwork studies of police detectives, 122–129
 - Jill Dando, 123–126, 129, 156–157, 160–162, 161–162, 184, 259
 - jogger, shooting, 74–75, 89, 158
 - Marie Latelle, 132–135, 139, 147, 238
 - self-solvers, 122–123, 123
 - whodunits, 123–129, 123–124, 231
- naïve Bayes model, 94
- naïve sampling, 106–108
- narratives. *see* stories and narratives
- naturalistic decision-making (NDM), 113
- Neil, M., 212, 231
- noisy-AND function, 45, 204
- noisy-OR function, 44–45, 52, 66, 204. *see also* explaining away (intercausal reasoning)
- normative inference, 167–170, 176, 208–209. *see also* Bayesian inference and updating
- Oaksford, M., 176–177
- opportunity (idiom and modelling), 231–235, 231–235, 234–235, 255
- Pearl, J., 32, 46, 48, 49, 54, 60–61
- Pennington, N., 200–201, 202
- Pilditch, T., 163–164, 225–226
- planning fallacy, 272–273
- polygraph tests, bias in validity studies, 107
- positive test strategy, 175–178, 180
- predictions. *see also* counterfactual inference
- predictive inference, 48–50, 50, 51
- and causal modelling, 20
 - vs. diagnostic inference in explanation-based hypothesis, 85
- pre-emption, 72
- prior convictions. *see* propensity (modelling)
- prior intention, 238–239
- prior probabilities, and inference, 66–67, 150
- base rate neglect, 78–82, 107, 109, 170
 - opportunity, 231–235, 231–235, 234–235, 255

- probabilities and probabilistic approaches. *see also*
 Bayesian inference and updating
 availability heuristic, 100–102, 101
 challenges associated with, 137–139, 196
 conditional probability tables. *see* conditional
 probability tables (CPT)
 intuitive judgments, 78–87, 89–90
 prior probabilities. *see* prior probabilities, and
 inference
 prosecutor's fallacy, 190, 196
 and relevance of evidence, 135–136, 138
 in Sally Clark case (miscarriage of justice),
 189–191, 193–195
 and strength of evidence, 136–139
 probability tables. *see* conditional probability
 tables (CPT)
 probative value of evidence. *see* relevance of
 evidence; strength of evidence
 profiling, 21. *see also* behaviour modelling
 propensity (modelling), 239–242, 241–242
 prosecutor's fallacy, 190, 196
 pruning networks (simplification strategy),
 91–94, 93, 159
- rarity assumption, 177
 reconstruction, 16. *see also* computer modelling
 fight (shooting) (crime case), 14–16, 15, 18
 Redmayne, M., 240–242
 relevance of evidence, 135–136, 138, 145–149
 reliability and credibility of witness evidence,
 139–140. *see also* evidence-reliability idiom;
 expert witnesses
 alibi evidence, 7, 251–252, 253–254, 254
 causal models approach, 153–154, 153–154
 credibility vs. reliability, 139
 deception. *see* deception (modelling)
 evaluation of, 140–142, 196–197
 eyewitness evidence, 8
 eyewitness testimony, 7, 25, 222, 227–229,
 227–229
 multiple testimonies, 6, 225–226, 225–226,
 226
 multiple witnesses, 7
 reliability of physical evidence, 126, 142–144,
 151–153, 157. *see also* evidence-reliability
 idiom
 modelling forensic trace evidence, 226,
 229–231, 230
 sampling, in mental models, 75–76, 99–100
 availability heuristic, 100–102, 101
 confounding effects, 106
 and model revision, 104–105
 new sampling approaches, 102–103
 sampling from internal models, 103–104
 sampling the mind, 100–105
 sampling the world, 105–108
 selection bias, 106
 and 'wicked' environments, 107–108
- 'satisficers' (level of reasoning competence),
 206–207
 scenarios. *see* specificity of mental models
 Schum, D., 134, 140
 selection bias, in sampling, 106
 self-solvers (murder investigations), 122–123, 123
 sensemaking, 121
 by experts. *see* expert reasoning and decision-
 making
 data-frame theory. *see* data-frame theory
 sensitivity analysis, 67
 Shengelia, T., 165
 shoeprint evidence, 217
 shooting
 fight (crime case). *see* fight (shooting) (crime
 case)
 Jill Dando, 123–126, 129, 156–157,
 160–162, 161–162, 184, 259
 jogger (crime case), 74–75, 89, 158
 SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome). *see* Clark,
 Sally (miscarriage of justice)
 simplified mental models, 19–20, 22–25. *see also*
 heuristics; sampling, in mental models
 assuming independence of evidence, 94,
 194–195
 benefits of simplification, 23–24, 39, 88,
 170–171
 and competing causes, 165
 conjunction error, 96–97
 dangers of simplification, 24–25, 75, 94,
 194–195, 269–271
 and data-frame theory, 116
 pruning networks, 91–94, 93, 159
 singular models, 90–91, 269
 simulations, mental. *see* mental models and
 simulations
 singular mental models (simplification strategy),
 90–91, 269
 situation models. *see* specificity of mental models
 Sloman, S., 84–86, 86
 spatiotemporal frameworks of mental models,
 21–22, 127–128
 specificity of mental models, 75, 197
 benefits of specific models, 95, 97–99
 dangers of specific models, 95–97
 and mental simulation, 98–99, 165
 Stephen, J. F., 135, 145
 stories and narratives. *see also* case construction;
 explaining (abductive inference)
 alternative explanations idiom. *see* alternative
 explanations idiom

- stories and narratives. (cont.)
 cliff death (crime case), 1–2, 5
 competing stories. *see* competing causes and explanations
 crime (case) narratives, 128–129
 evidence and, 125–126, 192–194
 Great Chicago fire, 32–34
 Sally Clark case (miscarriage of justice), 192–194
 Story Model. *see* Story Model
 Story Model, 197
 empirical tests, 200–202, 202
 and formal causal models, 202–206, 204
 story structure, 197–200, 198–199
 strength of evidence
 and admissibility, 136
 causal model approach, 149–151
 physical evidence, 93–94, 130, 136–137
 probabilistic approaches, 136–139
 witness evidence, 34, 136, 150
 structural causal models, 60
 suppositional reasoning. *see* what-if inferences (suppositional reasoning)
 Sutcliffe, Peter (Yorkshire Ripper), 95–96

 temporal properties of mental models. *see* spatiotemporal frameworks of mental models
 Tenenbaum, J. B., 78–82, 79, 81
 tests and test selection
 diagnosticity of outcomes, 175, 276–277, 277
 extremity preference, 178–179
 frontrunner preference, 179
 judgment task, 164
 positive test strategy, 175–178, 180
 theory–evidence coordination (TEC), 206–209
 triggering events, causing larger event, 33–34
 Tversky, A., 77–78, 87–89, 96–97, 101, 101–102

 utility-weighted model, 103

 variables, in causal graphs, 36–37
 endogenous and exogenous variables, 46
 parent and child variables, 37–38
 probability distributions. *see* conditional probability tables (CPT)
 pruning, 91–94, 93, 159

 Wason 2-4-6 task, 176
 what-if inferences (suppositional reasoning), 53, 271–273. *see also* counterfactual inference
 whodunits (murder investigations), 123–124, 123–129, 231
 ‘wicked’ environments, and sampling, 107–108
 Wigmore charts, 212–214, 213
 Wigmore, J. H., 212–214
 witnesses and witness evidence
 alibi, 250–254, 251–252, 254
 collusion, 94
 confessions, 141, 245
 corroborating testimonies, 225–226, 226
 cross-contamination of evidence, 181–182, 182
 deception. *see* deception (modelling)
 expert witnesses. *see* expert witnesses
 eyewitness testimonies. *see* eyewitness testimony
 reliability and credibility. *see* reliability and credibility of witness evidence
 Woodward, J., 22, 38

 Yorkshire Ripper (Peter Sutcliffe), 95–96

 zero-sum assumption, 159–162
 in lay reasoning, 162–165, 170