

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2022
Volume II

Pages 355-1214

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English. Volumes comprising one or more complete cases contain a cumulative list of published disputes. The cumulative list for cases that cover more than one volume is to be found in the first volume for that case.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2022:II



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009457477

DOI: 10.1017/9781009457460

© World Trade Organization 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-1-009-45747-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Costa Rica - Measures Concerning the Importation of Fresh Avocados from Mexico (WT/DS524)	
Report of the Panel	357
Cumulative List of Published Disputes	1181

**COSTA RICA – MEASURES CONCERNING
THE IMPORTATION OF FRESH AVOCADOS
FROM MEXICO**

Report of the Panel
WT/DS524/R and Add.1

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 31 May 2022*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	394
1.1 Complaint by Mexico	394
1.2 Panel establishment and composition	394
1.3 Panel proceedings	395
1.3.1 General	395
1.3.2 Costa Rica's request for a preliminary ruling	398
1.3.3 Consultation of experts and international organizations	399
1.3.3.1 Panel decision to consult individual experts and the IPPC Secretariat	399
1.3.3.2 Panel selection of individual experts	402
1.3.3.3 Panel questions for the individual experts	406
1.3.3.4 Request for information from the Panel to the parties	409
1.3.3.5 Panel meeting with the parties and experts	410
1.3.3.6 Consultation with the IPPC Secretariat	413
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS	415
2.1 The measures at issue	415
2.1.1 Manual for conducting qualitative pest risk analyses by entry pathway (Manual NR-ARP-PO-01_M-01)	415
2.1.1.1 Introduction, purpose and scope	415
2.1.1.2 Stage 1: Initiation	416
2.1.1.3 Stage 2: Pest risk assessment	419
2.1.1.3.1 Pest categorization	419

Report of the Panel	
2.1.1.3.2	Assessment of the probability of introduction and spread..... 420
2.1.1.3.3	Assessment of potential economic consequences 427
2.1.1.3.4	Degree of uncertainty 428
2.1.1.3.5	Conclusion of the pest risk assessment stage..... 429
2.1.1.4	Stage 3: Pest risk management 429
2.1.1.4.1	Identification and selection of appropriate risk management options..... 429
2.1.1.4.2	Risk management options according to risk assessment outcome 430
2.1.1.5	Datasheets 431
2.1.2	Report ARP-002-2017 433
2.1.2.1	Introductory remarks to the PRA 433
2.1.2.1.1	Introduction 433
2.1.2.1.2	Background and the importance of the avocado for Costa Rica 434
2.1.2.1.3	Uncertainty 437
2.1.2.1.4	Pest risk analysis 438
2.1.2.2	Stage 1: Initiation..... 439
2.1.2.2.1	ASBVd 439
2.1.2.2.2	International regulations concerning ASBVd 441
2.1.2.2.3	Conclusion of the initiation stage 442
2.1.2.3	Stage 2: Pest risk assessment 442
2.1.2.3.1	Pest categorization 442
2.1.2.3.2	Assessment of the probability of introduction and spread..... 443
2.1.2.3.3	Assessment of potential economic consequences 451
2.1.2.3.4	Conclusion of the pest risk assessment..... 452
2.1.2.4	Stage 3: Pest risk management 453
2.1.2.5	ASBVd datasheet..... 454

	Costa-Rica - Avocados
2.1.3	Report ARP-006-2016 458
2.1.4	Resolution DSFE-003-2018 459
2.1.5	Resolution DSFE-002-2018 460
2.2	International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) 461
2.2.1	Background: The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) and its international standard-setting activities 461
2.2.2	ISPMs identified by Mexico 463
2.2.2.1	ISPM No. 1: Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of phytosanitary measures in international trade 463
2.2.2.2	ISPM No. 2: Framework for pest risk analysis 464
2.2.2.3	ISPM No. 4: Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas 465
2.2.2.4	ISPM No. 5: Glossary of phytosanitary terms 466
2.2.2.5	ISPM No. 6: Guidelines for surveillance 466
2.2.2.6	ISPM No. 8: Determination of pest status in an area 467
2.2.2.7	ISPM No. 11: Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests 468
2.2.2.8	ISPM No. 32: Categorization of commodities according to their pest risk 469
2.3	Other factual aspects 470
2.3.1	The avocado 470
2.3.1.1	General characteristics 470
2.3.1.2	Avocado farming 471
2.3.1.2.1	Edaphoclimatic conditions 472
2.3.1.2.2	Recalcitrant nature of avocado seeds 473
2.3.1.2.3	Propagation methods of avocado 473
2.3.2	Avocado sunblotch viroid (ASBVd) 475
2.3.2.1	Description of the basic characteristics of ASBVd 475
2.3.2.2	Symptoms of ASBVd 477
2.3.2.3	Transmission of ASBVd 479

Report of the Panel	
2.3.2.4	Methods for the detection and diagnosis of ASBVd..... 479
3.	PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 480
4.	ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES 480
5.	ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES 481
6.	INTERIM REVIEW 481
6.1	Introduction 481
6.2	Request for review concerning Costa Rica's comments on the inputs provided by the expert Ricardo Flores Pedauy� 481
6.3	Requests for review concerning whether Mexico has demonstrated that ASBVd is present in Costa Rica 482
6.3.1	Section 7.3 482
6.3.2	Paragraph 7.286 483
6.3.3	Paragraph 7.295 484
6.3.4	Paragraph 7.301 of the Interim Report 484
6.4	Request for review concerning trade in avocados between Costa Rica and Mexico and between Costa Rica and other countries in which ASBVd is present 486
6.5	Request for review concerning whether Manual NR-ARP-PO-01_M-01 may, directly or indirectly, affect international trade 488
6.6	Request for review concerning Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016 and the determination of absence of ASBVd in the territory of Costa Rica 488
6.7	Request for review concerning the manner in which the Panel will analyse Mexico's arguments regarding the determination of absence of ASBVd in Costa Rica in the Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016..... 489
6.8	Requests for review concerning the general surveillance system as part of the basis for the determination of absence of ASBVd in Costa Rica 491
6.8.1	Paragraphs 7.276 and 7.482..... 491
6.8.2	Paragraph 7.477 492
6.8.3	Paragraph 7.483 493
6.8.4	Paragraph 7.486 494
6.9	Requests for review concerning the specific surveillance system as part of the basis for the determination of absence of ASBVd in Costa Rica 495
6.9.1	Paragraph 7.570 495

	Costa-Rica - Avocados
6.9.2 Paragraphs 7.648 through 7.671	500
6.9.3 Paragraph 7.722	502
6.9.4 Paragraph 7.773	503
6.9.5 Paragraph 7.824 of the Interim Report.....	504
6.9.6 Paragraph 7.844	507
6.10 Request for review concerning spontaneous germination in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	508
6.11 Request for review concerning the evaluation of the likelihood of entry	509
6.11.1 Paragraph 7.1202	509
6.11.2 Paragraph 7.1221	511
6.11.3 Paragraph 7.1226	513
6.11.4 Paragraphs 7.1226 and 7.1239	515
6.11.5 Paragraph 7.1228	517
6.11.6 Paragraph 7.1246	518
6.12 Request for review concerning the general arguments on the evaluation of the likelihood of entry, establishment and spread in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	520
6.13 Request for review concerning the titles of sections 7.6.4.1.1 and 7.6.4.1.2	521
6.14 Request for review concerning whether either of Mexico's proposed alternative measures are significantly less restrictive to trade than Resolutions DSFE-003-2018 and DSFE-002-2018	521
6.15 Requests for review concerning the summary of the parties' arguments	522
7. FINDINGS	523
7.1 General principles regarding the applicable standard of review, treaty interpretation, burden of proof, and order of analysis	523
7.1.1 Function of the Panel and applicable standard of review	523
7.1.2 Interpretation of the relevant treaty provisions	525
7.1.3 Burden of proof.....	526
7.1.4 Order of analysis	527
7.2 How to address the measures at issue and the scope of the SPS Agreement.....	530
7.2.1 General introduction to the section	530
7.2.2 The Panel's analysis.....	531

Report of the Panel	
7.2.2.1	Whether the measures identified by Mexico are measures for the purposes of the dispute settlement procedure 531
7.2.2.2	Whether the Panel will analyse individually the measures identified by Mexico 535
7.2.2.3	Whether Costa Rica's measures are sanitary or phytosanitary measures covered by the SPS Agreement..... 538
7.2.2.3.1	Resolutions DSFE-002-2018 and DSFE-003-2018 539
7.2.2.3.2	Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016 546
7.2.2.3.3	Manual NR-ARP-PO-01_M-01 566
7.2.2.4	Whether the Panel will analyse jointly the measures identified by Mexico 574
7.2.3	Overall conclusion of this section..... 582
7.3	Whether Mexico has demonstrated that ASBvD is present in Costa Rica 582
7.4	Mexico's claims with respect to risk assessment obligations under the SPS Agreement 595
7.4.1	General introduction to the section 595
7.4.2	The relevant legal provisions 596
7.4.3	The Panel's standard of review and the legal standard..... 597
7.4.3.1	The Panel's standard of review under Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement..... 597
7.4.3.2	Legal standard under Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement..... 597
7.4.3.3	Legal standard under Article 5.2 of the SPS Agreement..... 600
7.4.3.4	Legal standard under Article 5.3 of the SPS Agreement..... 603
7.4.3.5	Legal standard under Article 2.2 of the SPS Agreement..... 605
7.4.4	Structure of the Panel's analysis of Mexico's claims regarding risk assessment obligations..... 607
7.4.4.1	Structure of the analysis under Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement..... 608
7.4.4.2	Treatment of Articles 5.2 and 5.3 of the SPS Agreement..... 611

7.4.4.3	Treatment of Article 2.2 of the SPS Agreement	614
7.4.5	Analysis of the risk assessment contained in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	615
7.4.5.1	The determination of absence of ASBVd in Costa Rica in ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	615
7.4.5.1.1	Mexico's argument with respect to the determination of absence of ASBVd in Costa Rica and Costa Rica's questioning of the Panel's terms of reference with respect to that determination.....	615
7.4.5.1.2	Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016 and the determination of absence of ASBVd in the territory of Costa Rica.....	619
7.4.5.1.3	Conclusion on the determination of freedom from ASBVd in Costa Rica.....	721
7.4.5.2	Whether the pest or disease, as well as the associated potential biological and economic consequences, were identified	723
7.4.5.3	Whether the likelihood of pests' or diseases' entry, establishment or spread, as well as the associated potential biological and economic consequences, were evaluated	726
7.4.5.3.1	Introduction to the section.....	726
7.4.5.3.2	The risk assessment methodology in Manual NR-ARP-PO-01_M-01	727
7.4.5.3.3	Diversion from intended use and spontaneous germination in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	733
7.4.5.3.4	Whether the likelihood of entry, establishment and spread was evaluated in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	797

Report of the Panel

7.4.5.3.5	Evaluation of the associated potential biological and economic consequences	853
7.4.5.3.6	Other general arguments concerning the evaluation of the likelihood of entry, establishment and spread in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	861
7.4.5.3.7	Conclusion on the evaluation of the likelihood of entry, establishment and spread, and of the associated potential biological and economic consequences.....	874
7.4.5.4	Whether the likelihood of entry, establishment or spread of a pest or disease was evaluated <i>according to the sanitary or phytosanitary measures which might be applied</i>	876
7.4.5.5	Whether Costa Rica's risk assessment in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016 is <i>appropriate to the circumstances</i>	884
7.4.5.6	Whether the risk assessment techniques of international organizations were taken into account.....	896
7.4.5.7	Whether the factors listed in Article 5.2 of the SPS Agreement were taken into account	903
7.4.5.8	Whether the factors listed in Article 5.3 of the SPS Agreement were taken into account in the assessment of the risk in question.....	912
7.4.5.9	Conclusion on the risk assessment in Reports ARP-002-2017 and ARP-006-2016	922
7.4.6	Whether Costa Rica's SPS measures <i>are based on</i> the risk assessment.....	926
7.4.7	Whether the factors listed in Article 5.3 of the SPS Agreement were taken into account in determining the measure to be applied	932
7.4.7.1	Whether Costa Rica has acted inconsistently with Article 2.2 of the SPS Agreement.....	935
7.4.8	Overall conclusion of the section on Mexico's claims concerning the risk assessment.....	939

Costa-Rica - Avocados	
7.5	Mexico's claims regarding the obligations of the SPS Agreement concerning trade restrictiveness 940
7.5.1	General introduction..... 940
7.5.2	The relevant legal provisions 941
7.5.3	Legal standard for determining the ALOP 941
7.5.4	The Panel's analysis of Costa Rica's appropriate level of protection (ALOP) 944
7.5.5	Legal standard of Article 5.6 of the SPS Agreement 953
7.5.6	The Panel's analysis..... 956
7.5.6.1	Whether any of the alternative measures proposed by Mexico achieve Costa Rica's ALOP 958
7.5.6.1.1	Whether domestic regulation that prevents diversion from intended use achieves Costa Rica's ALOP 958
7.5.6.1.2	Whether the ASBVd symptom-free certification of shipments achieves Costa Rica's ALOP 969
7.5.6.2	Conclusion on whether any of the alternative measures proposed by Mexico achieve Costa Rica's ALOP 974
7.5.6.3	Whether any of the alternative measures proposed are reasonably available taking into account technical and economic feasibility..... 974
7.5.6.3.1	Whether the domestic regulation that prevents diversion from intended use is reasonably available taking into account technical and economic feasibility..... 974
7.5.6.3.2	Whether the ASBVd symptom-free certification of shipments is reasonably available taking into account technical and economic feasibility..... 976
7.5.6.4	Whether any of the alternative measures proposed by Mexico are significantly less restrictive to trade than Resolutions DSFE-003-2018 and DSFE-002-2018 978

Report of the Panel

	7.5.6.4.1	Whether the regulation on diversion from intended use or ASBVd symptom-free certification are measures that are significantly less restrictive to trade than Resolutions DSFE-003-2018 and DSFE-002-2018	978
	7.5.7	Overall conclusion of this section.....	984
7.6		Mexico's claims with respect to the obligations pertaining to arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination or disguised trade restrictions	985
	7.6.1	General introduction	985
	7.6.2	The relevant legal provisions	986
	7.6.3	Legal standard under Article 5.5 of the SPS Agreement.....	987
	7.6.4	The Panel's analysis	993
	7.6.4.1	Introduction	993
	7.6.4.1.1	Whether Costa Rica has adopted its own levels of protection in different situations	994
	7.6.4.1.2	Whether Costa Rica's levels of protection exhibit arbitrary or unjustifiable distinctions in their treatment of different situations	1009
	7.6.4.1.3	Whether there is discrimination or a disguised restriction on international trade	1021
	7.6.4.2	Conclusion with respect to Article 5.5 of the SPS Agreement	1025
	7.6.5	Legal standard under Article 2.3 of the SPS Agreement.....	1026
	7.6.6	The Panel's analysis	1030
	7.6.7	Overall conclusion of this section.....	1034
7.7		Mexico's claims with respect to the obligations under the SPS Agreement regarding adaptation to regional conditions...	1034
	7.7.1	General introduction to the section	1034
	7.7.2	The relevant legal provisions	1035

	Costa-Rica - Avocados
7.7.3	Legal standard under Article 6.1 of the SPS Agreement 1036
7.7.4	The Panel's analysis..... 1038
7.7.4.1	Whether Costa Rica has acted inconsistently with its obligation under the second sentence of Article 6.1 of the SPS Agreement..... 1039
7.7.4.1.1	Level of prevalence of specific diseases or pests..... 1039
7.7.4.1.2	The existence of eradication and control programmes 1042
7.7.4.1.3	Appropriate criteria or guidelines developed by the relevant international organizations 1044
7.7.4.1.4	Conclusion with respect to the second sentence of Article 6.1 of the SPS Agreement 1046
7.7.4.2	Whether Costa Rica has acted inconsistently with its obligation under the first sentence of Article 6.1 of the SPS Agreement..... 1046
7.7.5	Overall conclusion of this section 1049
7.8	Mexico's claims related to harmonization 1049
7.8.1	General introduction to the section 1049
7.8.2	The Panel's analysis..... 1050
7.8.3	Overall conclusion of this section 1055
7.9	Mexico's claims relating to general conformity with the SPS Agreement..... 1055
7.9.1	General introduction to the section 1055
7.9.2	The relevant legal provisions 1055
7.9.3	Legal standard under Articles 1.1 and 2.1 of the SPS Agreement 1056
7.9.4	The Panel's analysis..... 1056
7.9.5	Overall conclusion of this section 1057
7.10	Mexico's claims and Costa Rica's defence under the GATT 1994 1058
7.10.1	General introduction to the section 1058
7.10.2	The Panel's analysis..... 1058
7.10.3	Overall conclusion of this section 1060

Report of the Panel

8.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1060
----	--------------------------------------	------

LIST OF ANNEXES

ANNEX A

WORKING PROCEDURES OF THE PANEL

Contents		Page
Annex A-1	Working Procedures of the Panel	1065
Annex A-2	Additional Working Procedures of the Panel for consultations with experts	1075
Annex A-3	Additional Working Procedures of the Panel on meetings with remote participants	1079

ANNEX B

ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES

Contents		Page
Annex B-1	Integrated executive summary of the arguments of Mexico	1083
Annex B-2	Integrated executive summary of the arguments of Costa Rica	1121

Report of the Panel

ANNEX C

ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES

Contents		Page
Annex C-1	Integrated executive summary of the arguments of Canada	1165
Annex C-2	Integrated executive summary of the arguments of the European Union	1168

ANNEX D

PRELIMINARY RESOLUTION OF THE PANEL

Contents		Page
Annex D-1	Preliminary Resolution of the Panel	1171

CASES CITED IN THIS REPORT

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Argentina – Import Measures</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>Argentina – Measures Affecting the Importation of Goods</i> , WT/DS438/AB/R / WT/DS444/AB/R / WT/DS445/AB/R, adopted 26 January 2015, DSR 2015:II, p. 579
<i>Argentina – Import Measures</i>	Panel Reports, <i>Argentina – Measures Affecting the Importation of Goods</i> , WT/DS438/R and Add.1 / WT/DS444/R and Add.1 / WT/DS445/R and Add.1, adopted 26 January 2015, as modified (WT/DS438/R) and upheld (WT/DS444/R / WT/DS445/R) by Appellate Body Reports WT/DS438/AB/R / WT/DS444/AB/R / WT/DS445/AB/R, DSR 2015:II, p. 783
<i>Australia – Apples</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand</i> , WT/DS367/AB/R, adopted 17 December 2010, DSR 2010:V, p. 2175
<i>Australia – Apples</i>	Panel Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand</i> , WT/DS367/R, adopted 17 December 2010, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS367/AB/R, DSR 2010:VI, p. 2371
<i>Australia – Salmon</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon</i> , WT/DS18/AB/R, adopted 6 November 1998, DSR 1998:VIII, p. 3327
<i>Australia – Salmon</i>	Panel Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon</i> , WT/DS18/R and Corr.1, adopted 6 November 1998, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS18/AB/R, DSR 1998:VIII, p. 3407
<i>Australia – Salmon (Article 21.5 – Canada)</i>	Panel Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Canada</i> , WT/DS18/RW, adopted 20 March 2000, DSR 2000:IV, p. 2031
<i>Brazil – Retreaded Tyres</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Brazil – Measures Affecting Imports of Retreaded Tyres</i> , WT/DS332/AB/R, adopted 17 December 2007, DSR 2007:IV, p. 1527
<i>Canada – Autos</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Certain Measures Affecting the Automotive Industry</i> , WT/DS139/AB/R, WT/DS142/AB/R, adopted 19 June 2000, DSR 2000:VI, p. 2985
<i>Canada – Renewable Energy / Canada – Feed-in Tariff Program</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>Canada – Certain Measures Affecting the Renewable Energy Generation Sector / Canada – Measures Relating to the Feed-in Tariff Program</i> , WT/DS412/AB/R / WT/DS426/AB/R, adopted 24 May 2013, DSR 2013:I, p. 7
<i>Canada – Wheat Exports and Grain Imports</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Relating to Exports of Wheat and Treatment of Imported Grain</i> , WT/DS276/AB/R, adopted 27 September 2004, DSR 2004:VI, p. 2739
<i>Canada – Continued Suspension</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS321/AB/R, adopted 14 November 2008, DSR 2008:XIV, p. 5373

Report of the Panel

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Canada – Continued Suspension</i>	Panel Report, <i>Canada – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS321/R and Add.1 to Add.7, adopted 14 November 2008, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS321/AB/R, DSR 2008:XV, p. 5757
<i>Canada – Pharmaceutical Patents</i>	Panel Report, <i>Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products</i> , WT/DS114/R, adopted 7 April 2000, DSR 2000:V, p. 2289
<i>Canada – Dairy</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Affecting the Importation of Milk and the Exportation of Dairy Products</i> , WT/DS103/AB/R, WT/DS113/AB/R, and Corr.1, adopted 27 October 1999, DSR 1999:V, p. 2057
<i>EC – Tube or Pipe Fittings</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Duties on Malleable Cast Iron Tube or Pipe Fittings from Brazil</i> , WT/DS219/AB/R, adopted 18 August 2003, DSR 2003:VI, p. 2613
<i>EC – Asbestos</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products</i> , WT/DS135/AB/R, adopted 5 April 2001, DSR 2001:VII, p. 3243
<i>EC – Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i>	Panel Reports, <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i> , WT/DS291/R, Add.1 to Add.9 and Corr.1 / WT/DS292/R, Add.1 to Add.9 and Corr.1 / WT/DS293/R, Add.1 to Add.9 and Corr.1, adopted 21 November 2006, DSR 2006:III, p. 847
<i>EC – Bananas III</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas</i> , WT/DS27/AB/R, adopted 25 September 1997, DSR 1997:II, p. 591
<i>EC – Bananas III (Article 21.5 – Ecuador II) / EC – Bananas III (Article 21.5 – US)</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas – Second Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Ecuador</i> , WT/DS27/AB/RW2/ECU, adopted 11 December 2008, and Corr.1 / <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the United States</i> , WT/DS27/AB/RW/USA and Corr.1, adopted 22 December 2008, DSR 2008:XVIII, p. 7165
<i>EC – Bananas III (Ecuador)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas, Complaint by Ecuador</i> , WT/DS27/R/ECU, adopted 25 September 1997, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS27/AB/R, DSR 1997:III, p. 1085
<i>EC – Bananas III (US)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas, Complaint by the United States</i> , WT/DS27/R/USA, adopted 25 September 1997, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS27/AB/R, DSR 1997:II, p. 943
<i>EC – Bananas III (Mexico)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas, Complaint by Mexico</i> , WT/DS27/R/MEX, adopted 25 September 1997, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS27/AB/R, DSR 1997:II, p. 803

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>EC – Selected Customs Matters</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Selected Customs Matters</i> , WT/DS315/AB/R, adopted 11 December 2006, DSR 2006:IX, p. 3791
<i>EC – Fasteners (China)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Definitive Anti-Dumping Measures on Certain Iron or Steel Fasteners from China</i> , WT/DS397/AB/R, adopted 28 July 2011, DSR 2011:VII, p. 3995
<i>EC – Hormones</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> , WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, adopted 13 February 1998, DSR 1998:I, p. 135
<i>EC – Hormones (Canada)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), Complaint by Canada</i> , WT/DS48/R/CAN, adopted 13 February 1998, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, DSR 1998:II, p. 235
<i>EC – Hormones (US)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), Complaint by the United States</i> , WT/DS26/R/USA, adopted 13 February 1998, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, DSR 1998:III, p. 699
<i>EC – Seal Products</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>European Communities – Measures Prohibiting the Importation and Marketing of Seal Products</i> , WT/DS400/AB/R / WT/DS401/AB/R, adopted 18 June 2014, DSR 2014:I, p. 7
<i>EC – Bed Linen (Article 21.5 – India)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Cotton-Type Bed Linen from India – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by India</i> , WT/DS141/AB/RW, adopted 24 April 2003, DSR 2003:III, p. 965
<i>EC – Sardines</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Trade Description of Sardines</i> , WT/DS231/AB/R, adopted 23 October 2002, DSR 2002:VIII, p. 3359
<i>China – GOES</i>	Panel Report, <i>China – Countervailing and Anti-Dumping Duties on Grain Oriented Flat-Rolled Electrical Steel from the United States</i> , WT/DS414/R and Add.1, adopted 16 November 2012, upheld by Appellate Body Report WT/DS414/AB/R, DSR 2012:XII, p. 6369
<i>China – Raw Materials</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>China – Measures Related to the Exportation of Various Raw Materials</i> , WT/DS394/AB/R / WT/DS395/AB/R / WT/DS398/AB/R, adopted 22 February 2012, DSR 2012:VII, p. 3295
<i>China – Broiler Products</i>	Panel Report, <i>China – Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duty Measures on Broiler Products from the United States</i> , WT/DS427/R and Add.1, adopted 25 September 2013, DSR 2013:IV, p. 1041
<i>China – Publications and Audiovisual Products</i>	Panel Report, <i>China – Measures Affecting Trading Rights and Distribution Services for Certain Publications and Audiovisual Entertainment Products</i> , WT/DS363/R and Corr.1, adopted 19 January 2010, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS363/AB/R, DSR 2010:II, p. 261

Report of the Panel

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>China – HP-SSST (Japan) / China – HP-SSST (EU)</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>China – Measures Imposing Anti-Dumping Duties on High-Performance Stainless Steel Seamless Tubes ("HP-SSST") from Japan / China – Measures Imposing Anti-Dumping Duties on High-Performance Stainless Steel Seamless Tubes ("HP-SSST") from the European Union</i> , WT/DS454/AB/R and Add.1 / WT/DS460/AB/R and Add.1, adopted 28 October 2015
<i>Korea – Alcoholic Beverages</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS75/AB/R, WT/DS84/AB/R, adopted 17 February 1999, DSR 1999:I, p. 3
<i>Korea – Various Measures on Beef</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Measures Affecting Imports of Fresh, Chilled and Frozen Beef</i> , WT/DS161/AB/R, WT/DS169/AB/R, adopted 10 January 2001, DSR 2001:I, p. 5
<i>Korea – Commercial Vessels</i>	Panel Report, <i>Korea – Measures Affecting Trade in Commercial Vessels</i> , WT/DS273/R, adopted 11 April 2005, DSR 2005:VII, p. 2749
<i>Korea – Dairy</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Definitive Safeguard Measure on Imports of Certain Dairy Products</i> , WT/DS98/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR 2000:I, p. 3
<i>Korea – Radionuclides</i>	Panel Report, <i>Korea – Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides</i> , WT/DS495/R and Add.1, adopted 26 April 2019, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS495/AB/R, DSR 2019:VII, p. 3823
<i>Korea – Radionuclides</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides</i> , WT/DS495/AB/R and Add.1, adopted 26 April 2019, DSR 2019:VII, p. 3653
<i>US – Carbon Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Duties on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany</i> , WT/DS213/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 19 December 2002, DSR 2002:IX, p. 3779
<i>US – Hot-Rolled Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS184/AB/R, adopted 23 August 2001, DSR 2001:X, p. 4697
<i>US – Upland Cotton</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton</i> , WT/DS267/AB/R, adopted 21 March 2005, DSR 2005:I, p. 3
<i>US – Upland Cotton</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton</i> , WT/DS267/R, Add.1 to Add.3 and Corr.1, adopted 21 March 2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS267/AB/R, DSR 2005:II, p. 299
<i>US – Upland Cotton (Article 21.5 – Brazil)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Brazil</i> , WT/DS267/AB/RW, adopted 20 June 2008, DSR 2008:III, p. 809
<i>US – Animals</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Measures Affecting the Importation of Animals, Meat and Other Animal Products from Argentina</i> , WT/DS447/R and Add.1, adopted 31 August 2015, DSR 2015:VIII, p. 4085

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>US – Poultry (China)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Certain Measures Affecting Imports of Poultry from China</i> , WT/DS392/R, adopted 25 October 2010, DSR 2010:V, p. 1909
<i>US – Shrimp</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products</i> , WT/DS58/AB/R, adopted 6 November 1998, DSR 1998:VII, p. 2755
<i>US – Wool Shirts and Blouses</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Measure Affecting Imports of Woven Wool Shirts and Blouses from India</i> , WT/DS33/AB/R, adopted 23 May 1997, and Corr.1, DSR 1997:I, p. 323
<i>US – Wool Shirts and Blouses</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Measure Affecting Imports of Woven Wool Shirts and Blouses from India</i> , WT/DS33/R, adopted 23 May 1997, upheld by Appellate Body Report WT/DS33/AB/R, DSR 1997:I, p. 343
<i>US – Continued Zeroing</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Continued Existence and Application of Zeroing Methodology</i> , WT/DS350/AB/R, adopted 19 February 2009, DSR 2009:III, p. 1291
<i>US – COOL</i>	Appellate Body Reports, <i>United States – Certain Country of Origin Labelling (COOL) Requirements</i> , WT/DS384/AB/R / WT/DS386/AB/R, adopted 23 July 2012, DSR 2012:V, p. 2449
<i>US – COOL</i>	Panel Reports, <i>United States – Certain Country of Origin Labelling (COOL) Requirements</i> , WT/DS384/R / WT/DS386/R, adopted 23 July 2012, as modified by Appellate Body Reports WT/DS384/AB/R / WT/DS386/AB/R, DSR 2012:VI, p. 2745
<i>US – FSC (Article 21.5 – EC)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Tax Treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations" – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the European Communities</i> , WT/DS108/AB/RW, adopted 29 January 2002, DSR 2002:I, p. 55
<i>US – Corrosion-Resistant Steel Sunset Review</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Sunset Review of Anti-Dumping Duties on Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS244/AB/R, adopted 9 January 2004, DSR 2004:I, p. 3
<i>US – Oil Country Tubular Goods Sunset Reviews</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Sunset Reviews of Anti-Dumping Measures on Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina</i> , WT/DS268/AB/R, adopted 17 December 2004, DSR 2004:VII, p. 3257
<i>US – Gasoline</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline</i> , WT/DS2/AB/R, adopted 20 May 1996, DSR 1996:I, p. 3
<i>US – Gambling</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services</i> , WT/DS285/AB/R, adopted 20 April 2005, DSR 2005:XII, p. 5663 (and Corr.1, DSR 2006:XII, p. 5475)
<i>US – Export Restraints</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Measures Treating Exports Restraints as Subsidies</i> , WT/DS194/R and Corr.2, adopted 23 August 2001, DSR 2001:XI, p. 5767
<i>US – Continued Suspension</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS320/R and Add.1 to Add.7, adopted 14 November 2008, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS320/AB/R, DSR 2008:XI, p. 3891

Report of the Panel

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>US – Continued Suspension</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS320/AB/R, adopted 14 November 2008, DSR 2008:X, p. 3507
<i>US – Zeroing (EC)</i> (Article 21.5 – EC)	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Laws, Regulations and Methodology for Calculating Dumping Margins ("Zeroing") – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the European Communities</i> , WT/DS294/AB/RW and Corr.1, adopted 11 June 2009, DSR 2009:VII, p. 2911
<i>US – Underwear</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Restrictions on Imports of Cotton and Man-made Fibre Underwear</i> , WT/DS24/R, adopted 25 February 1997, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS24/AB/R, DSR 1997:I, p. 31
<i>India – Agricultural Products</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>India – Measures Concerning the Importation of Certain Agricultural Products</i> , WT/DS430/AB/R, adopted 19 June 2015, DSR 2015:V, p. 2459
<i>India – Agricultural Products</i>	Panel Report, <i>India – Measures Concerning the Importation of Certain Agricultural Products</i> , WT/DS430/R and Add.1, adopted 19 June 2015, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS430/AB/R, DSR 2015:V, p. 2663
<i>Indonesia – Autos</i>	Panel Report, <i>Indonesia – Certain Measures Affecting the Automobile Industry</i> , WT/DS54/R, WT/DS55/R, WT/DS59/R, WT/DS64/R, Corr.1 and Corr.2, adopted 23 July 1998, and Corr.3 and Corr.4, DSR 1998:VI, p. 2201
<i>Indonesia – Chicken</i>	Panel Report, <i>Indonesia – Measures Concerning the Importation of Chicken Meat and Chicken Products</i> , WT/DS484/R and Add.1, adopted 22 November 2017, DSR 2017:VIII, p. 3769
<i>Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, adopted 1 November 1996, DSR 1996:I, p. 97
<i>Japan – Apples</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples</i> , WT/DS245/AB/R, adopted 10 December 2003, DSR 2003:IX, p. 4391
<i>Japan – Apples</i>	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples</i> , WT/DS245/R, adopted 10 December 2003, upheld by Appellate Body Report WT/DS245/AB/R, DSR 2003:IX, p. 4481
<i>Japan – Apples</i> (Article 21.5 – US)	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the United States</i> , WT/DS245/RW, adopted 20 July 2005, DSR 2005:XVI, p. 7911
<i>Japan – Agricultural Products II</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting Agricultural Products</i> , WT/DS76/AB/R, adopted 19 March 1999, DSR 1999:I, p. 277
<i>Japan – Agricultural Products II</i>	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting Agricultural Products</i> , WT/DS76/R, adopted 19 March 1999, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS76/AB/R, DSR 1999:I, p. 315
<i>Russia – Railway Equipment</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Russia – Measures Affecting the Importation of Railway Equipment and Parts Thereof</i> , WT/DS499/AB/R and Add.1, adopted 5 March 2020

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Russia – Pigs (EU)</i>	Panel Report, <i>Russian Federation – Measures on the Importation of Live Pigs, Pork and Other Pig Products from the European Union</i> , WT/DS475/R and Add.1, adopted 21 March 2017, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS475/AB/R, DSR 2017:II, p. 361
<i>Russia – Pigs (EU)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Russian Federation – Measures on the Importation of Live Pigs, Pork and Other Pig Products from the European Union</i> , WT/DS475/AB/R and Add.1, adopted 21 March 2017, DSR 2017:I, p. 207
<i>EU – PET (Pakistan)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Union – Countervailing Measures on Certain Polyethylene Terephthalate from Pakistan</i> , WT/DS486/AB/R and Add.1, adopted 28 May 2018, DSR 2018:IV, p. 1615