

Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>page</i> xi
<i>Foreword</i>	xv
by Richard Arnold	
<i>Preface</i>	xvii
1 Utility Models and Other Forms of Sub-patent Protection	1
Jorge L. Contreras, Martin Husovec, and Matthew Rimmer	
1.1 Adoption of Utility Model Protection around the World	2
1.2 Utility Models under International Agreements	3
1.3 Characteristics of Utility Model Protection	6
1.4 Assessing Utility Model Systems	11
1.5 Contents of This Volume	17
2 Overview of Worldwide Utility Model Filings, Litigation and Activity	19
Daniel R. Cahoy, Jorge L. Contreras and Lynda J. Oswald	
2.1 Representation of Utility Model Systems across the World	19
2.2 Descriptive Statistics on Utility Models	21
PART I UTILITY MODEL LAWS AND PRACTICES AROUND THE WORLD	
3 The Rise and Fall of the United Kingdom’s Forgotten Utility Model: The Utility Designs Act 1843	31
Lionel Bently and Brad Sherman	
3.1 The Background to the Utility Designs Act	32
3.2 Reception of the Utility Designs Act	35
3.3 Judicial and Administrative Responses	50

3.4	The Demise of the Utility Design Act	70
3.5	Forgetting the Utility Designs Act 1843	77
4	Utility Models in Danish Law	86
	Tine Sommer, Timo Minssen and Jens Schovsbo	
4.1	The Regulation of Utility Models in Denmark: Background	86
4.2	Protectable Subject Matter and Substantive Criteria	88
4.3	Utility Model Statistics	89
4.4	Granting Procedure	92
4.5	Fees, DKPTO	95
4.6	The Administrative Appeal Procedure and Revocation by a Court Decision	95
4.7	Utility Model Infringement, Damages and Injunctions	96
4.8	Branching-Off from a Patent Application or Patent under Opposition	97
5	Utility Models in French Law	99
	Thibault Gisclard	
5.1	Historical Background	99
5.2	Application Procedure	100
5.3	Practical Use of Utility Certificates	102
5.4	Publication of Utility Certificates	103
5.5	Effectiveness of Utility Certificates	103
5.6	Enforcement of Utility Certificates against Infringers	104
5.7	Conversion between Utility Certificates and Full Patents	106
5.8	Practical Use of Utility Certificates	107
6	Utility Models in Germany and Switzerland	109
	Peter Georg Picht and Marian Weber	
6.1	General Overview	109
6.2	Protection and Granting Requirements	110
6.3	Application Process and Associated Costs	112
6.4	Challenging Validity	113
6.5	Enforcing Utility Models	114
6.6	Coexistence of Utility Models and Patents	115
6.7	Utility Models in Switzerland?	116
7	Utility Models in Italy	117
	Alessandro Cogo and Marco Ricolfi	
7.1	Sources of Law and Historical Development	117
7.2	Subject Matter	118
7.3	Requirements for Protection	118

Contents

vii

7.4 Relationship to Patents	119
7.5 Conclusion	128
8 Utility Model Protection in Poland: In Search of a Regulatory Framework Capable of Incentivizing Innovation	129
Rafał Sikorski	
8.1 Historical Perspective	130
8.2 Relative Success of Utility Model Protection in Polish IP Law	133
8.3 Rationale for Utility Model Protection	136
8.4 Current State of Utility Model Protection in Poland and the Planned Reform	139
8.5 Conclusions	142
9 Key Performance Indicators for Utility Model Systems: An Application to Finland	144
Jussi T. S. Heikkilä	
9.1 Key Performance Indicators for Utility Model Systems	145
9.2 Empirical Analysis of the Finnish Utility Model System	147
9.3 Conclusions	167
10 Reinventing the Wheel: The Rise and Fall of the Australian Innovation Patent	171
Matthew Rimmer	
10.1 The Petty Patent System	174
10.2 Innovation Patent	179
10.3 Filing and Registration Data on Innovation Patents	182
10.4 Litigation over Innovation Patents	183
10.5 The Advisory Council on Intellectual Property	189
10.6 The Productivity Commission	191
10.7 IP Australia	194
10.8 Abolition of the Innovation Patent	195
10.9 Breakthrough Patents	198
10.10 Conclusion	199
11 China's Utility Model Patent Legal System: Past, Present, and Future	200
Yu Yang	
11.1 Foundation and Formation of China's Utility Model Patent System	201
11.2 Main Features of Existing Chinese Utility Model Patent Legal System	207

11.3	Deficiencies and Development Trends of Chinese Utility Model Patent Legal System	210
11.4	Conclusion	215
12	Utility Models in Japan	217
	Masabumi Suzuki	
12.1	Outline of the Current Utility Model System in Japan	217
12.2	Historical Development of Japanese Intellectual Property Law and the Utility Model Act	223
12.3	Status and Use of the Utility Model System	229
12.4	Recent Discussions on the System	231
13	Utility Models in Korea	232
	Sang Jo Jong	
13.1	History of Utility Model Protection in Korea	232
13.2	Statutory Rationale	233
13.3	Subject Matter	233
13.4	Substantive Requirements	234
13.5	Procedure	234
13.6	Registration	236
13.7	Invalidation	237
13.8	Infringement	238
14	Utility Models in Brazil	240
	Luca Schirru and Maikon Oliveira	
14.1	The Brazilian Legal Framework on Utility Models	240
14.2	Patents on Invention and Utility Models	243
14.3	Administrative Procedure before the INPI	246
14.4	Enforcing Utility Models in Brazil	249
15	Utility Models in Kenya	255
	Isaac Rutenberg	
15.1	Contextualizing the Kenyan UMC	255
15.2	Notable Aspects of the Legal Framework for UMCs in Kenya	257
15.3	Trends in the Acquisition and Use of Kenyan UMCs	258
15.4	Trends in the Acquisition of UMCs at ARIPO	260
15.5	Conclusion	261
16	The Debate over Second-Tier Patent Protection in the United States	262
	Jorge L. Contreras and Mark D. Janis	
16.1	Current Forms of Invention Protection in the US	262

	<i>Contents</i>	<i>ix</i>
16.2	The Debate over Sub-patent Protection in the US	266
16.3	Reflections on the Future of Utility Models under US Law	270
16.4	Conclusion	277
PART II UTILITY MODELS IN ACTION		
17	The Unitary Patent System and Utility Models	281
	Lisa van Dongen, Timo Minssen and Tine Sommer	
17.1	The EU, the EPC and the UPCA	283
17.2	Protecting UMs in (Some) EU Member States and the Tentative Beginning of the UPC Arrangement	286
17.3	Division of Judicial Competences Post-UPC	290
17.4	The Relationship between Domestic Courts and UPC Divisions	293
17.5	Converting, Double Patenting and Branching Off (BOUM)	298
17.6	Discussion of Findings and Stakeholder Choices	304
17.7	Concluding Remarks and Open Questions	306
18	Treatment of Utility Models as Standards-Essential Patents	308
	Jorge L. Contreras and Magnus Buggenhagen	
18.1	Standards-Essential Patents and Utility Models	308
18.2	Findings: Standards-Essential Utility Models	311
18.3	Discussion	322
18.4	Conclusion	328
19	Navigating Incomplete Harmonization: Businesses and the Utility Model Environment	329
	Daniel R. Cahoy and Lynda J. Oswald	
19.1	Global IP Strategy and the Challenge of Utility Model Disharmony	330
19.2	Evidence of Firm Utility Model Use and Strategy	332
19.3	A New View on Utility Models: The Zone of Appropriability Preference	334
19.4	Business Strategy and Motivations for Utility Model Filings: A Study of U.S. Patent-to-Utility Model Pathways	338
19.5	Insights on Navigating Utility Model Disharmony	339
19.6	Conclusion	343

PART III THE FUTURE OF SUB-PATENT INNOVATION RIGHTS

20	Utility Models and the European Union: A Fresh Look at the Need for Harmonisation	347
	Martin Stierle	
20.1	The Surprising Lack of Harmonisation	347
20.2	Failed Attempts of the EC	349
20.3	Two Reasons for the Harmonisation of UM Law	351
20.4	General Reasons for UM Protection	355
20.5	The Policy Options	359
20.6	A New Conceptional Starting Point	367
20.7	Conclusion	371
21	Heterogeneities in Utility Model Accessibility: Quantitative and Qualitative Insights	373
	Dan Prud'homme	
21.1	Accessibility of Utility Model Regimes	374
21.2	Quantitative Analysis of Impact of Utility Model Regime Accessibility on Utility Model Usage	376
21.3	Qualitative Analysis of Impact of Utility Model Regime Accessibility on Utility Model Quality	379
21.4	Conclusions	386
22	Utility Models and Innovation in Low-Income Economies	387
	Uma Suthersanen	
22.1	The Uneasy Discourse: Patents, Hybrids and Innovation	388
22.2	Mapping a Sui Generis UM System	392
22.3	Valuing “Real Innovation” in Developing Countries: SDGs and the “Diverse Economies” Paradigm	396
	<i>Bibliography</i>	403
	<i>Index</i>	447