Contents

List of Contributors	<i>page</i> xi
Foreword	XV
by Richard Arnold	
Preface	xvii
1 Utility Models and Other Forms of Sub-patent Protection	1
Jorge L. Contreras, Martin Husovec, and Matthew Rimmer 1.1 Adoption of Utility Model Protection around the World	2
1.2 Utility Models under International Agreements	3
1.3 Characteristics of Utility Model Protection	6
1.4 Assessing Utility Model Systems	11
1.5 Contents of This Volume	17
2 Overview of Worldwide Utility Model Filings, Litigation	
and Activity	19
Daniel R. Cahoy, Jorge L. Contreras and Lynda J. Oswald	
2.1 Representation of Utility Model Systems across the World	19
2.2 Descriptive Statistics on Utility Models	21
PART I UTILITY MODEL LAWS AND PRACTICES AROUND	
THE WORLD	
3 The Rise and Fall of the United Kingdom's Forgotten Utility	7
Model: The Utility Designs Act 1843	31
Lionel Bently and Brad Sherman	,
3.1 The Background to the Utility Designs Act	32
3.2 Reception of the Utility Designs Act	35
3.3 Judicial and Administrative Responses	50

vi	Contents	
	3.4 The Demise of the Utility Design Act3.5 Forgetting the Utility Designs Act 1843	70 77
4	Utility Models in Danish Law	86
	 Tine Sommer, Timo Minssen and Jens Schovsbo 4.1 The Regulation of Utility Models in Denmark: Background 4.2 Protectable Subject Matter and Substantive Criteria 4.3 Utility Model Statistics 4.4 Granting Procedure 4.5 Fees, DKPTO 4.6 The Administrative Appeal Procedure and Revocation by a Court Decision 4.7 Utility Model Infringement, Damages and Injunctions 4.8 Branching-Off from a Patent Application or Patent under Opposition 	86 88 92 95 95 95 96
		97
5	Utility Models in French Law	99
	 Thibault Gisclard 5.1 Historical Background 5.2 Application Procedure 5.3 Practical Use of Utility Certificates 5.4 Publication of Utility Certificates 5.5 Effectiveness of Utility Certificates 5.6 Enforcement of Utility Certificates against Infringers 5.7 Conversion between Utility Certificates and Full Patents 5.8 Practical Use of Utility Certificates 	99 100 102 103 103 104 106 107
6	Utility Models in Germany and Switzerland	109
	Peter Georg Picht and Marian Weber	
	6.1 General Overview	109
	6.2 Protection and Granting Requirements	110
	6.3 Application Process and Associated Costs6.4 Challenging Validity	112 113
	6.5 Enforcing Utility Models	113
	6.6 Coexistence of Utility Models and Patents	115
	6.7 Utility Models in Switzerland?	116
7	Utility Models in Italy	117
-	Alessandro Cogo and Marco Ricolfi	
	7.1 Sources of Law and Historical Development	117
	7.2 Subject Matter	118
	7.3 Requirements for Protection	118

	Contents	vii
	7.4 Relationship to Patents7.5 Conclusion	119 128
8	Utility Model Protection in Poland: In Search of a Regulatory Framework Capable of Incentivizing Innovation Rafał Sikorski	129
	8.1 Historical Perspective8.2 Relative Success of Utility Model Protection in	130
	Polish IP Law 8.3 Rationale for Utility Model Protection 8.4 Current State of Utility Model Protection in Poland and the	133 136
	Planned Reform 8.5 Conclusions	139 142
9	Key Performance Indicators for Utility Model Systems:	
	An Application to Finland Jussi T. S. Heikkilä	144
	9.1 Key Performance Indicators for Utility Model Systems 9.2 Empirical Analysis of the Finnish Utility	145
	Model System 9.3 Conclusions	147 167
10	Reinventing the Wheel: The Rise and Fall of the Australian	
	Innovation Patent Matthew Rimmer	171
	10.1 The Petty Patent System	174
	10.2 Innovation Patent	179
	10.3 Filing and Registration Data on Innovation Patents	182
	10.4 Litigation over Innovation Patents	183
	10.5 The Advisory Council on Intellectual Property10.6 The Productivity Commission	189
	10.7 IP Australia	191 194
	10.8 Abolition of the Innovation Patent	194
	10.9 Breakthrough Patents	198
	10.10 Conclusion	199
11	China's Utility Model Patent Legal System: Past, Present,	
	and Future	200
	Yu Yang 11.1 Foundation and Formation of China's Utility Model	
	Patent System	201
	11.2 Main Features of Existing Chinese Utility Model Patent	
	Legal System	207

viii	Contents	
	11.3 Deficiencies and Development Trends of Chinese Utility Model Patent Legal System	210
	11.4 Conclusion	215
12	Utility Models in Japan Masabumi Suzuki	217
	12.1 Outline of the Current Utility Model System in Japan 12.2 Historical Development of Japanese Intellectual Property Law	217
	and the Utility Model Act	223
	12.3 Status and Use of the Utility Model System	229
	12.4 Recent Discussions on the System	231
13	Utility Models in Korea	232
	Sang Jo Jong 13.1 History of Utility Model Protection in Korea	232
	13.2 Statutory Rationale	233
	13.3 Subject Matter	233
	13.4 Substantive Requirements	² 34
	13.5 Procedure	² 34
	13.6 Registration	236
	13.7 Invalidation 13.8 Infringement	237 238
14	Utility Models in Brazil	240
	Luca Schirru and Maikon Oliveira	
	14.1 The Brazilian Legal Framework on Utility Models	2 40
	14.2 Patents on Invention and Utility Models	243
	14.3 Administrative Procedure before the INPI	246
	14.4 Enforcing Utility Models in Brazil	249
15	Utility Models in Kenya	255
	Isaac Rutenberg	
	15.1 Contextualizing the Kenyan UMC	255
	15.2 Notable Aspects of the Legal Framework for UMCs in Kenya	257
	15.3 Trends in the Acquisition and Use of Kenyan UMCs 15.4 Trends in the Acquisition of UMCs at ARIPO	258 260
	15.5 Conclusion	200 261
16	The Debate over Second-Tier Patent Protection in the	
10	United States	262
	Jorge L. Contreras and Mark D. Janis 16.1 Current Forms of Invention Protection in the US	262

	Contents	ix
	16.2 The Debate over Sub-patent Protection in the US16.3 Reflections on the Future of Utility Models under	266
	US Law 16.4 Conclusion	270 277
	PART II UTILITY MODELS IN ACTION	
17	The Unitary Patent System and Utility Models	281
	Lisa van Dongen, Timo Minssen and Tine Sommer 17.1 The EU, the EPC and the UPCA 17.2 Protecting UMs in (Some) EU Member States and the Tentative	283
	Beginning of the UPC Arrangement	286
	17.3 Division of Judicial Competences Post-UPC17.4 The Relationship between Domestic Courts and	290
	UPC Divisions 17.5 Converting, Double Patenting and Branching	293
	Off (BOUM) 17.6 Discussion of Findings and Stakeholder	298
	Choices 17.7 Concluding Remarks and Open Questions	304 306
18	Treatment of Utility Models as Standards-Essential	
	Patents	308
	Jorge L. Contreras and Magnus Buggenhagen 18.1 Standards-Essential Patents and Utility Models	308
	18.2 Findings: Standards-Essential Utility Models	311
	18.3 Discussion	322
	18.4 Conclusion	328
19	Navigating Incomplete Harmonization: Businesses and the Utility	
	Model Environment Daniel R. Cahoy and Lynda J. Oswald 19.1 Global IP Strategy and the Challenge of Utility	329
	Model Disharmony	330
	19.2 Evidence of Firm Utility Model Use and Strategy19.3 A New View on Utility Models: The Zone of	332
	Appropriability Preference 19.4 Business Strategy and Motivations for Utility Model Filings: A Study of U.S. Patent-to-Utility	334
	Model Pathways	338
	19.5 Insights on Navigating Utility Model Disharmony	339
	19.6 Conclusion	343

х

Contents

	PART III THE FUTURE OF SUB-PATENT INNOVATION RIGHTS	
20	Utility Models and the European Union: A Fresh Look at the Need for Harmonisation Martin Stierle	347
	20.1 The Surprising Lack of Harmonisation	347
	20.2 Failed Attempts of the EC	349
	20.3 Two Reasons for the Harmonisation of UM Law	351
	20.4 General Reasons for UM Protection	355
	20.5 The Policy Options	359
	20.6 A New Conceptional Starting Point	367
	20.7 Conclusion	371
21	Heterogeneities in Utility Model Accessibility: Quantitative	
	and Qualitative Insights	373
	Dan Prud'homme	
	21.1 Accessibility of Utility Model Regimes	374
	21.2 Quantitative Analysis of Impact of Utility Model Regime	
	Accessibility on Utility Model Usage	376
	21.3 Qualitative Analysis of Impact of Utility Model Regime	
	Accessibility on Utility Model Quality	379
	21.4 Conclusions	386
22	Utility Models and Innovation in Low-Income Economies	387
	Uma Suthersanen	
	22.1 The Uneasy Discourse: Patents, Hybrids and Innovation	388
	22.2 Mapping a Sui Generis UM System	392
	22.3 Valuing "Real Innovation" in Developing Countries:	
	SDGs and the "Diverse Economies" Paradigm	396
Bibli	iography	403
		447
		•••