

THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT

In honor of the 100th anniversary of the Federal Arbitration Act, this volume brings together a diverse group of leading scholars and practitioners to celebrate its successes and propose specific reforms. Readers will gain insight into how the Federal Arbitration Act impacts the modern practice of arbitration and how the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Act undermines its fairness. Focusing on domestic, commercial and consumer, as well as securities and labor and employment arbitration, this book provides a roadmap to enhance the fairness and coherence of the Act. The volume is unique in that it serves as the impetus for a law reform project, with over thirty scholars speaking collectively for improvements to the law. More effective than scattershot arguments, this coordinated effort delivers a consistent message to a national audience: that arbitration has become ubiquitous and the law should ensure it is fair and equitable.

Richard A. Bales is Professor of Law at Ohio Northern University's College of Law, where he teaches courses on labor, employment, alternative dispute resolution, torts, and civil procedure. He has published more than 100 scholarly articles and authored or co-authored ten books on topics related to labor, employment, and alternative dispute resolution. He also is a labor arbitrator and a member of the National Academy of Arbitrators, the American Bar Foundation, and the College of Labor and Employment Lawyers.

Jill I. Gross is Vice Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University. She is coauthor of the treatise *Broker-Dealer Law and Regulation* and the casebook *Arbitration: Law, Policy, and Practice*. She has published dozens of book chapters and law review articles on the arbitration of commercial and securities disputes. She serves as an arbitrator for both the American Arbitration Association and FINRA Dispute Resolution Services.

The Federal Arbitration Act

SUCCESSSES, FAILURES, AND A ROADMAP
FOR REFORM

Edited by

RICHARD A. BALES

Ohio Northern University, Claude W. Pettit College of Law

JILL I. GROSS

Pace University, Elisabeth Haub School of Law



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-39191-7 — The Federal Arbitration Act
Edited by Richard A. Bales, Jill I. Gross
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University’s mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009391917

DOI: 10.1017/9781009391894
© Richard A. Bales and Jill I. Gross 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781009391894

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Bales, Richard A., editor. | Gross, Jill I., editor.
TITLE: The Federal Arbitration Act : successes, failures, and a roadmap for reform / edited by Richard A. Bales, Ohio Northern University; Jill I. Gross, Pace University, New York.
DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2024. | Includes bibliographical references and index.
IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2024014445 (print) | LCCN 2024014446 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009391917 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009391894 (ebook)
SUBJECTS: LCSH: United States. United States Arbitration Act. | Arbitration and award – United States. | Law reform – United States.
CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF9085 .F43 2024 (print) | LCC KF9085 (ebook) | DDC 347.73/09–dc23/eng/20240402
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2024014445>
LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2024014446>

ISBN 978-1-009-39191-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>page ix</i>
PART I THE FAA’S HISTORY AND POLITICS	
1 Introducing the FAA and Its Centenary Jill I. Gross and Richard A. Bales	3
2 The Birth of an Arbitration Nation Imre Stephen Szalai	8
3 The Bipartisan and Cross-Ideological Currents Supporting the Ascendancy of Arbitration Sarah Staszak	20
PART II ENFORCING ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS	
4 Section 1: Defining Arbitration and Addressing Structural Bias Nancy A. Welsh	35
5 Section 2’s “Arising Out of” Requirement: An Argument for Repeal Stephen J. Ware	48
6 Limiting Delegation Clauses after <i>Rent-A-Center West</i>, <i>Inc. v. Jackson</i> David Horton	59
7 Exempting from the FAA Agreements to Arbitrate Federal Securities Law Claims Jill I. Gross	70

PART III THE ROLE OF STATE LAW		
8	Ending FAA Preemption: Restoring the Federal–State Balance in Arbitration Regulation Richard Frankel	83
9	Pressing the “Restart” Button: Amending FAA Section 2 So That State Law, Not the FAA, Regulates Adhesion Arbitration Ronald G. Aronovsky	94
10	State Arbitration Law in an FAA Preemption World: The Interaction between Federal and State Arbitration Law Maureen A. Weston	106
PART IV FEDERAL COURTS AND THE FAA: JURISDICTION, PETITIONS TO COMPEL, AND SUBPOENAS		
11	Federal Court Jurisdiction for Arbitration Matters: A Complex Problem with an Easy Solution Kristen Blankley	121
12	Section 4 – Jury, Trial: A Misnomer in the FAA Tracey B. Frisch	132
13	Third-Party Arbitral Subpoenas: Are They Allowed and Who Can Challenge Them? Bradley A. Areheart	141
14	Clarifying Section 7 to Accommodate OArb Amy J. Schmitz	149
PART V EMPLOYMENT AND CONSUMER CONTRACTS		
15	The FAA’s “Transportation Worker” Exemption: Arbitrary and Confusing Lise Gelernter	163
16	The Early Days of the Ending Forced Arbitration Act Sandra Sperino	175
17	Expanding the Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Act to Protect Workers’ Rights Ariana R. Levinson	185
18	The FAA Should Not Cover Consumer Claims Jeff Sovern	197

	<i>Contents</i>	vii
19	Using the OWBPA as a Model for Protecting Signatories to Adhesive Arbitration Agreements Richard A. Bales	208
20	Voiding Silent Class Action Waivers by Amending the FAA Blair Druhan Bullock	218
	PART VI CONFIRMING AND VACATING AWARDS	
21	Revising the Reviewing: Amending FAA Section 10 to Improve Procedural Protections in Employment Arbitration Sarah Rudolph Cole	231
22	Clarifying the Conflict in the FAA’s Conflict of Interest Requirements: What and When Must Arbitrators Disclose? Andrea Kupfer Schneider and Brian Farkas	241
23	The FAA’s Silence on Arbitral Disclosures: A Pressing Problem in the Social Media Age Mitch Zamoff	253
24	Arbitrator Reversal under Federal Arbitration Act Section 10(a)(3) for Excluding Evidence Michael Z. Green	265
25	Reforming Judicial Review to Permit Bargained-For and Fast-Track Review Mark C. Travis and John Burritt McArthur	275
	PART VII DIVERSITY, INCLUSION, OPPORTUNITY	
26	Arbitration Law and Labor Law at the Margins: Workers of Color Caught between Collective and Individual Visions of Alternative Dispute Resolution Ruben J. Garcia	293
27	Reinvigorating the Arbitration Process by Diversifying the Arbitrator Corps Sarah Rudolph Cole	302
28	Empirical Evidence on Arbitrator Diversity: What We Know, What We Don’t Know, and How to Move Forward on the Empirical Front Andrea Cann Chandrasekher	314

PART VIII THE FUTURE

29	Time to Modernize the FAA George H. Friedman	327
30	The Supreme Court’s Defensible Interpretations of the Federal Arbitration Act Stephen J. Ware	336
31	The FAA in Comparative Perspective: Is the United States an Outlier? Hiro N. Aragaki	347
32	A Failed Experiment? Is It Time to Scrap the FAA Altogether? Richard Frankel	360

Contributors

Hiro N. Aragaki Professor of Law; Director of the Center for Negotiation and Dispute Resolution, University of California College of the Law, San Francisco

Bradley A. Areheart Professor of Law, University of Tennessee College of Law

Ronald G. Aronovsky Professor of Law, Southwestern Law School

Richard A. Bales Professor of Law, Ohio Northern University, Claude W. Pettit College of Law

Kristen Blankley Henry M. Grether, Jr. Professor of Law, University of Nebraska College of Law

Blair Druhan Bullock Assistant Professor, University of Arkansas School of Law

Andrea Cann Chandrasekher Professor of Law, University of California, Davis School of Law

Sarah Rudolph Cole Michael E. Moritz Chair in Alternative Dispute Resolution; Professor of Law, The Ohio State University Michael E. Moritz College of Law

Brian Farkas Adjunct Professor of Law, Cardozo School of Law

Richard Frankel Professor of Law, Associate Dean for Experiential Learning, Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law

George H. Friedman Adjunct Professor of Law, Fordham University School of Law

Tracey B. Frisch Associate General Counsel, American Arbitration Association

Ruben J. Garcia Professor of Law, William S. Boyd School of Law at University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Lise Gelernter Visiting Scholar, University at Buffalo School of Law

Michael Z. Green Professor of Law, Director, Workplace Law Program, Texas A&M University School of Law

Jill I. Gross Vice Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

David Horton Martin Luther King, Jr. Professor of Law, University of California Davis School of Law

Ariana R. Levinson Associate Dean for Intellectual Life; Frost, Brown, Todd Professor of Law, University of Louisville Louis D. Brandeis School of Law

John Burritt McArthur Law Office of John Burritt McArthur (arbitrator)

Amy J. Schmitz Professor and John Deaver Drinko-Baker & Hostetler Chair in Law; Co-Director, Translational Data Analytics Institute Responsible Data Science Community of Practice, The Ohio State University Michael E. Moritz College of Law

Andrea Kupfer Schneider Professor of Law, Director of the Kukin Program for Conflict Resolution, Cardozo School of Law

Sandra Sperino Associate Dean for Research and Faculty Development; Elwood L. Thomas Endowed Professor of Law, University of Missouri School of Law

Jeff Sovern Michael Millemann Professor of Consumer Protection Law, University of Maryland Francis King Carey School of Law

Sarah Staszak Research Scholar and Lecturer in Public and International Affairs, Princeton School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University

Imre Stephen Szalai Judge John D. Wessel Distinguished Professor of Social Justice, Loyola University New Orleans College of Law

Mark C. Travis Adjunct Professor of Law, University of Tennessee College of Law

Stephen J. Ware Frank Edwards Tyler Distinguished Professor of Law, University of Kansas School of Law

Nancy A. Welsh Frank W. Elliott, Jr. University Professor of Law; Director, Dispute Resolution Program, Texas A&M University School of Law

Maureen A. Weston Professor of Law, Pepperdine Caruso School of Law

Mitch Zamoff Assistant Dean of Experiential Education, J. Stewart and Mario Thomas McClendon Professor in Law and Alternative Dispute Resolution, University of Minnesota Law School