

## INDEX

- academic conferences, 216
- Africa Judges & Jurists Forum, 215
- Argentina, 211, 235–6
- Aristotle, 39
- Arrington, Celeste, 125
- Association of Asian Constitutional Courts, 215
- audience sensitivity, 188
- audience-based approach, 143–5
- authoritarian regimes, 21
  - agency in, 198–9, 200
  - agents in, 198–9, 200
  - arbitrariness in, 21
  - bureaucracy in, 198–9, 200
  - constitutional court in, 53–5, 65
  - constitutional dynamic and, 47
  - democracy and, 27
  - dual state and, 42–3
  - election in, 32, 47, 92
  - electoral pressure in, 140–1, 145–6
  - guardianship and, 39
  - interest-based politics in, 91
  - judges in, 164, 203
  - judicial public communication in, 221
  - legal mobilization in, 112
  - political branches in, 90
  - political legitimacy and, 37
  - public opinion in, 140–1, 145–6
- autocratic legalism, 3, 43
- autocratization, 2–3
- avoidance, 153
- backchanneling, 219–23
- Barber, Nick, 21
- Bar-Siman-Tov, Ittai, 107, 108
- Baum, Lawrence, 143
- Beetham, David, 135
- Belgium, 107
- Bellamy, Richard, 70
- Biagi, Francesco, 66
- Blauberger, Michael, 181
- Brazil, 209, 212
- Brennan, Jason, 39
- Brumberg, Daniel, 36
- Busch, Marc, 181
- business community, 201–2
  - judicial independence and, 201–2
- Bussey, Erica, 121
- Canada, 216
- case administration, 184–6
- Chan, Cora, 41
- Chang, Wen-Chen, 175
- Cheema, Moeen, 124
- Cheeseman, Nic, 31
- Chilton, Adam, 8
- Chopra, Surabhi, 247
- Cichowski, Rachel, 109
- citations, 178–9
- civil servants, 198
- Colombia, 107, 108
- comparative citation, 179–80
- comparative jurisprudence, 179
- Conference of European Constitutional Courts, 215
- constituency
  - authoritarian constituency, 148–50, 151–3
  - democratic constituency, 148–50, 151–3, 193
- constitution, 6–8, *See also* constitution of hybrid regime
- constitutional court, 9, 15

- apex courts, 16
- as political institutions, 16
- centralized constitutional court, 15
- constitutional accountability
  - and, 98–9
- constitutional adjudicative powers
  - of, 15
- constitutional interpretation of,
  - 101–2
- decentralized constitutional
  - court, 15
- democracy and, 82–6, 92
- democratic roles of, 68–70,
  - 72–3, 92–3
- effectiveness of, 7
- impartiality of, 17
- institutional competency of, 129–31
- institutional legitimacy of, 128
- judicial discretion of, 101–2, 232
  - proportionality and, 104–5
- judicial interpretation of, 101–2
- legitimacy of, 7
- perceived legitimacy of, 137, 142,
  - 143–5
- roles of, 16–17
- rule of law and, 99
- standard account of, 15
- constitutional courts in
  - Colombia, 108
  - Egypt, 57, 74
  - Europe, 66
  - Germany, 181, 210
  - Hungary, 67, 136, 152
  - Kenya, 136
  - Poland, 136
  - Russia, 74, 211
  - South Africa, 107, 136, 185, 216
  - South Korea, 175, 208
  - Taiwan, 175
  - Turkey, 64, 74
  - Uganda, 98, 113–14, 121, 136
  - Ukraine, 74
- constitutional dynamic
  - authoritarian regimes and, 47
  - democracy and, 47
  - in hybrid regimes, 46, 47–50, 60,
    - 146–7
- constitutionalism
  - abusive constitutionalism, 3
  - as source of legitimacy, 70
  - comparative constitutionalism, 9
  - court-centric constitutionalism, 3–4
  - legal constitutionalism, 8
  - normative constitutionalism, 5
  - political constitutionalism, 8
  - popular constitutionalism, 85
- court
  - audience of, 142–5
  - communication strategies of,
    - 209–10, 211
  - confidence in, 138–9, 233–4
  - decision-making patterns of, 154
  - institutional reform as resistance
    - to, 168
  - narrative of, 182
  - negative publicity of, 167
  - noncompliance to, 167–8
  - public relations of, 205, 208–10,
    - 211–12, 234
  - resistance to, 166
  - violence to, 168
- courtocracy, 66–8
- Daly, Tom, 86, 238
- Davis, Richard, 207
- democracy, 18–19, 82, 88–9
  - as source of legitimacy, 71
  - authoritarian regimes and, 27
  - by judiciary, 66–8
  - citizens and, 30
  - constitutional dynamic and, 47
  - constitutional interpretation and,
    - 100–1
  - election and, 19, 47
  - electoral pressure in, 140, 145
  - guardianship and, 39
  - hybrid regimes and, 34, 41, 61–2
  - institutional requirement of, 20–2
  - judicial review and, 86–9
  - liberal constitutional democracy, 19
  - liberty and, 19–20
  - normative account of, 18
  - political equality and, 19, 88
  - public opinion in, 140, 145
  - rule of law and, 20–2
  - transition to, 13

- democratic accountability, 99  
 democratic backsliding, 2–3  
 democratic hedging, 69  
 democratization, 2, 13–14  
     constitutional court and, 65–6, 67–70  
     new democracy, 65–6, 69  
     transitional regimes, 65–6  
 democratization jurisprudence, 64,  
     *See also* democratization;  
     Optimistic Model of  
     constitutional court  
 Diamond, Larry, 34  
 divided politics, 59–61  
 dock control, 184–5  
 Dressel, Bjorn, 142  
 dual agency, 154, *See also* constitutional  
     dynamics; constitutional  
     dynamics in hybrid regimes  
 Dugard, John, 231  
 Dworkin, Ronald, 162  
  
 East Africa, 220  
 Egypt, 57, 74, 224  
 election  
     free and fair, 19, 29–31, *See also*  
         democracy and election  
     noncompetitive, 30  
     political equality and, 30  
     semi-competitive, 10, 31–2  
 election in  
     Hong Kong SAR, 11, 31–3, 36,  
         97, 150  
     Malaysia, 32  
     Pakistan, 11–12, 31, 32, 36  
     Philippines, 31  
     The Gambia, 32  
     Turkey, 31  
     Uganda, 12, 32, 36, 98  
 Ellett, Rachel, 57, 191, 239  
 Elster, Jon, 62  
 Ely, John Hart, 68, 94–6, 101, 115–16  
 Epperly, Brad, 58  
 Epstein, Lee, 154  
 European Network of Councils for the  
     Judiciary, 226, 228, 240  
 European Union, 179, 224–5  
  
 Fiji, 229  
 Finnis, John, 84  
 foreign visits, 216  
 formal legal position, 159–60, 163–4  
 Fraenkel, Ernst, 42  
 France, 224  
 front-channel negotiations, 221  
 Fuller, Lon, 20  
  
 Galanter, Mark, 125–6  
 Gandhi, Jennifer, 92  
 Gardbaum, Stephen, 94  
 Germany, 42, 107, 162, 181, 210, 232  
 Ghias, Shoaib, 197  
 Gibson, James, 137  
 Ginsburg, Tom, 18, 19  
 Goldsworthy, Jeff, 160  
 González-Ocantos, Ezequiel, 202  
 Grendstad, Gunnar, 210  
 guardianship, 38–9  
     elite entrenchment and, 39–40  
     in hybrid regime, 40–1  
  
 Halliday, Terence, 193  
 hearing, 185  
 Hirschl, Ran, 3, 9  
 HKSAR. *See* Hong Kong SAR  
 Hong Kong Bar Association, 194  
 Hong Kong SAR  
     access to justice in, 114  
     anti-extradition bill protest in,  
         11, 199  
     Basic Law of, 10, 35  
     Chief Justice of, 56, 216, 248–50  
     civil rights in, 14  
     civil servants in, 199  
     civil society in, 11  
     court in, 13–14, 64, 120–1, 152  
     Court of Final Appeal of, 102–3,  
         248, 251  
     election in, 11, 31–3, 36, 97, 150  
     foreign judges in, 229, 251–2  
     freedom of speech in, 102–3  
     independent judicial power of, 249  
     judges in, 56, 76, 147, 225, 232–3,  
         236–7, 240–1, 250–1  
     judicial image in, 212

- judicial independence in, 57, 201, 249–50
- judicial interpretation in, 102–3
- judicial legitimacy in, 253–4
- judicial mobilization in, 112
- judicial protest in, 194
- judiciary of, 13, 150, 212, 247
- lawyers' protest in, 194
- legal mobilization in, 112, 113
- legislature of, 150
- LGBTQ rights in, 119–21, 237
- National Security Law of, 11, 33, 152, 246–7
- non-adjudicative strategies in, 248–55
- nonpermanent judges in, 229
- outward influence of courts in, 124
- political opposition in, 11, 60
- prerogative power in, 45
- protection of rights in, 43
- resignation of judges in, 229, 231, 232–3, 251–2
- rule of law in, 35, 201
- universal suffrage in, 10
- Hume, Robert, 177–8
- Hungary, 2, 34, 50, 67, 74, 136, 147, 152
- Huntington, Samuel, 29
- Huq, Aziz, 18, 19, 244
- hybrid regimes, 2, 26–8
  - access to justice in, 113–14
  - agency in, 56, 198–9, 200
  - bureaucracy in, 198–9, 200
  - check and balance in, 43
  - Constitution in, 6–8, 27, 35, 43
  - constitutional courts in, 5–6, 7, 17, 46, 62, 132
    - as referee, 95–7, 100
  - constitutional dynamics and, 41, 49, 146–7, 153–5
  - constitutional interpretation and, 100–1
  - democratic constituency and, 151–2
  - democratic representation and, 89–90
  - democratic roles of, 8–9, 74–6, 92–3, 132
  - extralegal effects and, 122–3
  - institutional legitimacy of, 127–8
  - interest-based politics and, 91–2
  - judicial autonomy of, 6, 63
  - judicial discretion and, 100
  - judicial legitimacy of, 141, 146–7
    - Optimistic Model of, 63–4
    - Pessimistic Model of, 52–5
  - political participation and, 110–12
  - Realistic Model of, 76–8
    - rule of law and, 93, 99
- constitutional dynamics in, 46, 49, 60, 146–7
- constitutional ideologies and, 37, 40–1
- constitutional legal accountability in, 96
- democracy and, 34, 40–1, 61–3
- democratic constitutional norms in, 8
- democratic institutions in, 36
- dual state and, 44, 45
- elections in, 27, 31–3, 47–8, 58–9, 97
- elites in, 60, 100, 148
- guardianship in, 40–1
- ideological homogeneity, 60
- incumbent bias in, 117–19
- incumbent government in, 31, 32, 49–50, 96, 148
- judges in, 75–6, 158, 239
- judicial autonomy and, 6, 56–7, 60–1, 76
- judicial independence and, 6, 36, 62–3
- judicial political dynamics in, 55–6
- judicial review and, 87
- judicial strategies in, 165–6, 170–4
- judicial–executive relationship in, 13, 54–6, 124, 200, 239
- legal mobilization in, 47–9
- liberal democracy and, 35
- normative state and, 43–5
- opposition in, 47–9, 60
- political accountability in, 91
- political branches in, 90–1, 108
- political legitimacy and, 37
- political participation in, 89, 91, 109–10
- political pluralism and, 60, 62

- hybrid regimes (cont.)
  - political representation in, 90
  - prerogative state and, 44–5
  - proliferation of, 1–3
  - public opinion in, 141, 198
  - rule of law and, 35–6
  - rule of law in, 201
  - semi-competitive election and, 27, 28–9, 31–3
  - state agents and, 45–6, 198–9, 200
  - trifurcated legal order of, 43–4, 45
- Ibero-American Conference of Constitutional Justice, 215
- incrementalism, 186–7
- India, 183, 184
- institutional competency, 127–8
  - of court, 128
  - of legislature, 128
  - relative, 131
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 215
- interest of litigants, 161
- International Association of Women Judges, 215
- International Commission of Jurists, 215
- international organizations, 200
  - judicial independence and, 201
- intra-branch relations, 217
- Ip, Eric C. 13, 198, 247
- Israel, 107, 125
- Issacharoff, Samuel, 68–73, 76
- Japan, 29, 125
- Jenne, Erin, 96, 164
- Johnston, Jane, 211, 235
- Jones, Carol, 199
- judges, 161–2, 164, 202
  - bilateral engagements of, 216
  - foreign, 203–4
  - institution-building by, 233
  - overseas, 203–4
  - political acumen of, 161–2
  - public communication and, 208
  - social network of, 204–5
  - violence to, 168
- judgment
  - release of, 186
  - timing of, 183–4
- judicial agency, 162
- judicial bargaining, 220
- judicial behavior, 53, 143, 196, 203
- judicial communication, 123, 125–6, 181–2, 207, 210
  - selectiveness of, 210
- judicial decision, 174–5
  - deviance to, 167–8
  - noncompliance to, 167–8
- judicial diplomacy, 212–13, 235
  - hostile relations and, 218–19
  - public relation and, 213
- judicial incrementalism, 187
- judicial independence, 4, 53–5, 238, *See also* judicial independence in hybrid regime
  - business community and, 201–2
  - de facto*, 36
  - de jure*, 36
  - international organizations and, 201
  - semi-competitive elections and, 58–9
- judicial language, 180
- judicial legitimacy, 134, 137, 210
- judicial mobilization, 227
- judicial mobilization in
  - Hong Kong SAR, 112
  - Pakistan, 150, 227, 228, 239
  - Poland, 227–8, 239–40
  - Uganda, 113
- judicial networks, 215
- judicial oath, 230
- judicial politics, 162, 169
- judicial power, 136
- judicial protest, 192, 225–6, 237
- judicial protest in
  - Egypt, 224
  - European Union, 224–5
  - France, 224
  - Hong Kong SAR, 194
  - Pakistan, 150, 195, 224, 227, 239
  - Poland, 224, 227–8, 239–40
  - Romania, 224
  - Uganda, 194, 224
- judicial public relations, 205–6, 208–10, 211–12, 235

- judicial reasoning, 176–8
  - procedural review in, 177–8
  - specificity in, 182
  - substantive review in, 177
- judicial remedies, 175–6, 186
- judicial resignation, 228, 230–1, 237
  - signaling effects of, 231
- judicial review
  - counter-majoritarian difficulty
    - in, 71–2
  - counter-majoritarian difficulty of, 82, 86–7
  - democracy and, 82–6
  - democratic objections to, 82, 86–90
  - judicial intervention and, 66–8
  - legislature and, 85, 106–7, 109
  - process theory of, 94–6
    - counter-majoritarian difficulty and, 94
  - semi-procedural review in, 107, 109
- judicialization, 64
- judicialization of politics, 4, 123
- judiciary
  - democracy by, 66–8
  - executive and, 83
- juristocracy, 3
  
- Kalb, Johanna, 179
- Kavanagh, Aileen, 95
- Kenya, 136
- Khaitan, Tarun, 153
- King, Jeff, 116–19, 130
- Klass, Brian, 31
- Komesar, Neil, 131
- Krygier, Martin, 20
  
- Larsson, Olof, 178
- Latin America, 215
- lawyers, 194
  - judicial politics and, 194, 195
- Lazarus, Liora, 109
- legal academy, 216
- legal discontent, 138
- legal façade, 3
- legal language, 181
- legal mobilization, 109, *See also* judicial mobilization
- legal mobilization in
  - Pakistan, 112
  - legal profession, 195–6, 217
  - legislature, 83, 128
    - judicial review and, 85, 106–7
  - LGBTQ rights, 119–21
  - Lust-Okar, Ellen, 92
  
- Malaysia, 32
- Martinsen, Dorte, 181
- Mashaw, Jerry, 88
- Mazmanyan, Armen, 64
- media, 197–8, 206–7
- Mexico, 210, 255
- Michigan, 209
- Mietzner, Marcus, 66
- Moustafa, Tamir, 28, 53, 57
- Mudde, Cas, 96, 164
- Museveni, Yoweri, 12–13, 32, 98, 125, 147, 187–9, 194, 224
- Musharraf, Pervez, 11–12, 14, 32, 34, 150, 194, 199, 227, 229
  
- natural language, 180–1
- Nazi Germany, 42, 162, 232
- Nebraska, 208
- New Jersey, 208
- Nicaragua, 230
- non-adjudicative strategies, 233–4, 236, 237
- non-engagement strategy, 205–7
- normative legitimacy, 135
- Norway, 209–10, 212, 229
  
- Pakistan
  - access to justice in, 113
  - as authoritarian regime, 28
  - bureaucracy in, 60, 199–200
  - Chaudhry court in, 56, 64, 97, 113, 150, 199, 228
  - Constitution of, 35, 113
  - court in, 56, 64, 97, 113, 150, 183, 188, 200, 228
  - election in, 11–12, 31, 32, 36
  - judges in, 50, 56, 76, 184, 225, 229
  - judicial mobilization in, 150, 227, 228, 239
  - judicial protest in, 150, 195, 224, 227, 239

- Pakistan (cont.)  
   lawyers' protest in, 150, 195, 224, 227  
   legal mobilization in, 112  
   media coverage of judiciary in, 197  
   military court in, 44  
   military dictatorships in, 11  
   military in, 44  
   Musharraf, Pervez, 11–12, 14, 32, 34, 150, 194, 199, 227, 229  
   narrative of courts in, 183  
   outward influence of courts in, 124  
   political opposition in, 60  
   protection of rights in, 43  
   real democracy in, 34  
   Supreme Court of, 13, 14, 64, 97, 113, 150, 186, 228  
   the Lawyers' Movement of, 150, 195, 224, 228  
 parliamentary immunity, 20  
 Pelc, Krzysztof, 181  
 People's Republic of China, 2, 13, 28, 38, 147  
 perceived legitimacy, 135–6, 137–8, 142  
 Petter Graver, Hans, 162  
 Philippines, 31  
 Pildes, Richard, 68  
 Pils, Eva, 247  
 Plato, 38  
 Poland, 2, 50, 136, 207, 224, 227–8, 239–40  
 political fragmentation, 59–61, *See also*  
   political dynamics and hybrid regime  
   judicial autonomy and, 60–1  
 political market, 68  
 political representation  
   majority bias in, 116–17  
   minority discrimination in, 115  
   substantive representation in, 114  
 political skepticism, 72  
 political transaction costs, 56  
 politics of reciprocity, 204  
 post-Soviet states, 64  
 press releases, 207  
 presumption of constitutionality, 106  
 priming, 180  
 professionalism, 139  
 protest, 223–4  
 public information model, 205  
 Qazi, Asher, 199  
 relational approach, 142  
 relational networks, 142  
 relation-building, 204–5, 212  
 reservoir of good will, 138–9  
 retired judge, 232  
 Romania, 224  
 rule of law, 20–2, 99  
   competitive elections and, 21  
 Russia, 2, 34, 74, 113, 211  
 Sadurski, Wojciech, 85, 123  
 Saudi Arabia, 28  
 Schedler, Andreas, 30, 31, 33, 47, 48  
 Scheppele, Kim Lane, 43, 66–8, 70–3, 74–5  
 Scribner, Druscilla, 211, 235  
 secondary materials, 180  
 Shah, Aqil, 11  
 Shapiro, Ian, 63  
 Shapiro, Martin, 134, 153  
 Simonsen, Natasha, 109  
 Singapore, 2, 34, 35, 38, 43, 102–3, 215  
 Slovenia, 208  
 social legitimacy, 202  
 social media, 207  
 Sólyom, László, 66  
 sophisticated intermediaries, 126, 197  
 South Africa, 107–8, 136, 162, 185, 229, 231  
 South Korea, 175, 208  
 state  
   dual state, 42–3  
   institutional guarantees of, 29  
   normative state, 42–3  
   prerogative state, 42  
 Staton, Jeffrey, 168, 210–11  
 Stone Sweet, Alec, 123  
 Supreme Court of  
   Brazil, 209  
   Canada, 216  
   India, 183  
   Mexico, 210

- Nicaragua, 230  
 Norway, 209–10, 229  
 Pakistan, 13, 14, 64, 97, 113, 150, 186, 228  
 Singapore, 215  
 Uganda, 98, 103–4, 121  
 United Kingdom, 207, 216  
 United States, 185  
 Sustainable Democratic Adjudication, 157, 159, 160  
 Taiwan, 61, 175  
 Taras, David, 206  
 Thailand, 147  
 The Gambia, 32, 149  
 tolerance interval, 154  
 trials, 185–6  
 Trochev, Alexei, 191, 211, 220, 239  
 Turkey, 28, 31, 64, 74, 113  
 Tushnet, Mark, 84, 85  
 Uganda  
   access to justice in, 113–14  
   backchanneling in, 220  
   Chief Justice of, 151  
   Constitution of, 35, 98  
   Constitutional Court of, 98, 113–14, 121, 136  
   court in, 98, 113–14, 147, 151, 179, 187–9, 208  
   election in, 12, 32, 36, 98  
   freedom of press in, 103–4  
   High Courts of, 13  
   judges in, 14, 50, 76, 225, 239  
   judicial independence in, 57  
   judicial mobilization in, 113  
   judicial protest in, 194, 224  
   lawyers' protest in, 194, 224  
   legal mobilization in, 113  
   military court in, 44  
   military dictatorship in, 12  
   military in, 44  
   Museveni, Yoweri, 12–13, 32, 98, 125, 147, 187–9, 194, 224  
   no-party democracy of, 12, 34  
   outward influence of courts in, 125  
   protection of rights in, 43  
   Supreme Court of, 98, 103–4, 121  
   women's rights in, 121–2  
 UK. *See* United Kingdom  
 Ukraine, 74, 113  
 Union of Arab Constitutional Courts & Councils, 215  
 United Kingdom, 72, 107, 207, 216  
 United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 217  
 United States of America, 2, 20, 72, 184, 185, 209, 225  
 US. *See* United States of America  
 USA. *See* United States of America  
 use of precedents, 179–80  
 Vanberg, Georg, 140, 168  
 Venezuela, 2  
 Versteeg, Mila, 8  
 Veto players, 59  
 Wacks, Raymond, 162  
 Waldron, Jeremy, 70  
 watchdog, 130, 201  
 women's rights, 121–2  
 World Bank, 64  
 World Trade Organization, 181  
 WTO. *See* World Trade Organization