

Index

Page numbers followed by italicized letters indicate tables (t) or figures (f)

- academic merit
 - accommodation and, 184–185
 - admissions criteria, 182–183
 - graduation rates, 184
 - standards for athletes, 183–184
- academic quality, 147–148
- academic rankings, 241*t*
- academic values. *See also* commercialization
 - academic merit, 182–185
 - benefit-cost analysis, 196
 - entertainment function in, 205–206
 - freedom of expression, 189–193
 - honesty, 193–194
 - intrinsic motivation, 185
 - lawfulness, 180–182
- academic/athletic divide
 - in administrative structures, 39–41
 - American exceptionalism in, 6
 - entertainment function in, 20–22
 - in higher education, 3
- access, to teams, 101
- admissions, applications for. *See* applications for admission
- admissions standards, 182–183
- advertising/advertising sales
 - alcohol and, 89–90, 188
 - “brought to you by” announcements, 86–87, 89
 - NCAA men’s basketball tournament, 87–90, 236*t*
 - product categories, 89–90, 236*t*
 - royalties, 104
- affluence, role of, 213
- alcoholic beverages
 - advertising limits, 90, 188
 - binge drinking, 166–167, 244*f*
 - tailgating, 166–167
- alliances of conferences, 213–214
- “also-rans,” 108–113, 112*t*
- alumni
 - as boosters, 36–37, 39, 41, 45, 48
 - as donors, 9, 20
 - as fans, 22, 73, 104
- Amateur Athletic Union (AAU), 120–121
- amateur status, 80–81, 194, 213–214, 216
- American College Athletics*. *See* Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching
- American Council on Education (ACE), 211–212
- American exceptionalism, 6
- American higher education
 - academic/athletic divide in, 3
 - research about, 12–13
- anomie, 154–155. *See also* campus culture
- antitrust laws, 216–217
- apparel/licensing, 186–187
- Appalachian State University, 201
- applications for admission
 - athletic acclaim and, 8–9
 - athletic success and, 145–146
 - student experience and, 158–159
- Arizona State University, 91, 190
- arms race, 66, 121–123, 219
- Army-Navy game, 46, 50, 151
- athletic budgets
 - administrative unit presentations, 96

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00434-4 - Big-Time Sports in American Universities

Charles T. Clotfelter

Index

[More information](#)

304

Index

- athletic budgets (*cont.*)
 - coaches' salaries, 48, 105
 - conference distributions, 103–104
 - contributions, 98–103
 - disparities, 108–113
 - pay distribution, 105
 - pieces of, 98*t*
 - profit, 107–108
 - royalties/advertising, 104
 - size of, 96–97
 - subsidies/student fees, 105
 - ticket sales, 97–98, 101
- athletic conferences, 82–83
- athletic departments
 - as hierarchical organizations, 39–40
 - as professional operations, 41
- athletic schedules, 131–133
- athletic scholarships
 - absence in Ivy League and Division III, 17, 50, 177
 - acceptance of, 57, 186
 - item of expense, 98*t*
 - power to revoke, 191
- athletic subsidies, 23–24, 105, 127
- Atlantic Coast Conference (ACC), 82–83, 132–133
- attendance figures
 - basketball, 233–234*t*
 - football, 43–44, 79*f*
 - growth in, 65–66
 - per capita, 79–80
- attitudes of students. *See* student surveys
- Auburn University
 - fans in market, 91
 - integration of football team, 203
 - relaxed academic standards, 184
 - rivalry with Alabama, 73–77, 129, 131
 - tickets for legislators, 129
 - VIP guests, 142–143, 142*f*
- auxiliaries, 96
- barriers to entry, 82
- basketball
 - attendance figures, 233–234*t*
 - demographics of demand, 80
 - integration of, 203–204
 - player recruitment, 178–179
 - popularity of, 53–56
 - riots of 2001, 152–153
 - television coverage, 59–61
 - tournament revenues, 56*f*
- Baylor University, 132
- benefit-cost analysis, 11–12, 16, 194–197, 221
- benefits for making contributions, 101–103, 238*t*
- betting, 64, 168–169
- Bible verses. *See* eye-black messages
- Big 12 Conference, 104, 132
- Big East Conference, 110–111, 132–133
- Big Ten Conference, 82–83
- Big Ten Network, 34–35, 92–93, 187–188
- big-time college sports. *See also* criticisms of
 - college athletics
 - administrative structures, 39–41
 - definition, 16–17
 - entertainment function, 20–22, 40–41
 - expenditures on athletics, 17–20
 - justifications for, 7–9
 - purpose/role of, 11–16
 - scholarly research on, 12–13, 29–32
 - tax treatment, 13–14
- big-time college sports, benefits of
 - campus spirit, 195
 - enjoyment, 198–201
 - exposure, 194–195
 - happiness, 195–196
- big-time college sports, celebrity of
 - coaches vs. presidents, 61–62
 - March Madness, 62–65
 - newspaper coverage, 58–59
- television exposure, 59–61
- big-time college sports, emergence of
 - abandoned programs (“dropouts”), 50
 - brand names/“power rankings,” 48, 229–232*t*
 - commercialization, 47–48
 - football's transformation, 45–47
 - number of games played, 48
 - popularity/attendance growth, 51–52, 65–66
 - revenue generation, 47
 - rivalries, 49–50
 - rules development, 45–46
 - segregation and, 51–52
 - stadium construction/capacity, 47
 - as student-run organizations, 44
 - takeover by universities, 44–45, 57
 - television coverage, 51–53
 - university enrollment growth, 57
- binge drinking. *See* alcoholic beverages
- Binghamton University, 177–179, 181
- Birmingham, AL, 74–76, 91, 203
- The Blind Side* (Lewis), 118
- Boise State University, 8, 201

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00434-4 - Big-Time Sports in American Universities

Charles T. Clotfelter

Index

[More information](#)

Index

305

- Bok, Derek, 26, 40, 185, 216
- bonuses. *See* compensation
- booing, 71, 200
- boosters/booster organizations
 - “booster coalition,” 36, 41
 - donor benefits, 101–103, 238*t*
 - party registration of, 37–39
- Boston College. *See* Flutie effect
- Bowen, Howard, 121
- Bowen, William, 31
- Bowl Championship Series (BCS)
 - conference alignment, 132–133
 - conference payments, 85
 - membership advantages, 82–83, 113
 - televised games, 86
 - unfairness to have-nots, 209
- Bowling Green State University, 112*t*
- bowls, 84–86, 103–104
- boxes, luxury, 94–95
- brand loyalty, 72–73
- Brooklyn College, 53
- Brooks, David, 200
- “brought to you by” announcements, 86–87, 89
- Brown, Mack, 5
- Brown vs. Board of Education*, 202
- Bryant, Paul (“Bear”), 75, 84, 203
- budget deliberations, 32–33
- budgets. *See* athletic budgets
- business model
 - coaches’ contracts, 113–114
 - economics, unsustainability of, 208–209
 - objectives/outcomes, 113
 - recruiting, 114–115, 117–121
 - revenue potential, 115–116
 - winning, 123–124
 - zero-sum competition, 116–117, 121–123
- Butler University, 126–127
- cable TV. *See* ESPN and television
- campus culture
 - affluence, role of, 159–160
 - applications for admission, 158–159
 - background/beliefs, 160–161
 - “collegiate experience,” 153
 - happiness, 171–172
 - life objectives, 161
 - living arrangements, 160
 - non-revenue sports, 169–171
 - partisan fan effect, 167–169
 - regional differences, 161
 - selection effect, 156–157
 - sense of community, 155–156
 - social/political issues, 162
 - stereotypical behaviors, 156
 - student experience study, 157–158, 172–173
 - time use, 162–165, 244*f*
 - treatment effect, 157
- capital spending, 108, 122
- Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 10, 34, 36, 47–48, 105
- CBS
 - advertising, 87–88*t*
 - conference contracts, 187
 - televised games, 54–55*t*, 59–60, 87
 - value of broadcasting, 52–53
- Center for Nano- and Molecular Science and Technology, 3–4
- charitable contributions/donations
 - affluence, role of, 213
 - athletic success and, 134–136
 - booster benefits, 238*t*
 - federal tax treatment, 217
 - season ticket purchases and, 99–100
 - seat allocations and, 100
 - tax deductibility, 98–99
- cheating, 119–120
- City College of New York, 180–181
- civics lessons
 - equality, desirability of, 202–204
 - meritocracy, 201–202
- class cancellations, 24
- Clements, William, 254, 268
- Cleveland, Grover, 46, 151
- “clustering” of athletes, 184
- coaches
 - autonomy of, 35
 - booster involvement, 36–37
 - as celebrities, 33, 61–62
 - compensation of, 48, 105–106*f*, 113–114, 289
- Coalition on Intercollegiate Athletics, 214
- Coleman, James, 33, 35
- College Park, student riot, 152–153
- “collegiate” experience, 3.
 - See also* student experience
- Colorado State University, 102, 112*t*
- colors, team, 70–71
- Columbia University, 32
- commercial value
 - in advertising sales, 85
 - of Fiesta Bowl, 86
 - of TV ratings, 85

- commercialization
 - advertisements/sponsorships, 186
 - apparel/licensing, 186–187
 - of athletic programs/departments, 40, 47–48, 185–186
 - of football stadiums, 5
 - need for reform and, 211–214
 - television broadcast rights, 187, 213
 - values conflict in, 187–189
 - video games, 187
- commercials, TV, 236*t*
- community, sense of, 155–156, 198–199.
 - See also* campus culture
- compensation
 - of coaches, 8, 289
 - for players, 215–216
 - at public universities, 106*f*
 - by university, 239–240*t*
- competing values. *See also* commercialization
 - academic merit, 182–185
 - benefit-cost analysis, 196
 - entertainment function in, 205–206
 - freedom of expression, 189–193
 - honesty, 193–194
 - intrinsic motivation, 185
 - lawfulness, 180–182
- compromises. *See* competing values
- conference distributions, 103–104
- conference membership/alignment, 131–133, 213–214
- Conference USA, 110–111
- conservatism, 39
- consumer demand
 - act of consuming, 70
 - brand loyalty, 72–73, 198
 - commercial demand, 69–70
 - communal dimension, 198–199
 - demographics, 78–80
 - fan devotion, 69, 91–92
 - rivalries, 73–77
 - spectator behavior, 70–71
 - “timeless” need, 77–78
- consumer surplus, 90–93, 199, 204–205
- contributions. *See* charitable contributions/donations
- corporate logos, 89
- cost-benefit analysis. *See* benefit-cost analysis
- The Courtship of Marcus Dupree* (Morris), 118
- crime/rules violations, 210–211
- criticisms of college athletics.
 - See also* competing values
- academic standards, sacrifice of, 211
- consistency of, 207
- crime/rules violations, 210–211
- economics, unsustainability of, 208–209
- exploitation of revenue athletes, 209–210
- longevity of, 9–11
- nonprofit status abuse, 210
- tenor of, 179–180
- unfairness to have-nots, 209
- by university presidents, 26
- Darrell K. Royal-Texas Memorial Stadium, 4–5
- Davis Wade Stadium, 109
- decentralization, 12, 35–36, 149
- deductibility of contributions. *See* donations/charitable contributions
- demographics, of fans, 78–80
- Denney, Reuel, 201–202
- discipline, 189–191
- distributions, 103–104
- Dixie Classic, 181
- donations/charitable contributions
 - affluence, role of, 213
 - athletic success and, 134–136
 - booster benefits, 238*t*
 - federal tax treatment, 217
 - season ticket purchases and, 99–100
 - seat allocations and, 100
 - tax deductibility, 98–99
- donor recognition, 102
- double standard, 184
- doublespeak, 220–221
- drinking. *See* alcoholic beverages
- Duderstadt, James, 26, 39, 94, 190, 215
- Duke University
 - academic/athletic priorities, 185
 - admission standards for athletes, 183–185
 - basketball coach and student newspaper, 192
 - BCS conference membership, 219
 - graduation rates, 184
 - limited power of president, 33
 - minimum contribution to buy tickets, 99
 - rivalry with UNC, 74
 - TV audience, 43
 - use of football in early years, 150–151
- Duncan, Arne, 211, 290
- Durkheim, Émile, 155
- East Carolina University, 131–132
- economics, unsustainability, 208–209
- Eisenhower, Dwight D., 32
- Eliot, Charles

- athletic participation, 7
- athletic success/applications relationship, 145
- on football, 45–46, 155–156
- overemphasis of sports, 9–10, 45
- Enarson, Harold, 61–62
- enjoyment, 198–201
- entertainment function
 - of big-time athletics, 20–22, 40–41, 200–201, 204–206
 - of football stadiums, 5
- equality, desirability of, 202–204
- ESPN
 - conference contracts, 187
 - televised games, 54–55*t*, 59–60
 - value of broadcasting, 52–53
- “every tub on its bottom” budgeting, 36
- expenditures. *See also* arms race; athletic budgets
 - on athletics, 17–20
 - coaches’ salaries, 105
 - growth in, 66
 - pay distribution, 105
 - “power rankings,” 48, 229–232*t*
 - ranking in 2009, 18–20*t*
- exploitation, of revenue athletes, 209–210
- expression. *See* freedom of expression
- eye-black messages, 192–193
- faculty control, 34–35
- fans. *See also* consumer demand
 - age/gender of, 78–79, 235*t*
 - behavior of, 70–72
 - of college football, 78*f*
 - devotion of, 69, 91–92
 - regional patterns, 79–80
- Fiesta Bowl, 86
- fight songs, 127
- Final Four, 187
- Florida-Georgia football game (a.k.a., “world’s largest outdoor cocktail party”), 43, 166
- Florida International University, 183
- Florida State University
 - booster involvement, 37
 - as “major power,” 109–110
 - revenue profile, 112*t*
 - rules violations, 181–182
 - VIP guests, 142
- Flutie, Doug, 144
- “Flutie effect,” 144–146
- flying wedge, 45
- football
 - abandoned programs (“dropouts”), 50
 - brand names/“power rankings,” 48, 229–232*t*
 - commercialization of, 47–48
 - number of games played, 48
 - popularity/attendance growth, 44–45, 49–56*f*, 65–66
 - recruiting violations, 120
 - rivalries, 49–50
 - roots in rugby/soccer, 45
 - rules development, 45–46
 - segregation and, 51–52
 - stadium construction/capacity, 47
 - television coverage, 52–53, 54–55*t*, 61
- Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS), 50, 148
- football Saturdays, 43–44
- football stadiums
 - construction/capacity, 47, 122
 - Darrell K. Royal-Texas Memorial Stadium, 4–5
 - Michigan Stadium, 94
 - seat licenses, 23–24
- fraternities, 156, 160, 190
- free tickets, 129–131
- freedom of expression.
 - in campus classrooms, 189
 - eye-black messages, 192–193
 - in local broadcasts, 191–192
 - openness, of athletic departments, 192
 - student newspapers and, 192
 - team discipline and, 189–191
- freshmen surveys
 - affluence, role of, 159–160
 - background/beliefs, 160–161
 - life objectives, 161
 - living arrangements, 160, 243*f*
 - political/social attitudes, 243*f*
 - regional differences, 161
 - social/economic status, 242*f*
 - social/political issues, 162
 - student characteristics, 246*t*
 - university groupings, 158–162
- Frey, James, 36
- fundraising. *See* boosters/booster; organizations; charitable contributions/donations; president’s box
- The Future of the City of Intellect: The Changing American University*, 29–30
- gambling, 180–181. *See also* point-shaving
- game-fixing, 53

- Georgia State University, 8, 127, 144–145
 Georgia Tech (Georgia Institute of Technology), 52, 91, 202
 Georgia-Florida football game (a.k.a., “world’s largest outdoor cocktail party”), 43, 166
 gestures. *See* spectator behavior
 Giamatti, A. Bartlett, 77, 199–200
 Goldin, Claudia, 3
 Google hits, 192, 225–226
The Goose-Step (Sinclair), 36
 government influence, 128–131
 graduation rates, 184, 248*t*, 284–285
 Griffin, Marvin, 52, 257
 “guarantees”, 266
- happiness, 90–91, 171–172, 195–196, 199–200
 Hand, Learned, 189
 Harvard University. *See also* Eliot
 annual report of 1900–1901, 145
 doctoral dissertation, 126
 and emergence of big-time athletics, 44–46, 201
 statement by Bok, 26
 have-nots, unfairness to, 209
 Hayes, Woody, 61–62
 headlines. *See* *New York Times*
 higher education. *See* American higher education
 history. *See* big-time college sports, emergence of
 honesty, 193–194. *See also* academic values
 Humphreys, Brad, 130–131
 Hutchins, Robert, 26, 50, 185, 256–257
- Indiana University, 190
 industry’s organization
 barriers to entry, 82
 market share, 81–82
 monopolies, 82–85
 product differentiation, 80–81
 injuries/deaths, 10
 Institute of Social and Religious Research, 47–48
 institution building
 academic quality and, 147–148
 conference membership, 131–133
 fame and, 150
 government connections/influence, 128–131
 lobbying, 149–150
 recognition benefits, 127, 150
 taxpayer support, 127, 149
 institutional quality, 133
- integration, 202–204. *See also* segregation
 interracial cooperation, 202–205
 intrinsic motivation, 185.
 See also commercialization; competing values
 invitational tournaments, 53
 Iowa State University, 112*t*
 Iron Bowl. *See also* Auburn University, University of Alabama, 73–77
 Ivy League, 50, 80, 219
- Jim Crow. *See* segregation
 journalistic attention, 58–59
 JSTOR usage, 62–65, 168–169, 227–232, 247*t*
 justification for big-time college sports
 educational argument, 7–8
 mass allegiance, 9
 money, 8
 public attention, 8–9
- Kansas State University, 121
 Katz, Lawrence, 3
 Kerr, Clark, 27, 41, 46–47, 151
 Knight, Bobby, 190
 Knight Commission on Intercollegiate Athletics
 call for reform, 10, 214–215
 reports, 211–212
 survey of presidents, 26, 33, 143
- lawfulness, 180–182
 Legion Field, 74–76
 legislators/legislative support, 129–131, 149
 Lewis, Michael, 118, 209
 Lloyd, Harold, 156
 lobbying, 149–150
 logic model. *See* business model
 logos, corporate, 89
 Louisiana State University (LSU), 100
 Louisiana Tech, 112*t*
 loyalty, 198
 luxury boxes/suites, 4, 94–95
- “manifest disobedience,” 191
 March Madness, 62–65
 market share, 81–82
 Marshall University, 132
 mascots, 186
 media event effect, 63–65
 meritocracy, 201–202, 205
 Miami University of Ohio, 112*t*
 Michener, James, 30, 71, 77–78, 263

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00434-4 - Big-Time Sports in American Universities

Charles T. Clotfelter

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

309

- Michigan Stadium, 94
 Mid-America Conference, 110–111
 mission statements
 administrative unit mentions in, 28–29, 227*f*
 athletic mentions in, 28, 41–42
 corporate objectives/core functions, 12, 27–28, 221
 University of California, 27–28
 University of Alabama, 24, 27
 University of Texas, 4
 Mississippi State University, 109, 112*t*
 monopolies, 82–85
 Morrill Acts, 46, 149
 Morris, Willie, 118
 motivation, intrinsic, 185
 Mountain West Conference, 110–111
- nano science, 3–5
 National Invitational Tournament, 53
 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA). *See* NCAA
 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 13, 171, 280
 NBC
 televised games, 54–55*t*
 value of broadcasting, 52–53
 NCAA. *See also* recruiting
 admission standards, 182–183
 basketball tournament, 53, 56, 62–65, 80, 86–90, 144, 152, 167–169
 as cartel, 83
 compensation for players, 216
 control of televised football, 51, 53
 faculty representatives, 34
 graduation rates, 284–285
 hypocrisy of, 194
 “manifest disobedience,” 191
 origin, 46
 policy on video games, 187
 rules violations, 84, 180–182, 210–211
 standardized rules/regulations, 10, 56–57, 83
 tournament advertising, 87–90, 236*t*
 tournament revenues, 56*f*
 TV contract negotiations, 84–85
 networks. *See also* television
 coverage of college football, 52–53, 187
 NCAA contracts, 84–85
New York Times, 58, 60*f*
 newspaper coverage, 58–59
 Nielsen ratings, 60–61, 80
 Nike, 87, 104, 186
- Nixon, Richard, 151
 Noll, Roger, 194
 nonprofit status abuse, 210
 non-revenue sports, 169–171
 North Carolina State University
 athlete majors, 183–184
 booster influence, 37
 independence of athletic department, 35
 as “major also-ran,” 112*t*
 scheduling in-state opponent, 131–132
 VIP guests, 142–143
 Northwestern University, 101, 184, 219
- Obama, Barack, 103, 151
 Ohio State University
 as “major power,” 109–110, 112*t*
 minimum contribution to buy tickets, 99
 relative fame of president and football coach, 61–62
 rivalry with Michigan, 49
 tickets for leslators, 130
 Ohio University, 35, 208
 Oklahoma State University, 99, 118, 122
 Old Dominion University, 127
 Oregon State University, 191
- Pacific 10 (Pac 10), 103–104
 parking, 102
 partisan fan effect, 167–169, 247*t*
 party registration
 of boosters, 37–39
 methodology, 224–226, 291
 Republicans, percentage of, 226*f*
 of students, 162
 partying, 153. *See also* campus culture
 pay. *See* compensation
 Pennsylvania State University, 129
 point-shaving, 53, 180–181, 283
 political attitudes, 37–39
 pranks, 153. *See also* campus culture
 presidents
 celebrity of, 61–62
 compensation of, 106*f*
 power of, 32–33
 president's box
 guests in, 142*f*
 Texas A&M University, 140–141
 University of Georgia, 138–140
 University of Washington, 136–138
 use in fundraising, 142–144
 Princeton University, 26
 prisoner's dilemma, 197

- Pritchett, Henry, 7, 48
 product differentiation, 80–81
 production function. *See* business model
 professional sports, 51–52
 profit, 107–108. *See also* athletic budgets
 “pseudo-programming,” 88–89
 Purdue University, 153
 purpose of big-time college sports
 benefit-cost analysis, 11–12, 16
 educational argument, 7–8
 mass allegiance, 9
 money, 8
 public attention, 8–9
 purpose of university. *See also* mission statements
 decision making and, 26
 role of commercial sports in, 24–26
 quality. *See* institutional quality
- racial integration, 202–204
 Raines, Howell, 76–77, 200
Rammer Jammer Yellow Hammer (St. John), 71
 rankings of universities, 4, 11–12, 58–59, 147–148, 223–224*t*, 241–245
- recruiting
 Binghamton University, 178–179
 centrality of, 114–115
 cheating, 119–120
 courtship, 117–118
 price ceiling, 118–119
 “recruiting hostesses,” 119
 “rent,” 119
 third parties, use of, 120–121
- reform
 accommodation vs., 220–221
 antitrust laws, 216–217
 calls for, 214–215
 commercialization and, 211–214
 compensation for players, 215–216
 federal tax treatment, 217–219
 prospects for, 218
- regional differences
 among fans, 79–80
 among students, 161
 in campus culture, 161
- research universities, rankings of, 4
- revenue sources
 conference distributions, 103–104
 contributions, 98–103
 royalties/advertising, 104
 subsidies/student fees, 105
 ticket sales, 47, 97–98
- Riesman, David, 201–202
 riots, 152–153
 rituals, 72
 rivalries, 49–50, 73–77, 200
 Roosevelt, Theodore, 10, 46, 56, 151
 royalties/advertising, 104
 rules violations, 180–182, 210–211
 Rupp, Adolph, 53
 Rutgers University, 8
- Saban, Nick, 113–114
 salaries. *See* compensation
 San Diego State University, 112*t*
 SAT scores, 135, 278
 schedules/scheduled activities, 5–6
 scholarly research, 12–13, 29–32
 scholarships, athletic, 186, 191, 209–210
 school holiday, 43
 season ticket purchases, 99–100
 seat allocations, 100
 seat licenses, 23–24
 Seattle, WA, 136–138
 segregation, 51–52, 202–204
 selection effect, 156–157
 Selection Sunday, 64–65
 sellouts, 105
 Shanghai Jiao Tong University world ranking
 of universities, 4, 11–12, 223–224*t*
- Shankley, Bill, 69
 Shapiro, Harold, 26, 127
 Sinclair, Upton, 36
 Smith, Ronald, 194
 South
 college football popularity, 51–52
 desegregation, 202–204
 per capita attendance, 79–80
 Southeastern Conference (SEC), 103, 132, 203
 Southern Methodist University (SMU)
 booster involvement, 36
 recruiting violations, 119–120
 rules violations, 36, 181
 Southwest Conference, 82–83, 132
 spectator behavior, 70–72. *See also* consumer demand
- spillover benefits
 beyond the campus, 197–198
 civics lessons, 201–204
 enjoyment, 198–201
 intangibles, 92
- sports coverage, 58–59, 60*f*
Sports in America (Michener), 30, 71
 St. John, Warren, 71

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00434-4 - Big-Time Sports in American Universities

Charles T. Clotfelter

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

311

- St. John's University, 133
- stadiums. *See* football stadiums
- Stagg, Alonso, 151
- Stanford University
 - BCS conference membership, 219
 - graduation rates, 184
 - rewards for contributions, 101–102
 - stadium renovation, 97–98, 122
- student experience
 - affluence, role of, 159–160
 - applications for admission, 158–159
 - background/beliefs, 160–161
 - “collegiate experience,” 153
 - freshman characteristics, 246*t*
 - happiness, 171–172
 - life objectives, 161
 - living arrangements, 160
 - non-revenue sports, 169–171
 - overall ratings, 245*f*
 - partisan fan effect, 167–169
 - regional differences, 161
 - selection effect, 156–157
 - sense of community, 155–156
 - social/political issues, 162
 - stereotypical behaviors, 156
 - study of, 157–158, 172–173
 - time use, 162–165, 244*f*
 - treatment effect, 157
- student fees, 105, 127
- student surveys
 - affluence, role of, 159–160
 - background/beliefs, 160–161
 - life objectives, 161
 - living arrangements, 160, 243*f*
 - political/social attitudes, 243*f*
 - regional differences, 161
 - social/economic status, 242*f*
 - social/political issues, 162
 - student characteristics, 246*t*
 - university groupings, 158–162
- student violence, 152–153
- “student-athlete,” 81, 205, 216, 284
- subsidies, 23–24, 105, 127
- Sugar Bowl, 130
- SUNY universities, 177–179
- supply, features of
 - barriers to entry, 82
 - market share, 81–82
 - monopolies, 82–85
 - product differentiation, 80–81
- Supreme Court, 53, 84, 213
- surveys. *See* student surveys
- swoosh. *See* Nike
- Swarthmore College, 46
- Syracuse University, 91, 101, 132–133
- tailgating. *See* alcohol consumption
- taunts. *See* spectator behavior
- tax deductibility, 98–99, 213
- tax treatment, 13–14, 103, 217
- taxpayer support, 127, 149
- team access, 101
- team discipline, 189–191
- television
 - basketball coverage, 59–61
 - broadcasting rights, 213
 - cable TV, 53, 60–61, 92–93, 187, 213
 - contracts with conferences, 187
 - control over games and schedules, 84
 - football coverage, 52–53, 54–55*t*, 187
 - ratings, 85–86
- Texas A&M University
 - conference alignment, 132
 - rewards for contributions, 102
 - tickets for legislators, 130
 - VIP guests, 140–142, 142*t*
- Texas Christian University, 119
- Texas Tech University
 - booster involvement, 37
 - conference alignment, 132
 - ticket distribution, 130
- Texas Western (University of Texas at El Paso), 203
- Thomas, Bill, 13–14
- ticket distribution, 129–131
- ticket sales, 97–98, 101
- time use, study of, 162–165, 244*f*
- Title IX, 97, 169
- tournaments
 - advertising sales, 87–90, 236*t*
 - invitational, 53
 - NCAA revenues, 56*f*
- trademarks, 69–70, 73
- treatment effect, 157
- trustees, 113, 153, 206, 218, 254
- truthfulness, 193–194. *See also* academic values
- TV. *See* television
- UBIT (Unrelated Business Income Tax), 217
- universities
 - athletic budgets, 32–33
 - athletic enterprise autonomy, 35
 - vs. corporations, 33

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00434-4 - Big-Time Sports in American Universities

Charles T. Clotfelter

Index

[More information](#)

312

Index

- universities (*cont.*)
 - customer orientation, 195
 - faculty control, 34–35
 - financial support for, 35
 - new activities of, 35
 - organizational form, 32
 - presidents' power, 33
- Universities in the Marketplace* (Bok), 40, 185
- University of Alabama
 - academic/athletic priorities, 24, 185
 - coach's contract, 106, 113–114
 - devotion of fans, 71, 76–77, 99
 - fans in market, 91
 - integration of football team, 203
 - mission statement, 24, 27–28
 - rivalry with Auburn, 73–77, 129, 131
 - ticket distribution, 129
- University of Arkansas, 102
- University of California, Berkeley
 - athletic subsidies, 23–24, 35, 95, 126, 191, 208
 - faculty influence, 35
 - intercollegiate sports program, 126
 - as “major power,” 109–110
 - mission statement, 27–28
- University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), 158–162
- University of Chicago dropping big-time
 - football, 50, 216, 219
 - statement by Hutchins, 26
 - use of football in early years, 150–151
- University of Cincinnati, 111–113, 126–127
- University of Colorado, 166
- University of Connecticut, 8, 102, 112*t*, 126
- University of Florida
 - alcohol-related deaths, 166
 - annual game against Georgia, 43, 116
 - limited power of president, 33
 - as “major power,” 109–110, 112*t*
- University of Georgia
 - annual game against Florida, 43, 166
 - as lobbyist, 130
 - fans in market, 91
 - minimum contribution to buy tickets, 100
 - opponents, 50
 - physical education test, 184
 - VIP guests in president's box, 138–140, 142*t*
- University of Hawaii, 112*t*
- University of Illinois, 47, 112*t*
- University of Kansas, 181–182, 186–187
- University of Kentucky
 - 1966 NCAA tournament, 203
 - fan devotion, 91
 - payments to players, 120
 - point-shaving scandal, 53
 - scheduling in-state opponent, 131
- University of Louisiana at Lafayette, 102
- University of Louisville, 131, 181
- University of Maryland
 - basketball riot, 152–153, 166
 - coach's contract, 114
 - VIP guests, 142*f*, 142–143
- University of Memphis, 112*t*, 182
- University of Miami. *See* “Flutie effect”
- University of Michigan
 - athlete majors, 183–184
 - budget, 95–97, 128
 - decision making 32–33
 - distinctive brand, 73, 116
 - football stadium, 47, 94–95, 122
 - growth, 57
 - recognition and athletics, 127
 - rules violations, 181
 - statements by former presidents, 26
 - stadium renovation, 94–95, 122
 - traditional opponents, 49–50
 - upset by Appalachian State, 201
- University of Mississippi, 52, 181
- University of Nebraska, 71, 263
- University of New Mexico, 182
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC)
 - academic/athletic priorities, 24, 185
 - minimum contribution to buy tickets, 99
 - recruiting, 117
 - rivalry with Duke, 74
 - scheduling in-state opponent, 131–132
 - TV audience, 43
 - VIP guests, 142*f*
- University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNCC), 9, 125–126, 197
- University of Northern Iowa, 144
- University of Notre Dame, 73, 201–202
- University of Oklahoma, 50, 73, 130, 201
- University of Oregon, 192
- University of Pennsylvania, 46
- University of Pittsburgh, 52, 91
- University of South Alabama, 127
- University of South Carolina, 183
- University of South Florida, 8, 126, 197
- University of Southern California, 181, 203
- University of Southern Mississippi, 112*t*
- University of Tennessee, 120, 198–199
- University of Texas

- academic/athletic divide, 5
- benefits for contributors, 99, 101–102
- coach's compensation, 106
- conference alignment, 132
- football program, 12
- football stadium, 4–5, 122
- free tickets, 130
- integration of football team, 203
- licensing, 187
- as “major power,” 109–110, 112*t*
- mission statement, 4, 12
- nano-science center, 3–5
- rivalry with Oklahoma, 73
- student drinking study, 166
- University of Texas at San Antonio, 127
- University of Virginia, 128
- University of Washington, 37, 114, 136–138
- University of Wisconsin, 34–35
- University of Wyoming, 191
- Unrelated Business Income Tax (UBIT), 217, 286
- U.S. News and World Report*, 58–59, 147–148, 241–245
- USA Today*, 105–106, 214
- values. *See* commercial value
- value conflicts. *See also* commercialization
 - academic merit, 182–185
 - benefit-cost analysis, 196
 - entertainment function in, 205–206
 - freedom of expression, 189–193
 - honesty, 193–194
 - intrinsic motivation, 185
 - lawfulness, 180–182
- Vanderbilt University, 219
- Veblen, Thorstein, 185
- video games, 187
- viewing audiences, 43–44
- violence, 152–153
- VIPs. *See* president's box
- Virginia Tech (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University), 132–133, 183–184
- Wade, Wallace, 151
- Washington State University, 112*t*
- Weisbrod, Burton, 114
- West Virginia University, 132
- Western Athletic Conference, 110–111
- White House, athletics recognition by, 151
- Wilson, Woodrow, 26, 156
- winning, 123–124
- Yale University, 44, 46
- zero-sum competition, 116–117, 121–123