

In the Shadow of the Global North

In the Shadow of the Global North unpacks the historical, cultural, and institutional forces that organize and circulate journalistic narratives in Africa to show that something complex is unfolding in the postcolonial context of global journalistic landscapes, especially the relationships between cosmopolitan and national journalistic fields. Departing from the typical discourse about journalistic depictions of Africa, j. Siguru Wahutu turns our focus to the underexplored journalistic representations created by African journalists reporting on African countries. In assessing news narratives and the social context within which journalists construct these narratives, Wahutu not only captures the marginalization of African narratives by African journalists but opens up an important conversation about what it means to be an African journalist, an African news organization, and African in the postcolony.

j. Siguru Wahutu is an assistant professor at New York University's Department of Media, Culture, and Communication and a Faculty Associate at the Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society at Harvard University. Wahutu is an expert in the sociology of media, with an emphasis on genocide, mass violence, and ethnicity in sub-Saharan Africa. He has written about global media patterns in covering genocide in Africa, ethnicity, land, and politics in Kenya; and the Kenyan media's experimentation with social media platforms.

COMMUNICATION, SOCIETY AND POLITICS

Editors

W. Lance Bennett, *University of Washington*
Regina Lawrence, *University of Oregon*

Founding Co-Editor, Emeritus

Robert M. Entman, *The George Washington University*

Communication, Society and Politics is a series that probes the political and social impacts of communication processes and systems in national, comparative, and global contexts. The series adopts a broad definition of politics as the exercise of power within and between nations, which includes the social, cultural, psychological, and institutional underpinnings of politically significant communication. The series includes a diversity of methods and views, with an emphasis on questions about democracy, equality, justice, and other normative concerns.

In recent years, politics in societies across the world have been transformed by communication technologies that blur traditional definitions of news, the nature of citizenship, and the boundaries between public and private life. Many democracies face challenges due to disruptive communication processes operating in the context of declining levels of trust in public institutions, including legacy journalism. One result of these trends is the spread of disinformation by illiberal movements and parties that aim to undermine science, the press, civil society organizations, and other traditional public information sources. A related trend involves the growth of authoritarian regimes that rely on media systems and communication strategies to manage publics.

Within these broad trends are many other important communication developments in which communication technologies and networked organization have impacted human rights, climate change, new forms of citizenship and inclusion, and personal political expression. Communication, Society, and Politics provides a platform to explore how people in different societies engage with the ideas that organize their lives.

Other Books in the Series:

j. Siguru Wahutu, *In the Shadow of the Global North: Journalism in Postcolonial Africa*

Stuart N. Soroka and Christopher Wlezien, *Information and Democracy: Public Policy in the News*

Danny Hayes and Jennifer L. Lawless, *News Hole: The Demise of Local Journalism and Political Engagement*

(continued after the Index)

In the Shadow of the Global North

Journalism in Postcolonial Africa

j. SIGURU WAHUTU

New York University



Cambridge University Press & Assessment
 978-1-009-43196-5 — In the Shadow of the Global North
 j. Siguru Wahutu
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
 a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
 education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
 Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009431965

DOI: 10.1017/9781009431941

© j. Siguru Wahutu 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
 of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
 place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024
 First paperback edition 2025

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

NAMES: Wahutu, j. Siguru, 1984– author.

TITLE: In the shadow of the Global North :
 journalism in postcolonial Africa / j. Siguru Wahutu.

OTHER TITLES: Communication, society, and politics.

DESCRIPTION: New York : Cambridge University Press, 2024. |

Series: Communication, society and politics | Includes bibliographical
 references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2023044215 | ISBN 9781009431958 (hardback) |
 ISBN 9781009431941 (ebook)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Journalism – Africa. | Journalists – Africa. |
 Africa – In mass media.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC PN5450 .W358 2024 |

DDC 079.60905–dc23/eng/20230925

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023044215>

ISBN 978-1-009-43195-8 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-009-43196-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
 or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
 publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
 remain, accurate or appropriate.

*To Captain Wahutu, whose memory has kept this little light of
mine shining, and to those who risk their lives and safety and
keep us informed*

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
PART I MAKING JOURNALISTS	
1 Why Study African Media?	3
2 What Is African Journalism?	34
3 <i>Habitus</i> in the Postcolony	48
PART II NARRATING AN ATROCITY	
4 African Journalism Fields	75
5 Africans at the Margins	96
6 Framing an African Atrocity	119
7 “That Is Lazy Journalism”	143
8 Lessons Learned	161
<i>Appendix: Methodological Notes</i>	177
<i>References</i>	181
<i>Index</i>	203

Preface

Growing up in the island city of Mombasa, the last thing I ever imagined myself doing was writing a book and living in the United States. The latter changed in the fall of 2007, when my journey to writing this book began. Working on my senior thesis three years later, I remember the sense of hopelessness at the realization that not only was there little work done on how African journalists represented conflict in Africa but also that many scholars were quick to discuss how journalism in Africa could be improved while hardly ever talking about what African journalists *actually* did. After a series of fortuitous meetings, I would later apply to graduate school for one reason and one reason only: to work on a project that sought to understand how African journalists engaged in constructing knowledge about genocide and mass atrocities in my home continent. I was annoyed by how scholars talked about journalism in my home continent and by the fact that they hardly seemed to want to talk to African journalists. African journalists seemed to be there only to be spoken *for* and *about* but not *with*. There was a lot on how journalism in Africa was flawed and could be improved, but there seemed to be very little on what journalism in Africa was doing. It appeared that African journalists could only be thought of as an absence, a negative presence, to be filled and found by journalism scholars in the Global North. This meant that African journalists were always being talked about as needing to be “trained” to mimic the norms of their Global North counterparts. This was even more so in the realm of “African representation” by international media, where the “representation” was assumed to be done by the Global North and “international” meant anywhere but Africa.

This is what motivated me to work on a project that centered on African voices and experiences and paid short shrift to those from the Global North. I remember the perplexed looks from audiences when they realized I would not be talking about *The New York Times* or *The Guardian* and would instead solely focus on news organizations such as *The New Times* of Rwanda and *The Daily Nation* of Kenya. Several were even more shocked that when talking about these organizations, the discussion was not about “improving” them or “capacity building” but rather a genuine curiosity about what they were doing. How were they constructing knowledge about Africa to Africans? To borrow from Adom Getachew’s (2019) excellent book, how were these organizations engaged in worldmaking? The number of times I have had to justify why and how this book was sociological – despite being anchored in knowledge production and Weberian concerns – to sociologists or why (as many media scholars insisted) I was not studying news organizations in the Global North is a reminder of why works like *In the Shadow* are crucial.

While these questions and challenges justify my decision to pursue this project as I did, I want to clarify how and why precisely *In the Shadow* is a sociological project anchored on a Weberian sociology of the press approach. Sociology of knowledge, as used here, allows *In the Shadow* to pay attention to journalists’ knowledge repertoires. It contextualizes journalists’ taken-for-granted knowledge while leveraging Pierre Bourdieu’s field theory to show how this knowledge aligns with, or is divergent from, perceptions of journalism heavily steeped in assumptions and norms informed by colonial logic (Rodny-Gumede, 2021). This is premised on understanding colonization – and its attendant tentacles – as an enduring enviroing field (Steinmetz, 2016; Go, 2008, 2013a; Bourdieu, 1958; Hammoudi, 2009).

In the first year of my graduate education, I began collecting newspaper articles on Darfur from four countries: Kenya, Rwanda, South Africa, Nigeria and Egypt. After a few months, I realized that getting articles from Egypt and Nigeria in any systematic way would be nearly impossible, so those stories did not show up in the dissertation. By the end of that semester and the start of my spring semester, I realized I would have to interview journalists whose work I was analyzing. It was clear that if I wanted to understand the news production process, I would have to talk to journalists, at the very least. Moreover, if my whole shtick had been that scholars were marginalizing African journalists by not talking to them, I could not afford not to include interviews as part of my methodology.

Preface

xi

The data from these two methods were crucial in providing nuance to the macrolevel findings from the content analysis, but most importantly, they provided different types of information. These interviews pointed not just to a politics of identity unfolding across the stories I was analyzing but also gave voice to their frustration about something I had noticed in my content analysis: the significant role played by wire agencies (Chapter 4).

An earlier plan to conduct a newsroom ethnography suddenly fell apart (Chapter 3). Still, this implosion led to what (I think) was even better data on how journalism students were being prepared to enter the field. So, I spent several months at a journalism school in Kenya, attending classes and talking to the various students about why they wanted to be journalists and what they thought about the field they were about to enter. It was during this period that the first moments of disjuncture became clear; while, on the one hand, students were getting ready to join an African field, on the other hand, they also held fields in the Global North as examples of what good journalism was. They thought they needed to conform to those external standards to do “good journalism.” There seemed to be little appreciation that these fields may have only been exemplary in their localized context, such as *The New York Times* being good in a US context, and as such may have been doing a terrible job in Kenya.

The primary challenge would be how to put journalism organizations in different countries within the same universe. I remember conversations with many respected scholars who told me that comparing organizations from multiple African countries was impossible since they were radically different from each other. The prevailing sentiment always seemed to be that one could compare organizations in the Global North to each other but not those in African countries. I almost started believing this narrative until a fortuitous conversation with Dr. Teresa Gowan at the University of Minnesota’s sociology department provided the solution. Dr. Gowan suggested taking a look at field theory as a possible avenue through which to think about my project.

My entry into field theory was through Rodney Benson’s (1999) “Field theory in comparative context,” which allowed me to analyze organizations and journalists from multiple countries with varied histories as existing in the same universe – a universe that had the scars of colonization, continued interventions from foreign countries, and struggling to form a cogent identity locally, regionally, and internationally. Yet just field theory would not be enough because when the focus was Africa, there was a need to engage with postcolonial theory, which, as a

relational theory itself, I saw as having a natural affinity with field theory. Both theories are interested in excavating relationships of power that may be invisible to an outsider while also allowing for a universe to be created in which organizations are in contestation over the right and power to narrate particular experiences. For field theory, this contestation is based on different species of capital. In postcolonial theory, this contestation is one over the appropriate registers to deploy when thinking, talking, and writing about the postcolony. Moreover, both theories are concerned not just with the process of constructing reality by actors (such as journalists) but also with how this construction relies on particular taken-for-granted assumptions. Using both theories allowed me to capture the tension between *habitus* and *doxa* in the selection of sources (Chapter 5) and how journalists think about and articulate their roles (Chapter 4).

As I navigated the process of transforming this manuscript from dissertation to book, one thing that has become clear is the role of colonization as a “macroenvironment” (Fligstein & McAdam, 2012). Colonization has affected not only how journalism fields approach their construction of reality but also whom they think of as worthy partners in this construction process. This process made clear that African journalism is different. Not poorer or of less quality, but different. It is different because it comes to be at a different moment in time in the world and because it had to negotiate not just its identity in relation to the state, but it also had to negotiate newly formed national identities. But it is also similar to other types of journalism in its pursuit of constructing reality, its attempt to align itself with global (often Western) normative approaches to the profession, and its relationships with other fields of cultural production nestled in a field of power. As such, African journalism can be studied similarly to journalism in the Global North while also paying attention to the continual influence of colonization, even as we approach seven decades since Ghanaian independence. *In the Shadow* offers new insights into how exactly these differences and similarities can be articulated. It captures the complicated nature and history of journalism on the continent and points to how journalists are engaged in a discourse of identity and belonging. Moreover, it provides scholars of African journalism with tools to better account for nuances and particularities of African journalism while showing them how to treat journalism fields on the continent as worthy of study rather than as shells that need their capacity to be built.

Finally, a word on my use of postcolonial theory. Some may argue that this project should have used decolonial rather than postcolonial theory.

To this, I say I am not trained in decolonial theory and approaches. As such, a project like *In the Shadow* is more about proposing a theoretical approach that would allow those not immersed in decolonial epistemologies to study and think about journalism on the continent in a manner that pays heed both to the colonial influence on the profession and the role of continuing a colonial logic in affecting how the field operates. *In the Shadow's* approach is one that insists on scholars appreciating who “generates knowledge” (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2015, p. 492) and where this knowledge is from. In the case of field theory, I point to the role of Algeria and Algerians (Chapter 1). Regarding norms and metajournalistic discourse in Africa, I point to the role of colonial logics (cf. Chapters 1–3).

Thus, my use of postcolonial and field theories pays attention to the fact that colonization, and all its attendant tentacles, acts as a “macroenvironment” (Fligstein & McAdam, 2012) with several proximate and distant fields embedded in networks of fields. Specifically, my use of postcolonial theory relies on Ndlovu-Gatsheni’s (2015) discussion on the points of conversion and diversion between postcolonial and decolonial theory. As such, *In the Shadow* is working concomitantly with those steeped in decolonization by focusing on what Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2015, p. 491) sees as a “dismantling [of] meta-narratives” while leaving open the fact that decolonization takes up the other side of this task by pushing forward “questions in the quest to understand the unfolding and operations of the modern Euro-North American-centric modernity.” I firmly believe that there is space and need for both of these to happen and that the continent’s experiential diversity allows for theoretical diversity.

More importantly, I cannot claim to be a decolonial scholar. As a field that has been around for decades now (even though many treat it as the newest shiny thing), it is imperative to be careful about any claims one may make about decolonizing anything if one has not been trained in the appropriate methods and epistemologies. Subsequently, it would be inappropriate for any scholar to loosely claim to be decolonizing journalism if they are not trained in the appropriate techniques. Any such attempt would be intellectually dishonest. This is even more so considering that my *doxa* was formed in institutions located in a settler colony, and (at the time of writing) I am located in an institution that is still grappling with how to expressly and openly acknowledge the violence meted against the Lenape peoples of *Lenapehoking*.

Acknowledgments

One evening in the fall of 2002, my father sat me in a hotel room in Nairobi. I had been suspended from school weeks before the national university entrance exam, and my mum had refused to take me back to school. She had done so on prior occasions and finally put her foot down, saying it was time my father took me back. My dad was scheduled to travel to Europe on a work-related trip, which he postponed to take me back. This is why we were sitting in a hotel room that evening in 2002. My dad looked at me and asked me to *read* instead of *study*. He said the time for *studying* would come, but this was not it. All he wanted from me was a grade that would get me into university. He didn't want me to be an A student and asked me to give him at least something he could work with. Two decades after that fateful night, it strikes me that this book is the fruit of a decade of *studying*. My one regret is that my father is not here to share this moment with me.

As much as I dislike it when people talk about “African sayings” when trying to sound sagacious, allow me to paraphrase one that captures my writing experience. Writing this book took a village – a village whose boundaries are transnational in scope and multidisciplinary in tradition. Nonetheless, I will try my best to capture its members. I have to thank Joachim Savelsberg and David Pellow for their guidance, mentorship, and patience. In the classic good-cop–bad-cop tradition, they nudged and cajoled me into constantly pushing myself during long, cold Minnesota winters. To Joachim, who has consistently made me a better human being and scholar; welcomed me to his home on numerous occasions for dinner and deadline reminders; was always ready with an apt sociological observation whenever I found myself floundering and/

or doubting myself; set what seemed like impossible deadlines and yet guided me into meeting them with time to spare; and who saw in me potential I often did not see and kept faith that I would reach it. Thank you. To David, who sat me down in the summer of 2010 and reminded me that graduate school would be all-consuming and that I needed to be sure I was ready; who was always willing to remind me of the importance of my work even when I doubted it myself; who never once dissuaded me from seemingly hairbrained ideas but always made sure to remind me to stay focused; and who gave me the first opportunity to conduct sociological research. Thank you.

I also benefitted from mentorships and friendships of tremendous people. To Teresa Gowan, thank you for introducing me to the theory and scholarship that underpins this project's theoretical engagement. To Barbara Frey and Cawo Abdi, thank you for always being available to meet and share ideas while providing much needed guidance. To Alejandro Baer, thank you for reminding me that behind all the data and theories are human beings and for reminding me to always value relationships and keep the concerns of those we write about at the forefront of any project. To the Center for Holocaust and Genocide Studies and the Human Rights Program, thank you for the multitude of opportunities to plug into your respective communities and for connecting me with various scholars from around the world.

To Joe Svec, thank you for great conversations and the constant reminder of how far we had come when I despaired. To Miray Phillips and Brooke Chambers, thank you for the late night and early morning discussions about various projects we worked on and being ever willing to read and give feedback on the drafts I sent your way. In Kenya, I would like to thank Olando Olembo and Juliet Nyambura. Two friends who opened their homes multiple times when I was in town. For the countless conversations about our experiences at Moi University, the coffees, the lunches, and foolhardy trips to parts unknown, I thank you.

I thank the journalists for taking the time to talk to me. Though you remain nameless due to the precarious nature of your work, I thank you all for agreeing to speak honestly about the challenges you face while trying to construct knowledge about atrocities such as Darfur. Thank you for risking your lives to go into dangerous places to inform the rest of the world about the plight of fellow Africans. I hope I have represented your words in ways that capture the essence of our conversations. I also want to thank the late Mandy Rossouw for giving a tired, severely jetlagged, and nervous graduate student his first ever interview.

Acknowledgments

xvii

I began working on this manuscript as a fellow at the Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society. While in Cambridge, I met my future wife, Kikelomo Aluko Wahutu. Your kindness, love, support, and patience made the transition from Minneapolis to Cambridge much easier. The care with which you remind me to stay on track, the support you have given me through the various submissions and research trips, and the moments in which you remind me to celebrate the little wins are what this book is. You have brought immeasurable light and joy to my life.

I am grateful to my family. My siblings, Maggie Wahutu-Aduayi and Jonathan Wahutu, have been my biggest cheerleaders while reminding me that my big brother duties are essential and central to anything I do. Dami Aduayi and Osasu Aduayi, thank you for always being understanding when I missed family events. To my niece Amelia Rebecca Amondi Aduayi and my nephew Anaya Frederick Aduayi, I am appreciative of your insistence that I fulfill my responsibilities as an uncle regardless of where in the world I may be. Thank you to Duncan Okello, without whom this journey would never have started. Through all the trying times and personal losses that bookended the dissertation that is the foundation of this book, Ruth and Tade Okediji have been there for me. Thank you both for opening your home to me on several occasions. Your generosity, kindness, support, and unconditional love humble me. To Dr. Akinyele Aluko and Dr. Shirley Houston Aluko, thank you for welcoming me into your home and family. Thank you for your support and curiosity about this project over the years.

Finishing the project at New York University has been richly invigorating. My colleagues in the department of Media, Culture, and Communication (MCC) warmly welcomed me into the department. Rodney Benson read various drafts of this manuscript and was generous with his feedback and his pushing and prodding. Paula Chakravartty has repeatedly asked questions that helped me clarify my arguments. To my mentorship committee, Allen Feldman, Arvind Rajagopal, and Juan Pinon, thank you for all the advice as I worked on this and other projects. To Kelli Moore, Laine Nooney, Whit Pow, and Angela Xiao Wu, thank you for your support in settling into MCC and NYU while trying to figure out the maze that is publishing. I am grateful to my undergraduate and graduate students whose questions about my project in classes and one-on-one meetings often caused me to pause and think about my assumptions and my own blind spots. I thank Osman M Osman, Zhuoru Deng, and Elsie Ogunsanya for their research assistance on this project.

The African Studies Workshop at Harvard's Center for African Studies allowed me to present earlier portions of this book. The questions and feedback from this presentation led to the strengthening of several parts of the manuscript. The Rutgers Comparative Literature Seminar participants provided perceptive comments that clarified some theoretical interventions. Fellows at the Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society have also been gracious with their time and feedback at different moments in the preparation of this manuscript. So too the participants of the April 2024 Center for the Study of African Societies and Economies at Harvard.

I want to thank Wunpini Mohammed, whose paper on Bilchiinsi philosophy gave me the language to unpack thoughts that had been swirling in my head about specific arguments. I am indebted to Hayes Mabweazara, Hollie Nyseth Nzitarira, Nikki Usher, Ruth Moon and Chris Mungai for their kind words on this book. Thank you to the staff at CUP, Sarah Duskow (now at Chicago Press), Rachel Blaifeder, Jadyn Fauconier-Herry, Chloe Quinn, and Becky Jackaman, in conjunction with Narmadha Nedounsejane, for believing in and shepherding this project. To my copy-editor, Craig Willse, thank you for your insightful feedback during the early stages.

Before concluding, I have to thank my parents. I remember the perplexed voices when I called to tell them that I wanted to apply to a sociology PhD department rather than law school. My mom, Dorothy Wahutu (Dee), has given up on trying to explain to her friends exactly what it is that I do but has continually provided support whenever I needed to engage with bureaucracy in my home country. My dad, Fred Wahutu, would shake his head incredulously at the fact that I was even in a PhD department, especially after that 2002 talk. Sitting in that hotel room that night in 2002, it was clear that he saw something in me despite all my high-school shenanigans. He was the most intelligent person I had ever met, and as the firstborn child, I have always worried that I would never measure up – a worry that has turned into acceptance. Nonetheless, my parents' support and love have been unending and unequivocal, and for that I am eternally indebted.