

Index

- Aarhus Convention, 116, 123, 142, 179
- ACHPR. *See* African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR)
- ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
- actio popularis*, 136, 483, 487
- adaptation, 15, 65–67
- cases, 173, 181–182
- admissibility, 5, 131–144, 178, 192, 283, 383
- in Belgium, 138
- in Colombia, 137–138, 139–140
- CRC, 135–136
- definition, 131
- ECtHR, 134, 141
- emerging best practice, 138–141
- IACHR, 134, 140
- Inuit Peoples petition against United States over climate change, 134–135
- IACtHR, 134
- in Nepal, 138
- in Netherlands, 138–139, 143
- in New Zealand, 139
- replicability, 141–143
- state of affairs, 133–138
- and victim status, 136–137
- aerosols, 23, 27
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR), 140–141, 212
- air, public rights/sovereign's duty in, 259–261
- Alaska Constitution, 258
- American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR), 134, 208, 209, 467
- Article 1, 221
- Article 1(1), 134
- Article 33(a), 134
- amici curiae*, 142
- anthropogenic climate change, 24, 110, 122, 155, 269, 297, 410, 420, 423, 486
- attribution, human influence on, 27–32
- observed climate change, 27–29
- weather/climate extreme events, 29–32
- and impacts, 32
- on ecosystems and societies, 32–37
- on humanity, 35–37
- widespread and unprecedented, 32
- Argentina
- climate remedies of, 469
- intergenerational equity in, 363
- rights of Nature in, 285, 291
- rights-based climate litigation, 190, 214, 215, 361, 366, 383–384
- atmosphere, definition, 18
- atmospheric trust, 250–274. *See also* public trust doctrine
- constitutional recognition framework, 259–266
- emerging best practice, 267–272
- Germany, 268–270
- Hawai'i, 266
- India, 261–262
- litigation, 6–7, 109
- Netherlands, 268
- Pakistan, 262–263
- Philippines, 261
- rise of, 256
- United States
- Arizona, 257
- California, 267
- Montana, 265
- New Mexico, 260
- Oregon, 257, 270–272
- Pennsylvania, 259–260
- Texas, 264–265
- Washington, 263, 264, 273
- attribution science, 4, 10, 79, 393, 399, 422.
- See also specific attributions*
- in Africa, 93–94

- attribution science (cont.)
 - in the Americas, 96–97
 - in Asia, 97–99
 - in Europe, 99–100
 - event, 80, 81, 86–92
 - human influence, 27
 - source, 80–81, 84–86
 - in South Pacific/Oceania, 94–95
 - trend, 80, 83–84
 - uncertainty, 101
 - weather/climate extreme events, 29–32
- attribution studies, 418–421
 - European heatwave (2003), human contribution to, 423
- Australia
 - adaptation case, 182
 - carbon budget, 464
 - causation in, 400–403
 - climate remedies of, 454–456, 464
 - ecological harm/damage in, 282
 - floodgates defence in, 246
 - Indigenous Peoples equality claim in, 357–358, 384, 390
 - intergenerational equity in, 354–356
 - international law in, 307, 309
 - justiciability in, 150–151
 - project-based cases, 180
 - causation in, 400–401
 - rights-based climate cases, 190–191
 - human rights obligations, 194, 197
 - standing in, 119–122, 126, 127
- Belgium
 - admissibility in, 138
 - carbon budget of, 464
 - climate remedies of, 461–462, 464
 - duty of care, 231
 - breach of, 154
 - minimum, 461–462
 - minimum standard, 229
 - intergenerational equity in, 360, 362
 - international law utilization in, 306
 - rights-based climate cases, 189
 - standing in, 115–116, 124
- best available science, 146, 184, 474, 478, 485–486, 488, 489, 495
 - duty of care, 224, 228–229
 - with international law for minimum standard of care determination, 314–316
 - separation of powers, 164–166
- biodiversity, 58, 67, 157, 280, 282, 285, 291, 294, 295, 297
 - climate change and, relation between, 11, 503–504
 - loss, 294
- biosphere, 18
- black carbon, 235
- Brazil
 - climate stability in, recognition of right to, 293
 - deforestation in, 285
 - international environmental law of, 311
 - intrinsic value of Nature in, 284–285
- Brazilian Constitution, 284, 293
 - Article 225, 284
- Canada
 - causation in, 407–408
 - climate causality of, 436, 440–441
 - Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act (GGPPA), 317, 318
 - intergenerational equality claim in, 357
 - international law for statutory discretion
 - interpretation in, 317
 - judicial review, 161
 - justiciability in, 151
 - Trail Smelter* principle, 200–201
- carbon budget, 55–62, 352, 431, 463–464, 491, 492
- carbon dioxide removal (CDR), 55
- Carbon Majors Inquiry CHRP, 178, 191–192, 197, 217–218, 313, 387, 410–411, 426–427, 502
 - leadership role in report of, 333
- causation, 9–10, 107, 108, 129, 211, 360, 391–414
 - and attribution, 399. *See also* climate causality
 - in Australia, 400–403
 - in Canada, 407–408
 - in climate context, 392–393
 - defence in common responsibility, 329
 - and duty of care, 402–403
 - emerging best practice, 409–412
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision
 - implications on, 494
 - in France, 408
 - general, 392, 415, 424
 - and human rights, 398–399, 400, 410–411, 413
 - in Kenya, 401
 - in Netherlands, 406–407, 411–412
 - in New Zealand, 404–405
 - in Norway, 405
 - policy and regulation, challenges to, 405–408
 - in prevention-focused cases, 409, 410
 - in project-based cases, 400–405
 - replicability, 412–413
 - specific, 392, 415, 424
 - standing and, connection between, 394
 - defendant, nature of, 397
 - plaintiff, nature of, 395–397
 - in US federal courts, 395
 - theory of adequate, 425

- in tort law, 394
- in United States, 401, 405–406
- CBDR-RC. *See* common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC)
- CDR. *See* carbon dioxide removal (CDR)
- children's rights, 112, 180, 188, 333, 355, 361, 455.
 - See also* intergenerational equity
 - in Argentina, 190, 214, 215, 361, 366, 383–384
- CRC. *See* UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
- CHRP. *See* Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines (CHRP)
- climate ambition, 133
- climate attribution science, 79–81. *See also* attribution science
- climate causality, 415–444
 - of Canada, 436, 440–441
 - of China, 441
 - of Columbia, 439
 - of Czech Republic, 435
- emerging best practice, 430
 - emissions-intensive projects/activities, permissibility of, 437–439
 - national climate targets and measures, reviewing, 433–437
 - normative lens, expanding, 439–442
 - scope of relevant emissions, determination of, 431–433
- of England, 434–435
- of France, 435–436
- of Indonesia, 439
- of Kenya, 438
- for legal attribution, 421–429
 - normative correctives, 425–427
 - normative correctives vs. logical fundamentals, 427–429
- of Netherlands, 431, 432–433
- of New South Wales, 438–439
- of Norway, 431
- replicability, 442–443
- of Scotland, 431
- of South Africa, 438
- of United Kingdom, 441–442
- of United States, 439
- climate change, 4. *See also* anthropogenic climate change
 - abrupt changes, 43–44
 - anthropogenic, 24
 - attributing human-induced, to societal impacts, 36–37
 - human-induced, 14, 29–32
 - impacts, fast and slow onset, 39–43
 - impacts on human rights, 188–193
 - IPCC Working Groups, 16, 81
 - irreversibility, 43–44
 - land/ocean removing atmospheric carbon dioxide, 52–53
 - link between human rights and, 172
 - maladaptive responses to, 67
 - mitigation action, 53–65
 - nature impacts, 14–15
 - regional changes and risks, 48–50
 - risks and limits, 44–47
 - scenarios and global temperatures, 37–39
 - species extinctions, 34
- Climate Change Response Act (New Zealand), 308, 315
- climate feedback, 22, 45, 52
- climate harms. *See* harm(s)
- climate justice, 127, 160, 193, 321, 468, 473, 499, 501, 502, 504, 505, 506
- climate model, 20, 37
- climate remedies. *See* remedies
- climate science, 418–421
- climate system, components, 17, 18
 - atmosphere, 18
 - biosphere, 18
 - cryosphere, 18–19
 - greenhouse effect, 23–24
 - hydrosphere, 18
 - lithosphere, 19
 - natural variability, 20–23
 - scientific evidence types, 19–20
- CO₂-equivalents (CO₂-eq), 24
- Colombia
 - adaptation case, 182
 - admissibility in, 137–138, 139–140
 - Advisory Opinion of the IACtHR (2017) requested by, 207
 - climate remedies of, 454
 - holistic approach, 460–461
 - duty of care and breach, 154–155
 - intergenerational equity in, 350
 - remedies, 362
 - international law in, 307
 - international law obligations with domestic climate case law, 387
 - public trust doctrine, 258
 - rights of Nature in, 279–280
 - fundamental rights to recognition of, 287–288
 - rights-based climate cases, 187–188, 195
 - standing in, 124–125
- Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines (CHRP), 178, 191, 218, 313, 333, 387, 411, 426, 502
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), 213

- common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC), 8, 320–343, 384
- in Advisory Opinion by IACtHR, 341
- common responsibility, 322–323, 328
- causation defence, 329
- of Germany, 334–335
- international cooperation, 323, 328–329, 335
- for interpretation of scope of legal obligations, 330
- redressability defence, 329
- CRC's fair share obligations, 333
- differentiation responsibilities and capabilities of State's 'fair share', 323, 331–332
- of Germany, 332, 335–336
- leadership role, 324–325, 332–333, 336–338, 339
- of Netherlands, 332
- of New South Wales, 332–333
- normative expectations, 323–326, 331
- in Paris Agreement, 324, 325–326
- of Philippines, 333
- self-differentiation, 324, 331
- emerging best practice, 334
- Germany's international engagement of constitutional obligation, 334–336, 338–339
- ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision implications on, 490–492
- Netherlands' leadership role and per capita emissions, 336–338, 339
- in Global South, 340–341
- in international climate law, 322–326
- in international human rights cases, 341
- in Italy, 340
- in Poland, 340
- replicability, 340–342
- in South Korea, 340
- common law, 120
- common responsibility, 322–323
- causation defence, 329
- of Germany, 334–335
- international cooperation, 323, 328–329, 335
- for interpretation of scope of legal obligations, 330
- redressability defence, 329
- compensation, 11, 183, 191, 193, 211, 283, 296, 363, 389, 439, 446, 449, 470, 501
- compliance-oriented remedies, 464–465
- compound extreme events, 41
- Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC (COP), 153, 374, 500
- Constitution of the Kingdom of Netherlands, 268
- constitutional grounding, 271–272
- constitutional recognition, 251, 259–266, 272, 273
- air, 259–261
- healthy environment, 261–263
- and intergenerational equity, 267–270
- natural resources, 263–266
- constitutional rights, 117, 118, 138, 150, 153, 155, 167, 172, 179, 182, 186, 188, 195, 234–237, 258, 262, 263, 265, 267, 278, 289, 290, 291, 295, 310, 311, 387, 398, 454, 460
- consumption-based emissions, 14, 25
- corporations
- duty of care, 226–227, 229–230, 231–232, 239–241, 246
- litigation against. *See* private polluters, litigation against
- CRC. *See* UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
- cryosphere, 18–19
- culture, right to. *See* right to culture
- customary international law, 210
- obligations, 375
- breach of, 375–376
- Czech Republic
- climate causality of, 435
- duty of care and breach, 155, 246
- damage cases, climate change-induced, 173, 182–184
- declaratory relief, 461
- Draft Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 448–449
- Article 33, 449
- "drop in the ocean" defence, 230–231, 245–246, 329, 389, 406, 409, 410, 412, 431, 478, 493, 494
- dualist legal system, 304, 307, 318
- due diligence, 194, 210, 225, 233, 242, 293, 320, 375, 376, 377, 380, 381, 475, 485, 488, 490, 495, 502
- due process, 236–237
- Dutch Constitution, 268, 388, 452, 457
- duty of care, 6, 151, 153, 161, 223–249, 356, 403
- and atmospheric trust, 268–270
- Australia, floodgates defence in, 246
- Belgium, 154, 229, 231
- for biodiversity protection with rights of Nature, 285
- breach of, 154, 234, 236, 237, 239, 258, 268–270, 313, 391
- causation and, 402–403
- for CBDR-RC, 328, 331, 337
- Colombia, 154–155
- corporation, 226–227, 229–230, 231–232, 239–241, 246
- Czech Republic, 155, 246
- defence of respondents/defendants, 230–232

- “drop in the ocean” defence, 230–231, 245–246
 emerging best practice
 from constitutional rights, 234–237
 for corporations, 239–241
 drop in the ocean defence, 245–246
 environmental impact assessment, 241–245
 floodgates defence, 246
 future emissions targets and future
 generations, 237–239
 hybrid duty of care arguments, 232–234
 ITLOS Advisory
 Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen*’s decision
 implications on, 489
 floodgates defence, 231–232, 246
 France, 228, 237–238
 Germany, 155, 228, 229, 231, 234–235, 238–239,
 245, 247–248, 268–270
 human rights, 187, 192, 195, 196
 India, 235–236
 and international law for national law standards
 interpretation, 309–310
 Nepal, 155
 Netherlands, 154, 226–227, 229–230, 232–234,
 239–241, 245, 246, 247, 248–249, 268
 New Zealand, 230, 231–232
 Pakistan, 155–156
 public trust doctrine, 292–294
 replicability, 247–249
 standard of, 224
 Climate Change Reduction Act 2002
 (New Zealand), 225–226
 international law, 224–229
 IPCC and best available science, 228–229
 minimum, 229, 314–316, 461–462
 Paris Agreement, 224–229
 soft law, 229–230
 United States, 230, 236–237, 245
- EBP. *See* emerging best practice (EBP)
 ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human
 Rights (ECHR)
 ecocentric law, 275–276, 277, 279, 281, 282, 283,
 287, 288, 292, 294–296, 297, 298
 ecojustice/ecological justice, 282, 287
 Ecological Constitution, 280
 ecological harm/damage, 282
 in Australia, 282
 definition, 283
 in France, 283
 in Nepal, 282–283
 pure (‘préjudice écologique’), 283, 296
 ecological integrity, preservation of, 286–287, 291
 ecologically sustainable development, 355
 ecosystem, risk and impacts on, 32–35, 52, 66
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
 (ECtHR)
 Ecuador, 289, 454
 ‘Accion de Proteccion’, 278
 violation of rights of Nature, 288–291
 Ecuadorian Constitution, 277, 278, 290
 EIA. *See* environmental impact assessment (EIA)
 embedded emissions, 488
 emerging best practice (EBP), 2, 4
 admissibility, 138–141
 best available science, reliance on, 485–486
 causation, 409–412, 494
 CBDR-RC, 334–339, 490–492
 climate causality, 430–442
 duty of care, 232–246, 489
 extraterritorial(ity), 211–219, 488–489
 intergenerational equity, 363–367, 493
 international law, 309–317, 490
 public trust doctrine, 267–272, 489
 remedies, 456–465, 495
 rights of Nature, 281–294, 489–490
 rights-based climate litigation, 184–196,
 487–488
 separation of powers, 159–166, 487
 standing, 122–127, 486–487
 State responsibility, 389–390, 493–494
 urgency, recognition of, 484–485
 emissions-intensive projects/activities, 437–439
 energy systems, 63
 enforceable obligation, 156–157
 procedural, 157–158
 substantive, 158–159
 Environment Protection Act of 1970 (EP Act)
 (Australia), 120
 environmental directive principle, 367
 environmental impact assessment (EIA), 157,
 241–245, 258, 354, 400, 401
 Directive 85/337, 286
 of Kenya, 242–243
 of Norway, 244–245
 of South Africa, 243–244
 Environmental Planning and Assessment
 Act (EPA Act) (New South Wales,
 Australia), 120
 Environmental Protection Act of 1997 (Nepal), 459
 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
 (United States), 108
 Environmental Protection Authority (EPA)
 (Australia), 120
 EP Act. *See* Environment Protection Act of 1970
 (EP Act) (Australia)
 EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency
 (EPA) (United States); Environmental
 Protection Authority (EPA) (Australia)

- EPA Act. *See* Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (EPA Act) (New South Wales, Australia)
- equality claims, 356–358
- equitable climate mitigation policy, 349–353
- erga omnes* obligations, 373, 380
- Escazú Agreement, 179
- European Committee of Social Rights (ECSR), 385
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 305, 306, 310, 346, 353, 405, 407, 462, 478, 487, 488, 491, 495
- Article 1, 209, 219
- Article 2, 116, 125, 145, 153, 154, 156, 158, 161, 179, 194, 224, 226, 231, 233, 234, 239, 305, 306, 313, 353, 398, 407, 452, 462, 477
- Article 6(1), 478
- Switzerland's violation of, 478, 481–482
- Article 8, 116, 145, 153, 154, 156, 158, 161, 179, 194, 224, 226, 231, 233, 234, 305, 306, 313, 353, 398, 407, 452, 462, 477, 478, 479, 480, 493
- Switzerland's violation of, 478, 480, 481, 483, 493
- Article 13, 467, 478
- Articles 34–35, 134
- extraterritorial obligations, 209–210
- in *KlimaSeniorinnen*. *See* *KlimaSeniorinnen* case
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 2, 11, 134, 141, 174, 186, 208, 209, 210, 219, 221, 226, 310, 398, 457, 472, 480, 486, 487, 488, 489, 495, 496, 498, 499, 503
- Article 41, 467
- intergenerational equity in, 346
- in *KlimaSeniorinnen*. *See* *KlimaSeniorinnen* case
- European Court of Justice (ECJ), 111, 112, 113, 117, 123, 128
- European Union, standing in, 111–117, 123, 129
- event attribution science, 80, 81, 415, 419, 422
- definition and scope, 86–87
- probabilistic, 423–424
- studies, methodologies and models in, 87–88
- drought, 90–91
- heat, 89
- heavy rainfall and flooding, 90
- wildfire, 91–92
- exhaustion of domestic remedies, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 139, 140, 141, 144, 214, 312, 361, 384, 477
- external natural variability, 21, 22
- extraterritorial(ity), 6, 200–222
- definition, 203
- domestic courts, 203
- foreign plaintiffs (Germany), 203–204
- GHG emissions (Norway), 205–207
- judicial orders, domestic and international (Netherlands), 204–205
- emerging best practice, 211–212
- GHG emissions production, accountability for, 218–219
- ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision implications on, 488–489
- obligations, growing recognition of, 212–214
- private actors, 215–218
- standing, 214–215
- human rights obligations, scope of, 201–202
- IACtHR Advisory Opinion (2017), 207–210
- ICJ, transnational environmental cases and, 210–211
- regional human rights institutions, 207–210
- replicability, 219–220
- Trail Smelter* principle, 200–201
- extreme event attribution, 82, 86. *See also* event attribution science
- fair share. *See* common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC)
- Federal Climate Protection Act (Germany), 160
- fiduciary duty, 254
- food and water security, 35
- food systems, 63
- fossil fuel, phasing out, 63
- France
- adaptation case, 182, 183
- causation in, 408
- climate causality of, 435–436
- climate remedies of, 453, 457–458, 465
- duty of care, 228
- future emissions targets, 237–238
- ecological harm/damage in, 283
- international law in, 306–307
- international law obligations with domestic climate case law, 387
- international norms, reliance on, 162
- standing in, 116–117, 126
- freedom rights, 234, 235, 248
- fundamental rights, 113, 115, 124, 125, 126, 137, 140, 156, 160, 186, 187, 189, 196, 234, 239, 258, 261, 262, 263, 265, 268, 271, 272, 277, 285, 293, 294, 296, 297, 310, 352, 361, 395, 433, 440, 447, 461, 463, 466, 505
- to recognition of rights of Nature, 287–292
- future generations, 106, 114, 116, 118, 124, 126, 138, 139, 140, 160, 187, 189, 195, 205, 234, 247, 282, 284, 287, 288, 293, 295, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 358, 359, 361, 383, 384, 396, 397, 440, 455, 458, 463, 489, 493
- climate change effects on children, 345
- duty of care for, 237–239

- public trust doctrine and, 258, 259, 260, 268, 269, 270
- rights/interests of. *See* intergenerational equity
- general causation, 392, 415, 424
- general environmental law, 430
- generational damage, 278
- German Constitution, 153, 155, 157, 160, 268, 314
- Germany
 - Basic Law, 115, 126, 234, 238
 - carbon budget, 463
 - CBDR-RC, 332, 334–336, 338–339
 - climate remedies of, 458–459, 463, 469
 - duty of care, 228, 231, 245, 247–248
 - breach of, 155, 239
 - from constitutional rights, 234–235
 - for future generations, 238–239
 - minimum standard, 229, 314–315
 - extraterritoriality, 203–204
 - fair share of, 332
 - Federal Climate Protection Act (Klimaschutzgesetz – KSG), 234
 - injunctive relief, 463
 - intergenerational equity in, 351–353, 361, 362
 - distributional assessment, 364
 - international law obligations with domestic climate case law, 387
 - judicial review, 160–161
 - procedural obligation, 157–158
 - public trust doctrine, 268–270
 - rights-based climate cases, 189–190, 195, 196
 - standing in, 114–115, 124, 125–126
- GHG. *See* greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
- Glasgow Climate Pact, 315, 327, 482
- global carbon budget, 326–327, 463–464, 481, 491
- global climate jurisprudence, 444, 472
- global emission pathways, 59–61, 62, 78
- global warming
 - carbon dioxide emissions cause, 54–55
 - human-induced, 35
 - overshooting 1.5°C, risk with, 50–52
- GMST (global mean surface temperature), 85
- governments, litigation against, 502–503
- Greece
 - human rights violation, 385–386
 - project-based cases in, 180–181
- Green Constitution, 280
- greenhouse effect, 23–24
- greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, 13, 14
 - accountability for production, 218–219
 - domestic, 218–219
 - extraterritoriality, 205–207
 - global net anthropogenic, 25, 69
 - regional contributions of, 14
 - regional differentiations, 26
 - regional distribution, 69
 - Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions, 227, 239
 - sources and trends, 24–26
 - Trail Smelter* principle, 200–201
- greenhouse gas metrics, 54
- Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act (GGPPA) (Canada), 317, 318
- hard adaptation limits, 66–67
- harm(s), 391–392, 393, 409, 410. *See also* causation
 - collective, 394
 - commingling product theory, 394
 - future, 409
 - human rights, 410
 - market share liability theory, 394
 - reasonable foreseeability of, 399, 403, 409
 - reasonably foreseeable risk of, 400
- harm prevention principle, 303
- Hawai'i Constitution, 260
- healthy environment, right to. *See* right to healthy environment
- historical emissions, 56, 78
- human rights, 5–6, 171–199, 239, 284, 285, 292.
 - See also* rights-based climate litigation
 - ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
 - adjudication, 163–164
 - arguments in duty of care, 232–234, 239–240
 - causation and, 398–399, 400, 410–411, 413
 - CBDR-RC in human rights cases, 341
 - complaints in international human rights bodies, 380–384
 - complaints in regional human rights bodies, 385–386
 - duty of care, 187, 192, 195, 196, 232–234
 - ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - greening of, 292, 294, 310–312
 - IACHR. *See* Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR)
 - IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR)
 - law. *See* international human rights law
 - obligations, 6, 193–196, 201–202, 208, 212, 214, 215, 216–217, 240, 310, 313, 333, 374, 377–378, 379, 380, 390, 407, 465, 478
 - territorial, 212–214
 - rights of Nature and, 275, 276, 277, 281, 294, 295, 296
 - UN. *See* UN Human Rights Committee
 - violation of, 288–291, 363, 377–378, 379

- human rights (cont.)
 - CRC on mitigation and, 333
 - Greece, 385–386
 - Netherlands, 312–313, 398
 - New Zealand, 383
 - Philippines. *See* Carbon Majors Inquiry CHRP
 - Queensland, 399
- human-induced climate change, 14, 29–32, 93.
 - See also* anthropogenic climate change
 - drought, 90–91
 - ecosystems/societies, impacts on, 32–34
 - heat, 89
 - heatwave, 95
 - heavy rainfall and flooding, 90
 - humanity, impacts on
 - disease, illness, and death, 35–36
 - food and water security, 35
 - migration, 36
 - vulnerability, 36
 - IPCC, 81, 82
 - to societal impacts, attributing, 36–37
 - wildfire, 91–92
- IACHR. *See* Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR)
- IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR)
- IAM. *See* Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs)
- ICCPR. *See* International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
- ICESCR. *See* International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
- ILC. *See* International Law Commission (ILC)
- impact models, 38
- in dubio pro natura* principle, 291, 295
- India
 - Constitution, 261, 262
 - duty of care from constitutional rights, 235–236
 - intergenerational equity in, 350, 354
 - National Green Tribunal (NGT), 235, 261
 - public trust doctrine, 255, 261–262
 - rights of Nature in, 278–279
 - standing in, 119, 126
- Indigenous Peoples
 - climate impacts on, 190–191, 194, 197
 - equality claim in Australia, 357–358, 384, 390
- injunctive relief, 445, 454, 463, 470
- ‘injury to all is injury to none’ principle, 107, 124
- integral reparation, 448, 449–450, 470, 471
- Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs), 37–38, 57, 58
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), 134, 140, 141, 467
 - Advisory Opinion, 382
 - Article 26, 135
 - Article 31.1, 135
 - Article 51, 467
 - Inuit Peoples petition against United States over climate change with, 134–135
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR), 134, 190, 285, 289, 312, 319, 350, 364, 379, 450, 468
 - Advisory Opinion (2017), 190, 202, 207–210, 212, 215, 220, 221, 280, 285, 312, 321, 379, 387
 - CBDR-RC in, 341
 - Article 63(1), 467
 - state’s actions, 209
- Inter-American Human Rights System (IAHRS), 141, 467
- intergenerational equity, 8–9, 251, 252, 259, 267–270, 303, 344–369, 401, 441, 489
- children, climate change effects on present and future generation, 345–346
- CRC definition, 348–349
- in domestic courts, 349–363
- emerging best practice, 363–364
 - anti-discrimination and equal protection law, 365
 - considerations in permitting and planning decisions, 364–365
 - fair distribution of obligations, 364
 - flexible approach to standing and class certification, 365–366
 - fostering children and young people’s in courtroom participation, 366
- ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen’s* decision
 - implications on, 493
 - judicial remedies for long-term and participatory implementation, 366–367
- by ICJ, 349
- in international and regional litigation, 346
- in international law, 348–349
- replicability, 367
- standing and class certification, 358–361
- State obligations
 - Argentina, 363
 - Australia, 354–356
 - Australia, Indigenous Peoples equality claim in, 357–358, 384, 390
 - Belgium, 360, 362
 - Canada, equality claim in, 357
 - Colombia, 350, 362
 - in courtroom procedure, 358–361

- decision-making, permitting and consent, 353–356
- equitable climate mitigation policy, 349–353
- Germany, 351–353, 361, 362, 364
- India, 350, 354
- Manila Bay, 351
- Nepal, 362
- Netherlands, 353, 362
- non-discrimination challenges against
 - government, children and young people, 356–358
- Pakistan, 358
- Philippines, 350–351, 358–359
- remedies, 362–363
- South Africa, 350
- Sri Lanka, 354
- United States, 350, 353, 360–361
- United States, equality claim in, 356–357
- intergenerational justice, 344, 350, 358–359, 367
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2, 224, 345, 393, 418, 419
 - assessment attributes, 27
 - Assessment Report 5 (AR5), 323
 - attribution science in, 79, 81–83
 - in crafting remedial design, 469–470
 - fair shares, 15–16
 - Fifth Assessment Report (AR5), 82, 85
 - Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), 423
 - Reasons for Concern (RFC), 45–47
 - scenarios, definition, 37
 - Sixth Assessment (AR6), 13–14, 16, 17, 28, 326, 327, 338, 342, 419
 - extreme weather event, 419
 - Mitigation report (Working Group III), 39–43
 - Physical Science report (Working Group I), 38
 - Synthesis Report, 82
 - temperature goal and carbon budgets in, 326–327
 - Working Groups, climate change, 16, 81
- international atmospheric trust. *See* atmospheric trust
- international climate law, 7–8
- International Court of Justice (ICJ), 202, 371
 - advisory opinions, 380–381
 - intergenerational equity by, 349
 - transnational environmental cases and, 210–211
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 201, 357
 - Article 2, 213
 - Article 2(3)(a), 191
 - Article 6, 179, 190, 191, 239
 - Article 17, 179, 182, 191, 239, 358
 - Article 24(1), 455
 - Article 27, 182, 190, 358
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 201, 213, 216, 468, 469
- international environmental law, 303
 - of Brazil, 311
 - CRC on, 312
 - IACtHR on, 311–312
 - and international human rights law, 310–312
 - of Nepal, 311
 - obligations, breach of, 376–377
 - of Pakistan, 310–311
 - Stockholm Declaration, 200, 344, 348
 - transboundary harm, 188, 202, 208, 211, 214, 241, 375, 376, 379, 380, 384, 504
- international human rights law, 133, 188, 201–202, 212, 213, 215, 216, 233, 240, 301, 302, 304, 310–312, 446. *See also* human rights; rights-based climate litigation
- integral reparation in, 449–450
- obligations, breach of, 377–378
- violation of
 - Netherlands, 312–313
 - Philippines. *See* Carbon Majors Inquiry
 - CHRP
- international law, 301–319, 443. *See also* harm prevention principle; intergenerational equity; Kyoto Protocol; Paris Agreement; precautionary principle; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
 - in Australia, 307, 309
 - in Belgium, 306
 - CBDR-RC principle, 322–326
 - in Colombia, 307
 - for duty of care, 224–229
 - emerging best practice
 - for determining minimum standard of care, 314–316
 - and greening of human rights, 310–312
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision
 - implications on, 490
 - for national law standards interpretation, 309–310
 - range of norms, 312–313
 - for statutory discretion interpretation, 316–317
- environment. *See* international environmental law
 - in France, 306–307
 - intergenerational equity in, 348–349
 - Kyoto Protocol, 304
 - modern, 304
 - in Netherlands, 305–306, 309–310
 - in New Zealand, 308, 315–316

- international law (cont.)
 - Paris Agreement, 302–303
 - remedies in, 446, 447–449
 - reparation in, 448–449
 - replicability, 317–318
 - Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 348
 - South Africa, 316–317
 - state of affairs, 305–309
 - treatment of, by national courts, 303–304
 - in UK, 307–308
 - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 185, 302
- International Law Commission (ILC), 446
 - Draft Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 448–449
- international law obligations, 371–372
 - attributing, 379
 - breach of, 372–373, 375–378
 - concerning climate change, 373–375
 - customary international law, 375–376
 - and domestic climate law, 386–389
 - Colombia, 387
 - France, 387
 - Germany, 387
 - Netherlands, 388–389
 - Pakistan, 386–387
 - Philippines, 387–388
- environmental obligations, 376–377
- erga omnes* obligations, 373, 380
- human rights obligations, 374, 377–378, 380
- in international climate treaties, 373–374
- in international law-making bodies, 374
- transboundary harm, 188, 202, 208, 211, 214, 241, 375, 376, 379, 380, 384, 504
- international norms, effect, 162–163
- International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), 2, 499, 503
 - Advisory Opinion, 381–382, 473–474, 485, 503, 504
 - anthropogenic GHG emissions as marine pollution, 475, 485
 - COSIS Agreement, 474–475
 - and emerging best practice, 484–495
 - implications in climate litigation, 495–496
 - jurisdiction, 474–475
 - Paris Agreement, role of, 476–477, 485
 - specific obligations of States, 476, 485
 - Article 21, 474
 - Article 138, 474–475
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), xiv
- inter-resource affectation, 251, 266–267, 273, 274
 - to atmosphere, 270–271
 - with constitutional grounding, 271–272
- inter-state climate litigation, 504–505
- intragenerational equity, 288
- intrinsic value of Nature, 281, 282, 283–284, 291, 292, 295
 - in Brazil, 284–285
- IPCC. *See* Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
- Ireland
 - climate remedies of, 464–465
 - substantive obligation in, 158
- irreversibility, 43–44
- ITLOS. *See* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS)
- IUCN. *See* International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN)
- judicial review, 159–161
- judicialization, 149
- just transition cases, 173, 184
- justiciability, 148–150
 - Australia, 150–151
 - Canada, 151
 - New Zealand, 151–152
 - United States, non-justiciability in, 150
- Kenya
 - causation in, 401
 - climate causality of, 438
 - environmental impact assessment, 242–243
- KlimaSeniorinnen* case, 477
 - Article 6(1) ECHR violation, 478, 481–482
 - Article 8 ECHR violation, 478, 480, 481, 483
 - background, 477–478
 - and emerging best practice, 484–495
 - implications in climate litigation, 496
 - judicial scrutiny, level of, 480–481
 - margins of appreciation, 480–481
 - Paris Agreement, role of, 478
 - positive obligations, 479–480
 - standing, 483–484
 - State responsibility, 482–483
- Kyoto Protocol, 226, 247, 302, 304, 374
- Least Developed Countries (LDCs), 25
- legal attribution, 421–429, 444
 - normative correctives, 425–427
 - vs. logical fundamentals, 427–429
- legal personhood protection, 128
- legal remedies, 447–451
- life, right to. *See* right to life
- locus standi*, 112. *See also* standing
- loss and damage, 173, 182–184, 500–501

- Maastricht ETO Principles, 216
 mandamus (writ of), 118, 119, 445–446, 460
 marine pollution, 475
 minimum standard of care, 229, 314–316
 for climate remedies, 461–462
 mitigation, climate change, 53
 mitigation actions, 62–65
 Paris Agreement, 53
 policies, legislation and enabling conditions, 65
 stabilise global temperature
 carbon budget, 55–62
 CDR, 55
 net zero, 54–55
 monist legal system, 303, 318
 Montana Constitution, 265, 267
 Multilateral Environmental Agreements
 (MEAs), 374
mutandis mutandi, 142
- national climate targets and measures, 433–437
 National Environmental Management Authority
 (NEMA) (Kenya), 157
 nationally determined contributions (NDCs), 15,
 53, 221, 225, 237, 244, 247, 285, 303, 311, 316,
 324, 335, 376–377, 379, 435
 natural climate solutions, 275
 natural resources trust, 254
 natural variability, 20–23
 external, 22
 internal, 22
 Nature, rights of. *See* rights of Nature
 NDCs. *See* nationally determined
 contributions (NDCs)
 NEMA. *See* National Environmental
 Management Authority (NEMA) (Kenya)
- Nepal
 adaptation case, 182
 admissibility in, 138
 climate remedies of, holistic approach, 459–460
 duty of care and breach, 155
 ecological harm/damage in, 282–283
 intergenerational equity in, 362
 international environmental law, 311
 loss and damage cases, climate change-
 induced, 182–183
- Netherlands
 admissibility in, 138–139, 143
 causation in, 406–407, 411–412
 CBDR-RC, 332
 leadership role and per capita emissions,
 336–338, 339
 climate causality of, 431, 432–433
 climate remedies of, 452–453, 457
 domestic GHG emissions, 219
- Dutch Civil Code, 106, 154, 226, 233, 239
 duty of care, 153, 226–227, 230, 239–241,
 245, 247
 and atmospheric trust, 268
 corporation, 154, 229–230, 232, 248–249
 floodgates defence, 232, 246
 human rights arguments, 232–234
 minimum standard, 229
 soft law, 229–230
 extraterritoriality, 203, 204–205
 fair share of, 332
 emissions per capita rights (EPC) for
 determining, 336–337
 human rights law violation, 312–313
 causation and, 398
 human rights obligations, 216–217
 intergenerational equity in, 353, 362
 international law in, 309–310
 international law obligations with domestic
 climate case law, 388–389
 international law utilization in, 305–306
 judicial review, 161
 rights-based climate cases, 178–179
 climate impacts on human rights, 189–190
 human rights obligations, 194–195, 196
 interpretative method in, 185–187
 rights-based reasoning, 197
 standing in, 106, 114, 125, 128
 substantive obligation, 158–159
 net-zero carbon dioxide emissions, 54–55
 New Mexico Constitution, 260
- New Zealand
 admissibility in, 139
 causation in, 404–405
 duty of care, 230
 Climate Change Reduction Act 2002
 (New Zealand), 225–226
 floodgates defence, 231–232
 human rights violation, 383
 international law in, 308, 315–316
 justiciability in, 151–152
 rights of Nature in, 279
 rights-based climate cases, 183–184
 human rights obligations, 196
 rights-based reasoning, 197
 standing in, 110–111, 127
 ‘no harm’ principle, 194, 375, 388, 475
 non-governmental organisations (NGOs),
 114, 116, 117
 non-traditional public trust resources, 252,
 256, 266
- Norway
 causation in, 405
 climate causality of, 431

- Norway (cont.)
 domestic GHG emissions, 218–219
 environmental impact assessment, 244–245
 extraterritoriality, 203, 205–207
 Norwegian Constitution, 431
 Article 112, 205–207
- Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child, 126
 Article 5(4), 174
 Article 7 (e), 135, 136
 on Communications Procedure, 174
 Rules of Procedure, 139
- Pakistan
 adaptation case, 181–182
 climate remedies of, holistic approach, 460
 Constitution, 182, 262
 duty of care and breach, 155–156
 human rights adjudication, 164
 intergenerational justice in, 358
 international environmental law, 310–311
 international environmental obligations with
 domestic climate case law, 386–387
 judicial review, 160
 public trust doctrine, 262–263
 rights of Nature in, 291–292
 rights-based climate cases, 186
 climate impacts on human rights, 189
 human rights obligations, 195
 rights-based reasoning, 197
- Paris Agreement, 1, 17, 18, 26, 115, 154, 162, 164, 171, 172, 183, 185, 186, 188, 194, 206, 221, 224–229, 237, 238, 239, 240, 244, 246, 247, 302–303, 304, 308, 309, 311, 313, 315, 316, 317, 374, 387, 407, 409, 430, 434, 443, 453, 478
 adaptation, 66
 Article 2(1)(a), 315, 330
 Article 2(2), 324
 Article 4, 325, 376
 Article 4(1), 315
 Article 8, 500
 breach of State obligations under, 376–377
 carbon budgets, 326–327
 fair share in, 321, 324
 in ITLOS Advisory Opinion, role of, 476–477, 485
 in *KlimaSeniorinnen* case, role of, 478
 long-term temperature goal, 14, 26, 53, 186, 225, 309, 313, 321, 326–327, 330, 339, 340, 341, 355, 377, 405, 434, 464, 485, 486, 491, 492, 502
 mitigation, 53
 nationally determined contributions (NDCs), 53, 221, 225, 237, 244, 285, 303, 311, 316, 324, 376–377, 379, 435
 preamble, 315, 374
 UK breach of, 307–308
 pecuniary compensation, 470
 Pennsylvania Constitution, 259, 260
 Philippine Constitution, 117, 218, 351
 Philippines
 Carbon Majors Inquiry, 178, 191–192, 197, 217–218, 313, 387, 410–411, 426–427
 fair share of, 333
 GHG emissions, 219
 intergenerational equity in, 350–351
 intergenerational justice in, 358–359
 international law obligations with domestic
 climate case law, 387–388
 public trust doctrine, 261
 standing in, 117–119, 126
Plaumann test, 112, 113, 123, 129, 137
 political question doctrine, 109, 361
 Portugal
 domestic GHG emissions, 219
 intergenerational equity in, 346
 precautionary principle, 163, 187, 188, 189, 266, 278, 284, 286, 289, 291, 292, 295, 303, 355, 398, 495
 present generations, 138, 139, 258, 259, 260, 269, 270, 287, 293, 295, 344, 348, 350, 354, 358, 359, 363, 383, 463. *See also* intergenerational equity
 climate change effects on children, 345–346
 private and family life, right to. *See* right to private and family life
 private polluters, litigation against, 173, 178–179, 216–217, 219, 220, 410, 501–502
 Carbon Majors Inquiry. *See* Carbon Majors Inquiry
 CHRP
 duty of care. *See* corporations, duty of care in Netherlands, 138–139, 154, 165, 178–179, 186–187, 203, 204–205, 229–230, 232, 248–249, 432–433
 probabilistic event attribution, 423–424
 procedural obligations, 146, 156, 157–158, 164, 233, 353, 354, 375
 project-based cases, 173, 179–181
 causation in, 400–405
 public natural resources, 259
 public rights, 232, 251, 255, 256, 259–261, 263, 265, 271, 273, 274
 public trust, 253, 292
 atmospheric commons protection through, 292–294
 litigation model, 254
 purpose, 254, 266
res, 254, 256, 261, 263, 265, 266, 267, 271, 274
 resources, 251, 252, 259, 266
 rights, 272

- public trust doctrine, 250–252, 353
 - of Colombia, 258
 - constitutional recognition framework, 259, 274
 - air, 259–261
 - healthy environment, 261–263
 - and intergenerational equity, 267–270
 - natural resources, 263–266
 - duty of care for rights of Nature, 292–294
 - emerging best practice, 267–272
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen*'s decision
 - implications on, 489
 - Germany, 268–270
 - historical scope of, 255–256
 - India, 255, 261–262
 - inter-resource affectation, 266–267, 274
 - to atmosphere, 270–271
 - with constitutional grounding, 271–272
 - judicial interpretation of, 257–259
 - nature of, 253
 - Netherlands, 268
 - Pakistan, 262–263
 - Philippines, 261
 - replicability, 273–274
 - sovereign trustees, 250, 253, 254, 258, 259, 260, 262, 267, 270, 272, 292, 293
 - sovereign's authority, restrictions on, 254–255
 - trust property, 254, 257, 259, 261
 - of Uganda, 258–259
 - United States, 255–256
 - Alaska, 257–258
 - Arizona, 257
 - California, 267
 - Hawai'i, 260–261, 266
 - Montana, 265
 - New Mexico, 260
 - Oregon, 257, 270–272
 - Pennsylvania, 259–260
 - Texas, 264–265
 - Washington, 263, 264, 273
 - violation of, 264, 271
 - punitive ecology, 283, 296
- quasi-territorial approach, 206
- ratione loci*, 134
- ratione materiae*, 134
- ratione personae*, 134, 136
- ratione temporis*, 134
- reasonable foreseeability of harm, 399, 403, 409
- reasonably foreseeable risk of harm, 400
- Reasons for Concern (RFC), 45–47
- redressability, 105, 107, 108, 109, 127, 129, 136, 150, 209, 215, 329, 330, 335, 360, 372, 381, 393, 395, 396, 445, 447, 457–459, 501
- regional human rights institution, 207–210
 - climate change complaints, 385–386
- remedies, 10, 108, 109, 119, 135, 136, 140, 151, 152, 157, 163, 175, 196, 198, 216, 278, 293, 296, 329, 347, 348, 368, 373, 377, 378, 391, 393, 394, 395, 397, 398, 411, 445–471
 - in administrative law, 447
 - of Argentina, 469
 - of Australia, 454–456, 464
 - of Belgium, 461–462, 464
 - of Colombia, 454, 460–461
 - constitutional, 447
 - definition, 451
 - domestic, exhaustion of. *See* exhaustion of domestic remedies
 - emerging best practice, 456
 - compliance-oriented remedies, 464–465
 - effective redress and, 457–459
 - global carbon budget, 463–464
 - holistic approach to, 459–461
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen*'s decision
 - implications on, 495
 - minimum standard of care, 461–462
 - of France, 453, 457–458, 465
 - of Germany, 458–459, 463, 469
 - injunctive relief, 445
 - intergenerational equity role in, 362–363, 366–367
 - international cooperation for, 468–469
 - IPCC in crafting remedial design, 469–470
 - of Ireland, 464–465
 - legal, 447–451
 - of Montana, 459
 - of Nepal, 459–460
 - of Netherlands, 452–453, 457
 - of Pakistan, 460
 - in public international law, 447–449
 - reparation. *See* reparations
 - replicability, 465–470
 - in tort law, 447
- reparations, 159, 193, 311, 363, 367, 373, 389, 445, 450, 470, 501
 - compensation, 11, 183, 191, 193, 211, 283, 296, 363, 389, 439, 446, 449, 470, 501
 - of ecological harm, 282–283, 435
 - forms of, 446
 - injunctive relief, 454, 463, 470
 - integral, 448, 470, 471
 - in international human rights law, 449–450
 - obligation of, 448–449
 - in public international law, 448–449
 - restitution, 445
 - satisfaction, 449

- replicable jurisprudence. *See also* emerging best practice (EBP)
 admissibility, 141–143
 causation, 412–413
 CBDRC, 340–342
 climate causality, 442–443
 duty of care, 247–249
 extraterritoriality, 219–220
 human rights, 197–198
 intergenerational equity, 367
 international law, 317–318
 public trust doctrine, 273–274
 remedies, 465–470
 rights of Nature, 294–296
 separation of powers, 166–167
 standing, 127–129
 State responsibility, 389–390
- res, public trust, 254, 256, 261, 263, 265, 266, 267, 271, 274
 resilience, 65–67
 restitution, 445, 449
 restorative ecology, 296
 RFC. *See* Reasons for Concern (RFC)
 right to culture, 136, 180, 182, 190, 358, 365, 383, 384, 390
 right to effective remedies, 113, 179, 191, 407, 462, 478
 right to health, 118, 136, 137, 140, 153, 155, 179, 190, 195, 288, 289, 383, 385
 right to healthy environment, 119, 140, 155, 167, 179, 186, 206, 235, 248, 258, 261–263, 280, 284, 285, 288, 289, 292, 295, 296, 310, 311, 350, 358, 364, 367, 378, 387, 413, 431
 right to human dignity, 140, 182, 186, 262, 288, 310, 460
 right to information, 263
 right to life, 125, 136, 137, 139, 140, 145, 153, 155, 156, 167, 179, 180, 182, 184, 187, 189, 190, 191, 194, 195, 196, 206, 212, 213, 216, 224, 233, 234, 235, 262, 272, 288, 292, 295, 310, 311, 353, 357, 383, 384, 385, 405, 407, 455, 460, 462, 477
 right to private and family life, 112, 145, 153, 187, 189, 194, 206, 224, 233, 353, 357, 358, 384, 385, 390, 405, 407, 462, 478
 right to property, 112, 180, 263, 272
 right to remedy. *See* remedies
 rights of Nature, 7, 128, 275–298
 in Argentina, 285, 291
 biodiversity and climate change, relation between, 504
 in Colombia, 279–280, 287–288
 duty of care for protecting biodiversity with, 285
 Earth Jurisprudence, 277
 ecocentric interpretation of law, 275–276, 277, 279, 281, 282, 283, 287, 288, 292, 294–296, 297, 298
 Ecuador
 ‘Accion de Proteccion’, 278
 violation of, 288–291
 emerging best practice, 281
 atmospheric commons protection through public trust, 292–294
 ecological harm, reparation of, 282–283
 ecological integrity, preservation of, 286–287
 fundamental rights to recognition of, 287–292
 intrinsic value of Nature, 283–285
 ITLOS Advisory
 Opinion/KlimaSeniorinnen’s decision
 implications on, 489–490
 human rights and, 275, 276, 277, 281, 294, 295, 296
 in India, 278–279
 inherent rights, 279, 294
 intrinsic value of Nature, 281, 282, 283–285, 291, 292, 295
 in New Zealand, 279
 in Pakistan, 291–292
 public trust doctrine, 292–294
 replicability, 294–296
 state of affairs, 276–280
 violation of, 288, 289
- rights-based climate litigation, 171–199
 adaptation/loss/damage cases, 173, 181–184
 in Argentina, 190, 214, 215, 361, 366, 383–384
 in Australia, 180, 182, 190–191, 194, 197
 in Belgium, 189
 in Colombia, 182, 187–188, 195
 against corporations, 178–179
 developed countries obligations to human rights protection, 183–184
 emerging best practice, 184
 climate change impacts on human rights, 188–193
 interpretive techniques, 184–188
 ITLOS Advisory
 Opinion/KlimaSeniorinnen’s decision
 implications on, 487–488
 States’ human rights obligation, 193–196
 in France, 182, 183
 in Germany, 189–190, 195, 196
 in Greece, 180–181
 Indigenous Peoples, climate impacts on, 190–191, 194, 197
 jurisprudence in, 179
 just transition cases, 173, 184
 in Nepal, 182–183
 in Netherlands, 178–179, 185–187, 189–190, 194–195, 196, 197

- in New Zealand, 183–184, 196, 197
- in Pakistan, 181–182, 186, 189, 195, 197
- project-based cases, 173, 179–181
- replicability, 197–198
- rights-based reasoning, 197–198
- in South Africa, 179–180
- systemic mitigation cases, 173, 176–179
- Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 348, 456
- Principle 10, 457
- risks
 - definition, 44
 - with increased global warming, 50–52
- Road Sharing Principle, 118
- satisfaction, 449
- scientific evidence, 19–20
- separation of powers, 5, 145–168
 - adjudication, stages of, 148–159
 - concept of, 147
 - definition and methods, 147–148
 - duty of care and breach, 154
 - Belgium, 154
 - Colombia, 154–155
 - Czech Republic, 155
 - Germany, 155
 - Nepal, 155
 - Pakistan, 155–156
- emerging best practice, 159
 - human rights adjudication, 163–164
 - international norms, giving effect to, 162–163
- ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision
 - implications on, 487
 - judiciary role in applying law, 159–161
 - legally enforceable norms, developing, 164–166
- functional conception, 147, 148, 149, 150
- justiciability, 148–150
 - Australia, 150–151
 - Canada, 151
 - New Zealand, 151–152
 - United States, non-justiciability case, 150
- legal right/duty, recognising, 152–154
- political significance and issues, 146
- relational conception, 147–148, 149
- replicability, 166–167
- state of affairs, 148–159
- Uganda, 166
- Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), 38
- Sharm el-Sheikh Implementation Plan, 327, 482
- SIDS. *See* Small Island Developing States (SIDS)
- Small Island Developing States (SIDS), 25
- soft adaptation limits, 66
- soft law, 229–230, 233, 309, 312–313
- Solar Radiation Modification measure, 55
- solidarity, principle of, 188, 288
- source attribution science, 80–81, 424
 - definition and scope, 84–85
 - methodologies and models in, 85
 - studies, 85–86
- South Africa
 - climate causality of, 438
 - environmental impact assessment, 243–244
 - intergenerational equity in, 350
 - international law for statutory discretion
 - interpretation, 316–317
 - project-based cases in, 179–180
- special damage rule, 110–111, 127
- Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and Climate Change, 172
- Special Rapporteur on the Right to Health, 212
- specific causation, 392, 415, 424
- SPEI. *See* Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI)
- SSP. *See* Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs)
- standard of care, 224, 312
 - international law
 - Climate Change Reduction Act 2002 (New Zealand), 225–226
 - Paris Agreement, 224–229
 - IPCC and best available science, 228–229
 - minimum, 229
 - for climate remedies, 461–462
 - international law for determining, 314–316
 - soft law, 229–230
- Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI), 94
- standing, 105–130, 149, 236
 - Australia, 119–122, 126, 127
 - causation and, connection between, 394–397
 - Colombia, 124–125
 - core principles, 130
 - emerging best practice, 122–127
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen's* decision
 - implications on, 486–487
 - European Union, 111–112, 129
 - Belgium, 115–116, 124
 - France, 116–117
 - Germany, 114–115, 124, 125–126
 - Netherlands, 106, 114, 125, 128
 - The People's Climate Case*, 113
 - Plaumann* test, 112, 113, 123
 - Sabo v European Parliament*, 112–113
- extraterritorial(ity), 214–215
- India, 119, 126
- of intergenerational equity, 358–361

- standing (cont.)
 - KlimaSeniorinnen*, 483–484
 - legal personhood protection, 128
 - New Zealand, 110–111, 127
 - Philippines, 117–119, 126
 - plaintiffs, obstacles for, 106–107
 - principle, 5
 - replicability, 127–129
 - requirements, 150
 - in Australia, 120
 - in New Zealand, 110
 - in United States, 105
 - rights of Nature protections, 128
 - state of affairs, 107–122
 - United States, 108–109, 127, 129
- State obligations, intergenerational equity in, 349–363
- state of affairs
 - admissibility, 133–138
 - causation, 400–408
 - CBDR-RC, 327–333
 - international law, 305–309
 - remedies, 451–456
 - rights of Nature, 276–280
 - rights-based climate litigation, 176–184
 - separation of powers, 148–159
 - standing, 107–122
 - State responsibility, 380–389
- State responsibility, 9, 371–390
 - advisory opinions, 380
 - IACHR, 382
 - ICJ, 380–381
 - ITLOS, 381–382
 - emerging best practice, 389–390
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion/*KlimaSeniorinnen*'s decision implications on, 493–494
 - human rights complaints
 - in international human rights bodies, 380–384
 - in international quasi-judicial/non-judicial human rights bodies, 382–384
 - in regional human rights bodies, 385–386
 - in *KlimaSeniorinnen* case, 482–483
 - law of. *See* international law obligations
 - replicability, 389–390
 - statutory discretion, 316–317
 - Stockholm Declaration, 348
 - Principle 1, 344
 - Principle 21, 200
 - substantive due process, 271–272, 273
 - substantive obligation, 156, 157, 158–159, 233, 350
 - sustainable development, 303, 348, 350, 355
 - systemic integration, principle of, 142
 - systemic mitigation cases, 173, 176–179
 - Texas Constitution, 265
 - TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 - tipping points, 43–44
 - traditional public trust resources, 251, 252
 - Trail Smelter* principle, 200–201
 - transboundary harm, 188, 202, 208, 211, 214, 241, 375, 376, 379, 380, 384, 504
 - transnational environmental cases, 210–211
 - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 112
 - Article 191, 112
 - Article 263, 447
 - Article 263(4), 112, 113
 - trend attribution, 80
 - definition and scope, 83
 - methodologies and models in, 83–84
 - studies, 84
 - trias politica*, 147
 - trust
 - definition, 253
 - principles, 253–255
 - tutela*, 125, 127, 138, 139–140, 360, 454
 - Ugandan Constitution, 258
 - UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 126, 135–136, 177, 188, 209, 214, 216, 312
 - in Argentina children's rights claim, 190, 215, 366, 383–384
 - climate change effects on present and future generation children, 345–346
 - fair share obligations, 333
 - General Comment 26, 338, 348–349, 366, 455
 - on mitigation and human rights violation, 333
 - UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 377, 473
 - Article 1(1)(4), 475
 - ITLOS Advisory Opinion under. *See* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), Advisory Opinion
 - UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), 215, 313, 399
 - Principle 13, 411
 - Principle 22, 411
 - UN Human Rights Committee, 139, 177, 182, 183, 190, 194, 196, 197, 198, 357, 365, 367, 455
 - General Comment 36, 216
 - New Zealand human rights violation, 383
 - UN Human Rights Council, 374
 - UNCLOS. *See* UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)
 - UNEP. *See* United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

- UNFCCC. *See* United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
- UNGPs. *See* UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 15, 81
- Emissions Gap Report, 53
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 17, 153, 162, 183, 185, 188, 194, 195, 226, 227, 301, 302, 315, 322, 323, 374
- Article 3, 344
- Article 4(4), 204
- United States
- causation in, 401, 405–406
 - and standing in US federal courts, connection between, 395
 - climate causality of, 439
 - Constitution, 105, 109
 - Due Process Clause, 236, 271, 272
 - duty of care, 230, 245
 - from constitutional rights, 236–237
 - intergenerational equity in, 350, 353
 - equality claim, 356–357
 - restrictive standing requirements, 360–361
 - non-justiciability in, 150
 - public trust doctrine, 255–256
 - Alaska, 257–258
 - Arizona, 257
 - California, 267
 - Hawai‘i, 260–261, 266
 - Montana, 265
 - New Mexico, 260
 - Oregon, 257, 270–272
 - Pennsylvania, 259–260
 - Texas, 264–265
 - Washington, 263, 264, 273
 - standing in, 105, 108–109, 127, 129
 - Trail Smelter* principle, 200–201
- VCAT Act. *See* Victorian Courts and Tribunals Act (VCAT Act)
- VCLT. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
- Victorian Courts and Tribunals Act (VCAT Act), 120, 121
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 142
- Article 31, 138
 - Article 31(1), 185
 - Article 31(3), 310
 - Article 31(3)(c), 226
- vocational nexus theory, 122
- WCEL. *See* World Commission on Environmental Law (WCEL)
- WMO. *See* World Meteorological Organization (WMO)
- Working Groups, IPCC, 16, 81
- Working Group I (WG1), 81, 419
 - Working Group II (WG2), 81, 275
 - Working Group III (WG3), 81
- World Commission on Environmental Law (WCEL), xiv–xv, 2–3
- World Meteorological Organization (WMO), 81
- writ of *kalikasan*, 118–119, 359
- writ of *mandamus*. *See* *mandamus* (writ of)