

INDEX

- ADR in tennis: *see also* collective bargaining
- collective disputes between players and professional tennis associations, 148
- rules-based infraction, reasons for exclusion, 148
- settlement by negotiation (contract-based disputes), 148
- TACP jurisdiction in respect of corruption, 230: *see also* corruption
- Agassi, Andre, 128, 259
- agency (player-agent relations)
- introduction, 23–4
 - historical background
 - commercial returns, 26
 - earlier focus on individual sports (golf, tennis etc), 25
 - extension of services to a wide gamut of tennis-related projects, 26
 - IMG/Proserv, 25–7
 - increase in the number of agents, 26
 - predominance of popular team sports, 24–5
 - sparsity of the literature, 24
- agency statistics
- EU survey (2009), 28
 - governing factors, 28
 - a niche and static sector, 28
 - US market, 28 n.30
- contracts: *see* agency (player-agent relations (contracts))
- roles
- contract representation, 27
 - managerial and administrative services, 27
 - maximisation of revenues, 27–8
- types of agency
- agents' backgrounds, 27
 - 'all-inclusive agencies', 27
 - 'bespoke' firms, 27
 - player-led agencies, 27
 - services provided by specialist firms, 27
- agency (player-agent relations (contracts)), 36–41
- overview (a broad consensus), 36–7
 - civil law jurisdictions
 - French/German practice, 37
 - good faith principle, 37
 - mandates, 32
 - special rules applicable to agency contracts, 37
 - common law jurisdictions
 - Burleson v. Earnest*, 38
 - conflict of interests, 38
 - good faith obligation (fiduciary relationship), 37–8
 - good faith obligation (in general), 37
 - Imageview Management v. Jack*, 38
- agency (player-agent relations (legal interests arising from)), 38–41
- conflicts of interest, 38–40
 - examples, 39
 - Lendl*, 39
 - MITPC conflict of interests rule/*Volvo*, 40
 - reasons for expansion of the problem, 39–40
- restraint of trade issues: *see also* restraint of trade
- Volvo*, 40
 - Zverev*, 6, 40–1, 55, 96–9, 127, 136, 168–9

- agency (regulation of agencies/agents)
 - (domestic rules), 29–36
 - from no *lex specialis* to close regulation, 29, 34–5
- mixed model (USA), 33–4
 - applicability of the general rules of obligation, 33
 - Miller-Ayala Act, 34
 - Revised Uniform Athlete Agents Act (2015), 30
 - UAAA model rules (limitation to student athletes), 33–4
 - UAAA model rules (states' varying implementation of), 34
- no *lex specialis* (British practice)
 - absence of statutory provision/LTA regulation, 29
 - applicability of laws relating to self-employed workers/businesses, 30
 - common law of agency, 30
- no *lex specialis* (German/Netherlands practice), 30
- specific legislation/regulation (French practice)
 - Code du sport* provisions on sports agency, 30–1
 - FFT good practice obligations/professional conduct regulations, 31–2
 - FFT membership exam/conditions of integrity, 31
 - FFT status as a Sports Agent Commission, 31
- specific legislation/regulation (Italian practice), 32–3
 - FITP conditions of integrity, 32–3
 - FITP exam requirement/qualifying test, 32
 - Legge 27 dicembre 2017 (registration with CONI/FITP), 32–3
 - obligation to respect CONI Code, 33
 - restriction of operation to registered agents/sanctions, 32–3
- agency (regulation of agencies/agents) (transnational rules) (ITF/ATP/WTAs), 35–6
 - contractual basis/contractual enforcement, 36
 - transnational character, 36
 - lack of specific applicability to agents, 35
 - sanctions, 36
 - standards of behaviour, 35–6
- Alcaraz, Carlos, 122, 136
- ambush marketing, 59–60: *see also* sponsorship agreements (IP rights) (key provisions), ambush marketing
- anti-doping (introduction)
 - concerns over doping in child athletes, 204
 - doping as a breach of fairness and equality, 211
 - early efforts to control, 211
 - establishment of WADA (1999)/Prohibited List, 211
 - on-site anti-doping investigations, 165–6
 - WDAC, a complex but necessary set of harmonized rules, 211
- anti-doping rules (TADC/CAS/ITF jurisprudence)
 - Abdelrahman*, 100, 161, 220 n.40
 - Burdekin*, 222 n.15
 - Buttifiant*, 220
 - Caicedo*, 219, 220
 - Catana*, 223
 - Cilic*, 227–8
 - Dylan Scott*, 100 n.46, 218–19
 - Errani*, 224, 228
 - Gasquet*, 224
 - Guerrero*, 219, 220
 - I*, 222 n.50
 - Iannone*, 220 n.40, 221
 - Jack*, 221, 227
 - Kaskova*, 220–1
 - Kendrick*, 223
 - Knauss*, 224, 226
 - Koubek*, 223
 - Lepchenko*, 100 n.48, 161

- anti-doping rules (cont.)
 - Perry*, 224
 - Puerta*, 222 n.50, 224
 - Roberto La Barbera*, 220, 223 n.52
 - Sharapova*, 226 n.65
 - Shoshkyna*, 100, 161
 - Stanic*, 222 n.51
 - Suarez*, 101
 - Tagliaferri*, 222
 - Villaneuva*, 221
 - X*, 100 n.49
- applicable law
 - overview, 9
 - broadcasting rights agreements (Rome Convention), 61
 - building a new role, 43
 - CAS appeals, 165
 - design rights (WIPO Hague System), 54
 - the dilemma, xxxiv
 - domain name complaints (UDRP), 66
 - interacting possibilities, 1–2
 - ITF Independent Tribunal, 158
 - tennis governance, 1, 2–4, 9–11
- Ashe, Arthur, 25–6, 110–14, 119–21, 129–30, 136, 260
- ATP
 - ATP PAC
 - advisory powers, limitation to, 127
 - composition, 126–7, 176
 - election/eligibility, 126
 - Federer as president, 121
 - term of office, 126
 - ATP-ITF relationship, 10, 174–5
 - Board of Directors, 10, 126
 - composition, 10, 126
 - Board of Directors (Player Representatives)
 - election, 126
 - outnumbering, 126
 - Board of Directors (tournament representative)
 - multiple representation of clients and interests, 127–8
 - significant business interests, 127
 - criticism of (conflicts of interest), 128–30
 - ousting of Kermode, 128–9
 - treatment of PTPA, 129–30
 - establishment (1972), 174
 - from mere players' association to dominant governing body on the men's circuit, 175, 274–5
 - full commercialisation of tennis events, 175
 - impact on the organization of professional tennis, 174–5
 - as a non-profit entity, 10
 - original purpose (safeguarding of players' interest within the ITF and WCT), 174
 - responsibilities
 - ATP tour tournament, 7–8, 10, 174–5
 - as the global governing body of men's professional tennis, 126
- ATP (dispute resolution)
 - appeals
 - disputes for determination by CAS, 166
 - major offences (ATP CEO), 166
 - on-site investigations (ATP Tribunal), 166
 - applicability to all non-doping claims arising from the ATP Rules, 165–6
 - authority for on-site investigations, 166
 - excluded disputes, 166
- Becker, Boris, 72 n.10
- brand investment: *see also* personal branding
 - sponsorship deals, 43–4
- broadcasting rights agreements, 60–2
 - applicable law (Rome Convention (1961)), 61
- commercial importance to sports organizations, 60
- complexities
 - multiple territories/type of rights and media, 61

- significant variations between national IP laws, 61
- piracy issues
 - importance of effective legislation, monitoring and enforcement, 61
 - loss of revenue, 61
 - theft of identity/personal data, 61
- Sky Sports deal with ATP and WTA (2023), 60–1
- CAS: *see also* anti-doping rules (TADC/CAS/ITF jurisprudence)
- appeals against
 - Independent Tribunal awards, 164–5
 - ITIA decisions, 166
- applicable law (procedure vs substance), 165
- arbitration proceedings
 - CAS as an independent arbitral body, 152–3
 - as compulsory arbitration, 17 n.49
- CAS Code, amendment (2005), 148
- case law, universality as binding precedent, 6, 100
- Abdelrahman*, 100
- composition
 - criticism of, 125
- fair trial obligations (ECHR 6(1)), 17: *see also* fair trial obligations (ECHR 6(1))
- FIFA's recognition of, 5 n.15
- headquarters (Lausanne), 5 n.15
- jurisdiction
 - ATP disputes, 166
 - basis for, 128, 164–5
 - challengeable decisions of the IOC, 124
 - disputes involving Olympic Games participants, 124
 - ITF recognition of, 124
 - WADC appeals, 5, 124
- procedure (appeals), 228–9: *see also* TADP Procedural Rules in numerical order, 13.2 (appealable decisions), 228
- applicable law (CAS Code), 165
- deadline for filing, 165
- effect of appeal on the decision, 165
- limitation of challenge to set-aside proceedings, 165
- proportionality and fairness requirement, 100–1
- restraint of trade, relevance, 100–1
- structure
 - anti-doping division, 5 n.15
 - as appellate forum, 5
 - first-instance arbitration, 5
- Casals, Rosie, 115
- collective bargaining, 12–14
- commercialization of IP rights: *see also* broadcasting rights agreements; merchandising agreements; sponsorship/endorsement agreements
- overview, 56
- company name complaints, 67: *see also* IP rights (enforcement action)
- Connors, Jimmie, 80, 244, 252, 256
- contract-based disputes (domestic court litigation)
 - introduction
 - examples of contractual agreements, 147, 167–8
 - limited resort to, 168
 - parties' right to determine dispute resolution mechanics, 147, 168
 - preference for litigation/English law/courts, 168
 - regulatory disputes distinguished, 16–17, 147, 168
 - choice of court (ITF or ATP/WTA liberty to change the status of a tournament), 168
 - Deutscher Tennis Bund v. ATP Tour Inc.*, 168–9
 - choice of law, 168
 - Rooney*, 168 n.81
 - Zverev*, 168
- copyright
 - duration
 - artistic, musical, dramatic and literary works, 54
 - broadcasts, 54

- copyright (cont.)
 - sound recordings, 54
 - purpose, 54
 - scope of protection
 - areas of particular interest to the tennis industry, 54
 - lack of international harmonization, 54
 - limitation to the expression of an idea, 54
 - UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, 54
- corporate capture, 18
- corruption
 - TACP jurisdiction, 230
- Court, Margaret, 115
- damages or account of profits (IP infringements), 68
- Davis Cup
 - IOC and, 15
 - ITF Regulations, 16
 - ITF responsibility for, 10, 11
 - players' interests and, 13
- design rights
 - particular importance in the sports industry, 53
 - purpose, 53
- registered designs
 - applicability of the WIPO Hague System, 54
 - duration, 54
 - eligible designs, 54
 - EU Council Regulation No. 6/2002 on Community Designs, 54
 - UK Registered Designs Act 1949, 54
- unregistered design protection
 - EU Council Regulation No. 6/2002 on Community Designs, 53
 - UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, 53
- digital developments/applications, 42–3
 - digital trainers, 42–3, 55
 - Hawk-Eye Live, 42, 55
- dispute resolution (overview): *see also* CAS
 - ADR, role of, 147–8
 - ATP dispute resolution, 165–6: *see also* ATP (dispute resolution)
 - changes to the ITF Constitution and the ITF internal mechanisms, 148
 - contract-based disputes, 7, 147, 167–9: *see also* contract-based disputes parties' right to determine dispute resolution mechanics, 147
 - doping offences, 147, 148
 - Ethics Commission: *see* ethics regulation
 - ITF Ethics disputes, 148
 - ITF internal mechanisms, 147–8, 149: *see also* dispute resolution (ITF on-site quasi-adjudicatory mechanisms)
 - applicability, 6–7
 - as the main generator of disputes, 147
 - preference over domestic courts, reasons, 5–6
 - relationship with CAS, 147
 - responsibility for doping and integrity violations, 147
 - regulatory and contract-based disputes distinguished, 16–17, 147, 168
 - regulatory disputes
 - examples of parties involved, 147
 - as infractions of ITF, WTA, ATP and tournament rules, 147
 - obligatory nature of the rules, 147
 - transnational character, 5–9
 - WTA dispute resolution, 166–7: *see also* WTA (dispute resolution)
- dispute resolution (ITF on-site quasi-adjudicatory mechanisms): *see also* anti-doping rules (TADC/CAS/ITF jurisprudence)
- AHO's first-instance jurisdiction, 149
 - procedure, 149
 - sanctions, 149

- ITF Board's power to prosecute a claim against a national tennis federation, 150–1
- referral of matter to the IAP/Independent Tribunal, 150–1
- ITF Executive Director's authority to suspend a player, 150
- ITF Supervisor's role, 150
- right of appeal to the IAP, 150
- ITF's investigatory powers, 150
 - appointment of independent experts to review the evidence, 150
 - challenge to the expert's independence, 150
 - referral of finding to the ITF Review Board, 150
- scope (corruption and doping offences as main focus), 149
- Djokovic, Novak, 9 n.25, 14, 58, 122, 123–4, 128, 129–30, 131–2, 136, 138, 140, 183–4, 250
- Dokic, Jelena, 125, 135
- domain name complaints, 66–7: *see also* IP rights (enforcement action)
- domestic law and professional tennis, applicability, 1, 9–14
- exceptions to the general rule, benefits, 5–6
- governance laws, 9
- human rights issues: *see* human rights
- issues related to tournaments (criminal and administrative), 2–3, 4–5, 9
- labour laws, 9: *see also* labour status
- NSFs, 5, 9
- relationships between players, academies, coaches and agents, 9, 13
- state's relationship with ITF/IOC, 9, 14
- Edberg, Stefan, 120
- Elections and Eligibility Panel, 302
 - functions, 302
 - vetting bodies, 302
- endorsement agreements: *see* moral clauses; sponsorship/endorsement agreements
- entertainment business, 42–4, 69–72
 - competing in the digital age, 43
 - digital aids, examples of, 43
 - financial statistics 2021–2028, 42
 - IP rights, role: *see* IP rights (overview)
- 'OneVision' (2022) (ATP), 43
- as part of the global sports industry, 42, 69, 70
- participation statistics
 - clubs/courts, 69
 - coaches, 69
 - fans, 69–70
 - gender distribution, 69
 - tennis heroes, 71–2
- Ethics Code (overview), 285–6
 - applicability to all persons serving the ITF, 182
 - purpose, 182
- Ethics Code by article
 - 1.3 (covered persons), 189–90
 - 1.6 (as contractual obligation), 289–90
 - 2 (overview), 290
 - 2.1.1 (highest standards of honesty integrity), 290
 - 2.1.2 (human rights), 290: *see also* human rights and professional tennis (standard-setting role)
 - 2.1.3 (autonomy from government interference and political neutrality), 290
 - 2.1.4 (refraining from fraudulent or corrupt act bringing sport into disrepute), 290
 - 2.2 (undivided loyalty), 291
 - 2.2.1 (action in the best interests of the ITF, members and tennis as a whole), 291
 - 2.2.2. (disclosure of a potential disclosure of interest), 291
 - 2.2.2.1–2.2.3.3 (recusal), 291
 - 2.3 (bribery and corruption), 291–2
 - 2.4 (strict confidentiality), 292
 - in accordance with local law, 292

- Ethics Code by article (cont.)
 - 2.5.1 (undermining the integrity of a competition), 292
 - 2.5.2 (avoidance of facilitation of breach of the rules), 292
 - 2.6 (applicability of ethical rules to Board of Directors elections), 292–3
 - 2.7 (integrity of the bidding process), 292
 - 2.8 (cooperation and reporting obligation), 294
- Ethics Commission
 - establishment (2019), 182
 - responsibilities
 - compliance with Code principles of integrity and ethics, 182
 - investigation of ITF ethical infractions, 6
 - monitoring ITF Board elections, 6–7
- Ethics Commission (ITF Code of Ethics (Appendix 1)) by article
 - 1.1 (establishment as independent body to carry out functions under the Code of Ethics), 295
 - ‘independent’, 295–6
 - 1.2 (appointment of Chair and members/legal qualifications), 296
 - 1.4 (removal for just cause), 296
 - 1.7 (Legal Secretary’s role), 296
 - 1.9 (oversight of elections and/or appointments to the Board of Directors), 296
 - 2.1 (eligibility to file a claim), 296
 - 2.3 (Chair’s right to seek further information), 296
 - 2.5 (appointment of an independent ITF investigator), 296
 - 2.6/2.7 (Chair’s right to raise *ex proprio*/without giving grounds), 296
 - 2.8 (Chair’s options to the challenged official), 296–7
 - 2.9 (Chair’s choices in case of potential breach of local law), 297
 - 4.2 (finality of the Commission’s decision in the absence of a decision to appeal), 298
 - 4.3 (Chair’s right to refer the case to the Independent Tribunal), 295, 297
 - 4.3.1–4.3.4 (notification of right of appeal), 298
 - 4.3.5 (notification of hearing by ITC Independent Tribunal: implications), 298–9
 - 4.4 (provisional suspension), 299
 - admissible grounds for challenge (Tribunal’s ROP 3.5), 299
 - 4.4.3 (applicability of Tribunal’s Rules), 299
 - 5.1.1 (official’s admission of charges), 300
 - 5.1.2 (official’s dispute of charges: referral to Independent Tribunal), 300
 - 5.2 (waiver of right to a hearing/ acceptance of charges), 300
 - 5.3 (direct referral to the CAS), 300
 - 5.3 (proceedings in the name of the Commission), 300
 - 5.4 (appeal to the CAS), 300
 - 6.1 (sanctions), 300–2
 - Evgenyi Zukiin/Iva Majoli cases, 301
 - relevant factors, 301
 - 6.4 (costs to successful official), 299
 - 7.1 (exclusion of notice of breach more than twelve years after the alleged offence), 298
- Ethics Commission (ITF Code of Ethics) by article
 - 3.1 (investigation initiated by Chair: notification of alleged violations), 297
 - 4.1 (Chair’s alternatives following conclusion of the investigation), 298
- ethics regulation, 285–303
 - alternative models
 - competitive regulation, 287
 - outcomes-based, 287
 - rules-based, 287

- self-enforcement, 287
- ethical theories
 - consequentialism, 288
 - deontology, 288
- examples of professions covered
 - by, 286
 - justification for regulation of the legal services (Clement, 2004), 286
- from ethical standard to hard rule
 - (international arbitration proceedings), 287–8
- core requirements/varying standards of impartiality, 288
- courts of the seat as ultimate arbiters/reliance on institutional standards, 288
- international instruments
 - UN Convention against Corruption (2003), 292
 - Whistleblower Directive (2019), 292
- 'liberal professions' (EU Directive on Recognition of Professional Qualifications (2005)), 286 n.6
- inclusion of non-recognized professions, 286–7
- as regulatory rules, 286
- EU economic law and tennis (overview)
 - failure to test compliance of regulatory rules of tennis with EU rules, reasons, 263
 - judicially constructed justifications, 263–4
 - Meca-Medina/Gebhard*, 263–4
 - SGB conflicts of regulatory and commercial functions, 263
 - testing compliance (restriction of market access/wild cards), 264
- EU economic law and tennis (good governance standards)
 - summary of recommendations, 283–4
 - composition of decision-making boards ('red flags'), 265–6
 - absence of publicly available ATP rules, 265
 - ATP Chair's conflicting interests, 265–6
 - a central aspect, 265
 - composition of the PAC/casting vote, 265
 - players' consultative role, 265
 - a 'privilege' to vote (ATP Rule 1.21), 265
 - unbalanced board structures, 266
- EU competition law scrutiny, 266
- EU recognition of SGB
 - independence
 - dependence on good governance standards, 11–12
 - Nice Declaration (2000), 264
 - the standards, 264
- SGBs' domination of decision-making process, 283–4
- tennis governance as a network, 264
- EU economic law and tennis (market access) (summary of the challenged ADP Rules), 272–4
- OneVision (2021)
 - ATP website confirmation of market dominance, 275
 - exacerbation of existing problems, 273–4
 - failure to consult players, 273 n.37
 - Gaudenzi's role, 273 n.37
 - manipulating the future, 276–7
 - the new commitment, 273–4
- purpose (prevention of an alternative tour), 272
- Rule 1.07C ('commitment players')
 - commitments, 272–3
 - definition, 272
 - failure to comply/sanctions (Rule 10.7F), 273
 - weight of the commitment, 273
- Rule 1.14(1)(B) (restrictions)
 - penalties (Rule 8.05A(2)(e)), 274
- temporal and geographical
 - limitations on commitment players participation in non-ATP events, 274

- EU economic law and tennis (market access) (blocking rivals' access) manipulating the exploitation market, 267–8
- 'organizational market', 266–7
- requiring prior approval of the governing body, 267
- restrictive regulatory measures, 267
- EU economic law and tennis (market access) (legal framework)
- 'abuse of dominant position' (TFEU 102)
- ATP as dominant undertaking, 274–5
- ATP, Grand Slams and ITF as collectively dominant position, 275
- conflation of regulatory and commercial powers as risk, 268
- qualification as, 268
- jurisprudence
- Bosman*, 282
- ESL*, 267, 269, 271, 276
- FIA*, 268, 269
- Gebhard*, 263–4, 282
- Hoöfner and Elser*, 268
- ISU* cases, 94, 267, 269, 271, 276, 277, 279, 284
- Meca-Medina*, 263–4, 268–9, 270–1, 272, 277, 278, 282
- MOTOE* (TFEU 106), 269–70, 271, 276, 277, 279, 284
- Ordem dos Técnicos Oficiais de Contas*, 272 n.31
- Wouters*, 268
- legitimacy requirements
- examples of legitimate objective, 269
- inherence to the objective, 269
- 'legitimate objective in the public interest', 269
- proportionality, 269
- summary of requirements (ESL), 283
- prohibition of cartels (TFEU 101 (1)), 268
- 'prevention, restriction or distortion of competition' test, 94, 268
- qualification of ATP as, 275
- 'undertaking', sports associations as, 268
- unilateral decisions and practices, inclusion, 268
- EU economic law and tennis (market access) (legality of ADP Rules/reinforcing practices), 274–9
- ATP as dominant undertaking, 274–5
- ATP, Grand Slams and ITF as a collective dominant position, 275
- legitimate objectives/proportionality the arguments and counterarguments, 279
- MOTOE*, 277–8
- restrictions (Rule 8.05A(2)(e)/practices)
- cumulative foreclosure effect, 277
- as effective monopolization of opportunities, 275–6
- examples, 276
- failure to comply with *MOTOE* 'restrictions, obligations and review' requirement, 276
- Evans, Dan, 83
- Evert, Chrissie, 115
- fair trial obligations (ECHR 6(1))
- Mutu and Pechstein*, 17 n.49
- Riva, Akal*, 17 n.50
- waiver of rights, scope for, 157
- Federer, Roger, 27, 45, 47, 121, 123–4, 137–8
- gambling
- illegal gambling, 101
- ITF's deals with gambling companies, effect, 170, 204–5
- morality clauses, 86
- Gaudenzi, Andrea (ATP Chairman)
- as an ATP Media Director, 264–5
- as architect of OneVision, 273 n.37
- conflicts of interest, 266
- PTPA, hostility towards, 138
- Gimelstob, Justin, 83

INDEX

313

- good governance: *see* EU economic law and tennis (good governance standards); ITF (governance); PTPA (governance)
- Goolagong, Evonne, 115
- Graf, Steffi, 72 n.10
- Grand Slam tournaments
- ATP's approach to, 113, 121
 - ATP as a dominant undertaking (EU competition law), 274–9
 - avoidance of clashes, 187
 - players' obligation to play four Grand Slam tournaments, 273
 - use of ATP ranking system, 11, 186–7
 - wild cards (TFEU 56), 279–82
- commercial value, 28
- merchandising agreements, 60
- controlling on-court behaviour, 245
- grunting, 257
 - on-court coaching, 258–9
 - Osaka's mental health issues, 262
 - variable enforcement, 255
- domain name complaint (*Grand Slam Tennis Properties*), 67
- Grand Slam Board (responsibilities), 8, 210–11
- ITF responsibility for, 7, 10, 11, 16, 174
- morality clauses, 71
- opening to professional players (1968), 25, 194
- prize money arguments
- 121=124
 - IGM's involvement, 128
- Rules
- Grand Slam Code of Conduct, 8, 245
 - Grand Slam Tournament Regulations, 8
 - objective, 8
- UK ban on Russian/Belarusian athletes post-Ukraine war, 20, 189
- WTA and, 130, 175–6
- Halep, Simona, 72 n.10, 83, 133–4
- Hawk-Eye Live, 42, 55
- Henman, Tim, 72 n.10, 249
- Hewitt, Lleyton, 52, 121
- human rights and professional tennis (compliance obligations) (international materials)
- CESCR General Comment 24 (2017), 3
 - CRC General Comment 19 (2010), 3
 - ECSCR General Comment 24 on state obligation in the context of business activities (2017), 3
 - EU Commission Working Paper Operational Guidance on taking account of fundamental rights in Commission impact assessments (2011), 3
 - EU Directives on Public Procurement and on Non-Financial Information Disclosure, 3 n.11
 - HRIAs/due diligence obligations, 3–4, 17, 20, 108–9
 - ICCPR (1966), 108
 - ICESCR (1966), 108
 - ILO principles and standards, 106–10, 138–9
 - International Bill of Human Rights, 108
 - UDHR (1948), 108
 - UDPR (WPA Universal Declaration of Player Rights) (2017), 109
 - UNGPs on Business and Investment Rights (2011), 107
 - UNGPs on Extreme Poverty and Human Rights (HRC) (2012), 3
 - UNGPs on HRIAs for Trade and Investment Agreements (2011), 3
- human rights and professional tennis (compliance obligations): *see also* unionization (professional tennis players)
- applicability of rules, principles and fundamental guarantees attaching to other sporting activities, 16
- contractual obligations (domestic law/UNIDROIT), 4, 16–17

- human rights and professional (cont.)
 - criminal sanctions, 16–17
 - domestic law, 17
 - Modern Slavery Act 2015 (UK), 3
 - Modern Slavery Act 2018 (Australia), 3
 - IOC Codes, 20
 - ITF emulations, desirability, 20
 - ITF's Modern Slavery and Human Trafficking Statement, 17
 - ineffectiveness, 20
 - ITF's obligations to comply/enforce, 17
 - MNCs, 3, 18
 - private dispute resolution
 - mechanisms (fair trial obligations), 17
 - trade union rights of professional tennis players, 105–10
- human rights and professional tennis (standard-setting role), 16–20
 - allocation of tournaments as tool, 18
 - corporate capture, 18
 - criticism of ITF role in Peng Shai case, 18
 - WTA approach distinguished, 18
 - ITF Code of Ethics, 2.1, 19
 - limited value, 19
 - text, 19
 - Zukin, 19
 - ITF Constitution (compliance with Olympic Charter principles), 19
 - limited value, 19
 - ITF initiatives
 - lack of influence on decisions about tournaments, 19–20
 - soft approach on Russian/Belarusian athletes post-Ukraine war, 20, 189
 - WeThe15, 19–20
 - sports diplomacy as a driving force, 17–18
- IAP
 - overview, 151
 - concurrent IAP/Independent Tribunal jurisdiction, 151
 - Procedural Rules/ITF
 - Constitution, relationship, 151
 - appointment
 - ITF Board, 151
 - presumption of some legal qualifications, 153
 - Sport Resolution's role, 151–2
 - Chair
 - right to consolidate proceedings/act as emergency arbitrator, 160
 - tasks, 159–60
 - terms of appointment, 150
 - decisions
 - appeal to the Independent Tribunal, 152
 - enforcement powers, 153–4
 - Independent Tribunal Rules/ICC Arbitration Rules distinguished, 153–4
 - functions/powers
 - composition of the Olympic event, 16
 - conduct of investigations, 153
 - to invite submissions, 153
 - judicial function, evidence of, 153
 - kompetenz-kompetenz* power, 152
 - non-arbitral role, 152
 - to require ITF personnel and entities to provide information/attend hearings, 153
 - selection of Independent Tribunal, 216
 - similarity to expert determination, 152–3
 - as a standing committee, 151
 - jurisdiction (as an appellate entity), 153, 155–6
 - a hotch-potch of conflicting provisions, 155–6
 - proceedings requiring a re-hearing vs those not, 156
 - jurisdiction (as a first-instance entity), 153, 154–5
 - change of nationality requests, 154
 - matters covered, 154
 - 'reciprocity (ITF Code of Conduct, Art. IX), 154–5

- jurisdiction (supervisory powers), 153
 - arbitral set-aside proceedings compared, 156
 - scope (complaints not susceptible to a judicial hearing), 156
- Sport Resolution's responsibility for, 159
- ILO principles and standards, 106–10, 138–9: *see also* unionization (professional tennis players)
- image rights/rights of publicity
 - international diversity, 55
 - protection by other IP rights, 55
 - revenues from commercial exploitation, 55
 - a rough definition, 55
- IMG, 25–6
 - business model, 127–8
 - portfolio
 - current, 128
 - original preference for individual players (golf/tennis)/reasons, 25
 - vs ProServ, 25–6
- IMG Academy, 128
- protégés, 128
- injunctions (IP infringements), 68
- integrity in tennis (overview), 210–11
- international law, relevance to
 - professional tennis, 14–16: *see also* human rights; Olympic movement and professional tennis
- limitation of discussion to human rights/investment capacity, 14–15
- IOC
 - authority over all international sports federations, 5
 - applicability of IOC-related commitments, 5
- IP rights (overview), 44–5: *see also*
 - broadcasting rights agreements; copyright; image rights/rights of publicity; merchandising agreements; patent rights; sponsorship/endorsement agreements; trade secrets/ confidential information; trademarks
- ownership of
 - complexity of the possibilities, 44–5
 - factors to consider in negotiating an IP protection strategy, 45
 - territoriality, 44–5
- role, 42–4
- IP rights infringements, remedies, 67–8
 - overview
 - IIP registry proceedings, limitations, 67
 - judicial litigation, time and cost of, 67
 - judicial remedies, 68
 - award of costs, 68
 - damages or account of profits, 68
 - delivery up/destruction of infringing items, 68
 - tracing orders, 68
- injunctions
 - definition, 68
 - interim injunctions, 68
 - perpetual injunctions, 68
- IP rights (enforcement action)
 - overview
 - dependence on local laws, 63
 - domain/company name complaints, 63
 - extra-judicial proceedings (registered IP rights), 63
 - litigation, 63, 62–8: *see also* ITIA
 - cease and desist letters, 63
 - mandatory requirement for (UK Civil Procedure Rules), 63
 - purpose/desirability, 63
- company name complaints
 - Company Names Tribunal's list of decision, 67
 - required elements, 67
 - submission to Company Names Tribunal option, 67
- domain name complaints
 - applicable law (UDRP), 66
 - choice of forum, 66
 - expedited administrative proceedings, 66

- IP rights (cont.)
 Grand Slam Tennis Properties, 67
 required elements, 67
 invalidity action
 bad faith, 65–6
 IP rights owners' need to make a strong case, 66
 registration of a later identical or similar trademark, 66
 monitoring infringements, 62–3
 comprehensive brand protection schemes, benefits, 62–3
 manual approach, limitations, 62
 monitoring services, 62
 responsibilities of brand owners, 62
 social media, role, 62
 revocation actions
 action in respect of an entire or partial registration, 64
 genuine use obligation, 65
 grounds for action, 64–5
 trade validity and revocation actions
 grounds for challenge, 64
 importance of maintaining the validity and enforceability of their trademarks, 64
 as part of a wider infringement dispute, 64
 right to initiate action, alternatives, 66
 trademark infringement proceedings
 prevention of the use of an identical or similar trademark as objective, 66
 trademark opposition proceedings distinguished, 66
 trademark oppositions
 absolute vs relative grounds, 64
 prevention of registration as objective, 66
 procedure, 64
 publication of trademark applications, 64
 right to initiate action, 64
 territorial basis, 64
 IPIN/ATP/WT A player zone
 registration, 231
- Isner, John, 128, 140, 141
 ITF (governance): *see also* Ethics Code; ethics regulation
 Board
 'Athlete Representative Board members'/accountability, 126
 membership, 125–6
 dominant role, 16, 275
 powers (Constitution 3.1), 174–5
 registration (Bahamas)/headquarters (London), 2, 11, 180, 299
 benefits, 2
 responsibilities
 Fed and Hopman Cups, 174
 Grand Slams, Davis Cup and Olympic tennis tournament, 7, 10, 11, 16, 174
 ITF junior circuit tournaments, 7, 174
 as key protagonist, 1, 10–11, 16, 174
 structure
 limited liability company, 125, 180
 membership (NTFs), 11–12
 voting rights, 11–12
 transnational status, 1
 ITF Independent Tribunal, 157–65: *see also* anti-doping rules (TADC/CAS/ITF jurisprudence)
 appeals to CAS: *see also* CAS
 appeal against a decision of the Panel or ITF panel, 165
 applicable law, 165
 basis for, 164–5
 CAS procedure, 164–5
 ITF Rules as basis for, 164
 limitation of challenge to set-aside proceedings, 165
 limitation to first-instance awards, 164
 applicable law
 Coleman, 158 n.39
 conflicting provisions, 158
 English law/Arbitration Act 1996, 89, 158
 Houdet, 158
 jurisdiction, 157

- acceptance of the Tribunal's jurisdiction/waiver of right to another forum, 157
- applicability of CAS jurisdiction in absence of ITF jurisdiction, 157
- fair trial considerations, 157
- ITF Constitution/Tribunal's Rules as agreement to arbitrate (UNCITRAL Model Law), 157
- seat of proceedings, 157
- waiver of right to litigation/setting-aside proceedings, 157
- legal status
 - 2005 changes, 148
 - as an arbitral tribunal, 89, 158
 - exclusive jurisdiction of English courts over appeals from, 89
 - transitional justice and *Nastase*, 158–9
 - Tribunal's perception of its extra-dispute resolution role, 158–9
- ITF Independent Tribunal (jurisdiction), 162–4
 - as appellate body, 162
 - first-instance jurisdiction, 162–4
 - major offences (ITF Code of Conduct), 163–4
 - Men's/Women's World Tennis Tour Regulations, 162–3
 - TADP Rules, 163
 - as supervisory body, 162
- ITF Independent Tribunal (procedures), 159–62
 - binding effect of a final court award/competent arbitral decision, 162
 - constitution, 159–60, 216
 - appointment by Panel Chair, 159
 - challenge to arbitrator, grounds, 159–60
 - parties' lack of control of, 159–60
 - fairness obligation
 - implied contractual duty, 162
 - Wilander v. Tobin*, 162
 - powers
 - kompetenz-kompetenz*/inherent powers, 160
 - Tribunal Chairman's right of unilateral action, 160–1
 - unusual features, reasons for/validity, 160
- Sport Resolutions, role, 151–2, 159:
 - see also* Sport Resolutions
- Tribunal's Procedural Rules
 - as definitive instrument, 159
 - as tailor-made, 159
- ITF International Adjudication Panel, 181–2
- ITIA (introduction)
 - historical background, 210
 - assumption of TIU (corruption)/ITF (doping) duties, 210
 - establishment (2021), 124, 181, 210
 - status
 - funding, 211
 - a private company limited by guarantee, 210
 - registered office (UK), 210
 - structure and organization
 - employment numbers, 210
 - working methods, 210
- TISB, 210–11
 - funding, 211
 - independence of ITIA, 210
 - membership, 210
- ITIA Sanctioning Guidelines (2021), 241–3
 - (overview)
 - drafting history, 241
 - examples of category A1 offenders, 243
 - as guidance/a framework, 241
 - key principles/scheme for calculating an appropriate remedy, 242
 - purpose (proportionate punishment/deterrence), 240–1
 - examples, 240–1
 - stage 1 (determining the offense category)
 - A. high culpability, 241
 - B. medium culpability, 241
 - C. lesser culpability, 241
 - Categories 2 and 3 impact, 242
 - Category 1 impact, 241–2
 - stage 2 (starting point and category range)

- ITIA Sanctioning Guidelines (cont.)
 aggravating/mitigating factors, 242
 AHO's discretion to cross the boundaries, 242
 itemised outcomes for A1 to C3 on the category range, 242
 stage 3 (covered persons' cooperation), 242
 stage 4 ('substantial assistance'), 242
 stage 5 (decision on a fine), 242–3
- Keothavong, Anne, 247
 Kermode, Chris, 128–9
 King, Billie Jean, 7, 16, 80, 81, 111, 112, 130, 175
 Kramer, Jack, 113, 114, 118, 137
 Kroon, Niclas, 52
 Kyrgios, Nick, 75, 81, 250, 255
- labour status, 2–14: *see also* players and the ATP/WT
 collective bargaining arrangements/
 institutional protection of rights, absence, 13
 excluded benefits, 13
 steps towards/limited scope and number, 13–14
 growing unionization/PTPA, 13
 as independent contractors under ATW/WT
 control, 12–13
 limitation of membership to men, 131–2
 NTF selections, exclusion from challenge in national courts, 13
Washington, 13
- Lendl, Ivan, 49
- lex sportiva* (transnational law), 2–9
 applicability
 ethical issues, 287
 organization and allocation of tournaments, 2–3
 benefits, 2
 implementation, 4–5
- licensing arrangements, 58–9: *see also* sponsorship agreements (IP rights) (key provisions), licensing arrangements
- McEnroe, John, 47, 80, 244, 252, 254, 257
 McNamee, Paul, 129–30
 media rights: *see* broadcasting rights agreements
 Medvedev, Daniil, 58, 122, 136
 merchandising agreements
 description of, 60
 importance of quality control provisions, 60
 inclusion of standard licensing IPR provisions, 60
- moral clauses: *see also* sponsorship/endorsement agreements
 alternatives to, 80
 confidentiality of clauses, 83–4
 criticism of, 86–7
 definition issues, 76
 'morality', 79
 'reverse' moral clauses, 79
 terminology, 76
 examples, 83–6, 253–4
 Yonex, 76, 250, 253
- factors impacting on endorsement agreements
 activism, 80
 'bringing the game into disrepute', 81
 doping offences, 82–3
 sexual orientation, 80–1
 unsportsmanlike behavior on-court, 80, 82
- frequency/coverage, 76, 77
 geographical spread, 77–8
 history, 77, 86–7
 justification for, 76
 negotiations, 76–7
 achieving a fair protection of both parties, 78–9
 a broad vs a narrow clause, 78
 importance of precise language, 78
 inclusion of private behaviour, 78, 79
 personality of player, relevance, 79
- sanctions/termination, 79–80, 83
 recommendation for a cautious approach, 79–80, 83

- social media as a danger zone, 78–9, 83, 87
- as a standard-setting tool, 76–7
- termination of tennis contracts on ethical grounds, 80–3
- use of, 79–80
- Na, Li, 121
- Năstase, Ilie, 6, 75, 80, 83, 101 n.51, 102 n.57, 115, 158–9, 244, 247, 252, 254, 255
- nationality
 - request to change, 154
- Navratilova, Martina, 26, 81–2, 256–7
- Netflix, 43
- Newcombe, John, 112
- Nice Classification system, 52–3
- Nice Declaration on the Specific Characteristics of Sport and Its Social Function in Europe, 264
- Noah, Yannick/*Noah Clothing*, 65
- Nouza, Petr, 123
- NSFs and ITC membership (ITC Constitution 3), 179–80
 - criteria, 179–80
 - membership numbers, 179
 - procedure, 180
 - sanctions for damage to the image of the ITF/tennis or breach of ITF rules, 180
 - voting rights, 179
- Olympic movement and professional tennis, 15–16
 - history (in date order)
 - inclusion in the first Olympic Games (1896), 15
 - admission of women players (1900), 15
 - clash between the 1912 Olympic Games and Wimbledon, 172
 - IOC/ITF frictions leading to the removal of tennis from the 1924 Olympics, 15, 172–3
 - failure to resolve the issues/continued exclusion (1924–1968), 15, 173
 - rejection of request for readmission (1956), 15, 173
 - reinstatement as a demonstration sport (1968), 15, 173
 - changes in IOC approaches/re-entry of tennis to the games (1973–1988), 15–16
 - current arrangements
 - applicability of ITF Regulations, 16
 - events, 16
 - ITF Independent Tribunal's discretionary powers, 16
 - management by ITF, 16, 19
 - factors complicating the relationship
 - failure of NSFs to safeguard players effectively, 173
 - ILTF/national federations
 - insistence on more involvement in decision-making, 15
 - IOC conservatism particularly its insistence on amateur status, 15, 172–3
 - poor organization of the 1920 and 1924 events, 15
 - factors contributing to reinstatement
 - ALTC's decision to admit professionals to Wimbledon, 173
 - evolution of the amateurism rule, 15, 173–4
 - geopolitical dynamics, 173–4
 - growing commercialization of professional sport, 15–16
 - IOC's financial difficulties, 15
 - Los Angeles Games (1988) as a blueprint, 16
 - Samaranch doctrine, 15–16
 - USLTA's support, 173
 - impact of accepting professional tennis on the development of the Olympic Movement, 16, 172
 - 'OneVision' (2022) (ATP), 43: *see also* EU economic law and tennis (market access) (summary of the challenged ADP Rules)
- Osaka, Mari, 134–5

- Osaka, Naomi, 102, 124, 134–5, 258–9, 262
- patent rights
- athletes' indirect dependence on, 55
 - difficulty and expense of maintenance, 55
 - exclusion from protection, 55
 - protection offered/requirements for (UK Patents Act 1997), 55
 - shape marks as alternative, 49
- personal branding: *see also* brand investment
- brand protection, 43–4
 - building rapport with a global audience, 43
 - private lives, growing interest in, 43
 - ranking required to break even, 134–5
- play-agent relations: *see* agency (player-agent relations)
- player rights, pay and conditions (the ATP/WTa Rulebooks)
- ATP Baseline pilot project (2023), 133
 - human rights issues
 - Dokic, 135
 - Osaka, 134–5
 - 'special ranking rule'
 - purpose, 133–4
 - unintended consequences (Halep), 133–4
 - WTa's proposed alternative, 134
- the system
- lucrative earnings for the top players/hardly making ends meet for others, 133
 - outline of the principles, 133
 - pro-tennis's 1970s' first labour settlement, 132
- players and the ATP/WTa, 13–14, 177–9: *see also* labour status
- ATP/WTa governance
 - balancing conflicting interests, 13
 - Board representation (players), 13
 - ATP/WTa membership arrangements, 177–8
 - eligibility, 177
 - fees, 177
 - ATP/WTa membership benefits
 - Baseline (financial safety net), 178
 - medical insurance, 177–8
 - pension scheme, 178
 - code of conduct
 - dress code, 178–9
 - media obligations, 178
 - participation in ATP STARS activities, 178
 - penalties for breach, 178
 - professional conduct, 178
 - registration/membership
 - dependence of participation in professional tennis on, 177
 - obligations on players resulting from, 177
- Pospisil, Vasek, 123–4, 128–9, 131, 135, 138, 140
- prize money
- ATP agreement to forgo individual salaries, 113, 132–3
 - comparison with other sports, 122–4, 140–1
 - Covid-related unfairness, 128
 - disparity between men and women, 112, 113–14, 131–2, 136–7 (Tables 6.4 and 6.5)
 - examples, 114
 - a Pyrrhic victory (King), 120
 - US Open awards equal prize money (1973), 115
 - disparity between prize money and costs (Independent Review of Integrity in Tennis (2018)), 205–6
 - players' dissatisfaction with prize money pools, 118, 119–24
 - players' rejection of the collective bargaining model, 118–20
 - players' varying perspectives on the inequalities in tennis, 123
 - WCT plans to exclude independent professionals from their tournaments/reversal of decision (1970/1972), 112–13

INDEX

321

- 'professional tennis'
 - a broad choice, xxxiii–xxxiv
 - difficulties of definition, xxxiii–xxxiv
- ProServ
 - dominance with IMG, 26
 - Lendl*, 39
 - vs IMG, 25–6
- PTPA (establishment/reactions to)
 - establishment (2020) (Pospisil/Djokovic), 123–4, 135–9
 - exclusive action
 - exclusion of members from the ATP Board, 129
 - WTA's refusal of players' demands to be represented by PTPA, 130
 - objective
 - 'a union' (Pospisil), 138
 - an independent self-governance structure, 138
 - 'an independent voice from the traditional men's tour, 123–4
 - scope of envisaged activities, 138
 - opposition
 - 'an existential threat', 138–9
 - Federer and Nadal, 137–8
 - 'Seven Kingdoms', 137
 - tension between players happy with the existing situation and those not as key issue, 138–9
- PTPA (governance)
 - inaugural Board
 - gender equality/cultural diversity, 140
 - membership, 140
 - principles/core tenets, 139–40
 - securing players' share of the sport's wealth, 140–1
 - trade union principles as key, 140
 - status (Canadian not-for-profit company), 139
- public policy/interest
 - EU competition law, 263–4, 267–71, 277–9, 282–3, 284
- jurisprudence
 - Patel v. Mirza*, 91–2
 - Rooney*, 91
 - Zverev*, 6, 40–1, 55, 96–9, 127, 136, 168–9
 - restraint of trade, 46, 89, 91–4, 98–9, 100–1
 - waivers of access to justice, 155, 168–9
- Querrey, Sam, 129
- regional associations, 44–5, 70, 199, 292–3
- regulating on-court tennis indiscipline, overview: *see also* Rules of Tennis
 - the Code as the principal basis for disciplinary action in tennis, 245
 - ATP/WTA/Grand Slam variants, 245
 - impact of the social origins of tennis, 245–6, 250–1
 - ITF Rules of Tennis as the definition of playing tennis, 245
 - the role of rules, 244
- regulating on-court tennis indiscipline, (changing the rules)
 - coaching during a match
 - a common practice?, 258
 - reasons for resistance to, 258
 - trialling change, 259
 - a relative rarity in tennis, 258
 - subtle shifts (mental health) (Osaka)
 - refusal to attend post-match press conference (2021), 262
 - Roland-Garros's implementation of a tool to protect against online abuse, 262
 - strong support leading to change of approach, 262
- subtle shifts (race), 260–2
 - experiences of Venus and Serena Williams, 260–1
 - normalizing a black aesthetic, 261
 - race-based misperceptions/ misapplication of the rules, 261
 - still a white space, 260
- the Wimbledon dress code, 259–60

- regulating on-court tennis indiscipline
 - (commodification of 'bad boy' tennis)
 - (introduction), 252
 - adjudication and enforcement
 - grunting, 256–7
 - risk of a 'home advantage/ inconsistent decision-making, 255
 - 'temporal variance, 255
 - sponsors' influence
 - marked increase in sponsorship/ media deals, 253
 - negative impact of bad behaviour on players/sports organizations, 253–4
 - reluctance to forgo a bad-behaved crowd draw, 252, 254
- regulating on-court tennis indiscipline (the Code)
 - audible obscenity, visible obscenity and verbal abuse
 - examples (Tarango), 251–2
 - examples of common code
 - violence, 248
 - in-game violations, penalties
 - decisions as 'final and irrevocable' (Code IC(R)), 248
 - 'immediate default' (Code IV (S)), 248
 - 'point penalty schedule' (Code IV (R)), 248
 - physical violence, 248–51
 - 'abuse of balls', 249–50
 - 'abuse of racquet or equipment, 250
 - rarity of interpersonal physical violence in tennis, reasons, 249
 - purpose (Code I(A)), 246
 - protection of the sport's aesthetics as key purpose, 250–1
 - responsibility for administration of integrity rules, 246: *see also* TACP; TADP
 - appeals mechanisms, 247: *see also* CAS, procedure (appeals); ITF Independent Tribunal
 - sanctions
 - criminal liability, 247
 - scope (*Nastase*), 247
 - restraint of trade (English common law) (introduction)
 - as a contractual remedy, 89
 - effective imposition of restraint, need for, 89
 - right to invoke in the absence of a contract, 89
 - types of agreements giving rise to, 90
 - description of, 88
 - domination of English law, reasons for, 88–9
 - fluidity of the boundaries, 90
 - protection of the weaker party, 90
 - guiding principles
 - non-violation of competition rules, 90, 92, 94
 - protection of the weaker party, 90
 - justification/rationale, 89–90
 - public policy, 89, 91–4, 96, 99, 103–4
 - unenforceability/voidness of an unjustified restriction on trade, 89–90
 - unreasonableness as ground for non-enforcement
 - balancing the factors, 90–1, 92–3
 - interests of the parties, 40–1, 90–1
 - interests of the public, 40–1, 91–2
 - Zverev*, 6, 40–1, 55, 96–9, 127, 136, 168–9
 - restraint of trade (English common law) (disciplinary bans), 99–102
 - heavy sentences
 - restraint of trade offences, 99
 - jurisprudence
 - Luis Suarez*, 99
 - proportionality and fairness
 - requirement, 99, 101–2
 - restraint of trade (English common law) (disciplinary bans)
 - heavy sentences
 - doping/illegal gambling, 99
 - tennis offences (ITF Rules), 99–102

- breach of rules of conduct, 101–2
- doping and corruption, 99–100
- restraint of trade (English common law) (national federation and state regulation), 92–4
- balancing justification and improper restraint, 92–3
- professional/public interest, need for, 93–4
- proportionality, 93
- examples of domestic law constraints, 93
- jurisprudence
 - Eastham*, 93
 - Greig*, 93
 - ISC attempts to restrict members' rights (EU rules), 94
 - Nagle v. Feilden* (Jockey Club's refusal of training licences to women), 93
- restraint of trade (English common law) (players' contracts with agents), 94–6
- negative consequences for the athlete, 95–6
- non-enforceability
 - absence of justification, 96
 - proscription by the governing body, 96
- royalties from direct sports earnings, 94–5
- royalties from image rights, 95–6
 - Rooney*, 95
 - Watson v. Praeger*, 95–6
- restraint of trade (English common law) (professional tennis): *see also* agency (player-agent relations)
- introduction, 96
- agency agreements restraints
 - confidential/redacted proceedings, 97–8
 - Instone*, 97 n.36
 - non-financial factors/'trading society' test, 97, 98
 - Peninsula Securities*, 98–9
 - relevant factors, 97–8
 - Zverev*, 96–9
- restraint of trade (English common law) (qualification for national tennis teams), 103–4
- benefits from national team selection, 103
- ITF Constitution (IOC provisions), 103
- Oksana Kalashnikova and Ekaterine Gorgodze*, 103–4
- possibility of an amendment to ITF Rules, 194
- revocation actions, 64–5: *see also* IP rights (enforcement)
- Rules of Tennis: *see also* regulating on-court tennis indiscipline
- ITF International Adjudication Panel, 181–2
- ITF responsibility for, 180
 - annual updating/objectives, 181
- objectives (balancing tradition and the development of the sport (Appendix XII)), 246
- Rules of Tennis Committee
 - composition, 181
 - election to, 181
 - removal of a member, 181
 - responsibilities, 181
 - term of office, 181
- Ruling Board's role, 181
- Russian Tennis Federation, 26
- Russian/Belarusian players post-invasion of Ukraine, 20, 151, 170, 186, 189, 301
- safeguarding in tennis (introduction)
 - a catalyst for action, 190
 - definition/scope, 191, 192
 - examples of action in date order
 - 2001 (addition of a Child Protection in Sport Unit to NSPCC's portfolio), 190
 - 2008 (AusAID's implementation of a Child Protection Policy), 190
 - 2012 (UNICEF's International Safeguards for Children in Sport), 190

- safeguarding in tennis (cont.)
 - relevant legal materials
 - CRC (Art. 19), 190–1
 - CRDP (Art. 30), 190–1
 - national sport-specific legislation, 191–2
 - specific criminal laws, 190
 - relevant rules and codes
 - ATP draft Code (in progress), 191
 - ATP Independent Safeguarding Report (2021), 191
 - ATP Tour Director of Safeguarding (2021), 191
 - ITF Safeguarding Adults Policy (2023), 191
 - ITF Safeguarding Children Policy (2023), 191
 - US Center for Safesport's Code for the US Olympic and Paralympic Movement (2023), 191
 - USTA Safe Play Handbook (2021), 191, 192
 - WTA Safeguarding Code of Conduct (2023), 191
- safeguarding in tennis (a duty of care), 192–4
 - reasonableness test, 193
 - relationship of trust doctrine
 - ITF's Safeguarding Policy for Children (relationship of trust doctrine), 193–4
 - WTA's Safeguarding Code of Conduct, 194
 - relationships giving rise to
 - Donoghue v. Stevenson*, 192–3
 - Shone v. BBSA*, 193
- safeguarding in tennis (abuse in sport)
 - inequality of the relationship across a range of situations as the main factor, 194
 - main concerns (sexual, physical and emotional abuse and violence), 194
 - main perpetrators, 194
 - statistics, 194
 - elite athletes as main targets, 194
 - IOC, UNICEF and EU documents, focus on, 195
- safeguarding in tennis (adult athletes)
 - (notable differences from policy for children), 204–6
 - applicability to vulnerability to risk or harm, 204
 - dependence on personal circumstances, 204
 - failure to address gambling issues, 204–5
 - negligible/inappropriate reference to issues affecting adults, 204–5
 - social media abuse, ATP/WTA duty to address, 206
 - introduction of the Matrix monitoring service, 206
- safeguarding in tennis (child-adult relationships) (ITF's safeguarding policy), 196–8
 - absence of a published policy, 198 n.32
 - accommodation concerns/ITF's attempts to address, 199–200
 - absence of an implementing mechanism, 199–200
 - appointment of a Safeguarding Manager and Designated Safeguarding Officer, 199
 - Safeguarding Manager's responsibilities/necessary skills, 199
 - detecting abuse
 - key indicators (Art. 18), 202
 - a listening culture (Art. 19), 202
 - a responsibility of all covered persons and the organization as a whole, 202
 - disallowed conduct (Art. 15), an extensive list including
 - abuse, harassment, violence and sexual exploitation, 200
 - inclusion of 'poor practice', 'neglect' and 'emotional abuse', 200
 - social media rules, 200
 - examples of
 - parents' and caregivers abuse'/ITF's obligation to monitor and report, 201–2

- poor practice, 200–1
- psychological and emotional neglect, 201–2
- unacceptable conduct (Art. 16), 201–2
- focus on a safer recruitment policy, 198–9
- ITF reports to national authorities/ follow-up action, 201–2
- safeguarding in tennis (child-adult relationships) (safeguarding against future harm), 202–4
- conditions leading to, 202
- doping concerns (WADA Report, January 2024), 204
- ITIA responsibility
- difficulty of identifying appropriate action/obligations of stakeholders, 204
- need for expansion of successful programmes to national federations, 203–4
- a responsibility of the ITF, ATP and WTA to address?, 202–3
- WTA's initiatives
 - AERs, 203
 - high achievements/career longevity, 203
 - obligation to comply with minimum educational requirements, 203
- safeguarding in tennis (child-adult relationships) (WTA)
 - 1994 (review of young women's needs in elite tennis), 195
 - AER/PDP initiatives, 195–6
 - 2004/2014 reviews, 196
 - 2006 Safety and Security Task Force review, 196
 - measures introduced following, 196
 - a holistic approach, 196
- safeguarding in tennis (conduct of tennis athletes against non-athletes)
 - absence of a relationship of trust in the sporting context, 208–9
 - fiduciary duties of agents to clients (contractual, statutory and common law), 209
 - stakeholders' safeguarding duties as potential base, 209
 - Zverev case (reversal of ATP's intention to treat as a 'safeguarding investigation'), 209
- safeguarding in tennis (consequences of failure to meet obligations)
 - ITF options
 - criminal proceedings in the territorial state, 107
 - non-criminal activity, 207
 - liability of the club, NFU or governing body
 - contractual/absence of evidence of, 207–8
 - ITF contractual responsibility under the Safeguarding Responsibility for Children, 208
 - tort-based, 207
- safeguarding in tennis (health and safety)
 - Health and Safety Act at Work 1974 (UK), 206–7
 - limited ITF reference to/national responsibility for, 206
 - LTA Coach Qualification Health and Safety Policy, 207
- Safin, Marit, 121
- Seles, Monica, 256–7
- 'Seven Kingdoms'
 - membership of the group (ITF, ATP, WTA and Grand Slams), 124
- modes of player and athlete engagement
 - diversity, 124–5
 - as internal and subordinate bodies
 - avoiding player unions, 125
 - responsibility for regulation of player engagement, representation and input into decision-making, 125
- roles of
 - CAS, 124

- 'Seven Kingdoms' (cont.)
 - IOC/WADC, 124
 - TACP, 124
 - TADP, 124
- Sharapova, Maria, 74, 81–2, 121, 226, 253
- Shuai, Peng, 18
- social media
 - agents' role, 27
 - competition from, 43
 - IP infringements, 62–3
 - morality clauses and, 78–9, 83
- sponsorship agreements (IP rights)
 - (overview): *see also*
 - broadcasting rights agreements;
 - merchandising agreements;
 - sponsorship/endorsement agreements
- commercial factors for
 - consideration, 57
- definition, 56
- endorsement contracts
 - distinguished, 60
- key provisions, 57
- potential benefits, 56
- risks, 56–7
- sponsorship agreements (IP rights)
 - (key provisions)
- ambush marketing
 - definition, 59
 - example (Heineken/Stella Artois (2011)), 59
 - legal, statutory and regulatory framework, territorial basis, 59
 - legality/illegality/in-between, 59
 - mitigating the effects, 59–60
 - sponsorship agreements, importance of protective provisions, 59
 - trademarks, copyright and designs, role, 59
- details of key rights exploited
 - arrangements post-termination of the sponsorship agreement, 58
 - details and ownership of rights, 57–8
 - potential problems, 58
 - protection against ambush marketing, 59
 - registration options, 58
- licensing arrangements
 - clarity about jurisdiction, 59
 - clear list of licences granted/required, 58
 - duration, territorial scope and termination provisions, 59
 - examples of licence terms, 58–9
 - financial terms, 59
- sponsorship/endorsement agreements:
 - see also* brand investment;
 - moral clauses; regulating on-court tennis indiscipline
 - (commodification of 'bad boy' tennis)
- overview
 - attractiveness of tennis players, 73
 - distinction between, 60, 73
 - mutual benefits, 72–3
 - risks, 73–4: *see also* scandals *below*
- agents' role, 26, 27–8
- digital aids to finding, 42
- scandals, cancellation of contracts
 - consequent on/examples, 74, 253–4
- scandals, classification as
 - by characteristics, 73–4
 - by inappropriate behaviour of one of the parties, 74
 - by salient feature, 74
 - controversial behaviour by member of a player's entourage/endorsement company, 75
 - difficulty of definition, 73–4
- Sport Resolutions
 - as the Independent Tribunal's secretariat, 151–2, 159
 - legal status, 159 n.42
- TACP overview (vulnerabilities)
 - as an individual sport, 229
 - appetite/opportunities for online betting, 230, 233–4
 - difficulties of funding a professional career, 229–30

- triggering an investigation
 - covered person alerts, 234
 - match alerts, 234
- TACP (jurisprudence)
 - Affi, Gharsallah and Snene*, 238–9
 - Crepatte*, 232 n.92
 - Fayziev*, 236
 - Feitt*, 238
 - Grigaitis*, 238
 - Khabibulin*, 236
 - Köellerer*, 236 n.109
 - Lescure*, 236
 - Okala*, 236 n.109
 - Oriekhov*, 235–6
- TACP Procedural Rules in numerical order
 - A (introduction) (purpose of the TACP), 230
 - B.10 (definitions: ‘covered person’), 230–1
 - B.22 (definitions: ‘major offence’), 232
 - B.24 (definitions: ‘notice of major offence’), 232
 - B.28 (definitions: ‘player’), 231 n.89
 - B.31 (definitions: ‘related person’), 231 n.89
 - B.34 (definitions: ‘substantial assistance’), 242
 - B.39 (definitions: ‘tournament support personnel’), 231 n.89
 - D.1.a (betting by a covered person), 235
 - D.1.a–D.1.r (corruption offences), 233–4, 240
 - D.1.b (facilitation, encouragement or promotion of betting by a covered person), 235
 - D.1.d (contriving the outcome of an event), 216, 236, 239
 - financial return, relevance, 237–8
 - most common Art. D.1.d offence, 236
 - a typical methodology, 236–7
 - D.1.e to D.1.g and D.1.o (facilitating others to fix a match), 238
 - D.1.m (umpires fixing a match), 238–9
 - D.1.q (prohibition on engagement by a tennis betting operator), 235–6
 - D.2.a.i and D.2.b.i (reporting an approach to a covered person), 230
 - D.2.a.ii and D.2.b.ii (reporting a suspicion of an offence), 230
 - F.2.b (obligation to cooperate fully), 239–40
 - G.1 (commencement of proceedings), 232
 - G.1.a (notice of a major offence: scope), 232–3
 - G.1.f.ii (briefing on issues to be raised), 233
 - G.2 (conduct of proceedings), 232
 - G.3.a (burden of proof (ITIA): preponderance of the evidence), 232
 - G.3.b (burden of proof (alleged offender): preponderance of the evidence), 232
 - G.3.d (admissibility of evidence: AHO’s right to determine facts by any reliable means), 231–2
 - use of inference (*Crepatte*), 232
 - G.4.a and G.4.b (decision), 233
 - H (sanctions), 240–3: *see also* ITIA Sanctioning Guidelines (2021) purposes (proportionate punishment/deterrence), 240–3
 - H.1.a(i) and (iii)/H.1.b(i) and (iii), 241
 - I.1/S.I.1 (right of appeal/scope), 233
 - I.4 (time limits), 233
 - K.2 (governing law (Florida)), 231–2
 - exceptions, 231–2
 - reasons for choice, 231
- TADP
 - conflict with the rankings system (Halep), 133–4, 158
 - Independent Tribunal jurisdiction in case of a disputed charge, 163
 - WADA implementation/compliance obligation, 6 n.16, 124, 211–12
- TADP Procedural Rules in numerical order: *see also* anti-doping rules

- (TADC/CAS/ITF jurisprudence); ITF Independent Tribunal
- 1.1.7 (ITF's delegation of responsibility to ITIA), 212
- 1.2.6 ('player'), 212
- 'player support person', 212
- 1.3 (English courts' exclusive jurisdiction), 89
- 2.1 (ADRV: presence of a prohibited substance), 212
- establishing a presence, 213
- exceptions, 213
- Prohibited List (WADA), 213
- 2.1.1 (strict liability), 212, 223–5
- out-of-competition exemption, 213
- player's personal responsibility to ensure compliance, 223–5
- TEU exemption, 213
- 2.1.1.3 (other ADRVs), 214
- 2.2 (ADRV: use of a prohibited method), 212
- presumption of use, 213
- 2.2 (proceedings) (overview), 214–15
- 2.2.1 (notice), 215
- 2.2.2 (Charge Letter), 215–16
- player's options, 216
- 2.2.3 (hearing), 216–17
- 2.3–2.11 (list of ADRVs), 214
- 3.1.1 (burden of proof (ADRV) (ITIA)), 100, 161, 218
- 'a comfortable satisfaction' between a balance of probability and beyond a reasonable doubt', 101, 218
- 7.14.2 (ITIA's reasoned decision), 216
- 8.1 (referral to an Independent Panel), 163, 216–17
- 8.2–8.3 (establishment of panel/pre-hearing issues), 216
- 8.4.3/8.4.5 (player's fair hearing rights), 217
- 8.5.2 (Tribunal's decision), 217
- 8.5.3/8.5.4 (costs), 217
- 10 (ineligibility sanctions), 217–21
- 10.2.1 (ineligibility sanctions: 4-year baseline), 217
- 10.2.1.1 (intent: non-specified substance (burden of proof on the player)), 219–21
- presumption of intent (CAS jurisprudence), 218–19
- 10.2.1.2 (intent: specified substance (burden of proof on ITIA)), 218
- 10.2.2 (ineligibility sanctions: extenuating circumstances), 217–18, 221–2
- finding of no intention, 218, 221–2
- level of fault requirements, 218
- 10.2.3 ('intentional' (knowledge an ADRV/risk of)), 100, 161, 219
- burden of proof (player), 100, 161, 219
- establishing the source as evidence of lack of intent, 219–21
- 'facts established by any reliable means' (ITF Tribunal Procedural Rules), 161
- 'other good reason' (a high CAS threshold), 221
- 10.5 (no fault or negligence (elimination of period of ineligibility)), 222–8
- contaminated substance (Code comment), 225
- definition (TADP Appendix One), 222
- 'every conceivable effort' test, 224–5
- examples of successful/unsuccessful defences, 224
- requirements, 222–3
- 'utmost caution' test, 223
- 10.6.1 (no significant fault or negligence (reduction of period of ineligibility)), 222, 225–8
- definition (TADP Appendix One), 225
- examples of successful/unsuccessful defences, 225, 226–8
- a high but not impossible standard (CAS), 226

- objective assessment/relevant factors, 226–7
- requirements, 225
- subjective assessment (arbitral body)/relevant factors, 227
- ‘utmost caution’ rest, 225–6
- 10.6.1.2 (contaminated products), 225
- definition (TADP Appendix One), 225
- 10.6.2 (no significant fault beyond Art. 6.1), 222 n. 47
- 13.2 (appealable decisions), 228
- 13.2.1 (appeals involving international-level players), 228
- 13.2.2 (appeals involving other players or other persons), 228
- 13.8.1.1 (time limits: appealing player), 228
- 13.8.1.2 (time-limits: ITIA), 228
- 13.8.1.3 (time-limits: WADA), 228
- tennis governance (ITF/APT/WTa) (introduction): *see also* EU economic law; unionization (professional tennis players)
- complicating factors, 9–11: *see also* Olympic movement and professional tennis
- existence of player-based organizations (ATP/WTa)/Grand Slams, 71
- fundamental divergence of approach between players and governing bodies, 105–43
- impact of international disarray, 170
- importance of the players/pressure for greater involvement, 170
- jurisdictional overlap, 170
- ranges of actors involved, 71
- rigid amateurism vs uncontrolled professionalism, 174
- sanction-related power of governing bodies, 170 n.2
- straddling between not-for-profit and intense commercialization, 170
- structure (overview)
 - a classic structure, 70
 - evolving role of athletes, coaches and competition organizations, 171
 - fitting the NSFs/regional associations in, 79, 171–2
 - hybrid regulatory frameworks, 171–2
 - ITF’s unusual status as a limited liability company, 125, 180
 - stability of institutional relationships between key stakeholders, 171, 180
 - a vertical semi-pyramidal organizational ladder, 171
- tennis governance (ITF/APT/WTa) (structure): *see also* unionization (professional tennis players)
- allocation of tasks, 70–1
- fighting it out/factors influencing, 174–6
- governing bodies in date order of establishment
 - USLTA (1881), 175–6
 - ILTF (1932) (renamed ITF (1977)), 174
 - NLT (1967–70), 174
 - WCT (1968–89), 174
 - Virginia Slims Tour (1971), 175–6
 - ATP (1972), 7, 10, 174–5
 - WTa (1973), 7, 175
 - MIPTC (1974–89), 10, 119, 126, 174–5
 - ITF (1977) (formerly ILTF), 174
- players’ councils, 176–7
- WTa Council, 177
- regional associations, 70
- relationship between NSFs and the ITF, 70, 179–80: *see also* NSFs and the ITC
- relationship between players and the ATP/WTa, 13–14, 177–9: *see also* labour status; players and the ATP/WTa
- tennis governance (ITF/APT/WTa) (common features), 9–11

- tennis governance (cont.)
 background: *see also* unionization (professional tennis players), key events in date order
 historical changes (1972–1989), 7–8, 10
 impact of commercialization and professionalization, 7
 as key protagonists/domination, 1, 2–3, 174
 rivalries and disputes, 9–10
 applicability of transnational processes, 1, 2–4
 applicable law: *see also* applicable law domestic and transnational anti-trust laws, 2–3
 human rights law, 3
 public international law, 1–2
 incorporation
 headquarters distinguished, 2
 limitation to a single state, 4, 9
 preference for liberal arbitration-friendly states, 1
 list of, 70
 responsibilities, 10–11: *see also* human rights
 as non-state actors, 2, 18, 171–2
 status: *see also* *lex sportiva* (transnational law)
 comparability with NGOs/MNCs, 1–2, 3, 18
 as ‘foreign investors’ (BTIs), 2, 14
 as transnational corporate actors, 1, 2–9
 tennis player unions: *see* unionization (professional tennis players)
 Thiem, Dominic, 123, 127
 Tilden, Bill, 80 n.45
 TISB (Tennis Integrity Supervisory Board), 210–11
 TIU (Tennis Integrity Unit) (2009–21), 210, 240: *see also* ITIA
 trade secrets/confidential information
 implementation by national IP laws (TRIPS 39), 56
 importance in the tennis world, 56
 requirements for protection, 56
 trademarks (general), 42–57: *see also* copyright; design rights; image rights/rights of publicity; IP rights (enforcement action); patent rights; trademarks (general)
 advantages
 indefinite protection, 47
 passing-off action (UK), 47
 strength of protection against third-party infringements, 47
 genuine use obligation
 importance of vigilance, 65
Noah Clothing, 65
 registration requirements
 definition/purpose of ‘trademark’ (TRIPS 1(1)/EUTMR 4/national legislation), 46
 grounds for refusal, 46–7
 importance to sporting goods manufacturers, 45
 non-registrable signs (TRIPS 1(1)/EUTMR 7(1)/national legislation), 46–7
 territoriality, 46
 scope of protection
 Nice Classes of particular interest to the tennis sector, 52–3
 Nice Classification system, 52–3
 Nice Declaration on the Specific Characteristics of Sport and Its Social Function in Europe, 264
 trademarks (types) (non-traditional)
 general features
 definition, 48
 difficulty of registration, 48
 increase in EU applications, reasons, 48
 colour marks
 AELTC struggles/2016
 registration of Wimbledon colours, 49
 balancing factors, 49
 CJEU case law, 48–9
 colour combinations (systematic arrangement requirement), 48–9

- limited number of internationally accepted colours, 49
 - protection by registered designs and/or copyright as preferred alternative, 49, 50–1
 - single colour, 48
- motion, gesture marks and holograms
 - increasing popularity, 31
 - likely requirements, 51
 - Usain Bolt's 'lightning bolt' gesture, 51
 - 'Vicht' salute (Kroon/Wilander vs Hewitt), 51–2
- shape marks
 - AELTC decision to opt for logo protection, 50
 - CJEU case law, 50
 - non-registrable signs (EUTMR 7 (1)(C) and (e)), 49–50
 - other disqualifying factors, 50
 - successful applications, 50
- smell and taste marks
 - 'The Smell of Fresh Cut Grass' registration (2009), 51
- sound marks
 - successful application (BARCA), 51
 - tennis possibilities, 51
- sound or video files, 48
- trademarks (types) (traditional), 47–8
 - definition/examples, 47
 - slogans/CJEU jurisprudence, 47–8
- transnational law: *see lex sportiva* (transnational law)
- unionization (professional tennis players): *see also* player rights, pay and conditions
 - introduction (coming in waves), 105, 141–3: *see also* key events *below*
 - 1960s–70s, 141
 - 1990s–2010s, 141
 - 2020 (the third age), 123–4
- collective action vs shared
 - governance, 105–6, 116–24
 - ATP/WTA efforts to become governing bodies/transnational businesses/success, 106, 120–1, 174–5
 - MLBPA's ground-breaking collective agreement, 117, 119
 - PGA/APG choice of the commercial route, 117
 - US and European football distinguished/reasons for, 116–17, 118–19
- collective action vs shared
 - governance (criticisms of the outcome)
 - Ashe on, 119–20
 - King on, 120
 - a stifling of rewarding and secure contracts in favour of lucrative individualist pay structures, 119
- collective action vs shared
 - governance (factors affecting the tennis players' choice)
 - absence of a stable managerial counterpart, 228
 - a rich man's sport/elite players' domination, 118
- defining a professional tennis players' union, 106–8
- ATP/WTA loss of status as player unions/risks to players' rights, 107, 110
- ILO Global Dialogue Forum on Decent Work in the World of Sport (2020), 109–10
- ILO principles and standards, applicability, 106–10
- importance of trade union rights (Shift Project), 109
- recognition of role of human rights, impact, 107–8
- right to organized, independence from an employment relationship (ILO jurisprudence), 109–10
- summary of UNGO obligations, 108–9
- WPA findings on the risks to players' trade union rights (2017), 109

- unionization (cont.)
 - initial attempts at unionisation (1967–75) (introduction)
 - matters requiring resolution, 111
 - the old guard resistance, 111
 - the Open Tennis vision, 111
 - a slow start/breathtaking progress, 110–11, 116
- key events in date order
 - 1968 (WCT established), 111–12
 - 1968 (Wimbledon declared by the ITA as open), 112
 - 1969 (ITFA established), 112
 - 1970 (establishment of the Association of Independent Tennis Professionals/ITPA and ILTF threats to the WCT), 112–13
 - 1970 (The “Original Nine” begin the campaign for equality in women’s tennis), 112
 - 1972(1) USLTA peace deal, 113
 - 1972(2) (ATP established with Kramer as Executive Director), 113
 - 1972(3) (ATP’s refusal to admit women players), 113, 132–3
 - 1973(1) (establishment of the WTA/key issues), 113–14
 - 1973(2) (ATP boycott of Wimbledon following the Pilic showdowns), 115
 - 1973(3) (King’s intelligence and entrepreneurial flare break the prize money lock), 115
 - 1973(4) (settlement of USLTA’s to ban women players/launch of the Virginia Slims tour), 115
 - 1974 (establishment of the MITPC/changes to meet ATP’s demands), 115–16
 - 1975 (establishment of the WIPTC), 116
 - 1988 (ATP leaves the MIPTC), 120
 - 1994 (WTA merger with WIPRC), 120–1
 - 2003 (establishment of the IMTA: the issues and the failure), 121
 - 2012 (proposed boycott of the Australian Open on prize money grounds), 121
 - 2020 (establishment of PTPA), 123–4, 135–9
- WADA Prohibited List, 213
- wild cards
 - free movement of services (TFEU 5), jurisprudence
 - Delière* (proportionality/non-discrimination), 281
 - Meca-Medina/Gebhard/Bosman* (rejection of nationality-based discrimination), 282–3
 - Walrave/Donà* (permissible nationality-based discrimination), 282
 - free movement of services (TFEU 56)
 - legitimate aim in the public interest as sole justification, 281
 - unfair distribution of returns from tournaments/inequality of opportunity, 283
- the system
 - definition (ATP Rule 7.12), 279
 - discrimination, 281
 - disproportionate awards of, 280–1
 - French, US and Australian Grand Slam deals, 280
 - issue ‘at the sole discretion of the tournament’, 280
 - ITF Rule V(I)/Grand Slam tournaments Rule Z(2)(b)
 - compared, 280
 - typical criteria, 280
 - Williams, Serena, 72 n.10, 121, 128, 255–6, 258–9, 260, 261–2
 - Williams, Venus, 128, 260–1
 - Wozniacki, Caroline, 121
 - WTA
 - overview, 130
 - arrangements for the men’s game distinguished, 11
 - from player association to governing body and businesses, 190–2
 - origin, 130

Board of Directors (membership), 130, 131	WTA (dispute resolution), 166–7
development of the safeguarding role: see safeguarding in tennis (child- adult relationships) (WTA)	arbitration
Peng Shuai case, 18	AAA’s Expedited Procedures
prize money, 131–2	Commercial Arbitration
responsibilities (Grand Slams, Davis Cup and Olympic Tennis Event (women’s events)), 8, 11, 130	Rules, 167
WTA PC	limitation to WTA Rulebook- related disputes, 167
composition, 130, 131, 177	CEO/Board of Directors, 167
election (2023)/demands	Code of Conduct Committee, 166–7
following, 130–1	Standards Committee, 167
	Zverev, 6, 40–1, 55, 96–9, 127, 136, 168–9
	Zverev, Alexander, 127, 136, 209