

## INDEX

### Abbreviations used in the index

- CIL (customary international law)
- CLCS (Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf)
- CSC (Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf, 1958)
- EEZ (exclusive economic zone)
- ICC (International Criminal Court)
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966)
- ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
- ILC (International Law Commission)
- ITLOS (International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea)
- ITLOS ROC (ITLOS Rules of Court)
- MLC (Maritime Labour Convention, 2006)
- PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)
- TSC (Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, 1958)
- UNCLOS (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982)
- UNGA (United Nations General Assembly)
- VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969)
- VCSST (Vienna Convention on Succession of States in respect of Treaties, 1978)

### acquiescence

- requirements/definition/classification as 152
- consistency of conduct 152-6
- silence or inaction 155-6
- tacit agreement, differences from 157-8

### aggression (including UNGA 3314 (XXIX) (definition of aggression) and *jus ad bellum* considerations)

- definition (UNGA 3314 (XXIX), para. 1) 249-50

### Austria (1955- (Second Republic))

- sovereign status in international law 300-2
- UN membership status 300-2

### baselines (general)

- as basis for determining breadth of continental shelf/EEZ and for identifying basepoints for equidistance/median line distinguished 99-103, 112-14

### basepoints (general)

- islands as 68-70, 102
- low-tide elevations as 99-100

### *Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Order on Provisional Measures)*

- background and overview
- court judgment 531-2
- facts 529-31
- separate declarations 532-3

***Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Order on Provisional Measures)*** (cont.)

- principal ruling
  - background and facts 535-8, 541-2
  - court findings 558-9
  - definition 554, 556
  - detention of vessels
    - grounds for 549-50
    - use of force during 550
  - military activities
    - applicability of UNCLOS exclusion 545-53
    - denial of passage, grounds for 549-50
    - law-enforcement activities, compared with 546-8
  - non-appearance of Russian Federation/effect of non-appearance
    - bar on proceedings, whether 540-1
    - whether Ukraine at disadvantage 540-1
  - prima facie* jurisdiction
    - exchange of views requirement, satisfaction of 550-3
    - existence of dispute concerning interpretation/application of UNCLOS 543-5
    - military activities exclusion (UNCLOS 298(1)(b)) 545-50
    - Tribunal power to prescribe 542-3
  - prima facie* jurisdiction—jurisprudence
    - Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria* 544
  - submissions of the Parties (Ukraine) 538-40
  - urgency of situation
    - deprivation of liberty of crew, humanitarian concerns 556
    - plausibility of rights asserted 553-4
    - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 554-6
  - warships
    - immunity of crew 554
    - immunity under UNCLOS 290(5) 553-4
- separate opinions and declarations
  - Gao J
    - immunity of warships 583-4, 588-9
    - military activities exception 584-91
    - overview 534
  - Jesus J
    - military activities
      - interpretation of 574-8
      - UNCLOS exception, applicability 576-8
    - overview 533
  - Kittichaisaree J
    - crew, status and immunity of 565-9
    - jurisprudence
      - Arctic Sunrise* 564, 571-2
    - law of naval warfare, applicability of 568-9
    - military activities *versus* law enforcement 560
    - obligations to exchange views 561-5
    - overview 532-3
    - plausibility of rights 565-8
    - prima facie* jurisdiction 559-60, 570
    - provisional measures granted, sufficiency of 570-2

- Kolodkin J (dissenting)
  - military activities, interpretation of 594-8
  - overview 534-5
  - prima facie* jurisdiction, military activities exception 592-4
- Lijnzaad J
  - military activities, interpretation of 574
  - overview 533
  - prima facie* jurisdiction 572-4
- Lucky J
  - non-appearance of Russian Federation, effects of 580-2
  - overview 533
  - suspension of proceedings, validity of 581-2
- coastal facade**
  - continental shelf delimitation
    - coastal concavity/convexity 68-73, 94-6, 104-14, 127-9, 136-48
- coasts (for purposes of maritime delimitation)**
  - coastal concavity/convexity 68-73, 94-6, 104-14, 127-9, 136-48
- continental shelf (definitions/concept)**
  - outer continental shelf/area beyond 200 nautical miles (UNCLOS 76(4))
    - coastal State's right to delineate (UNCLOS 76(4)(a)) 130-1
  - outer limit (CSC 1/UNCLOS 76(1)) 130-1
- continental shelf delimitation (CSC 6/UNCLOS 83)**
  - applicable law 116-17
  - delineation of outer limits (UNCLOS 76) and delimitation (UNCLOS 83)
    - distinguished 136
  - proportionality 116-17
- continental shelf delimitation (UNCLOS 76)**
  - beyond 200 nautical miles 79
- CIL, treaties and other international instruments reflecting (examples)**
  - Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (1933) 270-95
    - "capacity to enter" criterion 278-85
    - criticism of 269-70, 272-3
    - customary law, and 270-3
    - "defined territory" criterion 273-4, 292-3
    - "government" criterion 274-8
    - importance of 294-5
    - interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94
    - "permanent population" criterion 273
    - reservations, use of 271-3
- Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Award on the Preliminary Objections by Russian Federation)***
  - background
    - dispute overview 621-2
    - events of 24-25 November 2018 622-8
    - facts 600-2
    - introduction 609-11
    - objections, summary of 602-4
    - procedural history
      - constitution of Arbitral Tribunal 614

***Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Award on the Preliminary Objections by Russian Federation)*** (cont.)

- hearing concerning preliminary objections of Russian Federation 617-18
- initial procedural decisions 614
- institution of proceedings 611-12
- provisional measures phase 612-13
- submission of preliminary objections of Russian Federation 616-17
- submission of Ukraine's memorial 614-16

court conclusions 602, 690-1

immunity of warships (UNCLOS 32)

- analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 669-70
- applicability under CIL 659-61, 668-9
- applicability under general international law 663
- high seas immunity (UNCLOS 95), differences from 658-9
- hot pursuit, conditions for (UNCLOS 111), and 661-3, 665-6
- jurisdiction, scope of 657-61, 663, 669
- object and purpose of UNCLOS 666-9
- position of Russian Federation 657-63
- position of Ukraine 663-9
- Territorial Sea Convention *travaux préparatoires* 658-9
- territorial seas, applicability to 658-9, 662-3, 666-7, 669-70

jurisdiction of court

- alleged aggravation of dispute (UNCLOS 279)
  - analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 678-9
  - applicability, scope of 678-9
  - overview 603-4
  - position of Russian Federation 675-6
  - position of Ukraine 676-8

conclusions 690-1

exchange of views requirement, non-compliance with (UNCLOS 283)

- adequacy of communications 682-6, 688-9
- analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 688-9
- exhaustion of means for agreement 686-7
- overview 604
- position of Russian Federation 681-3
- position of Ukraine 683-8
- scope of obligation 679, 683-4
- time limits 682-3, 685-6

extra-conventional immunities 656-7

general provisions 603, 656

immunity of warships 648-50, 657-70

ITLOS provisional measures, breaches of (UNCLOS 290(6) and 296)

- analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 673-4
- overview 603
- position of Russian Federation 671-2
- position of Ukraine 672-3
- prompt compliance obligation 672-4

jurisdiction of court—jurisprudence

- Arctic Sunrise* 687
- LaGrand* 671, 673-4
- South China Sea* 675-6

- military activities exception (UNCLOS 298(1)(b))
  - Arbitral Tribunal analysis 650-6
  - “covert” 647-8
  - “disputes concerning” 640-2, 650-1
  - law enforcement activities, and
    - arrest and detention, as 648-9, 651
    - elements and phases of incident, interpretations during 653-6
    - events following arrest 651-6
    - jurisdiction over warships under domestic law, and 648-50
    - lawfulness of 638-9
    - objective valuation of 651
    - scope of 631-4
    - whether mutually exclusive 631, 638-40, 650
  - limitations of 639-42
  - “military activities” 650-1
  - object and purpose of UNCLOS 642-3
  - overview 602-3, 629
  - position of Russian Federation 629-38
  - position of Ukraine 639-50
  - relevant circumstances 634-8, 645-7
  - right to exclude disputes concerning military activities 629
- military activities exception (UNCLOS 298(1)(b))—jurisprudence
  - Coastal State Rights* 641, 650-1
  - Fisheries (Spain v. Canada)* 632
  - South China Sea* 643
- requests of the parties
  - Russian Federation 621
  - Ukraine 621

#### **EEZ (UNCLOS 56) (rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State)**

- “due regard” to rights and duties of other States/conformity with the Convention (UNCLOS 56(2))

##### jurisprudence

- M/V “Norstar”* 465
- M/V “Saiga” (No 2)* 465
- M/V “Virginia”* 465

- exclusive nature/flag State jurisdiction 437-9, 496-7
- regulation of the refuelling of vessels (bunkering)
  - freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58) and 436-40

#### **EEZ (UNCLOS 58) (rights and duties of other States)**

- freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58)
  - refuelling/bunkering of vessels, and 436-40

#### **equidistance (median line)/special circumstances rule (TSC 12(1)/CSC 6/UNCLOS 15/UNCLOS 74(1)/UNCLOS 83(1))**

- CIL 98-9
- low-water line 99-100
- States with opposite or adjacent coasts 99-100

#### **Faroes**

- ICC jurisdiction 366

**flag State**

- duties (UNCLOS 94)
- right to redress, and 467-9

**freedom of navigation/commerce**

- EEZs and (UNCLOS 58 and 87)
- refuelling/bunkering of vessels 436-40
- real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 442, 444

**Gaza Strip**

- key events in chronological order
- 1993 (Oslo I Accord) 346-9
- 1995 (Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip (Oslo II Accord)) 347-9

**General Assembly Resolutions, in number/date order**

- 2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States) 220
- 3314 (XXIX) (Definition of aggression) 249-50
- 3411 (XXX) (Policies of apartheid of the government of South Africa) 275
- 67/19 (Status of Palestine in the UN) 167, 170, 172-3, 184-5, 190, 209-12, 219, 295-335
- 72/160 (Right of the Palestinian people to self-determination) 223

**Geneva Convention (Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War) (GC IV) (1949)**

- PLO/Palestine, and 368, 370-4
- special agreements rule 368, 370-7

**Greenland**

- ICC jurisdiction 366

**Holy See**

- international legal personality 298-9
- UN Permanent Observer status 298-300

**hot pursuit (including HSC 23 and UNCLOS 111)**

- requirements (UNCLOS 111(1)) 661-3
- immunity of warships, conflict with 663

**ICC (jurisdiction, admissibility and applicable law) (ICC Part 2)**

- territorial autonomies 366

**ILC, including Draft Articles/reports on**

- immunity of State officials from criminal jurisdiction
- definitions 567
- military officials 568
- recognition of immunity 568
- scope of immunity *ratione materiae* 567-8

**international organizations, Member States**

- status within
- non-member observer/pre-sovereign states 297-304

**ITLOS**

- jurisdiction
- non-appearance of parties, effects of 580-1
- provisional measures, power to prescribe 542

**Kenya**

domestic law

Maritime Zones Act 1989 29-30, 33, 159

Territorial Waters Act 1972 29, 33

maritime delimitation dispute with Somalia (*see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*)**Kerch Strait, confrontation between warships:** *see Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation)* (Order on Provisional Measures)**low-tide elevations**

basepoints, as 99-100

***The M/T “San Padre Pio” (Switzerland v. Nigeria) (Order on Provisional Measures)***

background

court conclusions, overview 415-17

facts 413-15

separate opinions and declarations 417-19

Order on Removal of Case from List (29 December 2021) 419-20, 525-7

principal ruling

background 420-3

court conclusions 448-50

facts 425-8

*prima facie* jurisdiction 428-34

applicability of UNCLOS 290(5) 428-9

determination of violations of instruments outside UNCLOS 430-1

exchange of views requirements 432-4

existence of dispute concerning interpretation or application of UNCLOS 429-32

limitations of UNCLOS 56(2) 428-9

rights and duties of states under ICCPR and MLC 430, 433-4

*prima facie* jurisdiction—jurisprudence*Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria* 431

provisional measures to be prescribed 445-8

assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 447

bond/financial security 446-7

implementation, reporting requirements 448

measures different in whole or in part from those requested 445

submissions of the parties

Nigeria 425

Switzerland 423-5

urgency of situation

plausibility of rights asserted by applicant 435-40

basis for exercise of jurisdiction in home state EEZ 436-7

breach of duties of states under ICCPR and MLC 437-9

flag State jurisdiction 437-40

freedom of navigation/bunkering in EEZ 435-40

real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 440-5

deprivation of rights of detained Master/officers 442-5

freedom of navigation 442, 444

***The M/T “San Padre Pio” (Switzerland v. Nigeria) (Order on Provisional Measures)***  
 (cont.)

- monetary compensation, availability of 443
- piratical attacks, and 441-2, 444
- separate opinions and declarations
  - Bouguetaia J (dissenting)
    - adequacy of provisional measures 524-5
    - detention of Master/officers
      - assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 522-5
      - humanitarian obligations 521-2
    - overview 419
  - Cabello and Chadha JJ
    - adequacy of provisional measures 450-2
    - assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 451
    - overview 417
  - Gao J (dissenting)
    - overview 419
    - provisional measures
      - adequacy of 519-21
      - freedom of navigation, right to exercise 519-20
      - plausibility of rights claimed of both parties 510-12
      - risk of irreparable prejudice 513-18
      - state obligations to address maritime crime and piracy 520
    - provisional measures—jurisprudence
      - “*Enrica Lexie*” 510
      - M/V “Virginia G”* 511
- Heidar J
  - adequacy of provisional measures 457-9
  - overview 417
- Kateka J (dissenting)
  - overview 418-19
  - provisional measures
    - assurances, adequacy of 502-4
    - bonds and prompt release mechanism 500-2
    - comparison of UNCLOS 290(5) and UNCLOS 290(1) approaches 500
    - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice, urgency requirement 504-7
  - provisional measures—jurisprudence
    - “*Arctic Sunrise*” 501-2
    - “*Enrica Lexie*” 503
    - M/V “Saiga”* 501-2
- Kittichaisaree J
  - adequacy of provisional measures 453-4
  - obligation to cooperate in good faith in implementation of PMs 454
  - overview 417
  - plausibility of rights violation claims under ICCPR and MLC 453
  - ship-to-ship transfers and offshore bunkering, differences in UNCLOS provisions 452
- Kolodkin J
  - adequacy of provisional measures 455-7
  - evidence of risk of irreparable prejudice 454-6
  - overview 417
  - plausibility of rights of parties 456



- Lucky J (dissenting)  
 international law and municipal law conflicts 489-90  
 overview 418  
 provisional measures  
   coastal State *versus* flag State rights, and 496-7  
   evidence, assessment of 492-6  
   *prima facie* jurisdiction to prescribe 490-1  
   urgency requirement 496-9  
 provisional measures—jurisprudence  
   “*Arctic Sunrise*” 491  
   “*Enrica Lexie*” 491-2
- Murphy, judge ad hoc  
 overview 417-18
- plausibility of rights 461-9  
 applicability of other rules of international law 468-9  
 coastal State sovereign rights 462-3  
 compatibility of rights with other Convention provisions 462-3  
 freedom of navigation and exclusive jurisdiction over flag vessels 462-6  
 right to seek redress for violations of ICCPR and MLC 466-9  
 ship-to-ship transfers/bunkering 463-5
- provisional measures  
 adequacy and appropriateness 473-8  
 bond/financial security 474  
 preservation of state rights to regulate criminal proceedings 475-7  
 release of Master/officers 474-6
- provisional measures—jurisprudence  
 “*Enrica Lexie*” 475
- risk of imminent and irreparable prejudice  
 actions that lead to 469-70  
 assessment of likelihood 470-3  
 urgency requirement 469
- Petrig, judge ad hoc  
 overview 418
- provisional measures  
 bond, reasonableness of 480-1  
 jurisprudence 482-4  
 protection of rights of all parties 480-1  
 responsibility *versus* obligation of State to ensure availability for criminal proceedings 481-4
- real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice  
 duty to avoid adverse consequences in the exercise of the powers of enforcement (UNCLOS 225) 478-80
- maritime delimitation/boundaries, methods**  
 comparison of 116-20, 124  
 parallels of latitude  
   acquiescence to, compared with tacit agreement 24-5, 27-8, 157-8  
 radial projection 118-20, 123
- maritime delimitation/boundaries (general)**  
 applicable principles, comparison 116-20, 124  
 criteria  
   equitable principles/relevant circumstances 124-7  
 disproportionality test 52, 73, 127-9

**maritime delimitation/boundaries (general)** (cont.)

- freedom of navigation 113
- overlapping claims 113-14
- pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* 115

**Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)**

- case overview
  - background and facts 2-3
  - court findings 3-6
  - separate and dissenting opinions 6-8
- court judgment
  - acquiescence of Somalia to maritime boundary following parallel of latitude 21-39
    - conduct or omission 23-34
    - court conclusions 36-9
    - documentary evidence, inadequacy of 25-6
    - failure to respond to/reject position of first state 21, 23-5, 34-5
    - fishery activities 38
    - Kenyan Proclamations 1979/ 2005, response to 21-2, 35-6
    - Kenyan Submission to CLCS 2009, response to 21, 25, 36
    - Memorandum of Understanding between Kenya and Somalia 2009 23-4, 35-6
    - oil concessions 38-9
    - Somali civil war, relevance of 36
    - Somali domestic law 23, 25, 34-5
    - tacit agreement, difference from 24-5, 27

## alleged violation by Kenya of international obligations

- activities prior to dispute 81, 84-5
- obligation not to jeopardize or hamper agreement 84-6
- offshore drilling 86-7
- transitional period, interpretation 86

## alleged violation by Kenya of international obligations—jurisprudence

- Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary in the Atlantic Ocean (Ghana v. Côte d'Ivoire)* 85-6
- Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 85
- background and overview of proceedings 9-14
- conclusions 88-9
- delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles 73-81
  - applicable law 79
  - jurisdiction of court 74, 76
  - overlapping claims 80
  - regional context and cut-off effect 76-7
- delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles—jurisprudence
  - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 78-9
  - Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 77
- delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf within 200 nautical miles
  - applicable law 49-50
  - disproportionality test 52, 73
  - equitable solution, principles for achievement of 71
  - provisional equidistance line
    - access to natural resources 66
    - basepoint selection 60-2
    - coastal concavity 68-73
    - conduct establishing *de facto* maritime boundary 66-7
    - cut-off effect 67-73
    - internal conflict and security 65-6

- Kenya–Tanzania maritime boundary, influences of 68, 70
  - non-geographical factors 65-7
  - whether need for adjustment 62-73
- relevant areas 55-9, 73
- relevant circumstances 52
- relevant coasts 54-5, 73
- three-stage methodology 49-54
- delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf within 200 nautical miles—jurisprudence
  - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 69
  - Bangladesh v. India* 69
  - Black Sea (Romania v. Ukraine)* 50, 52
  - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 68-9
  - Continental Shelf (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta)* 67-8, 71
  - Guinea/Guinea-Bissau* 69-70
  - Gulf of Maine* 66
  - North Sea Continental Shelf* 69
  - Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 53-4, 71
- delimitation of maritime boundaries
  - 1927/1933 Treaty as basis for 2-3, 18-19, 40, 44-5, 49
  - acquiescence, failure to respond as 34-5
  - applicable law 39-40
  - base points, choice of 42, 44-9
  - conduct indicating agreement 27, 34-5
  - delimitation of territorial sea 41-50
  - form of agreement 26-7
  - inconsistency of claims 33-4
  - Kenya–Tanzania border 32-3
  - Kenyan domestic law 29-30, 32-3
  - Kenyan Proclamations 1979/2005 21-2, 28-32, 35
  - land boundary terminus point 40-1, 44
  - median line basis 29, 35, 42, 44, 47-9
  - Memorandum of Understanding between Kenya and Somalia 2009 23-4, 32, 35-6
  - Notes Verbales 31, 33
  - provisional equidistance line basis 40-1, 45-7
  - small islands, effects of 46
  - Somalia–Yemen border 35
  - Somalian domestic law 23, 25, 34-5
  - Submission to CLCS 2009 23, 25, 31-3, 36
  - tacit agreement 24-5, 27-8
  - third party role in 26
  - threshold for proof 27-8
  - UNCLOS basis for agreement on 26-7, 30, 41-2, 45
- delimitation of maritime boundaries—jurisprudence
  - Peru v. Chile* 26
- domestic law—Kenya
  - Maritime Zones Act 1989 29-30, 33
  - Proclamation 1979 21-2, 28-30
  - Proclamation 2005 21-2, 30-2
  - Territorial Waters Act 1972 29, 33
- domestic law—Somalia
  - Law on the Somali Territorial Sea and Ports 1972 23, 34-5
  - Maritime Law 1988 23, 25, 35
  - Mining Code 1988 35

- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*** (cont.)  
 geographical and historical background to dispute 18-19  
 submissions of the parties  
   Kenya 16-18  
   overview of positions 19-21  
   Somalia 14-16  
 separate and dissenting opinions and declarations  
   Abraham J 92-6  
     cut-off effect of coastal concavity 94-6  
     overview 6  
     provisional equidistance line adjustment 93-6  
   Donoghue, President  
     delimitation of maritime boundary beyond 200 nautical miles, limitations on  
       equitable assessment 89-92  
     overview 6  
   Guillaume, judge ad hoc  
     1927/1933 Treaty relevance to maritime delimitation 161-4  
     acquiescence/tacit agreement to maritime delimitation along parallel of latitude  
       157-61  
     jurisprudence  
       *Gulf of Maine* 158  
       *Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 157  
     overview 7  
   Robinson J (partly concurring/dissenting)  
     1927/1933 Treaty, judicial interpretation and application 149-53  
     acquiescence, consistency of conduct 153-6  
     cut-off effect of coastal concavity 136-48  
     jurisprudence  
       *Bangladesh/Myanmar* 130-1, 135-8  
       *Cameroon v. Nigeria* 139-40, 148  
       *Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 138  
       *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 137  
       *France–Canada Maritime Delimitation* 135  
       *Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 130-1, 137, 138-9  
       *Guinea/Guinea–Bissau* 137-9  
       *Nicaragua v. Colombia* 131  
       *North Sea Continental Shelf* 137-8, 148  
       *Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 154-5  
     maritime delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles, State entitlement 130-4  
     overview 7  
     rights of international community 135-6  
     rights to natural resources 135-6  
     scientific evidence of continental shelf 130-2  
   Xue J  
     cut-off effect of coastal concavity 127-9  
     disproportionality test 127-9  
     judicial inconsistencies 124  
     jurisprudence  
       *Bangladesh v. India* 123  
       *Cameroon v. Nigeria* 117  
       *Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 118  
       *Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 118-19  
       *Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 119-20

- Greenland and Jan Mayen (Denmark v. Norway)* 125
  - North Sea Continental Shelf* 117, 126, 129
  - Romania v. Ukraine* 117, 128
- maritime delimitation, comparison of applicable principles 116-19, 124
- overview 7
- relevant circumstances 124-9
- relevant coasts/areas 120, 123-5, 127-8
- state succession influences on boundaries 151
- Yusuf J
  - 1927/1933 Treaty, relevance 102-3
  - base point selection inconsistencies 99-102
  - compensation for surrender of maritime area (*pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* principle) 115
  - cut-off effect of coastal concavity 104-14
  - geographical approach to equidistance line establishment 104-7
  - jurisprudence
    - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 109
    - Bangladesh v. India* 109-12
    - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 104, 107
    - Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 107
    - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 102, 107
    - Eritrea/Yemen* 98
    - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 108
    - Guinea/Guinea-Bissau* 108, 112
    - Nicaragua v. Colombia* 102, 106-7
    - North Sea Continental Shelf* 106, 109, 111
    - Qatar v. Bahrain* 98
  - jurisprudential inconsistencies 107-14
  - median line in territorial sea, establishment 98-103
  - overview 6
  - three-stage methodology, criticism of interpretation 97, 104-5, 114-15
- minorities**
  - special status areas 365-6
- non-encroachment principle/avoidance of cut-off (maritime delimitation)**
  - equidistance line 113
- Palestine (post-1998 proclamation of the State of)**
  - accession to international treaties under “all States formula” 210-11, 362-3
  - Covid-19 pandemic, applicable legal regime 364
  - diplomatic representation 361
  - membership of international organizations 361-4
  - right to self-determination, recognition of 170, 210, 219-24, 316
  - UN observer status 298
  - UNGA 67/19
    - voting on adoption 304-8
- prompt release of vessels and crews on posting of reasonable bond or financial security (UNCLOS 73(3))**
  - UNCLOS 292 (prompt release) proceedings, relevance 501-2
- proportionality**
  - disproportionality test, maritime delimitation/boundaries 52, 73, 127-9

**provisional measures (ICJ 41/PCIJ 41)**

comparison with UNCLOS 279, 675-6

**provisional measures (UNCLOS 290)**

admissibility/requirements

irreparable damage, classification as 555-6

measures requested/ordered

avoidance of irreparable prejudice 554-6

comparison of UNCLOS 290(1) and UNCLOS 290(5) approaches 500

plausibility of rights asserted 553-4

posting of bond 446-7, 480-1, 500-2

Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different from those requested  
 (ITLOS ROC 89(5)) 445

*prima facie* jurisdiction (UNCLOS 290(5)) 428-9, 500, 542-3

risk of imminent and irreparable prejudice 440-5, 504-5

purpose (UNCLOS 290(1)) 557

preservation of the respective rights of the parties 510-13

Tribunal's right to prescribe

measures different in whole or in part from those requested (ITLOS ROC 89(5))  
 445

**Russian Federation**

Criminal Code, by article

322 (illegal crossing of state border) 544, 581-2

**Security Council Resolutions**

2334 (2016) (Situation in the Middle East, including the Palestinian question) 223,  
 311, 315

**self-determination, right of**

doctrine and practice

as general principle of international law 220-1

entitlement of specific "people"

Palestinian people 219-24, 316

UNGA 2625 (XXV) provisions 220-1

**ships, jurisdiction**

flag State 437-40, 496-7

***Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the "Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court's Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine")***

background and overview

court ruling 168-71

facts 166-8

separate and dissenting opinions

Kovács J 171-4

Perrin de Brichambaut J 174

principal ruling

legal basis for Article 19(3) request

challenge, grounds for 202, 204

context of Article 19(3) 201

generally 199-201

ordinary meaning of Article 19(3) 201-5

reasonable basis to believe crimes committed within jurisdiction of court 206

- Rome Statute, object and purpose 205-7
- situation or once case arises from situation 200-2
- merits
  - consideration of Palestine as a State 207-18
    - accession of Palestine to Rome statute 212-18
    - accession of states to treaties under “all States” formula 210-12
    - challenges to automatic entry into force of Rome Statute 214-15
    - context of Article 12(2)(a) 209-12
    - Court jurisdiction, territorial parameters 215-17
    - Court jurisdiction in situations of uncertainty 211-12
    - object and purpose of Rome Statute 215-17
    - ordinary meaning of Article 12(2)(a) 208-9
    - UNGA 67/19, relevance of 210-12, 219
  - delineation of territory of Palestine for purpose of defining ICC jurisdiction 218-24
    - human rights, interpretation of law consistency with 220
    - right to self-determination and sovereignty 219-24
    - UNGA 67/19, relevance of 219
  - final considerations 226-7
  - Oslo Accords, relevance to territorial jurisdiction 224-6
  - overview 207
    - “State on the territory of which the conduct in question occurred”
      - interpretation of statehood under general international law, and 215-17
      - ordinary meaning 208-9
      - principle of effectiveness, and 215-16
- overview 168-71
- preliminary issues
  - criminal jurisdiction *versus* territory of states 198-9
  - participation of Israel in proceedings 197-8
  - political/non-justiciable nature of issue 195-7
- procedural history 175-82
- submissions and observations
  - on behalf of *amici curiae* 193-5
  - on behalf of Palestine 187-8
  - on behalf of victims 188-93
  - requests of Prosecutor 182-6
- separate and dissenting opinions
  - Kovács J (dissenting)
    - introduction 230
    - legitimacy and importance of international law for assessing impact of international legal documents 263-9
      - limitations of Majority ruling 265-6, 269-70
      - “only in case of lacuna” approach 266-9
      - VCLT requirements 267-8
    - legitimacy and importance of international law for assessing impact of international legal documents—jurisprudence
      - Al Bashir* 266-7
      - Katanga* 268
      - Lubanga* 266
  - Oslo Accords
    - agreements of international law, as 345-60
      - doctrinal interpretations 353-4
      - internationalization 348-51
      - jurisprudential practice in Israel, and 351-2

***Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the “Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court’s Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine”)*** (cont.)

- jurisprudential practice in Palestinian Authority 352-3
- presidential statements and political responses 354-60
- text and wording 345-9
- UN practice, and 349-51
- Wye River Memorandum (1998) 350-1
- comparison/similarity of competences with other self-administration regimes 365-7
- denunciation of 354-5, 357-8
  - UN General Assembly response to 357-9
- geographical border definition 367
- importance of Article 21(1)(b), and 345
- non-consideration, reasons for 367-80
  - conflicting provisions 377-9
  - Geneva Convention special agreements rule 368, 370-7
  - right to self-determination, violation of 368-9
  - treaties excluded from VCLT coverage 369-70
- Presidential Statement of 19 May 2020, and 354-60
- references in Prosecutor’s request 343-5
- relevance in relation to statehood question 360-5
- Statement by Foreign Minister Malki of 4 June 2020 354-8
- unilateral declarations regarding, legal validity of 356-7
- overview 171-4
- Palestinian occupation, challenging legality of
  - ICJ Advisory Opinion* 341, 343
  - in integrum restitutio*, historical approaches 338-41
  - likely impact on political resolution 337-43
  - sovereignty of reversionary or pre-prior state 338-9
  - state sovereignty as “people’s sovereignty” 342
  - value of UN resolutions 337-8
- Prosecutor’s investigative competence, geographical scope 380-4
  - hierarchy of norms 380-3
  - Oslo Accords, and 381-3
- Prosecutor’s investigative competence, geographical scope—jurisprudence
  - Lac Lanoux* 384
  - Monetary Gold* 383-4
  - Robingya* 380, 384
- statehood, interpretation of
  - methodology and reasoning
    - “all States formula” *versus* “Vienna formula” 238-40, 246-59, 362-3
    - auto-normative and hetero-normative competence 232-3
    - court competence to determine matters of statehood 238-46
    - effectiveness principle, and 250-2
    - equality of states rule applicability 234-5
    - hierarchy of norms 254, 263-4
    - introduction 230-1
    - Kompetenz-Kompetenz* principle 244
    - legal value of UN resolutions on 231-4
    - majority decision errors, analysis of 240-1
    - in merito* assessment of “the territory of the state,” competence for 238-46
    - “non-member observer State” 237



- object and purpose of Statute, compatibility with 251-3
- ordinary meaning *versus* special meaning 253-9
- practical utility of Majority decision 259-62
- presumptions of statehood, assessment of 234-8
- reasonable grounds to believe, application of standard 262
- State of registration of vessels 243-4
- “State on the territory of which” 255-9
- “State Parties to this Statute” *versus* “States are Parties to this Statute” 248-9, 259
- “territory of another State” 255
- “territory of the State” 250
- VCLT interpretation rules 246-59
- methodology and reasoning—jurisprudence
  - Al Bashir* 252
  - Katanga* 254
  - Kony* 251
  - Robingya* 248-9
  - Ruto/Sang* 252
- Montevideo criteria
  - “capacity to enter” criterion 278-85
  - criticism of 269-70, 272-3
  - customary law, and 270-3
  - “defined territory” criterion 273-4, 292-3
  - “government” criterion 274-8
  - importance of 294-5
  - interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94
  - “permanent population” criterion 273
  - reservations, use of 271-3
- Montevideo criteria—jurisprudence
  - Albanian Borders* 286-7
  - Customs Regime Between Germany and Austria* 285-6
  - Meerauge Lake* 276-7, 280, 287-8
- Palestinian participation in the ICC post-1995 335-7
- Palestinian occupation, challenging legality of
  - likely impact of challenges on political resolution 337-43
- perceptions of Palestinian statehood
  - future terms, in 317-34
  - League of Arab States and Organization of Islamic Cooperation 328-9, 333
  - presidential and ministerial statements 322-7
  - statements of leading Palestinian personalities on State of Palestine 385-90
  - State’s administrative and judicial functionality, relevance of 242
  - sub-state entities, rights and powers of 243, 364-5
- UN documentary approach to treatment of Palestinian statehood, overview 391-405
- UN practices
  - regarding participation by “pre-sovereign”/“non-member observer” States 297-304
  - relationship between ICC and UN 295-7
  - Secretary-General, role in situations of uncertainty 312-15
- UNGA 67/19
  - content, assessment in light of later resolutions 316-35
  - peace process Road Map 310

***Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the “Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court’s Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine”)*** (cont.)

- perceptions of statehood status 317-23
- right of Palestinian people to self-determination 316
- “territory” and “borders”, interpretation formulas for 308-11
- UN Secretary-General role as depositary for accession instruments, impact on 312-15
- voting on adoption 304-8
- whether binding on court 295-7

**Perrin de Brichambaut J**

- contextual interpretation of jurisdiction under Article 19(3) 406-8
- legally binding status of decision 410-12
- overview 174
- Prosecutor’s identification of potential cases 409-10
- timing of Prosecutor’s requests 408-9

**Somalia**

domestic law

- Law on the Somali Territorial Sea and Ports 1972 23, 34-5, 160
- Maritime Law 1988 23, 25, 35, 160
- Mining Code 1984 35

maritime delimitation dispute with Kenya (*see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*)

**special circumstances/relevant factors (maritime delimitation) (including CSC 6/TSC 12/UNCLOS 15), eligibility for consideration as**

- coastal configuration
- radial projection 118-20, 123

**State succession (general considerations including definition and responsibility for determination)**

- newly independent states
  - boundaries established by treaties (VCSST 11) 151-2
  - treaty obligations of predecessor, and (VCSST 16) 151-2
- pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* 115
- “succession of States” 150-1

**statehood, requirements/evidence of**

- Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (1933) 269-95
  - “capacity to enter” criterion 278-85
  - criticism of 269-70, 272-3
  - customary law, and 270-3
  - “defined territory” criterion 273-4, 292-3
  - “government” criterion 274-8
  - importance of 294-5
  - interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94
  - “permanent population” criterion 273
  - reservations, use of 271-3
- rights/powers
  - UN non-member observer states 297-304
- UN Resolutions
  - 67/19 (Status of Palestine in the UN) 295-335

**treaties, depositary’s functions**

- role of UN Secretary-General, and 314
- validity of instruments of accession not falling within 210

**treaty interpretation (general)**

“all states formula” *versus* “Vienna formula” 238-9, 246-59, 362-3  
 effectiveness principle, and 250-2  
 invalidity of treaties, basic norms 369-70

**treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(1)) (object and purpose/spirit and purpose) (teleological approach)**

effectiveness (*ut res magis valeat quam pereat/effet utile*) 251-2

**treaty succession (including VCSST)**

boundary/“territorial” treaties (VCSST 11) 151-2  
*pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* 115  
 treaty obligations of predecessor State (VCSST 16) 151-2

**UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 1 (general provisions))**

obligation to exchange views (UNCLOS 283(1)) 551-3, 561  
 general requirements 432  
 lack of jurisdiction for non-compliance 679-89  
 time limits for 552, 563-4  
 willingness, evidence of 551-2  
 obligation to seek peaceful settlement (UNCLOS 279)  
 comparison with ICJ 41 675-6

**UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions))**

international responsibilities of states  
 obligation not to jeopardize or hamper agreement 84-6

**UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 3 (limitations and exceptions to applicability of Section 2))**

optional exceptions (UNCLOS 298)  
 military activities (UNCLOS 298(1)(b)) 576-8, 592-5, 629-56

**unilateral declaration/undertaking (including validity and legal effects)**

jurisprudence  
*Nuclear Tests* 356-7  
 non-sovereign states, from 356-7

**United Nations, general**

non-member observer status 297-304

**VCLT (procedural aspects)**

context for purposes of interpretation 209  
 treaty depositaries 314

**warships**

immunity from jurisdiction  
 applicability of UNCLOS 32  
 hot pursuit provision conflicts (UNCLOS 111) 663  
 as reflection of CIL 659-61, 668-9  
 immunity of ship and crew 553-4, 556  
 sovereignty of flag 588-9