

THE PHILOSOPHY OF LITERARY TRANSLATION

While reading transforms texts through memories, associations and re-imaginings, translation allows us to act out our reading experience, inscribe it in a new text, and engage in a dialogic and dynamic relationship with the original. In this highly original new study, Clive Scott reveals the existential and ecological values that literary translation can embody in its perceptual transformation of texts. The transfer of a text from one language into another is merely the platform from which translation launches its larger ambitions, including the existential expansion and re-situation of text towards new expressive futures and ways of inhabiting the world. Recasting language as a living organism and as part of humanity's ongoing duration, this study uncovers its tireless capacity to cross perceptual boundaries, to multiply relations between the human and the nonhuman and to evoke unfamiliar modes of psycho-perception and ecomodelling.

CLIVE SCOTT is Professor Emeritus of European Literature at the University of East Anglia and Emeritus Fellow of the British Academy. His principal research interests lie in French and comparative poetics, literary translation and photography's relationship with writing. His previously published works include *The Work of Literary Translation* (2018), *Translating Apollinaire* (2014), *Translating the Perception of Text: Literary Translation and Phenomenology* (2012) and *Literary Translation and the Rediscovery of Reading* (2012). He delivered the Clark Lectures in 2010 and was 2014–15 President of the Modern Humanities Research Association.





THE PHILOSOPHY OF LITERARY TRANSLATION

Dialogue, Movement, Ecology

CLIVE SCOTT

University of East Anglia







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009389952 DOI: 10.1017/9781009389976

© Clive Scott 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Scott, Clive, 1943– author.

TITLE: The philosophy of literary translation : dialogue, movement, ecology / Clive Scott, University of East Anglia.

DSCRIPTION: Cambridge ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2023. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2023005182 (print) | LCCN 2023005183 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009389952 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009389945 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009389976 (epub) SUBJECTS: LCSH: Translating and interpreting—Philosophy. | Literature—Translations—History and criticism.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC PN24I .S365 2023 (print) | LCC PN24I (ebook) | DDC 4I8/.04-dc23/eng/ 20230206

> LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023005182 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023005183

> > ISBN 978-1-009-38995-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> vi
Acknowledgements	viii
A Note on the Text	X
Introduction	I
PART I POSITIONS AND PROPOSITIONS	
1 Reading	15
2 Translation and Language	21
3 Translation and Interpretation	44
4 What the Translation of Poetry Is	69
PART II DIALOGUE, MOVEMENT, ECOLOGY	
5 Dialogue and Dialectic in the Translational Act	85
6 Movement, Duration, Rhythm	131
7 The Ecological Reach and Promise of Literary Translation	on 186
Coda	
Appendix Merleau-Ponty and Invisibility	267
Bibliography	
Index	



Figures

Ι	Translation of Kilke's Errichtet keinen Denkstein	
	(Die Sonette an Orpheus, I, V), as sonnet with central spine	
	of bouts-rimés, and with enamel paint, smudged graphite	
	and a photographic fragment.	page 75
2	Translation of Rilke's 'Errichtet keinen Denkstein', as	
	an English villanelle, with enamel paint and photographic	
	fragments.	76
3	Translation of Rilke's 'Errichtet keinen Denkstein',	
	as a double huitain, with enamel paint, oil pastel, smudged	
	graphite and photographic fragments.	77
4	Translation of lines 6–11 of Laforgue's 'Dimanches (I)'	
	(Derniers Vers), with collage of photographic fragments.	166
5	Translation of lines 6-11 of Laforgue's 'Dimanches (I)', with	
	camellia petals, blue ribbon and piece of cloth (reverse side)	
	('Fidelle' sewn on front).	167
6	Translation of lines 6-11 of Laforgue's 'Dimanches (I)', with	
	enamel paint and, handwritten, the last twelve lines of	
	Graham Dunstan Martin's translation of Laforgue's 'Lament	
	of the Barrel Organ'.	168
7	Translation of Leconte de Lisle's 'Midi' (Poèmes antiques),	
	with enamel paint and handwritten lines from Bertram	
	Kottmann's German translation and Auguste Lacaussade's	
	'L'Heure de midi'.	179
8	Translation of the last three stanzas of Hugo's 'Booz	
	endormi', with enamel paint, handwritten extracts, contact	
	print and photographic fragment.	199
9	Translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre' (Les Trophées),	
	with handwritten corrections and instructions, and	
	photographic image of Tawaret.	2.19



	List of Figures	vii
10	Translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre', with enamel paint and photographic fragments.	220
ΙI	Translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre', with	
	burning, geranium flowers and two bookmarks (Ombres	
	blanches).	222
Ι2	Translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre', with enamel	
	paint and collaged extracts from Samuel Daniel's <i>The</i>	
	Tragedie of Cleopatra and François-Victor Hugo's French	
	translation of Shakespeare's Antony and Cleopatra.	224
Ι3	Translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre', with	
	enamel paints, dead leaves and collaged postage stamps.	225
14	Two-column presentation of a translation of Baudelaire's	
	'Brumes et pluies' (Les Fleurs du Mal).	230
15	Translation of the first stanza of Verlaine's 'En sourdine'	
	(Fêtes galantes), with enamel paint, watercolours, handwritten	
	verse-lines (from same poem), coffee stains, collaged magazine	
	column headlines, contact prints and photographic fragment.	255
16	Translation of the first stanza of Verlaine's 'En sourdine',	
	with enamel paint, watercolours, handwritten verse-lines	
	(from same poem), wine stains, collaged magazine column	
	headlines, contact prints and photographic fragment.	256



Acknowledgements

The translations of Leconte de Lisle's 'Midi', and their accompanying analysis (Chapter 6), first appeared in the *Journal of European Studies*, in an article entitled 'Rhythm in Translation, with Two Accounts of Leconte de Lisle's "Midi" (50/1, 2019: 91–105). I am grateful to SAGE journals for permission to re-use that material.

I owe my thanks to the editors of *inTRAlinea* for permission to re-use a translation of the final stanzas of Victor Hugo's 'Booz endormi', which appears in a forthcoming article with the title 'The Metamorphoses of the Body in the Space/Time of Literary Translation', in a special issue of the journal, entitled *Embodied Translation - Mit dem Körper Übersetzen*, edited by Barbara Ivancic and Alexandra Zepter.

For permission to re-use paragraphs relating to George Steiner's discussion of idiolect and 'alternity', which first appeared in *Yearbook of Translational Hermeneutics 1: Engaging with Translation: New Readings of George Steiner's After Babel*, edited by Marco Agnetta, Larisa Cercel and Brian O'Keeffe (2021), and subsequently in Zeta Books (2021), in a paper entitled '*After Babel* and the Impediments of Hermeneutics: Releasing Translation into its own Territory', I would like to thank the editors concerned.

I am also grateful to the editors of Book Practices and Textual Itineraries for permission to re-use, within a different argumentative context, a translation of Heredia's 'Antoine et Cléopâtre' (with five of its illustrations), which appeared in *Textuality and Translation* (2020), edited by Catherine Chauvin and Céline Sabiron, in a chapter entitled 'The Body in the Text: Literary Translation and the Materiality of the Page'.

My seventh chapter, 'The Ecological Reach and Promise of Literary Translation', shares some material with an article, 'The Ecological Force and Function of Literary Translation', submitted to a special issue ('Translation, *trouvaille*', forthcoming) of *The Translator*, edited by



Acknowledgements

ix

Kathryn Batchelor and Chantal Wright; the evidence adduced and the argumentative objectives are, however, markedly different.

Last but not least, I must express my gratitude to Angela Roberts for her sympathetic and sharp-eyed reading of the text; to George Laver, Tom Haynes and Divya Arjunan for ensuring, with such good grace and ready help, that all details of the production process were smoothly coordinated; and to Bethany Thomas for her careful and supportive oversight of the whole operation.



A Note on the Text

The use of the acronyms ST, TT, SL, TL, for 'source text', 'target text', 'source language', 'target language' respectively, may strike some readers, to begin with, as unnecessarily jargonistic. The full terms themselves are standard currency in the literature of translation studies, and avoid the ambiguities and unwanted implications of alternatives such as 'original', 'translation', 'translated text', 'language of the original'; for these reasons, it is desirable that they should be used consistently throughout the text. The use of acronyms for these terms is, again, standard practice in the field, and, once accustomed to them, the eye does, I hope, instantly and painlessly identify them, without their repetition becoming cumbersome. I remind the reader of the referents of the acronyms at their first use in each chapter.

But I should emphasize that I use these terms and their acronyms purely for convenience and to avoid ambiguity. Ideologically, they hardly square with my persuasions: I do not, for example, believe that the so-called source text should be thought of as the (exclusive) source/origin of the texts that grow out of it, nor, indeed, of its own text; nor do I believe that a translation is a target, that it desires to be a *ne plus ultra*, that it is implicitly inscribed within a scale of success.

In the matter of translations of critical and poetic texts, where no reference is given to standard translations, they are my own. Occasionally I resort to my own translation because I find the standard translation misleading.