

REINVENTING INSOLVENCY LAW IN EMERGING ECONOMIES

This book explains how and why insolvency law in emerging economies needs to be reinvented. It starts by examining the importance of insolvency law for the promotion of economic growth as well as the similarities and divergences in the design of insolvency law around the world. The central thesis of the book is that insolvency law in emerging economies fails to serve as a catalyst for growth. It is argued that this failure is mainly due to the design of an insolvency legislation that is not tailored to the market and institutional environment generally existing in emerging economies. The book also provides a critical analysis of the design of insolvency law in many advanced economies where the insolvency system has proven to be unattractive for debtors, creditors, or both. Therefore, in addition to suggesting a new insolvency framework for emerging economies, this book ultimately invites readers to rethink insolvency law.

AURELIO GURREA-MARTÍNEZ is Associate Professor of Law and Head of the Singapore Global Restructuring Initiative at Singapore Management University. His research focuses on the intersection of law and finance, with particular emphasis on corporate governance, financial regulation and corporate insolvency law, and how legal and institutional reforms may promote entrepreneurship, innovation, access to finance, and economic growth.

REINVENTING INSOLVENCY LAW IN EMERGING ECONOMIES

AURELIO GURREA-MARTÍNEZ

Singapore Management University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009431712

DOI: 10.1017/9781009431743

© Aurelio Gurrea-Martínez 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Gurrea Martínez, Aurelio, author.

Title: Reinventing insolvency law in emerging economies / Aurelio Gurrea-Martínez,
Singapore Management University.

Description: New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2023. | Includes bibliographical
references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023030700 (print) | LCCN 2023030701 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009431712
(hardback) | ISBN 9781009431705 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009431743 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Bankruptcy—Developing countries. | Bank failures—Law and
legislation—Developing countries. | Economic development—Developing countries. |
Developing countries—Economic integration.

Classification: LCC K1375 .G87 2023 (print) | LCC K1375 (ebook) |
DDC 346.172/4078—dc23/eng/20230802

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023030700>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023030701>

ISBN 978-1-009-43171-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-009-43171-2 — Reinventing Insolvency Law in Emerging Economies

Aurelio Gurrea-Martínez

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

To my parents

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
<i>List of Tables</i>	xii
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Legislation and Other Instruments</i>	xix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxix
PART I Foundations	
1 Insolvency Law as a Catalyst for Growth	3
1.1 The Role of Insolvency Law in the Real Economy	3
1.2 The Economic Function of Corporate Insolvency Law	5
1.3 Factors Affecting the Design of Insolvency Law	10
1.4 Maximizing the Potential of Insolvency Law in Emerging Economies	18
1.5 The Future of Insolvency Law in Emerging Economies and Beyond	21
1.6 Conclusion	22
PART II Features and Challenges of Insolvency Law in Emerging Economies	
2 Market and Institutional Environment in Emerging Economies	25
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2 The Concept of Emerging Economies	25
2.3 Legal and Institutional Features of Emerging Economies	29
2.4 Regulatory Environment for Businesses	42
2.5 Economic Features of Emerging Economies	45
2.6 Financial Markets	50
2.7 Similarities and Divergences Across Emerging Economies	51
2.8 Conclusion	52

3	Insolvency Systems Around the World	54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Insolvency and Restructuring Laws in Asia and the Pacific	54
3.3	Insolvency and Restructuring Laws in Africa and the Middle East	69
3.4	Insolvency and Restructuring Laws in the Americas	78
3.5	Insolvency and Restructuring Laws in Europe	91
3.6	The Infrastructure Supporting an Insolvency Regime	103
3.7	Similarities Among Insolvency Systems	111
3.8	Divergences Among Insolvency Systems Around the World	116
3.9	Undesirable Legal Transplants and the Need to Reinvent Insolvency Law in Emerging Economies	118
3.10	Conclusion	121
	PART III Towards a New Insolvency Framework in Emerging Economies	
4	Promotion of Workouts and Hybrid Procedures	125
4.1	The Importance of Promoting Workouts and Hybrid Procedures in Emerging Economies	125
4.2	Types of Debt Restructuring Mechanisms	126
4.3	Workouts	127
4.4	Hybrid Procedures	139
4.5	Conclusion	148
5	Directors' Duties in the Zone of Insolvency in Emerging Economies	150
5.1	Introduction	150
5.2	The Misalignment of Incentives Existing in the Zone of Insolvency	151
5.3	Shareholder Opportunism in Financially Distressed Firms	153
5.4	Regulating the Transition from Solvent to Factually Insolvent Firms	156
5.5	Regulatory Models of Directors' Duties in the Zone of Insolvency	160
5.6	Choosing an Optimal Model of Directors' Duties in the Zone of Insolvency for Emerging Economies	176
5.7	Conclusion	178

CONTENTS

ix

6	Implementation of a Simplified Insolvency Framework for Micro- and Small Enterprises	179
6.1	Introduction	179
6.2	Insolvency Frameworks for MSEs Around the World	180
6.3	Definition of MSEs	182
6.4	Features of MSEs	183
6.5	Weaknesses of the Ordinary Insolvency System for MSEs	185
6.6	The Need to Implement Simplified Insolvency Frameworks for MSEs	186
6.7	Proposals for the Adoption of a Simplified Insolvency Framework for MSEs	187
6.8	Building Blocks for an Efficient Insolvency Framework for MSEs in Emerging Economies	192
6.9	Conclusion	206
7	Tweaking Ordinary Insolvency Proceedings	208
7.1	Introduction	208
7.2	The Initiation of Insolvency Proceedings	209
7.3	The Governance of Formal Insolvency Proceedings	213
7.4	The Role of Courts in the Approval of Reorganization Plans, Asset Sales, and Rescue Financing	225
7.5	Preferential Treatment of Tax Authorities and Other Public Creditors	233
7.6	Treatment of Corporate Groups	235
7.7	Avoidance Actions	238
7.8	Treatment of Executory Contracts and <i>Ipso Facto</i> Clauses	242
7.9	Towards an Insolvency Proceeding Mainly Led by Debtors and Creditors	245
7.10	Conclusion	246
8	Favoring the Choice of Insolvency Forum	248
8.1	Introduction	248
8.2	Traditional Justifications for the Mandatory Nature of Insolvency Law	251
8.3	Insolvency Forum and Regulatory Models to Deal with Cross-Border Insolvency	252
8.4	Towards a New Approach for the Choice of Insolvency Forum	256
8.5	Conclusion	271

	PART IV The Future of Insolvency Law	
9	Reinventing Insolvency Law Beyond Emerging Economies	275
9.1	The Need to Rethink Insolvency Systems Around the World	275
9.2	Why and When Insolvency Systems Fail?	275
9.3	Economic Implications of the Failure of Insolvency Law	290
9.4	Reinventing Insolvency Systems	293
9.5	Conclusion	296
	<i>Glossary</i>	297
	<i>Index</i>	301

FIGURES

- 2.1 Rule of Law Index 31
- 2.2 Level of protection of property rights 34
- 2.3 Corruption Perceptions Index 38
- 4.1 Types of debt restructuring mechanisms 127
- 9.1 Financial distress vs economic distress 277

TABLES

2.1 Classification of economies 26
2.2 Rule of Law Index 32
2.3 Level of protection of property rights 36
2.4 Corruption Perceptions Index 39
2.5 Ease of doing business ranking 43
2.6 Level of economic freedom around the world 46
5.1 Regulatory models of directors’ duties in the zone of insolvency 175

PREFACE

Insolvency law plays an essential role in the real economy. On the one hand, it facilitates the reorganization of viable companies, the maximization of the returns to creditors and the reallocation of resources of nonviable firms towards more productive activities. On the other hand, an attractive insolvency regime for debtors and creditors can foster entrepreneurship, innovation, and access to finance. Therefore, insolvency law can ultimately serve as a catalyst for growth.

Unfortunately, many countries have an insolvency system that has proven to be unattractive to debtors, creditors, or both. And while this problem can also be observed in some advanced economies, two aspects make the lack of an attractive insolvency framework particularly worrisome in emerging economies. First, emerging markets and developing economies represent about 85 percent of the world's population and almost 60 percent of the global gross domestic product ("GDP"). Second, many emerging economies suffer from significant problems of poverty and access to finance. Therefore, insolvency law is failing in countries where it is most needed.

In many cases, the absence of an attractive insolvency framework is due to the lack of political incentives to embark on legal and institutional reforms whose benefits will only be shown in the long run. In other situations, these reforms might not occur as a result of the lack of awareness about the importance of insolvency law for the promotion of economic growth. In the past decades, however, many emerging economies have implemented major insolvency reforms. Yet, those reforms have not delivered the expected outcome. This book argues that the failure of insolvency law in many emerging economies is mainly due to the design of an insolvency legislation that is not tailored to the market and institutional environment generally existing in emerging economies. Therefore, insolvency law in emerging economies needs to be reinvented.

The book is structured into four parts. Part I comprises an introductory chapter (Chapter 1) that starts by reviewing the economic functions

and strategies of insolvency law as well as a variety of country-specific and firm-specific factors that may affect the optimal design of insolvency law. Part II includes two chapters (Chapters 2 and 3). The first one (Chapter 2) analyzes the market and institutional environment generally existing in emerging economies. The following chapter (Chapter 3) provides an overview of insolvency and restructuring laws around the world, emphasizing the primary features, similarities, and divergences generally found across jurisdictions. Part III comprises five chapters (Chapters 4–8) that develop the primary pillars that this book suggests for the design of an efficient insolvency framework in emerging economies. These pillars include the promotion of workouts and hybrid procedures (Chapter 4), the design of directors' duties in the zone of insolvency tailored to emerging economies (Chapter 5), the adoption of simplified insolvency proceedings for micro and small enterprises (Chapter 6), the need to redesign formal insolvency proceedings for medium and large enterprises (Chapter 7), and the desirability of allowing companies to choose their insolvency forum (Chapter 8). Part IV comprises a final chapter (Chapter 9) that explains why insolvency law has also failed in many advanced economies. Therefore, the book concludes by pointing out that insolvency law might need to be reinvented beyond emerging economies.

This book has been motivated by several factors. First, a primary driver for embarking on this project has been the strong belief that insolvency law can serve as a catalyst for growth. Therefore, if having an efficient insolvency framework is essential for any country, it becomes even more urgent for emerging economies due to their greater financial needs. Second, while it is generally accepted that an insolvency legislation should be tailored to the particular features of a country, the specific factors that should affect the design of insolvency law (and how) have not been clearly identified in the literature. This book seeks to fill that gap by providing a theoretical framework that can contribute to the design of insolvency law in different contexts. Finally, another motivation for conducting this project has been the surprising fact that, even though emerging markets and developing economies represent the majority of countries in the world, most studies on insolvency law have traditionally focused on advanced economies or, at most, in a few emerging markets such as Brazil, India and China. Therefore, I hope this book can encourage other colleagues and insolvency enthusiasts to join the fascinating and much needed debate on how emerging economies can design more attractive insolvency systems that can help them reduce poverty and foster growth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has benefited from the knowledge gained and the overwhelming support received from many people and institutions. First of all, I would like to thank my wonderful colleagues at Singapore Management University (SMU), and particularly the Dean of the SMU Yong Pung How School of Law, Lee Pey Woan, and our former Dean, the Honourable Justice Goh Yihan, for their continued encouragement and support. I would also like to thank the Honourable Justice Kannan Ramesh for kindly agreeing to serve as the Chair of the Advisory Board of the Singapore Global Restructuring Initiative (SGRI), as well as the members of this exciting project launched by SMU with the support of the Singapore Ministry of Law that seeks to conduct cutting-edge research in insolvency and restructuring while serving as a platform to foster cooperation among judges, practitioners, regulators, academics, and policymakers.

This book would not have been possible without the enriching experience that I had gathering and analyzing information from insolvency laws around the world for the development of a new global insolvency index that I have built with the purpose of assessing the attractiveness of reorganization procedures from the perspective of debtors, secured creditors, and unsecured creditors. Therefore, my sincere gratitude to the colleagues and students who have been directly or indirectly involved in this project. Likewise, I would like to thank the institutions that kindly helped me fund many of the research projects on insolvency law that I have conducted in the past few years. These institutions include the Singapore Ministry of Law – through the generous support provided for the launch of the SGRI – and the Lee Kong Chian Fellowship obtained from Singapore Management University. I would also like to thank the University of Chicago's Becker-Friedman Institute for Economics for the financial support kindly provided during my visit to Chicago, where I had the opportunity to present a preliminary version of my global insolvency index.

For their valuable comments and discussions about some of the ideas suggested in this book, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Scott Atkins, Ken Ayotte, Douglas Baird, Sumant Batra, Tony Casey, Harold Foo, Jason Harris, Josh Macey, Andres Martinez, Nick Moller, Michael Murray, Bob Rasmussen, Nydia Remolina, Richard Squire, Felix Steffek, Adrian Walters, Wai Yee Wan, and the Honourable Justice Christopher S. Sontchi. I would also like to thank the participants of various events where I had the opportunity to present some of the policy recommendations suggested in this book. These events have included the Conference on the Reorganization of Financially Distressed Companies organized by City University of Hong Kong, the Inaugural Conference of the Singapore Global Restructuring Initiative, the Latin American Roundtable on Corporate Restructuring and Insolvency jointly organized by INSOL International and the World Bank Group, the Harvard-Wharton Corporate Restructuring and Insolvency Seminar organized under the leadership of Jared Ellias and Vincent Buccola, and the 2nd International Research Conference on Insolvency and Bankruptcy jointly organized by the Indian Institute of Management Bangalore and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India. For excellent comments and suggestions to the book proposal that I submitted to the publisher, I would like to thank three anonymous reviewers. For valuable guidance and support during the publication process, I would like to thank Joe Ng and his excellent team from Cambridge University Press. For superb research assistance, I am very grateful to Joanna Qiu Ziyun, Rachel Toh, Chiow Hui Min, Toh Ding Jun, Sarah Teo, Cindy Chua, Elza Franco Lim, Jayme Low, Sheena Heng, Jonathan Cheong, and Lovein Sui. For his influence on my interest in the study of insolvency law from an economic perspective, I would like to thank John Armour. Of course, I could not conclude this note without thanking my confidant, my best friend, and the person who endures my daily quirks with unwavering support – my wonderful wife – as well as the rest of my family, and particularly the people who made this project and everything else possible: my parents.

TABLE OF CASES

Australia

Kinsela v Russel Pty Ltd. (1986) 10 ACLR 395 (CA), 165
Legend International Holdings Inc. [2016] VSC 308, 261
Walker v Wimborne (1976) 137 CLR 1 (HCA), 63, 165

Canada

Peoples Department Stores Inc. (trustee of) v Wise [2004] 3 SCR 461, 173

European Union

In re Eurofood IFSC Ltd. (Case C-341/04) [2006], 261

Hong Kong

Provisional Liquidator of Global Brands Group Holding Ltd. v Computershare Hong Kong Trustees Ltd. [2022] HKCFI 1789, 261

Indonesia

Constitutional Court Decision No. 67/PUU-XI/2013, 66

Singapore

In Re PT MNC Investama TBK [2020] SGHC 149, 267
Liquidators of Progen Engineering Pte Ltd. v Progen Holdings Ltd. [2010] 4 SLR 1089 (SGCA), 165
Re Tantleff, Alan [2022] SGHC 147, 261
Re: Zetta Jet Pte Ltd. and others (Asia Aviation Holdings Pte Ltd., intervener) [2019] SGHC 53, 249, 260, 261
Sun Electric Power v RCMA Asia Pte Ltd. [2021] SGCA 60, 151

United Kingdom

BTI 2014 LLC v Sequana SA [2022] UKSC 25, 165, 166
Re DeepOcean 1 UK Ltd [2021] EWHC 138 (Ch), 227

- Re MC Bacon Ltd [1990] BCLC 324, 65, 242
Re Stanford International Bank Ltd (In Receivership) [2010] EWCA Civ 137; [2010] 3
 WLR 941, 261
 Van Gansewinkel Groep B.V. [2015] EWHC 2151, 268
 West Mercia Safetywear v Dodd [1988] BCLC 250 (CA), 165, 166

United States

- Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel), 722 F.2d 1063 (2d
 Cir.1983), 229
 Credit Lyonnais Bank v Pathe Communications Corp., No. 12150, 1991 WL 277613
 (Del. Ch. December 30, 1991), 157
 Fields Station LLC v Capitol Food Corp. (In re Capitol Food Corp.), 490 F.3d 21, 83
 Franchise Services of North America, Inc. v Macquarie Capital (USA), Inc. (In re
 Franchise Services of North America, Inc.), 891 F.3d 198 (5th Cir. 2018), 264
 In re Augie/Restivo Baking Co., 860 F.2d 515 (2d Cir. 1988), 237
 In re Citadel Properties, Inc. 86 B.R. 275 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1988), 248
 In re Crowthers McCall Pattern, Inc., 120 B.R. 279 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990), 227
 In re Global Ocean Carriers Ltd. 251 B.R. (Bankr. D. Del. 2000), 268
 In re: LTL Management LLC (3d Cir. 2023), 83
 In re Madison, 184 B.R. 686 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1995), 248
 In re McTague 198 B.R. 428, 43 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 1996), 268
 In re Owens Corning, 419 F.3d 195 (3d Cir. 2005), 237
 In re Roblin Industries, Inc., 78 F.3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996), 151
 In re Ti-Continental Exchange Ltd., 349 B.R. 627, 635 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2006), 261
 Matter of Foreman Industries, Inc., 59 B.R. 145 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1986), 151
 North American Catholic Educational Programming Foundation, Inc. v Gheewalla, 930
 A.2d 92 (Del. 2007), 157, 158, 173
 Prod. Res. Group, LLC v NCT Group, Inc., 863 A.2d 772 (Del. Ch. 2004), 151
 Quadrant Structured Prods Co. v Vertin, 102 A.3d 155 (Del. Ch. 2014), 173
 Smith v Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 85 (Del. 1985), 158
 United Airlines, Inc. v HSBC Bank U.S.A., 416 F.3d 609 (7th Cir. 2005), 276