

Contents

	<i>List of tables</i>	page xiii
	<i>List of figures</i>	xiv
	<i>Preface</i>	xv
	<i>Abbreviations and Russian transcription system used</i>	xviii
1	Options in constructing a morphological framework	1
1.0	Locating generalizations	1
1.0.1	Paradigmatic relations	4
1.0.2	Semi-regularity	5
1.0.3	Options and chapter outline	6
1.1	Object of inquiry: lexeme rather than morpheme	6
1.1.1	Radical agglutination	7
1.1.2	Morphemes and word syntax	10
1.1.3	Summary of object-of-inquiry option	12
1.2	Morphological domain: autonomous rather than part of a seamless web	13
1.2.1	Autonomous morphology and function/form dissociations	13
1.2.2	Seamless-web morphology and Distributed Morphology	19
1.2.3	Some challenges for Distributed Morphology's seamless web	25
1.2.4	Concluding autonomous over seamless-web morphology	29
1.3	Generalization as default inheritance	30
1.3.1	Default rather than mandatory inheritance	33
1.3.2	Multiple inheritance and orthogonality rather than single inheritance	35
1.3.3	Inflection versus derivation and submodularity	37
1.4	Morphological formalization	41
1.5	Summary of Network Morphology options and book outline	41
2	A framework for morphological defaults	44
2.0	Introduction	44
2.1	Models and analyses of lexemes	45
2.2	Lexemes as part of a network	51

x *Contents*

2.2.1	Inheritance relations	52
2.2.2	Hierarchy relations	54
2.2.3	Attribute ordering	57
2.2.4	Flexible paradigm signatures	64
2.2.5	Network relations	69
2.2.6	Morphology as a network	70
2.2.7	Local and global context	80
2.2.8	Evaluable paths	82
2.3	Defaults	83
2.3.1	Path extension and underspecification	84
2.3.2	Exceptional and normal case defaults	86
2.3.3	Defaults and productivity	106
2.4	The default relationship between syntax and morphology	107
2.4.1	Non-autonomy	107
2.4.2	Morphosyntactic feature slippages	108
2.4.3	Autonomous morphological features	109
2.5	Conclusion	110
3	Inflectional classes	111
3.0	Introduction	111
3.1	Inflectional classes within the wider typological space	113
3.1.1	Example 1: No morphological hierarchy required	118
3.1.2	Example 2: Morphological hierarchy required	122
3.1.3	Inheritance between the lexemic and morphological hierarchies	139
3.2	Justifying morphological hierarchies	145
3.3	Conclusion	149
4	Syncretism	151
4.0	Introduction	151
4.1	Definitions	152
4.2	Syncretism by default inference	156
4.3	Syncretism by referral	167
4.4	Case study for generalized referrals: Dalabon verbal morphology	170
4.4.1	The Dalabon paradigm without referrals	175
4.4.2	Relating prefixes and clitics	177
4.4.3	Adding referrals	178
4.4.4	Summary	180
4.5	Combining stem indexing and default inference	180
4.6	Conclusion	184
5	Morphological mismatch and extended deponency	186
5.0	Introduction	186

5.1	Extended deponency as defaults-based rule interaction	188
5.1.1	The hierarchical characterization of deponency	189
5.2	The Network Morphology account of Latin verb inflection	194
5.2.1	Non-regulars excluding deponents	199
5.3	Classical deponency	201
5.3.1	Mismatch, Property 1: overriding the first-order default	202
5.3.2	Lack of full participation, Property 2: overriding the second-order default	203
5.3.3	Semi-deponents, Property 3: overriding the second- and third-order defaults	205
5.3.4	'Form' defaults and defectiveness, Properties 4 and 5	208
5.4	Extended deponency and Archi nouns	209
5.4.1	The Network Morphology account of Archi nouns	209
5.4.2	Integrating deponent lexical entries	213
5.5	Is Latin deponency really morphological mismatch?	217
5.6	Concluding remarks	219
6	Defaults and paradigmatic restructuring: diachronic deponency	221
6.0	Introduction	221
6.1	Diachronic deponency as paradigmatic restructuring	222
6.2	Virtual paradigms and inheritance from the morphological hierarchy	226
6.3	The Network Morphology account of diachronic deponency	231
6.3.1	Activation of deponents	234
6.3.2	Passivation of deponents	238
6.4	Questions about virtual paradigms	243
6.4.1	Neo-deponents and virtual paradigms	244
6.4.2	Variation and virtual paradigms	245
6.4.3	Deponency in Greek	245
6.4.4	Before deponency	246
6.5	Concluding remarks	247
7	Derivation	249
7.0	Introduction	249
7.1	Derivation as lexeme-formation	251
7.2	Inheritance-based derivational relatedness	258
7.2.1	Conversion	262
7.2.2	Transposition	263
7.2.3	Category-preserving derivation	265
7.3	Resolving affix competition	269
7.3.1	Syntactic conditions	271
7.3.2	Formal conditions	272
7.3.3	Semantic conditions	275

xii	<i>Contents</i>	
7.4	Productivity	277
7.5	Concluding remarks on derivational morphology in Network Morphology	281
8	Conclusion	283
8.0	Taking stock	283
8.1	Autonomous morphology	283
8.2	Rules and defaults in morphology	285
8.3	Consequences	286
8.4	Importance of implementation for morphological theory	287
	<i>Notes</i>	289
	<i>References</i>	299
	<i>Index of languages</i>	314
	<i>Index of names</i>	316
	<i>Index of subjects</i>	319