

## The Ruins of Rome

The beguiling ruins of Rome have a long history of allure. They first engaged the attention of later mediaeval tourists, just as they do today. The interest of travellers was captured in the Renaissance by artists, architects, topographers, antiquarians, archaeologists and writers. Once the ruins were seen to appeal to visitors, and to matter for their aesthetic quality, their protection and attractive presentation became imperative. Rome's ruins were the first to be the object of preservation orders, and novel measures were devised for their conservation in innovative archaeological parks. The city's remains provided models for souvenirs; paintings of them decorated the walls of eighteenth-century English country houses; and picturesque sham Roman ruins sprang up in landscape gardens across Europe. Writers responded in various ways to their emotional appeal. Roland Mayer's attractive new history will delight all those interested in the remarkable survival and preservation of a unique urban environment.

ROLAND MAYER is Emeritus Professor of Classics at King's College London.



John Goldicutt (1793–1842), view in Rome, 1820, watercolour over pencil,  $14\frac{3}{8} \times 11\frac{1}{4}$  in. (36.5 × 28.6 cm), The Huntington Library, Art Museum, and Botanical Gardens. Gilbert Davis Collection.

# The Ruins of Rome

## A Cultural History

---

ROLAND MAYER  
King's College London



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781009430104](http://www.cambridge.org/9781009430104)

DOI: 10.1017/9781009430074

© Roland Mayer 2025

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781009430074

First published 2025

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Mayer, Roland, 1947– author.

Title: The ruins of Rome : a cultural history / Roland Mayer, King's College London.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2025. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

| Contents: Ruins in Antiquity – How Rome Became Ruinous – Mediaeval Responses to the Ruins of Rome – The Watershed: Petrarch and His Successors – The Battle for the Ruins – From Topographical Treatise to Guidebook – The Ruins Visualized: Paintings and Vedute, Drawings and Engravings, Photographs – 'Virtual' Rome: Rome Reconstructed-Visionary Archaeology – Remembering the Grand Tour: Paintings, Models and Other Souvenirs – Ruins in the Landscape Garden – Conservation, Restoration and Presentation of Ruins – Literary Responses to the Ruins,

Identifiers: LCCN 2024020559 | ISBN 9781009430104 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009430111 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009430074 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Rome (Italy) – Antiquities. | Classical antiquities in art. | Architecture, Roman, in art. | Ruins in art. | Ruins in literature. | Rome – In literature.

Classification: LCC DG807 .M39 2025 | DDC 937–dc23/eng/20240502

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2024020559>

ISBN 978-1-009-43010-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# Contents

*List of Figures*    page [vi]  
*Preface*    xv  
*Acknowledgements*    [xix]  
*List of Abbreviations*    [xxi]

1   Ruins in Antiquity    [1]  
 2   How Rome Became Ruinous    [14]  
 3   Mediaeval Responses to the Ruins of Rome    [34]  
 4   The Watershed: Petrarch and His Successors    [53]  
 5   The Battle for the Ruins    [67]  
 6   From Topographical Treatise to Guidebook    [95]  
 7   The Ruins Visualised: Paintings and *Vedute*, Drawings and Engravings, Photographs    [119]  
 8   ‘Virtual’ Rome: Rome Reconstructed – Visionary Archaeology    [158]  
 9   Remembering the Grand Tour: Paintings, Models and Other Souvenirs    [179]  
 10   Ruins in the Landscape Garden    [212]  
 11   Conservation, Restoration and Presentation of Ruins    [241]  
 12   Literary Responses to the Ruins    [277]

*Epilogue*    [308]  
*Notes*    [311]  
*Bibliography*    [340]  
*Index*    [365]

## Figures

- Frontispiece: John Goldicutt (1793–1842), view in Rome, 1820, watercolour over pencil,  $14\frac{3}{8} \times 11\frac{1}{4}$  in. ( $36.5 \times 28.6$  cm), The Huntington Library, Art Museum, and Botanical Gardens. Gilbert Davis Collection *page* [ii]
- 1.1 *Rustic Sanctuary*, State Collections of Antiquities and Glyptothek Munich, Inv. 455 WAF. Photo: Christa Koppermann [8]
  - 1.2 *Chateaubriand Meditating upon the Ruins of Rome* by Anne-Louis Girodet de Roussy-Trioson [11]
  - 2.1 Remains of the Circus Maximus. Photo: Luciano Tronati – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [15]
  - 2.2 Panorama of the Baths of Caracalla. Photo: Massimo Baldi – own work, CC BY-SA 3.0 [15]
  - 2.3 Basilica of Maxentius. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [17]
  - 2.4 Loading animals for *Venatio*, Villa Romana del Casale, Sicily. Photo: Getty Images [21]
  - 2.5 The nave of San Pietro in Vincoli. Photo: C. Suceveanu, CC BY-SA 4.0 [26]
  - 2.6 Ancient doors of the Temple of Romulus. Photo: Joris, CC BY-SA 3.0 [27]
  - 3.1 Allegorical relief of a Roman province. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Carole Raddato, CC BY-SA 2.0 [39]
  - 3.2 J.-G. Moitte, terracotta sculpture after a relief on the Arch of Titus. Photo: Los Angeles County Museum of Art/public domain [48]
  - 3.3 Tabularium. Photo: Rita1234 – own work, CC BY-SA 3.0 [50]
  - 4.1 Lafréry, *The Septizodium of Severus*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [59]
  - 4.2 Roma in Fazio's *Dittamondo*. Photo: Sonia Halliday/Alamy [62]
  - 4.3 Mérigot, *Temple of Venus and Cupid*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Internet Archive Book Images [66]
  - 5.1 The Casa dei Crescenzi. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Daderot/Creative Commons CCO 1.0, universal public domain dedication [68]

- 5.2 Brunelleschi, S. Spirito interior. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Sailko, CC BY-SA 3.0 [72]
- 5.3 Alberti, Palazzo Rucellai, Florence. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Benjamin Núñez González – own work/CC BY-SA 4.0 [74]
- 5.4 Arch of Trajan, Ancona. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Claudio. stanco, CC BY-SA 4.0 [77]
- 5.5 Strozzi, *Map of Ancient Rome*, 1474. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [81]
- 5.6 Temple of Antoninus and Faustina. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – ThePhotografer – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 international; the staircase is modern [89]
- 5.7 Herman Posthumus (1512–66), *Landscape with Roman Ruins*, 1536, LIECHTENSTEIN, The Princely Collections – Vaduz-Vienna. Photo: 2024 © LIECHTENSTEIN, The Princely Collections – Vaduz-Vienna/Scala, Florence [90]
- 5.8 Cancelleria nuova, Rome. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Lalupa/public domain [93]
- 5.9 Cancelleria nuova, courtyard. Photo: I, Sailko, CC BY-SA 3.0 [94]
- 6.1 Romulan Rome in Calvo's *Simulachrum*, 1532. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [99]
- 6.2 The Tenth Region of ancient Rome in Calvo's *Simulachrum*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons/public domain [99]
- 6.3 Pirro Ligorio, map of Ancient Rome, 1552. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [103]
- 6.4 Coin depicting Nero's *Macellum Magnum*. Photo: Dirk Sonnenwald, Berlin, Münzkabinett der Staatlichen Museen, 18204470, public domain mark 1.0 [104]
- 6.5 Ligorio, *Rometta Fountain*, Villa d'Este, Tivoli. Photo: Palickap – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [105]
- 6.6 Venturini, *Ligorio's Rometta*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [106]
- 7.1 Maso di Banco, *Pope Sylvester and the Dragon*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Sailko, CCA 3.0 [121]
- 7.2 Masaccio, *Holy Trinity*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Web Gallery of Art 14208/public domain [122]
- 7.3 Mantegna, *S. Sebastian*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [123]
- 7.4 Botticelli, *Nativity*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [124]

- 7.5 Parmigianino, *Madonna and Child*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [127]
- 7.6 Caron, *Massacres*. Photo: author [128]
- 7.7 Palazzo Spada, picture gallery. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Kent Wang, CC BY-SA 2.0 [130]
- 7.8 Matthijs Bril the Younger, *Forum of Nerva 1570–80*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [131]
- 7.9 Van Poelenburgh, *Figures Dancing Near a Ruin*. Photo: Detroit Institute of Arts – public domain [132]
- 7.10 Claude Lorrain, *Landscape with the Arch of Titus*. Photo: National Gallery of Victoria, Melbourne – public domain [133]
- 7.11 Lorrain, *Ascanius Shooting a Stag*. Photo: Getty Images [134]
- 7.12 Lorrain, *Drawing of Ascanius*. Photo: Getty Images [135]
- 7.13 Panini, *Capriccio of ruins and sculpture*. Photo: Yale University Art Gallery/public domain [137]
- 7.14 Panini, *Ancient Rome*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [138]
- 7.15 Robert, *A Hermit Praying in the Ruins of a Roman Temple*. Photo: Getty Open Content Program [139]
- 7.16 Robert, *Ruins of the Grande Galerie*. Photo: Web Gallery of Art/public domain [141]
- 7.17 Gandy, *A Bird's-Eye View of the Bank of England*. Photo: © Sir John Soane's Museum, London [142]
- 7.18 Heemskerck, *Panorama with the Abduction of Helen amidst the Wonders of the World*. Photo: Walters Art Museum/Creative Commons Licence [144]
- 7.19 Lafréry, title page for the *Speculum*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [146]
- 7.20 Cock, *Baths of Diocletian*. Photo: Los Angeles County Museum of Art/public domain [148]
- 7.21 Hendrick van Cleve III, *Interior of the Colosseum*, Colisei prospectus. Harvard Art Museums/Fogg Museum, Light-Outerbridge Collection, Richard Norton Memorial Fund. Photo © President and Fellows of Harvard College, M24480.16 [149]
- 7.22 Dupérac, *View of the Campidoglio from the Forum*, 1584. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [149]
- 7.23 Piranesi, *The 'Temple of Peace'*. Photo: Yale University Art Gallery – The Arthur Ross Collection/public domain [153]
- 7.24 Macpherson, *Theatre of Marcellus*, 1858. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [156]



- 7.25 Lamy, stereogram of the Column of Phocas, 1861–78. Photo: Rijksmuseum – CC0/public domain [157]
- 8.1 Golden Bull of Ludwig IV the Bavarian. Photo: Lutz-Jürgen Lübke, Münzkabinett, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin – public domain mark [159]
- 8.2 Bordone, *Colosseum in the Triumph of Caesar*, 1504. Photo: Berlin State Museums, Kupferstichkabinett/Volker-H. Schneider – public domain mark 1.0 [161]
- 8.3 Frieze from Castillo de Vélez Blanco. Photo: author [162]
- 8.4 Serlio, Temple of Vesta, Tivoli. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [162]
- 8.5 Lauro, *The Circus Flaminius*, Harvard Art Museums/Fogg Museum, Gift of Max Falk. Photo © President and Fellows of Harvard College, M24967.78 [164]
- 8.6 Fischer von Erlach, *The Forum of Trajan*. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – public domain [165]
- 8.7 Unger, Belvedere, Potsdam. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Krückstock, CC BY-SA 3.0 [166]
- 8.8 Canina, *Forum of Julius Caesar*. Photo: © Royal Academy of Arts, London. Photographer: Prudence Cuming Associates Limited [167]
- 8.9 Warren and Wetmore, Grand Central Terminal, New York City. Photo: Metropolitan Transportation Authority of the State of New York, CCBY-SA 2.0 [169]
- 8.10 (a) Staccioli, reconstruction of the Forum of Julius Caesar. Photo: author; (b) Staccioli, ruins of the Forum of Julius Caesar. Photo: author [171]
- 8.11 Bigot, *maquette* of Rome. Photo: Q. Keyzers, CCBY-SA 3.0 [174]
- 8.12 Gismondi, *Plastico*. Photo: J.-P. Dalbéra, CCA 2.0 [175]
- 9.1 Batoni, *Portrait of Sir Gregory Turner*. Photo: David Munro [180]
- 9.2 Piranesi, *Pantheon*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [181]
- 9.3 Ricci, *Monument to Sir Clowdisley Shovell*. Photo: The National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC, Samuel H. Cress Collection/public domain [182]
- 9.4 Ricci, *Classical Ruins with Equestrian Statue and Round Temple*. Photo: Los Angeles County Museum of Art/public domain [183]
- 9.5 Shugborough Hall, former drawing room. Photo: Tony Hisgett, CCBY-SA 2.0 [184]
- 9.6 Ducros, *Temple of Peace*. Photo: Yale Center for British Art/public domain [186]

- 9.7 Harewood House, Music Room. Photo: Daderot/public domain [187]
- 9.8 Kedleston Hall, the Saloon. Photo: The Roaming Picture Taker, CC BY-SA 2.0 [189]
- 9.9 South Wall, Print Room, Woodhall Park, Hertfordshire. Photo: Matthew Hollow, courtesy of Paul Mellon Centre for Studies in British Art [190]
- 9.10 Wallpaper, Boston Manor, Hounslow. Photo: Rick Morgan [190]
- 9.11 Dodd, *Arch of Titus*. Photo: author [191]
- 9.12 Cole, *A View Near Tivoli (Morning)*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [192]
- 9.13 Moglia, micromosaic view of the Pantheon. Photo: Wikimedia Commons/public domain [194]
- 9.14 Raffaelli, micromosaic view of the Arch of Janus Quadrifrons. Photo: © Victoria and Albert Museum, London [195]
- 9.15 Princess Augusta's fan. Photo: Royal Collection Trust/© His Majesty King Charles III 2023 [196]
- 9.16 Rosa, cork model of the Arch of Constantine. Photo: Wolfgang Fuhrmannek, © Hessisches Landesmuseum Darmstadt, CC BY-SA 4.0 [197]
- 9.17 Carl May, cork model of the Arch of the Argentarii. Photo: Los Angeles County Museum of Art/public domain [199]
- 9.18 Georg May, cork model of the restored Arch of Titus. Photo: Lutz Hartmann, CC BY-SA 3.0 [199]
- 9.19 Soane's cork model of the Temple of Fortuna Virilis. Photo: © Sir John Soane's Museum, London [200]
- 9.20 Dubourg, cork model of the Colosseum. Photo: Jon Augier, © Museums Victoria, CC BY licensed as Attribution 4.0 International [202]
- 9.21 Lucangeli, model of the Colosseum. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Jean-Pierre Dalbéra from Paris, France, CC BY-SA 2.0 [203]
- 9.22 The Bellis, Arch of Constantine. Photo: Royal Collection Trust/© His Majesty King Charles III 2023 [204]
- 9.23 The Sarcophagus of Scipio. Photo: Getty Images [205]
- 9.24 Model of the Sarcophagus in *rosso antico* marble, ca. 1810. Photo: author [205]
- 9.25 Tomb of Thomas Skarratt Hall. Photo: No Swan So Fine – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [206]

- 9.26 Eli Whitney's sarcophagus in New Haven. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Noroton/public domain [207]
- 9.27 Robert Hancock, transfer print on Worcester Porcelain Punch-bowl. Photo: © Victoria and Albert Museum, London [208]
- 9.28 Prattware dish with ruins *capriccio*. Photo: author [209]
- 9.29 Theo Fennell, Colosseum ring. Photo: author [210]
- 10.1 Langley, plate XX of *New Principles of Gardening*, 1728. Photo: author [214]
- 10.2 The Mausoleum, Painshill Park, Surrey. Photo: author [216]
- 10.3 Chambers, arch at Kew. Photo: Patche99z, CC BY-SA 3.0 [218]
- 10.4 Wilson, sketch of Kew Arch. Photo: Getty Images [218]
- 10.5 Goathurst, Temple of Harmony. Photo: Stronach at English Wikipedia – Transferred from en.wikipedia to Commons, CC0 1.0 universal public domain dedication, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=32927719> [219]
- 10.6 Adam, design for a *capriccio* of a Roman ruin. Photo: © Victoria and Albert Museum [220]
- 10.7 Basevi, *Garden Ruins at Pitzhanger Manor*. Photo: © Sir John Soane's Museum, London [221]
- 10.8 Wyatville, Roman ruins at Virginia Water: The Avenue. Photo: US Library of Congress/public domain [222]
- 10.9 Gibberd, ruins of a Roman temple. Photo: author [223]
- 10.10 La Naumachie, Parc Monceau. Photo: Patrick Janicek, CC BY-SA 2.0 generic [224]
- 10.11 Arkadia Park, aqueduct. Photo: Jolanta Dyr – own work, CC BY-SA 3.0 [225]
- 10.12 Theatre ruin, Lazienki Park, Warsaw. Photo: Ken Eckert – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [226]
- 10.13 Knobelsdorff, Ruinenberg, Potsdam. Photo: DerFotograf – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [227]
- 10.14 Schlossküche, Marmoralais. Photo: Oursana/public domain [227]
- 10.15 Piranesi, Temple of Jupiter Tonans, Jupiter the Thunderer, actually the Temple of Vespasian and Titus. Photo: Harvard Art Museums/Fogg Museum, permanent transfer from the Fine Arts Library, Harvard University, Gift of Thomas Palmer, Esq., of Boston, 1772. Photo © President and Fellows of Harvard College, 2008.312.44 [228]
- 10.16 Ruin cluster at Schloss Klein-Glienicke. Photo: Andreas F. E. Bernhard, CC BY-SA 3.0 [229]

- 10.17 Three columns of Jupiter Tonans, Hohenheim. Photo: Weltenspringerin – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [229]
- 10.18 Sham ruin at Schloss Hohenheim. Photo: Creazilla/public domain [230]
- 10.19 ‘Roman Ruin’ in the Georgium, Dessau. Photo MH.DE – own work, CC BY-SA 3.0 [231]
- 10.20 Ruined aqueduct, Wilhemshöhe. Photo: A. Savin, WikiCommons [232]
- 10.21 Shrine to Mercury, Schloss Schwetzingen. Photo: Dguendel – own work, CC BY 4.0 [232]
- 10.22 Karthago, Vienna. Photo: Jorge Valenzuela A, CC BY-SA 3.0 [233]
- 10.23 Petitot, Tempietto d’Arcadia, Parma. Photo: Lex2/public domain [234]
- 10.24 Petitot’s drawing of the *tempietto*. Photo: Metropolitan Museum of Art/public domain [234]
- 10.25 Island temple at Caserta. Photo: Marcok, CC BY-SA 3.0 [235]
- 10.26 Villa Borghese, ruined temple. Photo: Daderot/public domain CC0 1.0 [237]
- 10.27 Temple of Flora, Washington, DC. Photo: AgnosticPreachersKid, CC BY-SA 3.0 [239]
- 11.1 Heemskerck, view of the Sacra via, ca. 1536. Photo: Staatliche Museen zu Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett/Jörg P. Anders – public domain mark 1.0 [243]
- 11.2 Caneva, columns of the Temple of Castor and Pollux. Photo: This file was donated to Wikimedia Commons as part of a project by the Metropolitan Museum of Art. See the Image and Data Resources Open Access Policy, CC0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=60498286> [243]
- 11.3 Cruyl, view of the Forum, 1665. Photo: The Cleveland Museum of Art, Dudley P. Alan Fund/public domain [244]
- 11.4 Rossini, Arch of Septimius Severus in 1820. Photo: The Art Institute of Chicago/CCO public domain designation [246]
- 11.5 Stern, Colosseum buttress. Photo: Alessandroferri, CC BY-SA 4.0 [247]
- 11.6 Forum of Trajan. Photo: Mattis – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [251]
- 11.7 The Roman Forum ca. 1850. Photo: ImageZeno.org, ID number 20001877704, public domain, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=64901839> [254]
- 11.8 The Shrine of Juturna. Photo: author [255]

- 11.9 The reconstructed Arch of Titus, 1853–6. Photo: Wikimedia Commons courtesy of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, Jane Martha St John, CC0 1.0 [256]
- 11.10 Valadier's buttress. Photo: Wknight94, CC BY-SA 3.0 [257]
- 11.11 Taylor and Cresy, plate XC, *Capital of the Temple of Jupiter Stator*. Photo: author [258]
- 11.12 Reconstructed pier in Basilica Julia. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – MM/public domain [259]
- 11.13 Reconstructed Portico of the Harmonious Gods. Photo: Lalupa – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [260]
- 11.14 Catel, 'Inside the Colosseum, 1822'. Photo: The Art Institute of Chicago/CCO public domain designation [263]
- 11.15 Toadflax in a wall in Frensham, Surrey, UK. Photo: author [264]
- 11.16 Richter, *View of the Temple of Minerva Medica*. Photo: Ablakok – own work/public domain – CCA-SA 4.0 International [266]
- 11.17 Contemporary view of the Temple of Minerva Medica. Photo: Lalupa, CC BY-SA 3.0 [266]
- 11.18 Temples in the Largo Argentina. Photo: Wikimedia Commons – Jastrow/public domain [269]
- 11.19 The Theatre of Marcellus. Photo: Palickap – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [270]
- 11.20 Via del Teatro romano, Trieste. Photo: author [271]
- 11.21 Meier, pavilion of the Ara Pacis. Photo: Manfred Heyde – own work, CC BY-SA 3.0 [273]
- 11.22 Pavement of the Senate House. Photo: Rodrigo Caballero R. – own work, public domain [273]
- 11.23 The Forum of Julius Caesar. Photo: Carole Raddato from FRANKFURT, Germany, CC BY-SA 2.0 [274]
- 11.24 The Temple of Vesta, Roman Forum. Photo: Jebulon – own work, CC01.0 universal public domain dedication [275]
- 12.1 Chernetsov, *The Colosseum by Moonlight*, 1842. Photo: Wikimedia Commons/public domain [291]
- 12.2 Jane Martha St John, *The Pyramid of Cestius*. Photo: Jane Martha St John – Getty Open Content [295]
- 12.3 The Pont du Gard, Gard, France. Photo: author [303]
- 12.4 The Temple of Deified Hadrian. Photo: Following Hadrian – own work, CC BY-SA 4.0 [304]

## Preface

In the introduction to her recent book, Susan Stewart pertinently asked how Roman ruins came to be prototypical: was it something to do with their scale, or did the continuous presence of visitors ensure that they were seen? The answer to both questions, as she demonstrates, is in the positive, and so ‘the representation of Roman ruins has proved to be a paradigm for the apprehension of ruins’ more generally (2020: 18–19). Stewart’s gaze is fixed chiefly upon the pictorial representation of ruins, and especially the prototypical images of the ruins of Rome. But more can be said about how those ruins, rather than any others, came to be so greatly esteemed that they were depicted in paintings and engravings, and imitated in gardens. What is even more exceptional and unusual for any decayed structures, the ruins of Rome were the first to be conserved and protected for future ages to study and enjoy. The present book aims to survey more comprehensively the origins of the attraction of Rome’s ruins and the outcomes of that attraction in the study, depiction and conservation of the ancient city’s material remains. In effect this is an extended essay in reception, centred exclusively on the ruins of Rome itself.

Many of the chapters of this book originated over twenty years ago as lectures to second-year undergraduates at King’s College London. The students were following the non-linguistic Classical Studies programme, and the course for which the lectures were prepared was compulsory for all of them. The title of the course was ‘Views of Rome’, and my Head of Department, Professor Henrik Mouritsen, gave me one piece of advice: ‘Make it entertaining, Roland.’ Of course, he didn’t mean that the content should be meagre or the coverage superficial, but that I should always keep in mind the diverse interests of a large group of students who had no choice in the matter, and came to the programme with different levels of knowledge of Roman antiquity. Thus little could be taken for granted. Still, many of the students had visited Rome, or were determined to do so, and so they were at least to some degree ‘on my side’ already. The issue then was to ensure that their interest in the ancient city of Rome and its remains, as actually seen or imagined down the ages, did not flag – that was why the

entertainment factor was important. I have tried to follow Henrik's advice in working up my material for a wider audience.

'Views of Rome' was a helpfully baggy concept. In some cases it could be taken literally – what could be seen materially in Rome at various times in the city's long history. It was this literal viewing that pushed the ruins into prominence in the lecture programme as it took shape over the years. We have accounts in ancient literature of what the city looked like, and how it struck those who saw it, but we can only imagine what the reality was. That sparked an investigation of modern imaginative reconstructions of Rome, either in drawings and engravings or in three-dimensional models, or nowadays in films and computer-generated imagery. Now all these reconstructions are based on the physical evidence of the ruins. But the ruins cannot tell their own story, which has to be mined from texts, and so the topographical researches on the ancient city which began in earnest in the fifteenth century had to be sifted (keeping in mind that my target audience then and now will not read the original works in Latin or perhaps even in Italian).

At the time that topographical treatises were being produced in Italy, artists working in Rome began to depict ruins in their paintings, and that pictorial 'view' of the ruins, upon which Susan Stewart has so fruitfully focussed, raised an obvious question: why depict a ruin; what was the attraction? The question became even more comprehensive when Italian architecture of the period was taken into account: why was Gothic style repudiated by some architects in favour of a restored 'Roman' style, based on careful drawing and study of the ruins? The answer to the question seemed simple enough, namely that the Roman style began to make an appeal to the eye of the painter and of the architect, an appeal generated and sustained by autopsy of the ruins. The ruins of Rome thus began to have an importance unlike any other ruins in the world; they became, as Stewart put it, prototypical. It was my attempt to unpack the implications of this conviction that led me, long after the lecture course from which so many of this book's topics are derived, to settle down to sorting out the issues into some sort of coherent order. The order had to be roughly chronological, since my aim is to chart the enlargement of the sentiment which Rose Macaulay felicitously dubbed 'ruin-mindedness'.

Ruin-mindedness is not an ancient or a universal sentiment, as I will show in the first chapter. This raises the fundamental question: why did the ruins of Rome come to matter so much and in so many ways? The second chapter accounts for the ruination of the city despite attempts at maintenance of the built environment. A number of the city's generally



nameless ruins surviving from the pagan past acquired legendary accounts in the Middle Ages, the *Mirabilia*. These accounts, the subject of the third chapter, kept alive the sense that antique Rome had been an extraordinary place, filled with wonders: *Mirabilia*. Shattered and slighted though the city became, it had a mystique and a glamour attested to by these works of popular story-telling. But none of this really counts as ‘ruin-mindedness’, since the ruins were pretty much taken for granted and left to shift for themselves, integrated as they were into the contemporary urban fabric.

Ruin-mindedness begins with the poet Francesco Petrarch, the subject along with his successors of the fourth chapter. He is the first person we know of who went to Rome with the intention of seeing the ruins. Thanks to his unrivalled knowledge of Latin literature, he viewed the ruins as part of the historical and cultural heritage of the ancient Romans, a material complement to the history of Livy and the poetry of Virgil. His enthusiasm was infectious and it can be claimed that he initiated two new disciplines, urban topography and antiquarianism, the subjects of the next two chapters, 5 and 6.

Petrarch’s ruin-mindedness, however, stopped short of an aesthetic response to the ruins; he admired them as vestiges of Roman history and culture, but he didn’t find them beautiful. The development of a ‘ruin-aesthetic’ comes gradually with the Renaissance and is owed to architects like Brunelleschi and to painters like Raphael. The architects wanted to build in the Roman manner, *all’antica*, and the painters introduced Roman ruins into the background of their pictures. Such was their commitment to the study and imitation of the Roman style that they saw the need to conserve the ruins, another core feature of ruin-mindedness: what is beautiful must be preserved for later generations to study and admire and imitate. So the fifth chapter charts the developing sense that the ruins had to be saved in order to serve as models; it also surveys the growth of topographical and antiquarian study, chiefly in the figure of Flavio Biondo.

The sixth chapter continues the topographical theme but adds a new factor in the appreciation of Rome’s ruins: tourism. People were now following Petrarch’s lead in coming to Rome to see the ruins, and so topographical treatises evolved into guidebooks, many of which focussed solely on the ancient remains to the exclusion of the modern city. These treatises and guides were illustrated with maps and engraved images of the ruins.

The seventh chapter develops the aesthetic theme, tracing the way in which Rome’s ruins advanced from the background staffage of Renaissance painting to become the foregrounded subject matter in the Baroque era and the eighteenth century. In short, the ruins had by the 1700s acquired full



aesthetic validation when they became the very subject matter of paintings by Claude or in the engravings of Piranesi. The eighth chapter pursues the urge among artists to imagine a reconstruction of the ruins, and not just of individual buildings but of the whole ancient city, as we find in the three-dimensional models of the twentieth century.

Petrarch initiated ruin-tourism, and that flowered in the period of the eighteenth-century Grand Tour. Chapter 9 is devoted to the ruin souvenirs of the grand tourists. Once again the aesthetic validation of ruins was to the fore, since the English in particular decorated the interiors of their houses with scenes of ruination. They brought home models of ruins in cork or marble for display; their porcelain was decorated with ruin motifs. They even built sham Roman ruins in their gardens, the theme of Chapter 10. The eighteenth-century fashion for the English garden swept continental Europe and many gardens, even in Rome itself, have sham Roman ruins after the English fashion.

Conservation is a fundamental feature of true ruin-mindedness, but the early attempts of artists to preserve the ruins of Rome were largely unsuccessful until the tourism of the eighteenth century made it clear that there was an economic benefit to the preservation and attractive presentation of the city's ruins. This is the theme of Chapter 11.

The twelfth and final chapter brings together literary responses to the ruins of Rome. Over the centuries after Petrarch the ruins of Rome had acquired historical, cultural and even aesthetic validation, all the outcome of the development of a sentiment favourable to ruination: ruin-mindedness. For the clear expression of an emotional response we must turn to writers, who can put into plain words how they felt about the ruins they had travelled to see. The feelings are surprisingly various – sometimes elation, sometimes moral disgust or even existential despair. Whatever the reaction, it is always founded, as was Petrarch's, on the fact that the ruins of Rome have an impressive historical and cultural context, thanks to the survival of Latin literature. The physical remains of the ancient city are given meaning by the literary heritage, and it is that which enables writers to respond to them in a way that one cannot respond to a ruin without a secure historical context, such as Stonehenge or Machu Picchu.

## Acknowledgements

Most translations are my own, unless a different source is named. I have used the following translations in the Loeb Classical Library (Cambridge, MA and London): Ammianus Marcellinus (1935–9), J. C. Rolfe; *The Greek Anthology* (1916–18), W. R. Paton; Horace, *Odes* (2004), N. Rudd; Pausanias, *Description of Greece* (1918–35), W. H. S. Jones; Pliny, *Natural History* (1949–62), H. Rackham; Procopius, *Gothic Wars* (1919), H. B. Dewing; Strabo, *Geography* (1917–32), H. L. Jones; Tacitus, *Annals* (1931–7), J. Jackson; and Tacitus, *Histories* (1925–31), C. H. Moore.

Many friends and colleagues have offered me valuable specialist information or encouraging support during the years of my work on this book. I am therefore glad to thank Michael Sharp at Cambridge University Press for supporting this undertaking; Ralph Abel Smith for an invitation to visit the print room at Woodhall Park; Lucia Athanassaki, University of Crete, Rethymnon, for an invitation to speak; Julia Bagguley for information on the Royal Collection and the Grand Tour; David Barrie for sustained encouragement; Judy Berringer at Edinburgh University for information on early Greece; Andrew Burnett for numismatic assistance; Giovanna Ceserani at Stanford University for information on grand tourists and the Vasi project; Helen Dorey at the Soane Museum for information about the collection's cork models; Catharine Edwards at Birkbeck University of London for bibliographical information; Sally Evans-Darby for exemplary copy-editing; Kirsten Forrest for a chance to see Alan Dodd's decorations in the Alexandra Palace, north London; Luigi Galasso for an invitation to speak at La Cattolica, Milan; Elizabeth Gibson for legal advice on licences and copyright; Mary Gibson for bibliographical information; Woldemar Görler for advice on Potsdam; Hiba Hajaj for showing me Theo Fennell's Colosseum ring and explaining its manufacture to me; Nick Holzberg for an invitation to address The Petronian Society, Munich section; Perilla Kinchin for invaluable assistance with the illustrations; Chris Kraus for an invitation to speak at Yale University, bibliographical information and publication advice and for reading the whole work; Stephen Leach for bringing A. H. Clough's poem to my attention; Susan Mickle for an invitation to speak at Gordon's School, West End, Surrey; Rick Morgan for the photograph of

the wallpaper at Boston Manor; David Munro for the photograph of Page-Turner; Isabel Raphael for an invitation to address the Highgate Literary and Scientific Institution; Kate Retford for help securing the photograph of the print room at Woodhall Park and for a steer towards wallpapers; Isabella Sandwell at the University of Bristol for direction to the sham ruins of the Villa Torlonia; Alessandro Schiesaro at Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa for the invitation to speak at La Sapienza Rome and for information regarding holders of the Chair of Archaeology there; Susan Stephens for an invitation to speak at Stanford University and for sorting out my Greek; and Carolyn Walton for an invitation to address the Burford Art Society and for information about Art UK's website.

I am especially grateful to the Hugh Last Fund, administered by the Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies, for a grant covering the purchase of a number of the images.

# Abbreviations

CAH	Cameron, A., B. Ward-Perkins and M. Whitby (eds.), 2000. <i>The Cambridge Ancient History</i> , volume xiv: <i>Late Antiquity: Empire and Successors, A.D. 425–600</i> , Cambridge.
CIL	1863–. <i>Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum</i> , Berlin.
CTCR	Valentini, R. and G. Zucchetti (eds.), 1940–53. <i>Codice topografico della città di Roma</i> (4 volumes), Rome.
DBI	Ghisalberti, A. M. (ed.), 1960–. <i>Dizionario biografico degli Italiani</i> , Rome (available online at <a href="http://www.treccani.it/biografico">www.treccani.it/biografico</a> ).
DNB	<i>The Oxford Dictionary of National Biography</i> , 2004– (available online at <a href="http://www.oxforddnb.com">www.oxforddnb.com</a> ).
ILS	Dessau, H. (ed.), 1892–1916. <i>Inscriptiones Latinae Selectae</i> , Berlin.
OCD	Hornblower, S., A. Spawforth and E. Eidinow (eds.), 2012. <i>The Oxford Classical Dictionary</i> (4th ed.), Oxford.
ODLA	Nicholson, O. (ed.), 2018. <i>The Oxford Dictionary of Late Antiquity</i> , Oxford.
PLRE	Martindale, J. R. (ed.), 1971–92. <i>Prosopography of the Later Roman Empire</i> , Cambridge.