

## Index

Note: Page references in **bold** denote tables or figures. Footnote references are in the form *pgnfn* (e.g. 5n6 is footnote 6 on page 5). Footnotes are numbered sequentially by chapter.

- actuarial fairness, 34, 37n6
- American Dream equilibrium, 54–55, 80
- Aristotle, 37
- belief change, *see also* discursive context
- causal factors, 15, 17, 134–135, 164, 244
- economic shock, 129, 212, 214
- partisan dynamics, 133, 134, 165–166, 198–199, 204
- benchmark model
  - analysis of, 2
  - benefits, 4n6
  - fairness reasoning, 10, 11, 129
  - fairness, norms of, 11–13
  - market income, 3
  - micro-foundations, 4, 9–10
  - partisan dynamics, 202
  - proportionality, 129–130
  - redistribution, 3, 111, 128, 243
  - redistributive politics, 130
  - testing, 4, 6
- benefit concentration, 116, **121**, 120–121
- Berlin, Isaiah, 223
- Blair, Tony (Prime Minister, Great Britain), 18, 170–174
- boundaries of trust, 222–225, 234
- Brown, Gordon (Prime Minister, Great Britain), 172
- Callaghan, Leonard James (Prime Minister, Great Britain), 170
- Cameron, David (Prime Minister, Great Britain), 174
- Capitalism and Ideology* (Piketty, 2020), 250
- Clinton, William Jefferson (President, USA), 190, 194, 197, 246
- cohort analysis, 178, **181**, **200**, **201**, 199–201, 236, **238**
- comparative studies
  - Europe, **73**, **74**, 72–75
  - US-Denmark, 47
  - US-Norway, 39–42
- competing theories, 21–23, 40–43, 128, 135–137
- convergence
  - co-optation, 170–173, 204, 213, 214
  - discursive context, 170, 176
  - omission, 170–171, 203
  - partisan dynamics, 19, 20, 204, 244
- Corbyn, Jeremy (leader, Labour Party, Great Britain), 174
- counterfactual reasoning
  - free riding, 12–15, 56, 73, 88, 105, 175
  - self-interest, **102**, 102, 111, 114, **114**, 122, 127
- Die Linke* (Germany), 184
- Discours sur l'Inegalite* (Rousseau, 1754), 31
- discursive context
  - belief change, 19, 165, 166, 168, 169, 174, 176, 184, 186, 208, 212, 214, 244

- causal factors, 198
- convergence, 170, 176
- free riding, 18, 174, 238
- LAVs, 220, 234–235
- partisan dynamics, 20, 133–134, 165n1, 251
- proportionality beliefs, 178, 184, 215
- reciprocity beliefs, 215
  
- efficiency norm, 43, 250
- equality norm, 38n7, 40–41, **42**
- error sensitivity
  - analysis, **228, 229**, 227–230
  - belief formation, 234
  - LAVs, 237, **239**
  - resource scarcity, **232, 233**, 230–233
  - survey questions, 225–227
- Euro-pessimistic equilibrium, 54–55, 80
  
- factor analysis, 61–63
- fairness beliefs, *see also* belief change and economic condition, 87–88, **89**
  - correlates, 86–87
  - cross-country trends, **200, 201**, 199–201
  - definition, 18, 53
  - flexicurity, 20, 79–81, 246
  - income inequality, 129
  - mental maps, 53, **54, 58**, 63n7, **85**
  - proto-ideology, 20, 29, 56, 67, 133, 165, 203, 246
  - status quo, 35, **36**, 59, 134, 165, 234
  - welfare reform, 246
- fairness reasoning, *see also* fairness beliefs; Great Britain; income inequality; income redistribution; proportionality beliefs; reciprocity beliefs; self-interest
  - anchor, 104, 122, 242
  - comparative analysis, Europe, **73, 74**, 72–75
  - competing theories, 21–23
  - conditional norm application, 50–52
  - country-level analysis
    - data analysis, **78, 76–78, 79, 80**
    - results, 78–81
  - definition, 31
  - evaluation strategies, 36–37
  - measurement strategies, 58–61
  - need, 47
  - parochial altruism, 48
  - proportionality/reciprocity disconnect, 13–14, 35, 46, 56, **58**, 68, 94
  - redistributive policies
    - fairness beliefs, 12, 56
    - fairness principles, 10
    - typology, 12, 32–33
  - self-serving adjustment, 104–105, **106**, 107, 114, 143, **144, 150, 151**, 149–152, **158**, 157–159
  - two-dimensional approach, 52, **58**, 57–61, 68, 75–76, 80
  - unidimensional approach, **54**, 53–56, **85**
- far-right, 135, 136, 244–245
- fiscal stress, *see also* France; recessions
  - attitude change, 15, 21, 243
  - dependency ratio, 142
  - fiscal adjustments, 142–143
  - motive mix, 130–132
  - self-interest, reversion to, 143, **144**
  - social solidarity, 16–17
- flexicurity, 20, 79–81, 246
- framing effects
  - attitude change, 15, 133
  - fairness beliefs, 17
  - framing pathway, 130, 131n1
  - Great Britain, 176, 181, 182, **183**
  - survey design, 15, 17, 23, 132–133, 164, 181
- France
  - DREES barometer, 143, 149
  - fiscal stress, 16–17, **145**, 144–147, 159
  - immigration, 93, 152–153
  - income gradient, **150, 151**, 149–152, **156, 157**, 155–157
  - income inequality, **5, 6**, 4–6, 9
  - Les Gilets Jaunes*, 9, 16, 139, 159, 244–245
  - micro foundations
    - design overview, 153–154
    - variables, 154–155
  - self-interest, **158**, 157–159
  - taxation fatigue, **148**, 147–149
  - welfare spending, 81, 146, **146**
- free riding, *see also* discursive context
  - anti-immigrant sentiment, 93, 231
  - belief change, 167, 215, 234, 246
  - counterfactual reasoning, 12–15, 56, 73, 88, 105, 114, 175
  - error sensitivity
    - analysis, **228, 229**, 227–230
    - belief formation, 234
    - LAVs, 237, **239**
    - resource scarcity, **232, 233**, 230–233
    - survey questions, 225–227

- fairness beliefs, 11–13  
 fairness reasoning, 75, 134, 166  
 fiscal stress, 143, 153, 158  
 framing effects, 17, 181, 231  
 free rider problem, 33, 43, 50, 59, 230  
 LAVs, 220–221, 225  
 moral hazard, 21, 22, 67, 71, 78, 138,  
 172, 234, 243  
 parochial altruism, 48  
 partisan dynamics, 20, 104, 134, 175,  
 178, 188, 238  
 public goods game (PGG), 41, 221  
 reciprocity beliefs, 34–35, 43–44, 47,  
 49, 67, 94, 97, 138, 222, 242,  
 250–251  
 Scandinavia, 78–80, 246  
 self-interest, 108, 157, 190  
 social insurance, 45–47, 56  
 symbolic racism, 19  
 Friedman, Milton, 34, 132, 181
- Galbraith, John Kenneth, 51n14  
 Germany  
   attitude change, 19, 186, 198  
   income inequality, 5, 4–6, 9, 139  
   income redistribution, 6, 7, **185**,  
   184–185  
   reciprocity beliefs, 199  
 Great Britain, *see also* resistance  
   hypothesis  
   anti-immigrant sentiment, 93  
   belief change, **177**, 176–178, **179–181**,  
   184, 186–187  
   benchmark model test, 7, 7  
   Brexit, 22, 174, 235, 242  
   convergence  
     co-optation, 170–173, 213  
     omission, 170–171  
   disability benefits, 172n7  
   error sensitivity, 237, **239**  
   income gradient, **182**, **183**, 178–184  
   Keynesian consensus, 133, 167, 170  
   LAVs  
     stability, 235, **236**  
   National Health Service (NHS), **232**,  
   **233**, 230–233  
   partisan dynamics, **169**, 167–170, 175,  
   **175**, 186  
   partisan sorting, 178n13  
   political depolarization, **173**, 173–174  
   privatization, 168, **169**
- redistribution from* policies, 170–171,  
 176  
*redistribution to* policies, 17–18, 20–21,  
 171–173, 175–176  
 redistributive preferences  
   analytic methodology, 63–67  
   analytic results, **64**, 67–68  
   verification, 71–72  
 self-interest, 175–176  
 social dilemmas, **237**, 235–238, **238**  
 social solidarity, 138n6  
 social spending, 117, **118**  
 supply-side policies, 171n5  
 unemployment benefits, 172  
 Great Recession  
   belief change, 204, 214  
   France, 145, 146, 152, 159  
   Great Britain, 174, 176  
   populist movements, 159, 188, 244–245  
   *redistribution to* policies, 16, 143, 152,  
   194, 243
- Haidt, Jonathan, 224, 224n3, 234n11  
 Hollande, François (President, France),  
 145
- ideological consistency, **106**, 105–107  
 immigration  
   anti-immigrant sentiment, 22, 46, 48, **91**,  
   **93**, 92–94, 138, **197**, 230–233, 245  
   as second dimension, 90  
   competing theories, 128, 135–137  
   error sensitivity, 229  
   fairness norms, 135–136, 138  
   France, 93, 152–153  
   parochial altruism, 48, 135, 137–139  
   welfare chauvinism, 135–137  
 income gradient  
   belief change, 9, 19, 178, **182**  
   cross-national variation, **114**, 113–114  
   definition, 101  
   free riding, **121**, 120–122  
   immigration, 195, **197**  
   partisan dynamics, 194–195, **195–197**  
   reciprocity beliefs, 117, **118**, **119**, 134,  
   **148**, **150**, **151**, 147–152, 190–191,  
   **196**, 201, 251  
   symbolic racism, **195**  
 income inequality, *see also* benchmark  
   model; income redistribution  
   and fairness reasoning, 129–130  
   attitude stability, 7, **7**, **8**, 15–16, 20

- market economy, 37  
 trends in, **5**, **6**, 4–6
- income redistribution, *see also* fiscal stress;  
 free riding; market economy; *redistribution from* policies; *redistribution to* policies; self-interest;  
 welfare state  
 dynamics of, 130–131  
 missing left turn, 22, 128, 242–244  
 politics of, 103, 130  
 public demands for, 1–2  
 right-wing argument, resistance to, 18  
 support for, **9**, 8–10
- institutional dualism, 33–35, **36**,  
 50–52, 76
- Kinnock, Neil (Prime Minister, Great  
 Britain), 170
- latent class modelling, **210**, **211**, **212**,  
 207–212, **213**
- LAVs (liberal–authoritarian values) *see*  
*also* discursive context  
 anti-immigrant sentiments, 224  
 as proxies, 90, 223–225  
 reciprocity beliefs, **89**, **91**, 90–91, **92**,  
 93, 95, 97, 175, 192, 220–221, 234  
 social dilemmas, cross-national analysis,  
**237**, 235–237, **238**  
 stability, 235, **236**
- Macron, Emmanuel (President, France),  
 145
- market economy, *see also* proportionality  
 beliefs  
 as cultural constructs, 34n4  
 entry into, 33  
 moral foundations, 13, 35, 56, 127  
 proportionality, 34, 37  
 redistributive features, 20  
 self-interest, alignment with,  
 33, 103  
 state institution building, 20  
 taxation policies, 21, 32–33
- Markov transition process, first-order, *see*  
 latent class modelling
- material self-interest, *see* self-interest
- Mitterand, Francois (President, France),  
 169n3
- monotonely homogeneous model (MH),  
 226
- Moral Foundation Theory, 224
- Morre, Barrington, 30
- motive mix, 130–132, 159, 249
- Obama, Barack (President, USA), 34n4,  
 194, 197
- Ostrom, Eleanor, 222
- parochial altruism, 48, 136–138, 152
- partisan dynamics  
 belief change, 133, 134, 165–166,  
 198–199, 204  
 benchmark model, 202  
 convergence, 19, 20, 204, 244  
 discursive context, 20, 165n1, 251  
 free riding, 20, 104, 134, 175, 178, 188,  
 238  
 Great Britain, **169**, 167–170, 175, **175**,  
 186  
 income gradient, 194–195, **195–197**  
 partisan sorting, 178n13, 187, 190, 197  
 polarization, 19, 20, 132, 187, **193**,  
 192–195, 197, 202, 204, 244  
*redistribution to* policies, 18–21, 134,  
 188–190, **192**, **193**, 194, 197, 202,  
 251, **252**  
 social solidarity, 190, 202, 244  
 traditional redistribution item, 167, 181,  
 186, **193**  
 United States, 187–190, 192,  
 194, 197  
 welfare cuts, 178, **182**
- Piketty, Thomas, 250–251  
*Capitalism and Ideology* (2020), 250
- populist movements, 9, 16, 139, 159, 188,  
 244–245
- progressive taxation  
 American Dream equilibrium, 54  
 convergence by omission, 171  
 Euro-pessimistic equilibrium, 55  
 immigration, 135  
 self-interest, 1, 107, 113  
 survey design, 76, 247  
 total war, 251
- proportionality beliefs, *see also* resistance  
 hypothesis  
 Aristotle, 37  
 competing theories, 40–43  
 culturally constructed norm, 49  
 fairness beliefs, 18  
 formation of, 214–215

- ideological consistency, 107–108, 110, **110**
- measurement strategy, 59–60
- proportionality norm, *see also* proportionality beliefs
  - convergence, 20, 170
  - definition, 37
  - fairness reasoning, 22, 41, 43, 50–51, 75, 94, 134, 203, 208, 242
  - income gradient, 113
  - income inequality, 129
  - Les Gilets Jaunes*, 244
  - market economy, 11, 34–35, 49, 248, 249
  - Occupy Wall Street, 188, 244
  - redistribution from* policies, 12, 250–251
  - self-interest, 204, 205n2
  - Ultimatum Game (UG), 38–39
  - unemployment insurance, 13
  - US–Norway comparative study, 39–42
- proto-ideology (fairness beliefs), 20, 29, 56, 67, 133, 165, 203, 246
- pseudo-cohort analysis, 235, **236**
  
- Rajam, Raghu, 250
- recessions, *see also* Great Recession, 143, 146, 178, 205n2
- reciprocity beliefs, *see also* immigration; LAVs (liberal–authoritarian values); partisan dynamics; social solidarity; welfare cuts
  - benefit concentration, 116, **121**, 120–121
  - boundaries of trust, 222–225, 234
  - change in, **210**, **211**, 209–211
  - cross-national variation, 50
  - ego protection (status threat), **96**, 94–97
  - fairness, 12
  - formation of, 234
  - free riding, 94
  - ideological consistency, **106**, 105–107
  - income gradient, **109**, 108–109, 111, **112**, 121
  - income gradient, cross-country variation, **119**, 114–120
  - measurement strategy, 59–61
  - parochial altruism, 48, 137–138, 152
  - social dilemmas
    - definition, 220
    - Hobbesian/Liberal orientation, origins, 234
    - Hobbesian mindset (binding), 223–224, 234
    - LAVs, **237**, 235–237, **238**
    - Liberal mindset (individualizing), 223–224, 234
      - public goods game (PGG), 221–222
    - social solidarity, 34–35, 43
    - social spending, **118**, 116–119, 130
    - total war, 50
  - reciprocity norm, *see also* reciprocity beliefs
    - behavioral basis of, 49–50
    - competing principles, 47–48
    - conditional cooperation, 43–47
    - definition, 43
    - fairness reasoning, 59, 138, 164, 202, 242, 251
    - free riding, 43, 46, 59, 138, 239, 242
    - income gradient, 117, **118**, **119**
    - institutional dualism, 50–51
    - public goods game (PGG), 44–45, 221
    - redistribution to* policies, 12, 62
    - social insurance, 45
    - social solidarity, 11
    - Tea Party, 188, 245
    - unemployment insurance, 13
    - US–Denmark comparative study, 47
    - welfare state, 34–35, 75, 139
  - redistribution from* policies
    - belief change, **185**, 184–186
    - benchmark model, 130
    - convergence, 170–171, 190, 202, 215
    - fairness beliefs, 20, 102, 186, 243
    - fairness reasoning, 68, 129
    - framing effects, 63
    - inheritance-for-all (Piketty), 250–251
    - political activation, 251
    - proportionality beliefs, 12, 107, 127, 165, 176, 181, 208, 209, **210**
    - self-interest, 86, 97, 101, 107, 122, 242
    - traditional redistribution item, 68
  - redistribution to* policies
    - anti-immigrant sentiment, 22, 135n3
    - belief change, 164, 167
    - benchmark model, 130
    - coalitions of support, 142, 243, 250–251
    - convergence, 171–173
    - fairness beliefs, 20, 21, 101, 106–107, 136, 186, 242–243
    - fairness reasoning, 16, 68, 243
    - fiscal stress, 131–132, 142–143, **144**, 158, 159

- framing effects, 17  
 Great Britain, 18, 238  
 immigration, 135–136  
 income gradient, 178, 190, 201  
 LAVs, 95, 175, 192, 220  
 partisan dynamics, 18–21, 134,  
   188–190, **192**, 192–193, **193**, 194,  
   197, 202, 251, **252**  
 partisan sorting, 190  
 reciprocity beliefs, 12, 127, 130, 138,  
   176, 201  
 self-interest, 14–15, 86, 102, 105, 122,  
   154  
 symbolic racism, 19n11, 135, 189  
 resistance hypothesis  
   data and variables, 205–207  
   definition, 205  
   Labour party convergence, 213  
   resistance, alternative models, 208  
   resistance, modeling, 209n9  
   self-interest, **212**, 210–212, **213**  
 resource scarcity, **232**, **233**, 230–233  
 Rousseau, Jean-Jacques  
   *Discours sur l'Inegalite* (1754), 31  
 Sarkozy, Nicolas (President, France), 145  
 Scandinavia  
   flexicurity, 246  
   income inequality, 5, **5**  
   LAVs, 236, **237**, **238**  
   proportionality beliefs, 199, **200**  
   reciprocity beliefs, 78–81, 199, **201**  
   US-Denmark study, 47  
   US-Norway study, 39–42  
 Schroeder, Gerard, 19  
 self-interest  
   counterfactual reasoning, **102**, 102, 111,  
     114, **114**, 122, 127  
   fiscal stress, 143, **144**  
   ideological consistency, **106**, 105–108  
   income gradient, **109**, **110**, 108–111,  
     113, **119**, 117–121, 204  
   market economy, 33, 103  
   pocketbook reasoning, 10–11, 14, 16,  
     29, 106, 127, 130, 136, 142, 143,  
     **144**, 147, 250  
   preference formation, 104–105, 105n4  
   proportionality, 97, 101  
   reciprocity, 101  
   redistribution preferences, 14–15, 86  
 Smith, Adam, 33  
 social dilemmas  
   definition, 220  
   Hobbesian mindset (binding), 223–224,  
     234  
   Hobbesian/Liberal orientation, origins,  
     234  
   LAVs, **237**, 235–237, **238**  
   Liberal mindset (individualizing), 234  
   public goods game (PGG), 221–222  
 social solidarity, *see also* fiscal stress  
   and free riders, 88, 97, 234  
   and social stability, 31, 32  
   fiscal stress, 159  
   framing effects, 132  
   France, 16–17  
   immigration, 135, 138  
   monitoring, 222  
   partisan dynamics, 190, 202, 244  
   reciprocity beliefs, 11–12, 44–45, 178  
   social contract, 223  
   United States, 75  
 social stability  
   inequality-among-equals problem, 31,  
     33, 43  
   *Les Gilets Jaunes* (France), 9  
   moral systems, 30–31, 31n2, 127  
   reciprocity, 11  
   resource allocation, shared consensus,  
     31  
   solidaristic fairness, 34  
 symbolic racism  
   free riding, 19  
   *redistribution to policies*, 19n11, 135,  
     189  
   United States, 19n11, 75, 189–191, **191**,  
     **192**, 194, 195, **195**, **197**  
 tax and spending cuts  
   benchmark model, 111, **112**  
   income gradient, **114**, **118**, **121**,  
     120–122  
   *redistribution to policies*, 106  
 taxing the rich, 108, 167  
 Thatcher, Margaret (Prime Minister, Great  
   Britain), 167–168, 170, 174, 238  
 Third Way (Great Britain), 184, 198  
 Tirole, Jean, 246  
 trace lines, 228, **229**  
 traditional redistribution item  
   fairness questions, correlation with, 55,  
     55n3  
   framing effects, 63, 133, 164, 181  
   immigration, 135

- inadequacy of, 247
- income gradient, 111, **112**, 194, **196**
- partisan dynamics, 181, 186, **193**, 194
- proportionality beliefs, 71, 72, 74, 76, 87n1, 113, 176, 199
- redistribution from* policies, 108, 132, 181
- redistribution, measurement, 17, 55, 68
- Trump, Donald (President, USA), 242, 245
- United States
  - benchmark model test, 7, 7
  - Civil Rights Act (1964), 187
  - fairness beliefs, 188, **192**, **193**, 191–194, 197
  - immigration, 195, **197**
  - income gradient, 9, 19, 190–191, 194, **196**
  - Occupy Wall Street, 188, 244
  - partisan dynamics, 187–190, 192, 194, 195, 197
  - partisan sorting, 187, 190, 197
  - PEW Research Institute, 75, 191, **191**
  - redistribution from* policies, 192
  - redistribution to* policies, 19–21, 188–190, 192–193
  - symbolic racism, 19n11, 75, 189–191, **191**, **192**, 194, 195, **195**, **197**
  - Tea Party, 188, 244, 245
  - universal vs. conditional access
    - framing effects, **232**, **233**
  - universal vs. conditional access
    - fiscal stress, 16
    - framing effects, 230–233
    - redistribution to* policies, 12
    - survey design, 247
- Walzer, Michael, 33
- welfare cuts
  - income gradient, 201
  - parochial altruism, 136
  - partisan dynamics, 178, **182**
  - reciprocity beliefs, 18, 81, 178
  - redistribution to* policies, 174
  - self-interest, 108
- welfare state, *see also* reciprocity beliefs;
  - social solidarity
  - benchmark model, 10
  - labor supply, 33
  - moral foundations, 35, 56, 127
  - redistributive features, 20
  - resource pooling, 33, 35
  - social insurance, 12, 16, 31–33, 45, 130
  - welfare chauvinism, 135–137
- Zaller, John, 133, 164, 165, 181