

Index

- ableism, 2, 44, 70, 133, 136, 138
- abortion, 27–32, 42
- access to infant's family (pediatrics), 65–9, 75–6
- acute lymphoblastic leukemia (pediatrics), 59–64
 case narrative, 59–60
 discussion questions, 64
 haunting aspects, 63
 outcome, 63–4
 professional reflections, 60–3
 section reflections, 73–5
- acute myelogenous leukemia, 150, 165
- acute-care services: delayed because beds otherwise occupied, 221, 230
- acute-care-unit beds, 219–24
- Addressing Patient-Centered Ethical Issues in Health Care* ('Case-Based Study Guide') (2017), 238–46
- adolescent pregnancy (confidentiality and culture), 139–43
 case narrative, 139–40
 discussion questions, 143
 haunting aspects, 141–2
 outcome, 142–3
 professional reflections, 140–1
- adolescent pregnancy, confidentiality, and culture (section reflections), 162–4,
See also ectopic pregnancy
- DEI appraisal, 163
- enduring lessons, 162–3
- future practice, 164
- what would be different today, 163
- advance directives, 100, 122, 180, 217
- adverse events, 204, 240
- advocacy role, 203, 206
- Agich GJ, xi, xix, 121–6, 135, 153, 233, 241–2
- Ahmad MU, ix, 2, 162–8, 233, 237, 239
- akrasia: definition, 86
- Albo case, 222–3, 230
- ALL, *See* acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) test, 28–9
- alternative medicine, 151, 243,
See also herbal therapies
- altruism, 145–7, 164
 professional distrust, 188, 190, 196
- altruistic organ donation (credibility and acceptability), 187–93
 case narrative, 187–8
 discussion questions, 192
 haunting aspects, 188–9
 outcome, 191–2
 professional reflections, 189–91
 section reflections, 196–8
- American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) guidelines, 39–40
- American Journal of Psychiatry*, 190
- American Nurses Association (ANA) Code (2001), 23
- American Red Cross, 209, 211
- American Society for Bioethics and Humanities, 76, 235, 239
- Core Competencies in Health Care Ethics Consultation*, 233, 246
- 1998 edition, 174
- latest edition, 246
- updated edition, 1
- amputation of arm (unorthodox treatment), 169–74
 case narrative, 169–70
 discussion questions, 173
 haunting aspects, 170–1
 outcome, 173
 professional reflections, 171–3
 “same pain, but without arm”, 173
 section reflections, 195–8
- amputations, 48, 70
- anger, 27, 29–31, 41, 80–2, 129, 151–2, 215, 232
- anonymity, 188, 192, 197
- anoxic event, 65, 75
- antibiotics, 17, 21, 47, 84, 201
- aphasia: definition, 213
- appropriate ethics review, 122, 125
- auto accident, 127
- autonomy, 17, 36, 79, 83, 85–6, 88, 105, 112, 118, 136–7, 140–1, 167, 199, 208, 217
- clash with principle of “justice for all” (Canada), 221
- HEC-C examination questions, 241
- “trump card”, 170, 173
- Baby Doe rules (1980s), 16, 24
- baby dying in pain, 21–6
 case narrative, 21–2
 current practice, 42–3
 DEI appraisal, 44
 discussion questions, 26
 haunting aspects, 24–5
 outcome, 25
 professional reflections, 22–4
 trust (matters *a lot*), 40–1

- Baby K (anencephalic infant), 24
 Baby Ryan (premature infant), 24
 bad parenting, 70, 74
 Beauchamp T, 22
 Belay ED, 212
 beneficence, 79, 83, 85, 88, 106, 154, 173
 beneficence-in-trust model, 17
 Bernal EW, xi, 109–14, 233, 235–6, 238, 240–1
 best interests, 15–16, 25, 40, 48–9, 61–2, 67, 70, 98, 112, 131, 134, 137–8, 178, 196
 baby dying in pain, 22
 bias, 71, 75–6, 82, 102, 105, 137, 232, 237, 239, 243
 big picture, 201–31, 236–8, 245
 bioethicists
 co-opting by institutions of medicine, 68
 personal spiritual beliefs (risk of unduly influencing patients), 159
 “responsibility to help patient make decisions”, 160
 “should reach clear decisions”, xv
 bioethics, 60, 106
 culture of death “tacit feature” (Smith), 125
 origins (1970s), xv
 “unsettled discipline”, 119
Bioethics Mediation (Dubler and Leibman, 2004), 245
 Black Lives Matter, 44
 blame, 19, 152, 204–5, 215, 222
 Bliton MJ, xi, xix, 33–8, 41–2, 44, 237–8, 242
 blood donors, 209, 211
 blood pressure, 40, 43, 47, 152
 blood transfusion, 23, 211
 borderline personality disorder (BPD), 79–83
 boundary issues, 124–5, 227
 Bouvia E, 100
 bowel damage, 21
 bowel necrosis, 22, 25
 bowel problem (self-resolution), 156–7, 160
 bowel resection surgery, 40
 brain, 185, 194
 brain damage (irreversible), 150
 brain death, 65, 191
 brain injury, 48, *See also* ischemic brain injury
 brain surgery, 207–9, 211, 225
 British Columbia, 222
 Brody B: *Life and Death Decision Making* (1988), 61
 broken jaw (*de facto* terminal condition), 127–32
 case narrative, 127–30
 discussion questions, 131–2
 haunting aspects, 130–1
 outcome, 131
 professional reflections, 130
 section reflections, 134, 137
 Brown C, ix, 2, 133–8, 232, 237, 239
 Brunnequell D, xi, 139–43, 235–7, 243
 bullying, 3, 177, 196
 burnout, 198, 228–30
 business office, 214–17
 cadaveric transplantation, 185, 191, 209
 Callahan D, xv
 Canada: first-available-bed policy (*qv*), 219–24
 Canada: Health Care Consent Act, 180
 cancer (metastatic), 175–7, 194, 196
capsa (box, briefcase, suitcase), xv
 cardiac arrest, 17, 91, 150, 165
 cardiomyopathy patient, 181
 cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), 157, 194
 care ethics, 136
 case law, 25, 136
 case manager, 127, 129, 228
 case: etymology (Latin), xv
Case-Based Study Guide, *See Addressing Patient-Centered Ethical Issues in Health Care*
Cases that Haunt Us (book sub-title), xv
 phrase “became part of bioethics” lexicon’, 1
 casuistry, xv
 Catholic hospital, 109, 112
 cellulitis, 169, 195
 Centers for Disease Control (CDC), 196, 207
 certified nursing assistants (CNAs), 216
 Chang A, xi, 181–6, 233, 235–8, 243
 two “hats” (transplant social worker and ethics consultant), 181, 183–5
 chaplains, 68, 135, 157, 166–7, 214, 234
 chart notes, 90–1, 110–11, 143, 157, 212, 228, 239, 242, 244–5
 chemical paralysis, 15
 chemotherapy, 73–4, 140, 150–1, 154, 219
 passim, 59–64
 child abuse, 60, 72, 74, 79
 Child Protective Services (CPS), 16, 19, 139
 Child Protective Services statute (2001), 60
 Childress J, 22
 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patient
 case narrative, 109–12
 discussion questions, 113
 haunting aspects, 112–13
 listening to husband, 109–14
 outcome, 113
 professional reflections, 112
 section reflections, 133–5, 137
 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): suffering as God’s will (*qv*), 156–61
 church associates, 144–9
 clinical ethicists
 fundamental disagreement, 17
 no “one right course of action”, 17
 paradigm, xv
 clinical ethics, 19
 philosophical approaches (focused study), 236–7
 clinical innovations and unorthodox treatment, 169–73
 altruistic organ donation, 187–93

	Index	251
amputation of arm, 169–74		
cross-references, 236		
destination therapy (DT), 181–6		
feuding surrogates, herbal therapies, dying patient, 175–80		
clinical innovations and unorthodox treatment (section reflections), 194–9		
conclusion, 199		
DEI appraisal, 197–9		
enduring lessons, 195–6		
future practice, 198–9		
what would be different today, 197		
coercion, 56, 62, 141, 146, 160, 190		
collaboration, 2, 104, 136, 159, 220, 233–4		
colorblindness (racial ideology), 105–6		
coma, 16, 54, 150, 165, 185, 194		
comfort care, 22, 25, 65, 89, 150, 152, 154		
communication, 41, 73, 99, 136, 225, 227		
awry, 150, 165		
candid, 134		
high-quality, 133–4		
interdisciplinary, 134		
talking directly to patient/family (impediments), 236–7		
communication gaps, 133, 135, 138		
communication skills, 135, 232		
“foundation of our work”, 234		
community, 137, 139, 141, 163, 220		
compensation, 208, 211		
Complaint in Declaratory Judgment, 62		
<i>Complex Ethics Consultations</i>		
book intention, 232		
cross-references by section, 236–7		
first edition (2008), 1, 3, 39, 42, 194, 232		
first edition conclusions, 233–4		
first edition foreword (Jonsen), xv–xviii		
second edition (guidelines for section reflections), 1		
second edition introduction, 1–3		
second edition special features, 232–3		
complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), 195		
amputation of arm (unorthodox treatment), 169–74		
definition, 169		
complexity: messy spiral (ischemic brain injury patient), 90–5		
confidentiality, 139–40, 142, 197, 209, 242, 245		
conflict		
different admirable ways of life, xvi		
“inseparable from morality” (Hampshire), xvi		
“often irreconcilable”, xvi		
conflicts of interest, 3, 119, 135, 171–3, 190, 196		
conscience, xvi, xviii, 118, 163		
consensus		
failure to achieve, 25, 118		
“not always reliable”, 18–19		
consultation-liaison (C-L) psychiatry service, 79–81, <i>See also</i> psychiatry service		
context, 1, 35, 50, 73, 104–5, 131, 136, 159, 197, 205		
coordination, 225, 227–8		
<i>Core Competencies</i> , <i>See</i> American Society for Bioethics and Humanities		
coronary artery disease and co-morbidities (Sister Jane case), 121–6		
case narrative, 121–4		
discussion questions, 125–6		
haunting aspects, 125		
professional reflections, 124–5		
section reflections, 133, 135, 137–8		
counseling, xvii, 24, 34, 146, 148, 159, 177, 179, 209		
court-appointed guardians, 57–8, 60		
courts, 23, 25, 92		
COVID-19 pandemic, 1, 44, 230, 232		
Craig D, xi, 96–101, 236, 240, 244		
Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD)		
case narrative, 207–9		
disclosure (or not), 207–12		
discussion questions, 212		
haunting aspects, 209–10		
iatrogenic (incubation time), 207		
intraoperative neurosurgical transmission, 207–12		
outcome, 211–12		
professional reflections, 210–11		
section reflections, 225–6		
crisis stabilization facility (CSF), 79–81		
critical-care physician, 65, 121–5		
cross-references by section, 236–7		
clinical innovation and unorthodox treatment, 236		
organizational issues, 236–8		
pediatrics, 237–8		
psychiatric and psychological issues, 238		
religious and cultural beliefs, 238		
withholding therapy, 235–8		
culture, 52, 75, 159, 232		
HEC-C examination questions, 243		
culture of death, 125		
curiosity, 2, 71, 168, 172		
Daly BJ, xi, 84–9, 233, 235, 240–1, 243		
day of week (variable), 18		
death, 31, 34–5, 39, 42, 44, 64, 96, 99–100, 113, 118–19, 129, 133, 152, 179, 185, 201, 213		
BPD patient, 83		
cardiopulmonary grounds, 191		
discussion of issues with children, 62		
Harvard report (1968), 191		
head-on confrontation, 150		
Islamic teaching, 154		

- death (cont.)
 neurologic criteria, 191
 slow, 129, 131
 “triviality in public awareness”, 112
 decision-making capacity, 110,
 122–3, 137, 170–1,
 173, 240, 245
 overriding a surrogate, 100
passim, 97–100
 decision-making difficulty
 (abortion problem)
 case narrative, 27–9
 current practice, 42–3
 DEI appraisal, 44
 discussion questions, 32
 emotional intelligence
 “essential”, 41
 haunting aspects, 29–30
 outcome, 31–2
 professional reflections, 30–1
 decision-making process
 exclusion, 15
 need to speed up, 179
 search for any
 inconsistencies, 158
 DeMarco JP, xi, 115–20, 134,
 233, 235, 241
 philosopher, 115
 dementia, 98, 127, 175, 196
 department of health, 57, 207,
 209, 211–12, 225
 depression, 182, 184, 195, 213
 desperation, 24, 82, 87, 170,
 185
 destination therapy (DT)
 by default, 181–6
 case narrative, 181–3
 discussion questions, 186
 ethics guidelines, 184–5
 haunting aspects, 184–5
 outcome, 185
 professional reflections,
 183–4
 section reflections, 195,
 197–8
 diabetes, 59, 145, 201
 dialysis, 90–1, 191
 Diekema DS, xi, xvi, 22,
 47–52, 233, 235–6,
 238, 244
 disability, 36, 38, 44, 70, 136, 221
 insensitivity, 136
 disclosure, 216, 225–6
 possible iatrogenic CJD,
 207–12
 distress, 23, 45, 99, 129, 135,
 153, 171, 176, 222–3,
 226–7
 diversity, equity, inclusion
 (DEI), 232, 237, 239
 domestic violence, 111
 do-not-resuscitate (DNR/
 DNAR) order, 15,
 84–5, 89, 102, 127–8,
 151, 154, 156, 158, 160,
 166, 239
 double effect doctrine, 43
 doubt, 87, 92, 112, 184, 233–4
 dressing changes, 84–9, 169
 Dubler N, 245
 Dudzinski DM, xii, xix, 233
 amputation of arm
 (unorthodox
 treatment), 169–74,
 196, 235, 237–8, 241–2
 conclusions, educational
 activities, references,
 232–47
 destination therapy, 181–6,
 233, 235–8, 243
 introduction to second
 edition, 240, 1–3
 organizational ethics
 consultant, 181
 due process, 189–90
 duty to disclose, 204, 209
 eating disorder (refusal to eat),
 96–101
 case narrative, 96–9
 discussion questions, 100–1
 haunting aspects, 99
 outcome, 100
 professional reflections,
 99–100
 section reflections, 102–3, 105
 ectopic pregnancy, 139–40,
 142, 162, *See also*
 pregnancy
 Education Guide, *See Improving
 Competencies in Clinical
 Ethics Consultation*
 educational activities
 cross-references by section,
 236–7
 ethics committee education
 (general), 235
 ethics retreats and seminars,
 244–6
 focused study by theme,
 235–7
 HEC-C examination
 (preparation), 234–47
 HEC-C examination
 (questions), 239–44
 individuals, committees,
 trainees, consult
 services, 238–9
 justice, equity, inclusion,
 bias, 237
 pre-seminar assignments, 239
 electrocardiogram (EKG), 203,
 226
 serious medical error
 suspicion, 201–2, 205
 electroconvulsive therapy
 (ECT), 98–9
 emergencies, 182, 184, 186
 emergency department, 47, 50,
 96, 139, 169
 emergency room, 66, 96, 151,
 201
 Emergency Treatment and
 Active Labor Act
 (1986), 23
 emotional distress, 41, 137–8,
 197, 207–8, 211, 233
 emotional intelligence, 39, 45
 essential for clinical ethics
 consultation, 41
 empathy, 2, 42–3, 71, 75–6, 80
 shaken-baby case, 72–3
 end-of-life (EOL) decision-
 making, 2, 125, 133, 135,
 138, 165, 167, 234, 239
 HEC-C examination
 questions, 243–4
 Islamic teachings, 154
 errors, 3, 142, 204, 216, 226,
 228, 240
 Ethical and Religious Directives
 for Catholic Health
 Care Services, 112
 ethical dilemmas, xvi, xviii, 16,
 97, 110, 133, 137, 141,
 158, 182, 212
 ethical problems, xvi
 “not static”, xvii
 ethicists
 “legitimate role”, 57
 “never decision-maker”, 57
 “seldom trained in religious
 issues or language”,
 159, 168
 “there to help balance
 equation”, 56
 validity issue, 18

- ethics, xv
 “needs to be more collaborative”, 75
 “not all hard cases”, xviii
 “profoundest problem” (Nietzsche), 34
 responsiveness to uncertainty, 30
 ethics committee, 17–19, 25, 49, 51–2, 65, 150, 156, 187, 190
 ad hoc subcommittee, 207–8
 “better known and respected”, 112
 educational activities, 235, 238–9
 lay members, 198
 multidisciplinary, 134
 obligations, 218
 parental motives (apparent discordance with those of similar religious faith), 49
 scope of responsibility, 226
 ethics committee review, 91, 109
 ethics consultants, xvi, xix, 1, 63
 boundary issues, 124–5
 exploration of personal values, 41–2
 moral distress and disequilibrium, 170
 “need to regard critically interpretations offered to them”, 125
 never hearing from family directly (haunting), 68
 obligations after advice not taken, 242
 power abuse, 246
 professional disagreements, 242
 “prone to accepting matters as defined by others”, 125
 “report findings to requesting physician only”, 194
 sharing religious tradition with family decision-makers, 49, 51
 ethics consultation, xvi, 31, 35, 47, 51, 65, 68
 baby dying in pain, 22
 back-seat approach “never good idea”, 75
 benefit, 24
 characteristics, 175
 “coming up for air”, 19
 complexity (cautionary tale), 124
 complexity of hospital environment, 93
 disagreements (providers versus surrogate decision-makers), 24
 first rule (get facts), 75
 goals, 93
 “hateful” patient, 80
 “helping patients recognize their values in way that provides integrated experience”, 160
 humbling factors that complicate equitable ~, 102–7
 imprecise, 39
 inclusion of patients and families, 189
 integrity (maintenance), 71
 models, 236
 part of “culture of death” (to what extent?), 125
 power of interprofessional representation, 217
 practice “maturing and evolving”, 230
 quadriplegic patient, 85
 “reactive nature”, 93
 reliance on consultant to define ethical dilemma, 158
 responsibility issue, 129–31, 134
 role boundaries, 153
 roles, process, perception (HEC-C questions), 241
 should be “more proactive than reactive”, 171
 “should never be performed in perfunctory fashion”, 125
 standard procedure (family meetings), 55
 subjectivity, 18
 timing, 19
 withholding information from mother of pregnant adolescent daughter, 139
 ethics consultation (quality in NICU), 15–20
 case narrative, 15–16
 “coming up for air”, 39–40
 discussion questions, 20
 haunting aspects, 17–19
 lessons, 16–17
 next steps, 19
 outcome, 15, 19–20
 ethics consultation (references), 246–7
 blogs and podcasts, 246–7
 educational companions to this book, 246
 journals, 247
 professional practice guidelines, 246
 ethics consultation process, 25, 42, 91, 93, 162
 disagreements among consultants and committee members, 236
 failure, 215
 ethics consultation process (types), 155, *See also* full ethics committee
 individual consultant, 142, 150, 156, 189, 236
 small-group approach, 91, 150–1, 189
 ethics consultation service, 205, 220
 educational activities, 238–9
 ethics police, 204, 206, 210, 241
 ethics retreats (educational activity), 244–6
 ethnicity, 72–3, 76, 104–5, 197
 euthanasia, 154, 184
 evangelical Christians, 48, 50
 extended-care facility, 110, 113
 fairness, 106, 131, 163, 168, 185, 220
 family, xix, 21, 105, 137, 141–2, 151–2, 155, 245
 “integral to recovery process”, 152
 interactions with providers, 243
 relatives sent home, 15, 18, 44
 stress, 153
 family meeting, 151–2, 228, 244

- fear, 63, 71, 86, 115, 140, 158, 162
 Fellner CH, 190
 feminist ethics, 2, 136, *See also* gender
 feuding surrogates, herbal therapies, dying patient, 175–80
 case narrative, 175–7
 discussion questions, 179
 haunting aspects, 178–9
 outcome, 179
 professional reflections, 177–8
 section reflections, 196–8
 financial resources, 44, 137
 Finder SG, xii, xix, 127–32, 134, 240–1, 244
 Firn J, 198
 first-available-bed policy (Canada), 219–24, 229
 case narrative, 219–20
 cases of ‘strong resistance’, 222
 critics, 221
 discussion questions, 223–4
 explanation of procedure, 221
 haunting aspects, 221–2
 outcomes, 223
 professional reflections, 220–1
 section reflections, 229–30
 Foglia MB, xii, 201–6, 226, 233–6, 239–40
 Fonda H: *12 Angry Men* (film, 1957), 19
 Food and Drug Administration, 209
 Ford PJ, xii, xvii, xix, 233
 bioethicist, 115
 complexity (messy spiral), 90–5, 237–9, 241–3, 245
 conclusions, educational activities, references, 232–47
 introduction to second edition, 240, 1–3
 suffering as God’s will, xvii, 156–61, 167, 237, 240, 243
 you’re ethicist, I’m just surgeon, 115–20, 134, 233, 235, 241
 Frank A, 31
 Freedman B, 205
 full ethics committee, 189, 197, 202–5
 authority constraints, 204
 discussion of challenging cases, 213
 “full-code” status, 110, 213
 futility, xvi, 23, 40, 43, 90–3, 105, *See also* medical futility
 word “overused in bioethics”, 57
 futility, Islam, death, 150–5
 case narrative, 150–2
 discussion questions, 155
 haunting aspects, 152–3
 outcome, 154
 professional reflections, 153–4
 futility, Islam, death (section reflections), 165–7
 DEI appraisal, 163–6
 enduring lessons, 165–6
 future practice, 166–7
 what would be different today, 163–6
 gender, 106, 136, 162, 165, 191, 197, *See also* women
 LURD, 147
 general counsel, 91, 241
 goals of care (GOC)
 conversations, 166
 good samaritan, 189, 227
 grief, 1, 18, 43, 71, 182, 194, 219, 232
 Griggins C, xii, 84–9, 233, 235, 240–1, 243
 grim prognosis, 56, 61, 91
 Groves JE, 81–2, 102
 guilt, 29, 34, 49, 55, 72, 87, 194, 215, 217, 228
 Guttengag O, 190
 halls of healing, 163, 233
 Hamburger J, 190
 Hampshire S, xviii
 conflicts of obligations and of interests, xvi
 Morality and Conflict (1983), xv
 Harvard ad hoc committee (report on brain death, 1968), 191
 “hateful” patient (person with BPD), 2, 79–83
 case narrative, 79–81
 discussion questions, 83
 haunting aspects, 82–3
 outcome, 83
 professional reflections, 81–2
 section reflections, 102–3
 strain on staff relationships, 80
 “hateful” patients, 103, 243
 Hathout H, 154
 haunted by good outcome (Sister Jane case), 121–6, 133, 135, 137–8
 haunting, 67, 233
 haunting cases, xix, 43, 239
 “haunt in two ways”, xvii
 invite “confessional style of reflection”, 1
 health insurance, 44, 110, 181, 211, 220
 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA, 1996), 242
 health literacy, 105, 198, 229
 Healthcare Ethics Consultant - Certified, *See* HEC-C examination
 healthcare professionals
 “committed to providing good care”, 88
 quadriplegic patient at mercy of, 85, 87, 105
 “think they know better than patient”, 189, 196
 healthcare providers, xix, 22, 94, 143, 158, 163, 169, 171–3, 179, 208, 239, 245
 healthcare system, 102, 105, 166, 204
 “not designed to save everyone”, 83
 underfunding, 221
 healthcare team, 24, 39–41, 56, 88, 93, 111, 163, 176–9, 196–8
 ethics consultants (clinician or nonclinician), 153
 healthcare workers, 45, 136
 Surgeon General’s recommendations (wellbeing in face of tragic situations, 45

- heart attack, 152, 203, 226
 serious medical error
 suspicion (not my responsibility), 201–3
- heart transplant candidate, 181–6
- HEC-C examination, 1,
 234–47
 key to abbreviation, 234
- HEC-C examination
 questions, 239–44
 autonomy (limits), 241
 conflicts, justice, error,
 moral distress, 239–40
 cultures of patients,
 families, healthcare,
 243
 end-of-life issues, 243–4
 ethics consultation (roles,
 process, perception),
 241
 interactions between
 provider and patient/
 family, 243
 maternal-fetal, perinatal,
 pediatric issues, 242–3
 surrogate decision-making,
 240–1
- herbal therapies, 3, 196
 feuding surrogates and
 dying patient, 175–80
- Hester DM, xii, 65–9, 233,
 235–6, 238, 241–2
- Hogg C, 198
- home nursing, 66, 75–6
- honesty: “needs to be upfront
 and named”, 75
- hospice, 85–6, 100, 105,
 151–2, 154, 156, 194
- hospitals
 administration, 25, 207, 210,
 212, 225–6
 “more like home”, 80, 83
 organizational structure
 (impact on bedside
 decision-making), 3
 security, 92
- hotel costs, 115, 119
- human crowd (density),
 xvi–xvii
 effect on consultation, xvii
- human guinea pigs and
 miracles, 169–73
 section reflections, 194–9
- Human Renal Transplant
 Registry, 190
- humility, xvii, xix, 205, 232
- Huntington’s disease (HD),
 211
- hydrocephalus, 27–9, 34, 44
- hypertension, 15, 121, 156
- iatrogenic disease, 210–12,
 225–6
- identity, 18, 44, 102, 104–6,
 136, 153
 cultural and spiritual, 71
- ignorance, 69
 difficult to admit, 68
- ileus, 157
- immigrant family, xvii, 106,
 162
- immunosuppressive drug
 regimens, 183, 185
- impasse, 62, 111, 124, 150, 154
- implicit bias, 73, 136, 229
- Improving Competencies in
 Clinical Ethics
 Consultation*
 (‘Education Guide’),
 238–46
- individual consultant model,
 17
- individuals, 41–2, 153, 203
 educational activities, 238–9
- infant with severe neurological
 damage (pediatrics),
 65–9
 case backstory, 65
 case narrative, 65–6
 discussion questions, 67, 69
 haunting aspects, 67–9
 outcome, 69
 professional reflections,
 67
- infant with severe neurological
 damage (section
 reflections), 75–6
 DEI appraisal, 76
 enduring lessons, 75
 future practice, 76
 what would be different
 today, 71–6
- informal consultations, 171,
 173
- informed consent, 37, 57, 117,
 146–7, 184–5, 189
 formal document, 62
- injustice, 57, 105, 118, 133,
 135, 163, 204, 232
- “innocent until proven guilty”
 principle, 72
- Institute of Medicine (IOM):
 To Err is Human
 (1999), 204
- institutional review boards,
 187, 190
- integrity, 18, 50, 115, 204, 233,
 See also professional
 integrity
- intensive-care unit (ICU),
 84–5, 87, 90, 150, 194,
 202
 shortage of beds, 92–3
- intensivists, 88, 91, 115–16,
 150, 153
- internal medicine (IM)
 service, 80–1, 83, 99
- International Transplantation
 Society, 190
- intubation, 21, 23, 123
- ischemic brain injury, 90–5
 case narrative, 90–1
 discussion questions, 94
 haunting aspects, 91–3
 outcome, 94
 professional reflections,
 93–4
 section reflections, 103–5
- Islam, 150–5, 162–7
 life “not worth living” (non-
 existent concept),
 154
 “sanctity of human life”,
 154
- Jehovah’s Witnesses vs. King
 County [Harborview]*,
 23
- Joint Commission, 228
- Jonas H, 131
- Jonsen AR, xii, xv–xviii
- Journal of American Medical
 Association (JAMA)*,
 190
- Journal of Clinical Ethics
 (JCE)*, xix
- justice, 220–1, 237, 239
- Kelly G, 22
- kidney: altruistic donation
 case, 187–93
- Kottkamp NA, xii, xvii, 59–64,
 233, 235–7, 242–4
- Krishnamurthy KB, ix, 3,
 194–9, 232, 237, 239
- epilepsy consultant, 194
- ethics consultant, 194

- language, 75–6, 105, 139, 143, 229
 Lantos J, 23
 law, xv–xvi, 42, 245, *See also*
 legal issues
 lawsuits, 110, 112, 196
 left ventricular assist device
 (LVAD), 181–6, 195
 legal action, 88, 151, 222
 avoidance (AAP
 guidelines), 40
 legal counsel, 60, 73, 99, 101,
 134
 legal intervention, 118
 forcing continued therapy,
 52
 legal issues, 235–6
 Leibman C, 245
 Levey AS, 191
 life of party, *See*
 meningococemia
 (pediatrics)
 life support, 15, 19, 21, 24, 58
 futility, 23
 “minimal benefit” case, 25
 proportionality principle, 22
 refusal, 121, 123–4
 withdrawal, 110, 115
 life-and-death situations, 42, 67
 life-sustaining treatment
 (LST), 16, 18, 39–40,
 49, 54, 56–7, 66, 72, 74,
 111, 121–2, 214
 refusal, 125
 withdrawal, 48–9, 70, 115,
 133–4, 138
 withholding, 217
 listening, 2, 50
 husband of COPD patient,
 109–14
 litigation, 3, 176
 lived experience, 2, 136, 196,
 233, 241
 living unrelated donor
 (LURD) case, *See also*
 altruistic organ
 donation
 acceptance criteria, 144,
 146, 164
 case narrative, 144–6
 church associates, 144–9
 discussion questions, 149
 haunting aspects, 146–7
 outcome, 148
 professional reflections,
 146
 living unrelated donor
 (LURD) case (section
 reflections), 164–5
 DEI appraisal, 163–5
 enduring lessons, 164
 future practice, 165
 what would be different
 today, 164
 loneliness, 80, 82, 146–8, 165,
 230
 lying, 141, 143
 Macauley RC, xii, xvi, 15–20,
 39–40, 42, 44, 233,
 235–6, 242, 244
 malpractice, 60, 74
 marginalization, 1, 105, 136,
 196, 198, 205, 229, 242
 Marshall JR, 190
 maternal-fetal surgery (spina
 bifida), 33–8, 42, 242
 case narrative, 33–4
 DEI appraisal, 44
 discussion questions, 37–8
 haunting aspects, 34–6
 lessons, 41–2
 outcome, 37
 professional reflections, 36–7
 maternal-fetal unit, 27–32
 McCormick TR, xii, xvi, 21–6,
 40–2, 44, 235–6, 238,
 240
 mechanical ventilation, 15–16,
 152, 156
 media, 148–9
 mediator role, 61–3
 medical decision-making, 71,
 165, 195–7
 financial strain, 137
 medical futility, 103, 105,
 150–1, 234, 239, 243,
 245, *See also* futility
 medical neglect, 16, 40, 60, 62
 medical records, 94, 103, 119,
 124, 140, 150, 202, 208,
 213–14
 Medicare, 191, 214–15, 217, 228
 medicine, xv, 42
 circumstances, xv
 traditional hierarchy, 226
 medicine service, 79–80
 memory, xvi–xvii, 54, 142
 meningococemia (pediatrics),
 47–52
 case narrative, 47–9
 discussion questions, 52
 haunting aspects, 50–1
 outcome, 51–2
 professional reflections,
 49–50
 meningococemia (section
 reflections), 70–1
 DEI appraisal, 71
 enduring lessons, 70–1
 future practice, 71
 what would be different
 today, 71
 mental health, 163, 182
 BPD, 79–83
 methotrexate, 140, 142
 MeToo, 44
 Miller RB, xii, 187–93, 236–7,
 241
 Mishra R, ix, 3, 225–32, 237,
 239
 moonlighting, 201–3, 205,
 226, 234
 moral courage, 205, 217
 moral dilemmas, 210, 221,
 246
 surgeon’s predicament,
 115–20
 moral distress, xvii, 1, 23, 71,
 103, 106, 133–4, 166,
 168, 170, 172, 178, 184,
 198, 219–20, 223, 225,
 230, 239, 246
 influence on professional
 integrity, 172
 mode of address by ethics
 consultants, 240
 occasion, 172, 233
 suspicion of serious medical
 error, 203
 moral error, 119–20
 moral experiences, xvi, 35,
 130, 132, 185
 moral life, xvi, xviii, 29, 172
 moral residue, 103, 223, 246
 moral support, 199, 232
 moral uncertainty, 246
 characteristics, 172
 morality, 131
 “inseparable from conflict”
 (Hampshire), xvi
 most vulnerable of us, *See*
 pediatrics
 Motion to File Complaint
 Under Seal, 62
 multidisciplinary, 17, 134,
 150, 169, 192, 198, 232
 multiorgan system failure, 91

- multiple professional roles, 236
 myelomeningocele, 27, 33, 42, 44
 narrative medicine, 136
 nasal cannula, 129
 nasogastric (NG) feeding tube, 98–9, 157, 213, 215–16
 withdrawal, 214, 217, 228
 Natanson M, 35
 National Childhood Cancer Foundation CCG Protocol (1952), 59
 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 33
 necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), 21, 40
 negligence, 66, 70
 neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)
 baby dying in pain, 21–6
 ethics consultation (quality), 15–20
 framework for decision-making, 242
 nephrectomy, 145–7, 190–1
 neurosurgeon, 207–11
 neurosurgery, 208, 210–11
 neurosurgical instruments (contaminated), 207–8
New England Journal of Medicine, 190
 Nietzsche F, 34
 nonmaleficence, 79, 83, 141, 154, 173, 178
 nurses, 24, 111, 113, 129, 131, 214–17, 234
 nursing home, 84–5, 87–9, 113, 127–9, 182, 213, 215
 “only partially subsidized” (Canada), 220
 quality of life, 216
 nursing home placement, 111, 214–16, 219
 nursing staff, 19, 177, 214, 228
 traditional role, 54
 upset at parental decision-making, 23
 O’Neil T, 198
 objectivity, 22, 25, 43, 68, 71, 105, 162, 165, 173, 177, 179
 odor, 84, 88
 Ohnsorge K, xiii, xvii, 156–61, 167, 237, 240, 243
 open-heart surgery (withdrawal of LST), 115–20
 open-uterine surgery, 33–4, 36–7
 organ donation, 211, *See also* altruistic organ donation
 raising awareness, 165
 organizational ethics, 185, 206, 225, 234, 247
 first-available-bed policy (Canada), 219–24
 organizational issues, 3, 104, 201–31, 234, 245
 CJD (intraoperative neurosurgical transmission), 207–12
 cross-references, 236–8
 disclosure (or not), 207–12
 first-available-bed policy (Canada), 219–24
 patient discharge, 213–18
 serious medical error
 suspicion (not my responsibility), 201–6
 organizational issues (section reflections), 225–31
 DEI appraisal, 229–30
 enduring lessons, 225–7
 future practice, 230
 learning from past to shape future, 225–31
 what would be different today, 227–9
 Orr RR, xiii, xvi, 15–20, 39–40, 42, 44, 233, 235–6, 242, 244
 ostracism, 2, 163
 outpatient challenges, 237
 overstepping, 68, 94, 179, 234
 oxygen, 21, 117, 127–9, 134
 pain, 115, 152, 169–71, 179, 196
 hospital policy, 176
 patient ‘final judge’, 100
 pain control, 21, 25, 43, 177–8, 196
 palliative care, 2, 21–3, 25, 43–4, 85, 104, 135, 152, 156, 184–5, 195, 197
 palliative-care unit, 175–6, 197
 philosophy, 176
 paralysis, 34, 87, 213
parens patriae approach, 25, 74
 definition, 72
 parental decision-making, 23, 60, 67, 70–2
 “hardest decision”, 18
 staff opposition, 47–52
 parental discretion, 15–16, 39
 parental motives: medical staff unease, 49–51
 parental rights, 16, 62
 partnership, 104, 167
 pastoral service, 159
 paternalism, 112, 136–8, 211
 path of least resistance, 136
 patient discharge (organizational issues), 213–18
 case narrative, 213–15
 discussion questions, 218
 haunting aspects, 215–16
 outcome, 217–18
 professional reflections, 216–17
 section reflections, 227–9
 patient safety, 23, 70, 204, 226
 patients, xix, 245
 interactions with providers, 243
 Pearlman RA, xiii, 201–6, 226, 233–6, 239–40
 pediatric intensive care unit (PICU), 47–9, 51–2, 65
 framework for decision-making, 242
 pediatrics, 2, 16, 23, 47–77
 acute lymphoblastic leukemia, 59–64, 73–5
 conclusion, 76
 cross-references, 237–8
 HEC-C examination questions, 242–3
 infant with severe neurological damage (access to family), 65–9, 75–6
 meningococccemia, 47–52, 70–1
 section reflections, 70–7
 shaken-baby case, 53–8, 72–3
 peer review, 18
 Pentecostal Christians, 145

- perception, 54, 71
 hospital as 'enemy', 63
 percutaneous endoscopic
 gastrostomy (PEG)
 feeding tube, 84, 128
 peritonitis, 22, 25
 permanent vegetative state
 (PVS), 54–5, 58
 personality (variable), 18
 petechiae: definition, 47
 philosophical approaches to
 clinical ethics, 236–7
 physicians, 171, 226, 234
 Pinkus RL, xiii, xvii, 59–64,
 233, 235–7, 242–4
 pneumonia, 84, 113, 128, 201,
 214, 226
 police, 53, 55–6, 73, 140–1,
 143
 Potash J, xiii, 207–12, 225,
 235–8, 240
 power imbalance, 58, 104, 136,
 198
 power of attorney, 121, 150,
 175–7, 180
 pregnancy, 2, 145, 181, 211,
 See also adolescent
 pregnancy
 prejudice, 71, 75, 102, 229
 prenatal and neonatal issues,
 2, 15–45
 baby dying in pain, 21–6
 decision-making difficulty
 (abortion problem),
 27–32
 ethics consultation (quality
 in NICU), 15–20
 future practice (addressing
 affective components),
 45
 maternal-fetal surgery
 (spina bifida), 33–8
 section reflections, 39–45
 prenatal and neonatal issues
 (current practice),
 42–4
 comfort always, 43
 enhanced processes, 42
 medicine and law, 42
 other resources, 43
 proactive approach, 42–3
 prenatal and neonatal issues
 (DEI appraisal), 44
 baby dying in pain, 44
 decision-making difficulty
 (abortion problem), 44
 maternal-fetal surgery
 (spina bifida), 44
 prenatal and neonatal issues
 (lessons), 39–42
 emotional intelligence
 "essential", 41
 ethics consultants
 (exploration of
 personal values), 41–2
 "take deep breath and
 pause", 39–40
 trust (matters *a lot*), 40–1
 President's Commission for
 Study of Ethical
 Problems in Medicine,
 16–17
 press release, 188, 197
 primary caregiver, 175, 182
primum non nocere (first, do no
 harm) principle, 146
 principlism, 135, 137
 prions, 207, 225
 prisoner's dilemma, 53
 privacy, 3, 94, 163, 165, 197,
 242
 proactive approach, 3, 42,
 92–4, 134, 138, 171,
 210, 228
 professional groups: conflict-
 resolution by ethics
 consultants, 240
 professional integrity, 118, 172
 professional standards, 233
 proportionality, 22, 44
 Protestant faith, 157, 167
 proxy decision, 117, 119
 psychiatric and psychological
 issues
 BPD patient, 79–83
 complexity (messy spiral),
 90–5
 cross references, 238
 desires (diversity) and
 liberty (limits), 79–107
 helping staff help 'hateful'
 patient, 79–83
 ischemic brain injury, 90–5
 patient refusing to eat,
 96–101
 quadriplegic patient, 84–9
 psychiatric and psychological
 issues (section
 reflections), 102–7
 DEI appraisal, 104–6
 enduring lessons, 102–3
 future practice, 106–7
 what would be different
 today, 103–4
 psychiatrist, 79, 98, 122, 189
 psychiatry service, 158, 160,
 182, 188, 234, *See also*
 consultation-liaison
 (C-L) psychiatry
 service
 psychological
 countertransference:
 definition, 159
 psychotic depression, 97–9
 publicity, 62, 188, 197, 222
 Pullman D, xiii, xviii, 219–24,
 229, 234–7, 239
 purpura fulminans, 47
 quadriplegic patient (Ulysses
 contract), 84–9
 case narrative, 84–5
 discussion questions, 89
 haunting aspects, 87–8
 professional reflections,
 85–7
 section reflections, 102,
 104–5
 quality of life, 21, 74, 115–16,
 127, 176, 184, 216
 Bouvia decision (1986), 100
 NICU, 15–20
 Quinlan case (1976), 190
 race, 76, 104, 136, 191
 racism, 2, 72–3, 76, 102,
 105–6, 137, 229
 realism, xv, xvii–xviii
 red flags, 138, 181
 Reeve C, 87
 regret, 20, 106, 178, 184–5,
 232, 234
 religion, 48, 51–2, 57, 66
 religious and cultural beliefs,
 2, 139–68
 adolescent pregnancy
 (confidentiality and
 culture), 139–43,
 162–4
 cross-references, 238
 futility, Islam, death, 150–5,
 165–7
 living unrelated donor
 (LURD) case, 144–9,
 164–5
 section reflections, 162–8
 suffering as God's will,
 156–61, 167–8

- REMATCH, 181
 key to acronym, 181
 reputation, 139, 163, 226
 Request for Consultation
 Information Form, 127
 resistance, 88, 104, 222
 resource allocation, 93, 229, 237
 respect, 36, 80
 respect for persons, 79, 83, 189, 220
 right course of action: only one (“usually false” presumption), 17
 Rosell TD, xiii, xvii, 144–9, 237–8, 241, 243
- Sadler HH, 190
 safe spaces, 137–8, 163
 safety (physical), 86, 113
 scarce resources, 57, 221, 229, 232
 Schutz A, 31
 Schwartz Rounds, 228
 Schwartz SH, 190
 Scott M, ix, 2, 102–7, 232, 237, 239
 second opinion, 74, 151
 Sederstrom N, ix, 2, 70–7, 232, 237, 239
 self-doubt, 18, 130
 seminars (educational activity), 244–6
 sepsis, 66, 84, 87, 89, 121–2, 196
 “septic shock”, 47
 serious medical error suspicion (not my responsibility), 201–6
 case narrative, 201–3
 discussion questions, 206
 haunting aspects, 203–4
 outcome, 205–6
 professional reflections, 204–5
 section reflections, 226
 sexism, 133, 136, 138
 sexual activity, 139, 143, 163–4
 shaken-baby case (pediatrics), 53–8
 case narrative, 53–6
 discussion questions, 58
 haunting aspects, 57
 outcome, 58
 professional reflections, 56–7
 shaken-baby case (section reflections), 72–3
 DEI appraisal, 71–3
 enduring lessons, 72
 future practice, 73
 what would be different today, 72
 shame, 2, 106, 216, 232
 Shannon SE, xiii, xvi, 213–18, 227, 234–5, 238, 240
 Shklar JN: *Faces of Injustice* (1990), 204
 side effects, 170, 176
 Singleton R, xiii, xviii, 219–24, 229, 234–6, 239
 Sister Jane case, 121–6, *See also* coronary artery disease
 Skeel JD, xiii, 79–83, 233–5, 237, 240, 243
 sleep, 45, 54, 65, 117, 123, 165, 195
 Smetanka SL, xiv, xvii, 59–64, 233, 235–7, 242–4
 Smith WJ, 125
 social circumstances, 72, 106, 184
 social determinants of health, 105, 229
 social media, 3, 165, 197
 social problems, 76, 234
 medical treatment cannot fix, 183
 social support, 181–4
 social work, 75, 215
 social workers, 19, 24, 60–1, 65, 68, 104, 111, 113, 127, 134, 139, 151, 163, 183, 213, 215, 228, 234
 shaken-baby case, 53–5
 socioeconomic status, 44, 76
 sound of chains (shaken-baby case), 53–8, 72–3
 Spike J, xiv, xvi, 53–8, 233, 235, 237–8, 240, 242
 spina bifida, 27–9, 33–8, 41
 spinal lesion, 27, 29, 33–4
 spinal protusion, 27
 Spinoza B, xvii
 spiritual care, 75, 104, 154, 159, 163, 166–7, 232
 Spital A, 191
 splitting behaviors, 80, 82
 definition, 80
 sporadic CJD, 207, 211
 stakeholders, 135, 225, 242, 244
 definition, 203
 power imbalance, 204
 values (quest by ethics consultants to understand), 232
stare decisis (legal principle ‘to stand by that which is decided’), 17
 state law, 66, 139, 163, 204
 Minnesota, 140–1
 Steinberg D, 211
 stem-cell research, 17
 sterilization, 207–9, 225–6
 stress, 45, 153, 155, 185, 222
 stroke, 48, 169, 213–14, 219–20, 227
 study (focused) by theme, 235–7
 disagreements among consultants and committee members, 236
 ethics consultation models, 236
 legal issues, 235–6
 multiple professional roles, 236
 outpatient challenges, 237
 philosophical approaches to clinical ethics, 236–7
 resource utilization, 237
 talking directly to patient/family (impediments), 236–7
 subjectivity, 18, 25, 43, 71, 165, 223
 substance abuse, 181, 183
 substandard care, 87–8
 suffering, 18, 21–4, 44, 112, 118
 “futility”, 23
 perception differences, 24
 suffering as God’s will
 case narrative, 156–7
 discussion questions, 160
 end-stage COPD patient, 156–61
 haunting aspects, 158–60
 outcome, 160
 professional reflections, 157–8
 suffering as God’s will (section reflections), 167–8
 DEI appraisal, 165–7
 enduring lessons, 167

- suffering as God's will
(section reflections) (cont.)
 future practice, 168
 what would be different
 today, 167
suicidality, 79, 102, 154, 183–5
suicide precautions (mode), 81
Supreme Court, 62
Surgeon General, 45
surgeons, 3, 21, 34, 127, 170,
 173, 189, 196
 moral dilemma (you're
 ethicist) case, 115–20
surrogate decision-makers,
 135–7
 counseling, 177, 179
 equal division between two
 feuding children
 (treatment
 complication), 178
 role, 178
surrogate decision-making,
 217
 HEC-C examination
 questions, 240–1
Susie's voice (acute
 lymphoblastic
 leukemia), 2, 59–64,
 73–5
Swota AH, xiv, 175–80, 196,
 233, 238, 240, 243

teaching, 156, 159, 171–3
team approach, 3, 227, 230
Templeton J, xiv, xviii,
 219–24, 229, 234–6,
 239
terminal illness, 93, 100
 nonlinear and unpredictable
 course, 91
terminal withdrawals, 133, 135
 irreversibility, 135
theological questions (LURD),
 147
therapeutic privilege, 208,
 210–12
time, xvi, 229
time-limited trial, 134–5
timing, 18–19, 228
total parenteral nutrition
 (TPN), 156–8
tough cases make bad laws
 (adage), 220, 222
toxicity, 62–3
tracheostomy, 65, 84, 110, 121,
 123, 128, 133

trainees, 201–6
 educational activities,
 238–9
training, 71, 153, 159, 226,
 233–4
 “key element to highlight”,
 168
transparency, 3, 204, 225, 228,
 230
trauma, 44, 104, 106, 166, 223
trauma unit, 127–8
trisomy 21 (Down's
 syndrome), 48
trust, 2, 25, 30, 63, 75, 92–3,
 133, 137, 158–9, 210
 breakdown (between family
 and staff), 24
 matters *a lot*, 40–1
truth-telling, 2, 141, 163, 226
two hats, 154, 183, 233

ulcers, 84, 169
ultrasound, 27–9, 34, 37, 44
Ulysses contract, 104–5
 aka “psychiatric advance
 directive”, 86
 definition, 86
 quadriplegic patient, 84–9
uncanny, 34–5
uncertainty, 29–30, 35, 39–40,
 47, 49, 70–1, 99, 131,
 157, 160, 171, 178, 191,
 232
 adolescent pregnancy case,
 141–2
 concept “clinical teams need
 to acknowledge more
 readily”, 168
 moral life, 172
 posttransplant recipient-
 response, 145
United Network for Organ
 Sharing (UNOS), 188
universals, 106
University Health Law Clinic,
 60

values, 35, 41, 73, 75, 130, 134,
 159, 162, 171
 exploration by ethics
 consultants (difficult
 circumstances), 41–2
 “genuine” versus “transiently
 perceived”, 35
 personal versus
 professional, 172

values clash, 74, 118, 136,
 167
vasopressors, 47–8, 52
ventilator settings, 15, 84, 116
ventilator support, 21, 109
ventilator withdrawal, 47–9,
 52, 110–11, 133, 195
ventilator-dependence, 15, 65,
 110, 112, 116, 122
 quadriplegic patient, 84, 87
violence, 105, 112
vitamin K, 79
vulnerability, 30, 33, 35–6, 87,
 105
 silencing of patient's voice,
 133
vulnerable patients, 133,
 170–2

Walton-Moss BJ, 197
Weise KL, xiv, xvii, 150–5,
 233, 236, 243–4
will of God, 145, 147, 164, 167
Williams KS, xiv, 79–83,
 233–5, 237, 240, 243
Winslow GR, xiv, 96–101, 236,
 240, 244
withholding therapy, 109–38
 broken jaw (*de facto*
 terminal condition),
 127–32
 COPD patient (listening to
 husband), 109–14
 cross-references, 235–8
 haunted by good outcome
 (Sister Jane case),
 121–6
 you're ethicist, I'm just
 surgeon, 115–20
withholding therapy (section
 reflections), 133–8
 DEI appraisal, 135–7
 enduring lessons, 133–5
 future practice, 137–8
 what would be different
 today, 135
Wocial LD, x, 2, 39–45, 232,
 237, 239
women, 2, 138, *See also*
 feminist ethics
 rape victim, 181
 wife not informed about
 possible medical error
 contributing to
 husband's death, 201–6
women's advocacy group, 140

		Index	261
Woodrum D, xiv, xvi, 21–6, 40–2, 44, 235–6, 238, 240	discussion questions, 119–20 haunting aspects, 118–19 implication, 119 outcome, 119 professional reflections, 117–18	section reflections, 133–6, 138 Zaner RM, xiv, xix, 27–32, 35, 41–2, 44, 233, 236, 242	
you’re ethicist, I’m just surgeon, 115–20 case narrative, 115–17			