

A COMPLEX SYSTEMS VIEW ON THE VISUAL ARTS

This book presents a comprehensive and unexpected approach to the visual arts, grounded in the theories of complexity and dynamical systems. Paul van Geert shows how complexity and dynamical systems theories, originally developed in mathematics and physics, offer a novel perspective for viewing the visual arts. This book covers diverse aspects of visual arts as a practice, profession, and historical framework. A key focus lies in the unique characteristics of complex systems: feedback loops that bridge short- to long-term temporal scales and self-organize into creative emergent properties. These dynamics can be applied to a wide range of topics. By synthesizing theory and empirical evidence from diverse fields, including philosophy, psychology, sociology, art history, and economics, this pioneering work demonstrates the utility of simulation models in deciphering a surprisingly wide range of phenomena, such as artistic (super)stardom and shifts within art historical paradigms.

PAUL VAN GEERT is emeritus professor of developmental psychology at the University of Groningen. He is a pioneer in the application of the complex dynamic systems approach to a broad range of developmental and educational areas from cognitive development to learning in schools. As an artist, his practice arises out of and is inseparable from van Geert's immediate community and surroundings, reflecting the people, materials and site of its creation.

A COMPLEX SYSTEMS VIEW ON THE VISUAL ARTS

PAUL VAN GEERT



Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-37896-3 — A Complex Systems View on the Visual Arts
Paul van Geert
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009378963

DOI: 10.1017/9781009378970

© Paul van Geert 2025

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI 10.1017/9781009378970

First published 2025

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Geert, Paul van, author.

TITLE: A complex systems view on the visual arts / Paul van Geert.

DESCRIPTION: [New York] : Cambridge University Press, [2024] | Includes bibliographical
references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2024045453 (print) | LCCN 2024045454 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009378963
(hardback) | ISBN 9781009378994 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009378970 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Art.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC N70 .G43 2024 (print) | LCC N70 (ebook) | DDC 700.1–dc23/eng/20241018

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2024045453>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2024045454>

ISBN 978-1-009-37896-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| <i>List of Figures</i> | <i>page</i> viii |
| <i>Preface</i> | xiii |

PART I ART AS A COMPLEX PROCESS

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 1 | A Nonlinear Affordance for Exploration | 3 |
| 1.1 | A Nonlinear and Self-similar Affordance for Exploration | 3 |
| 1.2 | An Advance Organizer: The Book in a Nutshell | 6 |
| 2 | Art and Process: Definitions and Ontologies | 11 |
| 2.1 | What Is Art? | 11 |
| 2.2 | In Search of (a) Definition(s) | 16 |
| 2.3 | Ontologies of Art | 26 |
| 3 | From Processes to Complex Dynamic Systems | 42 |
| 3.1 | How Can Process Be Defined? | 42 |
| 3.2 | Properties of Processes | 43 |
| 3.3 | Dynamic Systems of Art | 46 |
| 3.4 | Complex Dynamic Systems: Cyclical Coupling, Self-organization, Nonlinearity, and Emergence | 63 |
| 4 | Describing Art Through the Lens of Complex Dynamic Systems | 73 |
| 4.1 | A Complex Dynamic Systems Definition of Art | 73 |
| 4.2 | Aspects of the Definition | 75 |
| 5 | Art in a Complex, Dynamic Web of Functions | 93 |
| 5.1 | The Functions of Art as a Network with Interaction-Dominant Dynamics | 93 |
| 5.2 | Art Has an Autotelic Function: Art Provides Affordances for Art | 94 |
| 5.3 | Art Has a Self-presentation Function | 95 |
| 5.4 | Art Has a Figuration Function: The Function of Creating Shape and Form (Including Aesthetics) | 96 |
| 5.5 | Art Has an Expressive and Disclosing Function | 100 |
| 5.6 | Art Has a Value-raising Function (“Making Special”) | 103 |

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| vi | <i>Contents</i> | |
| 5.7 | Art Has a Pragmatic Function: Ideology, Power, and Economics | 107 |
| 5.8 | Art Has a Ritualistic and Cult Function: Creation of and Participation in the Super-ordinary | 109 |
| PART II ENTANGLED TIMESCALES OF VISUAL ARTS | | |
| 6 | Timescales of Art as Interacting Processes | 115 |
| 6.1 | Entangled Timescales of Visual Art | 115 |
| 6.2 | Timescales Apply to Art Systems | 116 |
| 7 | Timescales of Artistic Activity: Creation and Experience of Art | 118 |
| 7.1 | Artistic Activities as Attractors | 118 |
| 7.2 | The Timescale of the Creation of a Work of Art | 122 |
| 7.3 | The Timescale of Art Experience and Participation | 140 |
| 8 | Timescales of Artistic Careers | 167 |
| 8.1 | The Many Shapes of Talent Across the Artist's Lifespan | 167 |
| 8.2 | Art School and Training | 170 |
| 8.3 | Personality | 176 |
| 8.4 | Motivation and Drives for Artistic Creation | 192 |
| 8.5 | Art as Visualizer of Identities | 209 |
| 8.6 | Entangled Timescales of Artistic Careers | 222 |
| 9 | The Timescale of Excellence and Celebrity | 225 |
| 9.1 | Understanding Excellence and Celebrity in the Arts | 225 |
| 9.2 | The Distribution of Excellence and Celebrity in the Population of Artists | 229 |
| 10 | The Timescale of Art History | 239 |
| 10.1 | The Processual Nature of Art on the Historical Timescale | 239 |
| 10.2 | The Variety of Patterns of Art-Historical Change | 254 |
| 10.3 | Continuity and Discontinuity in Art History | 266 |
| 10.4 | Complex Networks of Art | 293 |
| 11 | The Timescale of Deep History and of Human Evolution | 303 |
| 11.1 | The Dynamics of Evolution and History | 303 |
| 11.2 | How Does This Dynamic Relate to Art? | 306 |
| 11.3 | The Relationship Between Art and Human Evolution | 311 |
| PART III UNDERSTANDING ART THROUGH DYNAMIC MODELS | | |
| 12 | Dynamics of Cultural and Market Value | 331 |
| 12.1 | Dynamics of the Matthew Principle | 331 |
| 12.2 | Dynamics of Mutual Support | 342 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| | <i>Contents</i> | vii |
| 13 | Dynamic Modeling of the Emergence of Excellence in the Arts | 347 |
| 13.1 | A Network Approach to the Emergence of Excellence | 347 |
| 13.2 | Some Technical Details of the Model | 351 |
| 13.3 | Simulation Results and Interpretation | 353 |
| 13.4 | Beyond Distributions: The Dynamics of Artistic Careers | 356 |
| 14 | Modeling Long-term Trends and Discontinuities in Art | 362 |
| 14.1 | How to Model Long-term Trends and Discontinuities | 362 |
| 14.2 | Steps in the Modeling | 363 |
| 14.3 | Scenarios of Art-Historical Trends | 374 |
| 14.4 | What Can Be Learned from the Simulations? | 381 |
| | <i>References</i> | 382 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 432 |

Figures

| | | |
|-----|---|----------------|
| 3.1 | A state space consisting of dimensions open form/closed form and linear/painterly | <i>page 48</i> |
| 3.2 | A dynamics resulting from tensions between the state space dimensions of artistic rejection–acceptance and conformism–innovation | 50 |
| 3.3 | A change in activity implies a change in the underlying attractor landscape | 59 |
| 3.4 | Four states in the development of a Lorenz attractor | 60 |
| 3.5 | Linear couplings and loops between three components: creation of art, presentation of art, and experience of art | 65 |
| 3.6 | The structure of cyclical loops between lower-level and higher-level (emergent) processes | 69 |
| 4.1 | A rugged attractor landscape with a critical (unstable) point | 86 |
| 7.1 | The depth of the attractor corresponds with the amount of spontaneous variation | 120 |
| 7.2 | A brushstroke as a short-term complex dynamic system | 125 |
| 7.3 | A brushstroke as a component in a complex dynamic system | 128 |
| 7.4 | Mace and Ward (2002)’s sequential model of the creation of a work of art contains feedback loops | 132 |
| 7.5 | An iterative sequence of cyclical causal relationships | 134 |
| 7.6 | A simplified version of the Pelowski et al. (2017) sequential model of art experience | 143 |
| 7.7 | The process of art experience as a system of simultaneous, interacting timescales | 145 |
| 7.8 | Looking at a painting, lower-level processes, for example, of contour perception, lead to emergent properties | 146 |
| 7.9 | Cyclical relationships (feedback loops) between lower-order perceptual processes (contours, details) and emergent higher-order patterns | 147 |

| <i>List of Figures</i> | ix |
|---|-----|
| 7.10 In Synergetics, lower-order system components self-organize into order parameters | 148 |
| 7.11 The complex network of processes on the level of embodied art experience is an emergent property | 149 |
| 7.12 Experiencing a work of art as a sequence of temporary attractors | 151 |
| 7.13 Experiencing a work of art as a trajectory in a state space | 152 |
| 7.14 Experiencing a work of art as a continuous perception–action loop | 157 |
| 7.15 A mono-attractor state, with a second, unstable shallow attractor state of appreciation | 162 |
| 8.1 French artists born between 1800 and 1830, and around 1870, are typically of the “old masters”-type | 171 |
| 8.2 An example of <i>hysteresis</i> in artistic activity | 194 |
| 8.3 A representation of the concept of inspiration in an activity landscape | 207 |
| 8.4 Artistic success is still heavily gender- and race-biased | 218 |
| 9.1 Auction revenue of 500 most expensive artists in 2022 and of 1,000 most expensive artists in the period 2000–2020 | 232 |
| 9.2 Distribution of prices of paintings in auction sales in Amsterdam between 1597 and 1619 | 233 |
| 9.3 Number of Golden Age Dutch artists with their number of students | 234 |
| 9.4 Double logarithmic network representation of professional connections between Dutch artists from the Golden Age | 236 |
| 9.5 Average number of illustrations in textbooks for modern French painters and number of paintings in retrospective exhibitions for modern American painters | 237 |
| 10.1 Duration of art-historical periods | 240 |
| 10.2 The principle of a random walk in a one-dimensional state space, consisting of the contrast between linear versus painterly | 256 |
| 10.3 Two mathematical models of fat-tailed distributions: power law and stretched exponential | 263 |
| 10.4 The distribution of artistic innovations of various magnitudes over historical time is likely to follow a devil’s staircase process | 264 |
| 10.5 A simple, linear model of random artistic change over the decades | 268 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 10.6 | Stylistic differences between visual arts in different centuries, based on Wölflin's five dimensions | 270 |
| 10.7 | Artistic innovations, defined over a particular style dimension, show either discontinuous or continuous change, dependent on how change is defined | 271 |
| 10.8 | Art-historical developments in a state space consisting of the dimensions <i>Entropy</i> and <i>Complexity</i> | 272 |
| 10.9 | Stylistic variability (entropy) across the artistic career of two artists (Picasso and Martin) | 273 |
| 10.10 | Three attractor landscapes of visual art systems | 288 |
| 10.11 | Network of most densely connected French Impressionists | 295 |
| 11.1 | A linear relationship between gene(s) for art and reproductive success | 312 |
| 11.2 | Art as an accidental by-product of a behavioral trait X caused by a specific gene or genes | 315 |
| 11.3 | According to Dissanayake (2008) art serves five fitness- and survival-enhancing functions | 321 |
| 11.4 | If the fitness-enhancing functions form a dynamic network with different kinds of relationships of various magnitudes, the structure of the relationships will determine nonlinear effects on reproductive fitness | 322 |
| 12.1 | Distribution of the cultural significance of a simulated population of artists, working under low-resource conditions | 339 |
| 12.2 | Asymmetrical distribution of cultural significance of artists under high-resource conditions | 341 |
| 12.3 | Cultural and artistic value as a system of components with positive feedback loops | 343 |
| 12.4 | The model of dynamically coupled market value and cultural significance leads to a strongly asymmetric distribution typical of the empirical data | 344 |
| 12.5 | A simulation of the time course of cultural significance and market value in a population of artists | 345 |
| 13.1 | An imaginary network of components affecting, and being affected by, an artist's artistic quality during the artist's life course | 351 |
| 13.2 | Simulation of the idiosyncratic network model (Figure 13.1) in a population of 2,000 artists | 354 |

| <i>List of Figures</i> | xi |
|--|-----|
| 13.3a Representation of French artists in textbooks, from (a) Arp to Monet and (b) Léger to Van Gogh (after Galenson, 2001) | 358 |
| 13.3b Representation of French artists in textbooks, from Léger to Van Gogh (after Galenson, 2001) | 359 |
| 13.4 Simulated lifespan trajectories of artists, based on the idiosyncratic model of dynamically connected components from Figure 13.1 | 360 |
| 14.1 A simplified representation of nineteenth- to twentieth-century changes in painting style (from “academism” to “modernism”) | 365 |
| 14.2 Artists and their works of art can be represented as a distribution on the dimension ranging from academism to modernism | 366 |
| 14.3 In the heydays of Impressionism, the distribution of artists was bimodal | 367 |
| 14.4 Artistic styles, movements, or periods are overlapping temporal phenomena | 369 |
| 14.5 A representation of the conservative dynamics of art-historical change | 370 |
| 14.6 A representation of progressive dynamics of art-historical change | 372 |
| 14.7 The simulated art-historical change under a scenario of conservatism with narrow possibilities of innovation | 375 |
| 14.8 The simulated art-historical change under a scenario of conservatism with greater innovation potential | 377 |
| 14.9 The simulated art-historical change under a scenario of artistic freedom and innovation | 379 |

Preface

J'ai deux amours, sang Josephine Baker, the scantily clad icon of the Folies Bergère revue in Paris in the 1920s and, many decades later, the civil rights activist and heroine of the French Resistance, portrayed by artists as diverse as Kees van Dongen and Alexander Calder. According to her songlines, Ms. Baker's *deux-amours* were her homeland and Paris. Mine (if I confine myself to just one existential level) have always been the visual arts and science (www.paulvangeert.nl/).

I made my first oil paintings in 1966, in the midst of a typical Eriksonian crisis of commitment about what to choose in terms of my future professional identity: to attend an art academy or to go to university and study either physics, preferably geology, or psychology and education. For some, probably very trivial reason, the conflict was settled in favor of the latter possibility. In anticipation of the terminology used in the rest of this book, I might say that I was a typical example of an adolescent metastable attractor landscape that eventually evolved into a bistable art-science attractor that has remained ever since. During the Renaissance, this would have been an ideal complementarity, two sides of the same coin, but in my case, and in the case of many others, I am afraid, the opposition was never resolved. The present book, however, is intended to try to bring the two closer together.

In my scientific research of human development, I have always explored the field's theoretical fringes, trying to focus on the highest abstract generalization possible, which I found, in the late 1980s, in the form of complex dynamic systems theory. The theory provided a key to understanding the similarities between very different developmental processes, such as language, cognition, and education, and to unifying them with processes taking place in areas far beyond psychology. All of this culminated, after my retirement in 2015, in a book I wrote with Naomi de Ruiter, *Toward a Process Approach in Psychology: Stepping into Heraclitus' River*, published by Cambridge University Press in 2022.

Interestingly, this very abstract theory, which originated in physics and mathematics, taught me that in order to understand the general principles of change and development, one should focus on very concrete, case-specific processes, where cases can be individual persons, specific families, or school classes. That is, general knowledge comes from focusing on the unique.

Since my early beginnings, I have never stopped painting, and my subjects have always been chosen from my private inner circle – my family, friends, and close colleagues – in the form of life-size, full-length portraits mounted on freestanding supports on wheels that could easily be moved around rooms large enough to accommodate them. I painted them on materials that I found in my direct neighborhood (www.paulvangeert.nl/alle_schilderijen_pages/index.html). I believe that, in a paradoxical sense, they represent general existential themes of relatedness and belonging, precisely because they are portraits of very specific people. To these portraits, I usually add the slightly absurdist details that are so characteristic of the art of my country of origin, Belgium, the cradle of the artistic comic strip (Hergé's Tintin or André Franquin's Gaston Lagaffe, for instance), the masks of James Ensor and the mocking ambiguities of René Magritte. Both artists were sedate middle-class men who were very attached to their daily and domestic comforts in spite of the totally destabilizing worlds they created with their arts.

Meanwhile, art and science remained two separate worlds for me until, after the Heraclitus book that I coauthored, I began to think about how complex dynamic systems theory might shed some light on the subject that had fascinated me for most of my life, the visual arts in general, and my own choice to keep my art a private matter all along. A colleague from my former department, Ralf Cox, had started a project on the experience of art, using methods and theory from complexity science. We collaborated with Wolfgang Tschacher from Bern, an expert in complex dynamic systems applications to psychotherapy and psychopathology, who had already applied this theory to the dynamics of art, resulting in a joint article on the experience of art from a complex systems perspective. Since my initial outline was far too complicated for even the most comprehensive article, I contacted Rowan Groat at Cambridge University Press, who was immediately enthusiastic about the book project and sent it out to three anonymous reviewers, whom I like to thank for their very useful suggestions. Rowan Groat was succeeded by Stephen Acerra and Neema Jayasinghe, and I would like to thank them all for their support and encouragement.

Preface

xv

I also thank my son, David van Geert, for his patient and meticulous work on the Bibliography, checking all the details, including finding almost untraceable DOIs.

As for my wife, Leen Waegeman, who appears in so many of my paintings that reflect the processes of change as well as constancy in the life span, I can only repeat what I wrote in the Heraclitus book: I thank her for her support during the writing of this book, against the backdrop of more than 50 years of married life and its ecology of comfortable coexistence, where the writing of a book “goes with the flow” of retirement. “Support” is an example of themes that I also use in the present book on the visual arts, namely enactment as well as complementarity. It is provided in deeds rather than words; it feels natural and obvious as well as deliberately given and maintained. Her rather down-to-earth and sobering view of the importance of abstract theorizing is a welcome preventive medicine against taking my theoretical side too seriously.