

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xiv
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xviii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Case for Studying the Representation of Disadvantaged Groups	2
1.2 Instances of Disadvantaged-Group Advocacy	4
1.3 Big Questions Addressed by This Book	7
1.4 Overview of the Book	8
2 Member Reputation and the Advocacy Window: An Integrated Theory of Representation	12
2.1 Prior Literature	13
2.1.1 Defining Constituency	13
2.1.2 What Does It Mean To Be Represented?	14
2.1.3 Inequalities in Representation	18
2.2 Representing the Disadvantaged	19
2.2.1 The Group-Centric Nature of Representation	19
2.2.2 The Crucial Puzzle behind Disadvantaged-Group Advocacy	20
2.2.3 Disadvantaged Groups in the United States	22
2.2.4 Perceived Deservingness of Government Assistance	24
2.3 Representation and the Advocacy Window	28
2.3.1 The Advocacy Window	28
2.3.2 Perceived Deservingness of Assistance and the Advocacy Window	30

2.3.3	Party Effects	31
2.3.4	Descriptive Representatives	32
2.4	Legislative Reputations in the House and the Senate	33
2.5	Conclusion	34
3	Member Reputation	36
3.1	What Is a Legislative Reputation?	38
3.1.1	Emergent Properties	39
3.1.2	Reputation Is Not Synonymous with Any Specific Action	40
3.1.3	The Eye of the Beholder	42
3.2	Why Do Members of Congress Seek to Build Legislative Reputations?	45
3.2.1	Limited Political Knowledge	45
3.2.2	Group-Based Understandings	47
3.2.3	Playing the Long Game	48
3.3	Measuring Reputation	49
3.3.1	CQ's Politics in America	50
3.3.2	Advantages of an "Inside the Beltway" Measure	52
3.3.3	Operationalizing Reputation	53
3.4	Reputation for Disadvantaged-Group Advocacy in Congress, 1993–2014	58
3.4.1	Variation in Reputations for Advocacy across Groups	59
3.4.2	Party Affiliation and Reputation for Group Advocacy	61
3.4.3	Reputations for Advocacy in the Senate and the House	65
3.4.4	Unpacking Reputation	68
3.5	Wielding Influence as a Disadvantaged-Group Advocate	70
3.5.1	Rosa DeLauro and the Fight for Pay Equity for Women	71
3.5.2	Rick Renzi and the Push for Native American Housing Reform	72
3.6	Conclusion	73
4	The Choice to Be a Disadvantaged-Group Advocate in the House of Representatives	75
4.1	Group Size	76
4.1.1	Measuring Group Size	76
4.2	Ambient Temperature	77
4.2.1	Measuring Group Affect	79

Contents

ix

4.2.2	Estimating State and District Ambient Temperature	81
4.2.3	Interpreting Ambient Temperature Estimates	82
4.3	Group Size and Ambient Temperature: Related but Distinct Concepts	83
4.4	Modeling Reputation Formation	85
4.4.1	Alternative Explanatory Variables	87
4.5	Group Size, Ambient Temperature, and Member Reputation	89
4.5.1	Veterans and Seniors	90
4.5.2	LGBTQ and Racial/Ethnic Minorities	93
4.5.3	Immigrants and the Poor	97
4.5.4	Women	100
4.6	The Relationship between Group Size and Ambient Temperature	102
4.6.1	Veterans, Seniors, Racial/Ethnic Minorities, LGBTQ Individuals	102
4.6.2	Women, Immigrants, and the Poor	105
4.7	Descriptive Representatives and Member Reputation	108
4.7.1	Veterans, Racial/Ethnic Minorities, and the LGBTQ Community	109
4.7.2	Women, Immigrants, and the Poor	113
4.7.3	Interactive Effects of Descriptive Representation on Reputation	116
4.7.4	The Advocacy Window	121
4.8	Conclusions	122
5	The Choice to Be a Disadvantaged-Group Advocate in the US Senate	124
5.1	Constituency Characteristics: Group Size and Ambient Temperature	125
5.1.1	Group Size	126
5.1.2	Ambient Temperature	126
5.2	Reputations for Disadvantaged-Group Advocacy in the US Senate	127
5.3	Group Size, Ambient Temperature, and Reputation Formation in the Senate	128
5.3.1	Veterans and Seniors	129
5.3.2	LGBTQ and Racial/Ethnic Minorities	132
5.3.3	Immigrants and the Poor	135
5.3.4	Women	139
5.3.5	Discussion	141

5.4	Alternative Drivers of Reputation Formation in the US Senate	142
5.4.1	Electoral Insecurity	143
5.4.2	In-State Differentiation	143
5.4.3	Collective Amplification	145
5.5	Institutional Environment, Electoral History, and Reputation Formation	145
5.5.1	Veterans and Seniors	146
5.5.2	LGBTQ and Racial/Ethnic Minorities	146
5.5.3	Immigrants and the Poor	151
5.5.4	Women	154
5.5.5	Discussion	154
5.6	Descriptive Representation and Reputation Formation in the US Senate	157
5.6.1	Modeling Reputation Formation for Descriptive Representatives	158
5.7	The Impact of Descriptive Representation on Senator Reputation	160
5.7.1	Veterans, Seniors, and Racial/Ethnic Minorities	161
5.7.2	Immigrants, the Poor, and Women	164
5.7.3	Interactive Effects of Descriptive Representation on Reputation	164
5.8	The Advocacy Window and the US Senate	165
5.9	Conclusion	169
6	Reputation-Building Tactics in the Senate and House of Representatives	172
6.1	Reputation and the Use of Representational Tools: Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship	173
6.2	When Do Members of Congress Use Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship as Reputation-Building Tactics?	175
6.2.1	Bill Cosponsorship	177
6.2.2	Bill Sponsorship	179
6.2.3	Differences in Expectations between the House and the Senate	181
6.3	Evaluating Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Activity	181
6.3.1	Reputation	182
6.3.2	Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship	182
6.3.3	Other Variables	184
6.4	Upholding Reputations for Group Advocacy Using Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship	184

<i>Contents</i>		xi
6.4.1	Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Activity in the House of Representatives	185
6.4.2	Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Activity in the Senate	192
6.5	Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship and the Committee Structure	198
6.5.1	Committee Structure and the Choice of Representative Actions	198
6.6	Impact of Committee-Group Alignment on Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Decisions	201
6.6.1	Measuring Committee Membership	201
6.6.2	Committee-Group Alignment and Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Activity in the House of Representatives	202
6.6.3	Committee-Group Alignment and Sponsorship and Cosponsorship Activity in the Senate	209
6.7	Conclusion	221
7	Conclusions	224
7.1	Legislative Reputations as an Essential Conduit for Representation	225
7.1.1	Normative Implications	226
7.2	Member Reputation and the Advocacy Window	227
7.2.1	Normative Implications	229
7.3	The Representation of Racial/Ethnic Minorities	231
7.3.1	Normative Implications	233
7.4	Next Steps for This Research Agenda	234
7.5	Concluding Thoughts	236
	<i>Appendices</i>	237
	<i>References</i>	257
	<i>Index</i>	265