

PRECLASSICAL CONFLICT OF LAWS

To better appreciate present-day private international law and its future prospects and challenges, we should consider the history and historiography of the field. This book offers an original approach to the study of conflict of laws and legal history that exposes doctrinal lawyers to historical context, and legal historians to the intricacies of legal doctrine. The analysis is based on an in-depth examination of Medieval and Early Modern conflict of laws, focusing on the classic texts of Bartolus and Huber. Combining theoretical insights, textual analysis, and historical perspectives, the author presents the preclassical conflict of laws as a rich world of doctrines and policies, theory and practice, context and continuity. This book challenges preconceptions and serves as an advanced introduction which illustrates the relevance of history in commanding private international law, while aspiring to make private international law relevant for history.

NIKITAS E. HATZIMIHAIL is Associate Professor of Private Law, Comparative Law, and Legal History at the University of Cyprus. His doctoral dissertation received the Addison-Brown commencement Prize at Harvard Law School.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW: 153

Established in 1946, this series produces high quality, reflective and innovative scholarship in the field of public international law. It publishes works on international law that are of a theoretical, historical, cross-disciplinary or doctrinal nature. The series also welcomes books providing insights from private international law, comparative law and transnational studies which inform international legal thought and practice more generally.

The series seeks to publish views from diverse legal traditions and perspectives, and of any geographical origin. In this respect it invites studies offering regional perspectives on core *problématiques* of international law, and in the same vein, it appreciates contrasts and debates between diverging approaches. Accordingly, books offering new or less orthodox perspectives are very much welcome. Works of a generalist character are greatly valued and the series is also open to studies on specific areas, institutions or problems. Translations of the most outstanding works published in other languages are also considered.

After seventy years, Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law sets the standard for international legal scholarship and will continue to define the discipline as it evolves in the years to come.

Series Editors Larissa van den Herik

Professor of Public International Law, Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Leiden University Jean d'Aspremont

Professor of International Law, University of Manchester and Sciences Po Law School

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



PRECLASSICAL CONFLICT OF LAWS

NIKITAS E. HATZIMIHAIL

University of Cyprus







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009363907

> DOI: 10.1017/9781139016674 © Nikitas E. Hatzimihail 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2021 First paperback edition 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data Names: Hatzimihail, Nikitas, author.

Title: Preclassical conflict of laws / Nikitas E. Hatzimihail, University of Cyprus.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2021. |

Series: Cambridge studies in international and comparative law; 151 |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020041335 (print) | LCCN 2020041336 (ebook) |

ISBN 9780521863025 (hardback) | ISBN 9781139016674 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Conflict of laws-History.

Classification: LCC K7030 .H38 2021 (print) | LCC K7030 (ebook) | DDC 340.909-dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020041335 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2020041336

> ISBN 978-0-521-86302-5 Hardback ISBN 978-1-009-36390-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Uxori et parentibus carissimis



Historia vero testis temporum, lux veritatis, vita memoriae, magistra vitae, nuntia vetustatis, qua voce alia nisi oratoris immortalitati commendatur?

And as History, which bears witness to the passing of the ages, sheds light upon reality, gives life to recollection and guidance to human existence, and brings tidings of ancient days, whose voice, but the orator's, can entrust her to immortality?

Cicero, De oratore, 2.36 (E. W. Sutton trans., Loeb Classical Library)



CONTENTS

		of Figures, Tables and Maps xvi
	Ack	nowledgments xxii
1	Int	oduction 1
	1.1	Prologue 1
	1.2	The End of History for Private International Law? 3
	1.3	An Historicism for the Age of Globalization 8
	1.4	Revisiting the Early History of Private International Law 11
	1.5	An Historical Outline 17
		1.5.1 Theories of Statutes, or: Preclassical Conflict of Laws 18 1.5.1.1 History and Prehistory 20
		1.5.2 The Choice-of-Law Method, or: Classical Conflict of Laws 21
		1.5.3 Revolution, Crisis, Pluralism: Modern Conflict of Laws 24
		1.5.4 Classical, Preclassical, and Modern 26
		1.5.5 Theory and Practice 27
		1.5.6 On Conflict of Laws, Private International Law, and Other Names 31
	1.6	Conceptual Premises 33
		1.6.1 The Discipline of Private International Law 34
		1.6.2 The Governance Functions of Private International Law 35
		1.6.2.1 International Governance and Private International Law 36
		1.6.2.2 Private-Law Governance and Private International Law 37
		1.6.2.3 Autonomy 38
		1.6.3 A "Remarkable Trinity" 39
	1.7	Structure of the Book 41
	PA	RT I History and Historiography in the Conflict of Laws 45
2	Use	s of History in Private International Law 47
	2.1	Introduction 47
	2.2	Materials 49
		vii



viii

		CONTENTS
		 2.2.1 Conflicts History as Textbook History 51 2.2.1.1 Structure and Narratives 53 2.2.1.2 Historical Consciousness in the Common- Law World 54
		2.2.2 Historical Studies 56
	2.3	Conflicts Historiography in the Beginnings 58 2.3.1 Preclassical Historical Consciousness 58 2.3.2 Moments of Transition 60 2.3.2.1 Savigny 61 2.3.2.2 Demangeat 62 2.3.2.3 John Westlake in 1858 63
	2.4	The Discipline Ascendant: Progress Narratives in Classical Private
		International Law 64 2.4.1 François Laurent in 1880 65 2.4.2 Armand Lainé in 1888 68 2.4.3 A Lost Cause? de Vareilles-Sommières in 1897 70 2.4.4 Eugen Ehrlich in 1906 71
	2.5	The Discipline Persists: Interwar Progress Narratives 72
	2.0	2.5.1 Scientific Optimism: Max Gutzwiller in 1929 72 2.5.2 Progress as Struggle: Meijers in 1934 74 2.5.3 The Triumph of Comparative Law: Ernst Rabel in 1945 75
	2.6	
		International Law 78 2.6.1 Variations on a Theme 79 2.6.1.1 History as Quest 79 2.6.1.2 History as Dialectics 80 2.6.1.3 History as Marxist Narrative 81 2.6.1.4 History in Circles 82 2.6.2 Historicism and Pedigree History 84 2.6.2.1 Explanatory Historicism 84 2.6.2.2 Normative Historicism 85 2.6.2.3 Archaeological Historicism 87 2.6.3 Historical Narratives as Opposition 88 2.6.3.1 The Genealogies of Lex Mercatoria 89 2.6.3.2 The End of History as Progress Narrative 89
	2.7	
3	Pre	classical Conflict of Laws in the
	His	torical Consciousness 93
	3.1	Introduction 93
	3.2	The Narrative 94 3.2.1 Mutations 96
	3.3	The Division into Schools 98 3.3.1 Schools as Paradigm 98 3.3.1.1 Italian School 99



4

	CONTENTS	ix
	3.3.1.2 French School 100 3.3.1.3 Dutch School 101 3.3.1.4 A Comment 102 3.3.2 National Schools 102 3.3.3 A Sociological Approach 104 3.3.4 A Contextual Definition 108	
3.4	The Foundational Moment: "Si Bononiensis" 110 3.4.1 Of Founders 111 3.4.2 Foundations 115 3.4.3 An Alternative Foundational Moment? Aldricus: "potior et utilior" 122	
3.5	Neglected Influences? Alternative Narratives about the Foundational Moment 124 3.5.1 A Role for Court Practice and Customary Law 124 3.5.2 Law Merchant and the Conflict of Laws 128 3.5.3 Canon Law and the Conflict of Laws 129	
3.6	Conclusion 133	
PΑ	RT II Current Concerns 137	
Co	nflict of Laws as a Conceptual Battlefield 139	
4.1	Introduction 139	
4.2	Territorialism and Personalism 140 4.2.1 Definitions 143 4.2.2 Personalist Approaches: Domicile v. Nationality 144 4.2.3 Territorial Approaches: Actus v. Situs 149 4.2.3.1 Public Policy Territorialism 151	
4.3	Unilateralism in the Conflict of Laws 152 4.3.1 Doctrinal Unilateralism: The Choice-of-Law Process 4.3.1.1 Technically Unilateral Rules 154 4.3.1.2 Determining Who Determines the Scope of Foreign Law 155 4.3.1.3 Doctrinal Unilateralism as "International Governance" 156 4.3.1.4 Jurisprudential Aspects of Doctrinal Unilateralism 157 4.3.2 Political Unilateralism: Extraterritorial Effects	1
	of Regulation 158 4.3.3 Limited Unilateralism 160	
	4.3.4 Absolute Unilateralism 161	
4.4	Universalism and Particularism 161	
4.5	Perspectives and Ideologies: Internationalism, Nationalism 163	
4.6	Conflict of Laws as a "Conflict of Sovereignties" 165	
		167



X

		CONTENTS					
	4.7	4.6.2 A Critique 168 Conclusion 171					
5	5.1 5.2	Introduction 172 Legal Reasoning in Conflicts Works 173 5.2.1 On Rules and Formalism 173 5.2.2 The Invocation of General Principles 177					
	5.3 5.4	The Classification of the Legal Subject Matter 179 The Distinction between Formalities, Procedural, and Substantive Matters in the Conflict of Laws 183 5.4.1 Form as a Private-Law Construct 184 5.4.1.1 Formalities as a Burden or Intervention 185 5.4.1.2 Formalities as the Liberal Course of Action 186 5.4.2 Procedural Formalism and the Distinction between Substance and Procedure in Conflicts Doctrine 188					
	5.5	Conflict of Jurisdictions and Conflict of Laws 189 5.5.1 On the Relationship between Choice-of-Law and Jurisdiction Rules 191					
	5.6	Individuals, Party Autonomy, and the Conflict of Laws 193 5.6.1 On the Individual as the Epicenter of a Conflicts System 195 5.6.2 On Party Autonomy 197					
	5.7	Decisional Harmony 198					
6	6.1 6.2	Inflict of Laws as a World System 201 Introduction 201 Mediating between Perspectives 203					
	6.36.46.56.6	International Law as a Governance Project 204 International Law as Doctrinal Foundation 210 World Views and World Systems 211 Conclusion 215					
	PART III Bartolus da Sassoferrato and the Conflict of Laws in the Middle Ages 217						
7		Introduction 219 The Commentary on cunctos populos 220 7.2.1 Establishing the Text 222 7.2.2 Basic Structure 224 "Cujus occasione videnda sunt duo" 225 " utrum statuta porrigantur ad non subditos" 225 7.4.1 Contracts (nus. 13–19) 225					



			CONTENTS	xi
		7.4.2	Wrongs (nu. 20) 228	
		7.4.3	Testaments (nus. 21–26) 229	
		7.4.4	Rights over Real Property (nus. 27) 231	
		7.4.5	Clerical Privileges (nus. 28–31) 231	
	7.5		trum effectus statuti porrigat extra territorium	
			entium" 233	
			Prohibitive Statutes (nus. 32–33) 233	
		7.5.2	Permissive Statutes (nus. 34–43) 235	
			7.5.2.1 Statutes Conferring a Privilege 236	
			7.5.2.2 Statutes Facilitating Permissible Acts 236	
			7.5.2.3 The English Question: Primogeniture and Effects as to Property 243	
		7.5.3	Punitive Statutes (nus. 44–49) 244	
			7.5.3.1 Statutes with Explicit Extraterritorial Effect 245	
			7.5.3.2 Statutes Expressed in General Terms 247	
		7.5.4	Effects of Judgments (nus. 50–51) 248	
			7.5.4.1 Judgments as to Persons (in personam) 248	
			7.5.4.2 Judgments as to Property (in rem) 249	
8	The	e Polit	tical Context of Bartolan Conflict of Laws 252	
	8.1	Introd	duction 252	
	8.2	Politie	es and Hierarchies 253	
		8.2.1	A World Empire (with Its Common Law) 253	
			Cities (and the Power to Make Law) 256	
			8.2.2.1 The Legislative Jurisdiction of Cities 256	
			8.2.2.2 The "Internal Sovereignty" of Cities 260	
	8.3	Laws	and Their Hierarchies 261	
		8.3.1	Of <i>Ius Gentium</i> and the Law Common to All Peoples 26	2
		8.3.2	From City Laws to City Law 264	
		8.3.3	Iura propria 266	
			8.3.3.1 Citizens at Home 267	
			8.3.3.2 Foreigners Abroad 267	
			8.3.3.3 Foreigners in the City 268	
			8.3.3.4 Subjects Abroad 269	
			8.3.3.5 Legal Acts and Legal Rights 270	
	8.4	Bartol	lus, <i>Ius Commune</i> , and the Conflict of Laws 273	
9	Do	ctrina	l Aspects of Bartolan Conflict of Laws 277	
	9.1	Introd	duction 277	
	9.2	Legal	Style 278	
		9.2.1	Structure of the Text 278	
		9.2.2	Mode of Reasoning 282	
	9.3	Organ	nization of the Legal Subject Matter 284	
		9.3.1	Contracts 285	
		9.3.2	Delicts, Wrongs, and Crimes 288	



xii		CONTENTS	
		9.3.3 Succession 289	
		9.3.4 Property 291	
		9.3.5 Bartolus and the Law of Persons 293	
		9.3.6 Marriage and the Family 294	
	9.4	Form, Substance, and Procedure 298	
		9.4.1 Form, Solemnitas, and Formalities 299	
		9.4.2 Formalities and the Validity of Legal Acts 301	
		9.4.3 "Form," "Substance," and the Limits on the Reach of	
		Local Law 304	
	o =	9.4.4 Substance and Procedure 306	
	9.5	Conflicts of Jurisdictions and Conflicts of Laws 308	
	9.6	·	
	9.7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	9.8	Conclusion 315	
10) Bar	tolan Conflict of Laws in the Conceptual Battlefield	317
	10.1	Introduction 317	
	10.2	Bartolus and the Basic Theory of Statutes 319	
		10.2.1 Bartolus, the Basic Theory, and the Modern	
		Historical Consciousness 320	
		10.2.2 Territorialism, Personalism, and the	
		Bartolan Doctrine 324	
		10.2.3 Bartolus and the English Case 328	
	10.3		
		10.3.1 Permissive and Punitive Statutes 339 10.3.2 Punitive Statutes and Unilateralism 341	
		10.3.3 An a priori Unilateralism? 344	
	10.4		
	10.5	<u> </u>	
	D A	RT IV Ulrik Huber and Conflict of Laws in the Ea	els7
	r A	Modern Period 351	.1у
	"0		252
11		epe fit, ut negotia": Huber on the Conflict of Laws	353
	11.1		
	11.2	, 8	
		11.2.1 The Text 357 11.2.2 Structure of the Text 359	
	11.2		
	11.3	Foundations 360 11.3.1 Foregrounding (§ 1) 360	
		11.3.1 Foregrounding (§ 1) 360 11.3.2 Statement and Justification (§ 2) 361	
		11.3.2.1 The Axioms 361	
		11.3.2.2 Citing the Digest 361	
		11.3.2.3 Discussing the Axioms 363	



12

		CONTENTS	xiii
11.4	Primar	y Rule: locus regit actum 364	
	11.4.1	Acts inter vivos and mortis causa 365	
		11.4.1.1 Testaments (§ 4) 365	
		11.4.1.2 Contracts (§ 5) 366	
	11.4.2	Res judicata and Actions 367	
		11.4.2.1 Res judicata (§ 6) 367	
		11.4.2.2 Actions (§ 7) 368	
	11.4.3	Marriage 369	
	111110	11.4.3.1 Validity (§ 8) 370	
		11.4.3.2 Effects (§ 9) 371	
	11.4.4	Limitations 372	
		11.4.4.1 Intention of the Parties (§ 10) 372	
		11.4.4.2 The Prejudice Exception (§ 11) 373	
11.5	Second	Rule: Personal Status and Capacity 374	
11.5		The Rule: Personality (§ 12) 375	
	11.5.1	•	
	11.3.2	(§§ 13–14) 375	
11.	m1 · 1 r		
11.6		Rule: The <i>lex rei sitae</i> Exception (§ 15) 376 The Rule as to Immovables 376	
	11.6.1		
	11.6.2	Intestate Succession and a Reference to	
		Movable Property 378	
11.7	Conclu	ding 378	
The	Politic	al Context of Huber's Conflict of Laws 380	
12.1	Introdu	action 380	
12.2	Ulrik F	Huber in Political Context 381	
	12.2.1	A Commercial Republic (or Republics) 382	
	12.2.2	Sovereignty and the State 384	
		Sovereighty and the state 364	
		12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389	
	12.2.3		
	12.2.3	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389	
	12.2.3	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390	
	12.2.3	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in	
12.3		12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391	
12.3		12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Sources and Their Hierarchies 395	
12.3	Legal S	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Sources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396	
12.3	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Sources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396	
12.3	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Sources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405	
	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3 Soverei	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 sources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405 Ignty and Jurisdiction in Huber 407	
	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3 Soverei	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 sources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405 Ignty and Jurisdiction in Huber 407	
	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3 Soverei	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Fources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405 Ignty and Jurisdiction in Huber 407 Civil Jurisdiction over Foreigners in Huber 409 12.4.1.1 Arrest-Based Jurisdiction 413	
	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3 Soverei 12.4.1	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 cources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405 Ignty and Jurisdiction in Huber 407 Civil Jurisdiction over Foreigners in Huber 409	
	Legal S 12.3.1 12.3.2 12.3.3 Soverei 12.4.1 12.4.2	12.2.2.1 Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile 389 Religion 390 12.2.3.1 Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland 391 12.2.3.2 Religion and Early Modern Marriage 394 Fources and Their Hierarchies 395 Natural Law and the Law of Nations 396 Civil Law 400 Judicial Power and Discretion 405 Ignty and Jurisdiction in Huber 407 Civil Jurisdiction over Foreigners in Huber 409 12.4.1.1 Arrest-Based Jurisdiction 413 Res Judicata, Jurisdiction, and Huber on the Conflict	



xiv		CONTENTS
13	Doct	trinal Aspects of Huber's Conflict of Laws 422
	13.1	Introduction 422
	13.2	Legal Style 422
		13.2.1 Structure 423
		13.2.2 Rational Ordering 424
		13.2.2.1 A Novel Method 427
		13.2.3 Practice and Pragmatism 428
	13.3	The Organization of the Legal Subject Matter 431
		13.3.1 Huber as an Institutist 43213.3.2 Contracts and Obligations 434
		13.3.3 Law of Persons 438
		13.3.3.1 Marriage 440
		13.3.3.2 Marital Property 444
		13.3.4 Testaments and Property 446
	13.4	Form and Substance in Huber 448
		13.4.1 From Testaments to Contracts 449
		13.4.2 Formal and Substantive Validity of Marriages 450
		13.4.3 Procedure: or, Control by the Forum through Carving an Area
	12.5	of Form 452
	13.5	Huber and the Conflict of Jurisdictions 453
	13.6	Individual Autonomy in Huber's Conflict of Laws 454
	13.7	Harmony of Solutions 460
	13.8	Conclusion 461
14		er's Conflict of Laws in the Conceptual Battlefield 463
	14.1	Introduction 463
	14.2	Huber and Territorialism 464
		14.2.1 Huber and Actus Territorialism 464
		14.2.2 Actus Territorialism and Personalism14.2.3 Actus and Situs Territorialism469
		14.2.4 Huber and Public Policy Territorialism 472
	14.3	Huber as Unilateralist 473
	14.4	Comity in Huber's Doctrine 475
		14.4.1 Huber's Third Axiom 477
		14.4.2 Comity from Paul to Johannes Voet 483
		14.4.3 Comity as Foundation 488
		14.4.4 Comity and Exceptions 492
		14.4.4.1 Fighting against Evasion 493
		14.4.4.2 Defending Local Laws 497 14.4.4.3 Defending Local Rights: Third-Party Rights and
		Conflicts of Obligations 501



	CONTENTS	xv
14.5	Huber and the Conflict of Sovereignties Discourse 502	
14.6	Conclusion 504	
Part V Epilo	ogue 509	
15 Pred	classical Conflict of Laws Configured 511	
15.1	Introduction 511	
15.2	De Statutis 513	
15.3	Addressing Private Law Problems 517	
15.4	Political Foundations and Legal Hierarchies 524	
15.5	A Conflicts Literature (and Discourse) 529	
15.6	From Preclassical Conflict of Laws to Private International Law 532	
15.7	The Hedgehog and the Fox 539	
	iography 543 ex 576	



FIGURES, TABLES AND MAPS

Figures

- 3.1 Historical Narratives about Medieval and Early Modern Conflict of Laws 95
- 3.2 The "Italian School", 1200-1500: Teacher Pupil Links and Bartolus ad C.1.1.1 106
- 10.1 Bartolus and the Conflict of Laws in Historiography and Historical Consciousness 318

Tables

- 8.1 Grades of *Iurisdictio* in Bartolus (Bartolus ad D.2.1) 257
- 10.1 Territoriality, personality and the English Case (Bartolus ad C.1.1.1, nu. 42) 332
- 10.2 Effects of punitive statutes over wrongs committed outside the city's territory (Bartolus ad C.1.1.1, nu. 48) 343

Maps

- 1 Europe in 1300 from J. Watts, *The Making of Polities: Europe 1300–1500* (Cambridge University Press, 2009) xviii
- 2 Italy from C. Allmand (ed.), *The New Cambridge Medieval History, Volume VII* c.1415–c.500 (Cambridge University Press, 1998) xx
- 3 The Netherlands from M. Prak, *The Dutch Republic in the Seventeenth Century: The Golden Age* (Cambridge University Press, 2005) xxi

xvi



XVIII MAPS



1 Europe in 1300 from J. Watts, *The Making of Polities: Europe 1300–1500* (Cambridge University Press, 2009)



MAPS XiX



1 (cont.)



XX MAPS



2 Italy from C. Allmand (ed.), *The New Cambridge Medieval History, Volume VII c.1415–c.500* (Cambridge University Press, 1998)



MAPS XXI



3 The Netherlands from M. Prak, *The Dutch Republic in the Seventeenth Century: The Golden Age* (Cambridge University Press, 2005)



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is a historical contribution to the study of contemporary private international law. Adopting a historical perspective was a gradual process, as I sought to understand this legal field and its place within the study and practice of law. One way of looking at modern doctrine is as the estuary of past notions, doctrines, and ideas. Such a metaphor highlights the complexity – and unique value – of our own legal environment, but is also an invitation to seek out the very different environments traversed by the main river and its tributaries – shaping them and, in turn, being shaped by them.

This is, accordingly, a story about Medieval, Early Modern, and subsequent jurists and the systems they constructed, as they employed tools both familiar and unfamiliar to us in the present day, dealing with problems we can identify with as well as with now-obsolete aspects of the evolving legal treatment of human existence. These discursive ancestors were children of their time but also strove to bequeath to worlds more different than they could have imagined. We certainly cannot grasp past or present conflicts theories, principles and norms, or potential alternatives, without an appreciation of the world in which they originated and where they are asked to operate. At the same time, so much of conflicts doctrine has shown remarkable resilience across time and space. Context is vital, yet it is clearly not everything.

It is customary to express frustration, or fascination, with the present state of conflict-of-laws doctrine. Indeed, such contrasting feelings have motivated this book. But, at its heart lies an earnest conviction about the value of private international law as a juristic approach to global governance and the management of legal diversity. Private international law is a distinct legal discipline, formed out of the creative tension between the "domestic" institutions and policies of what we conceive as private law, and the "public" or "quasi-public" institutions and aspirations of international governance. Private international law cannot be separated from – but neither should it be subordinated to – either. This

xxii



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

xxiii

socio-epistemological understanding of the legal discipline has informed both my own historical narrative, as well as the book's emphasis on the importance of historical consciousness as well as on the inherent subjectivity of existing historical literature. Cicero's exhortation epitomizes the twin themes of the book: awareness of the oratorical – even instrumentalist – element in the writing of history, as well as hope for its potential to "shed light upon reality" and improve our better selves.

Preclassical Conflict of Laws began its life as a Harvard Law School doctoral dissertation on private international law and comparative legal history. That dissertation – which was awarded the Addison-Brown Prize at the 2003 Harvard commencement and, shortly thereafter, a book contract from Cambridge University Press - possessed many of the qualities and theoretical ambition associated with younger scholars. The overall conception of the dissertation survives in this book, along with many fragments, but that early work has been revised, significantly expanded, and re-revised substantially – albeit intermittently as professional obligations allowed - over a prolonged period, until it was finally completed in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. This is, therefore, a different, more mature work: perhaps less theoretically precocious (its author being all too aware of the vastness of existing but unexplored ideas), but more confident in the analysis of Medieval and Early Modern doctrine, the result of hands-on exposure to legal teaching and problemsolving (and more comfortable access to primary sources).

The book owes its existence to a number of people. First among them are my doctoral supervisors, David Kennedy and Charles Donahue Jr.: their approaches were very different from one another but my work clearly carries the influence of both. David introduced me to intellectual legal history, legal theory, critical approaches, and textual analysis. Charlie instilled in me the importance of context and appreciation for the hard toil of legal history. They also gave, by word and example, invaluable advice that I still carry with pride and gratitude. Next comes my third committee member, Duncan Kennedy, our maître d'armes, who started my journey in private law theory and the intellectual history of legal doctrine, and another gentle giant, the late Arthur Taylor von Mehren. I am especially grateful to Professor Bernard Audit, my external examiner, for his attention and ideas, as well as to Charalambos Pamboukis, who initiated me to the field of private international law, Joseph Singer, who supervised my master's thesis, and Richard Fentiman, who has influenced the pragmatic turn of my doctrinal outlook as reflected in the completed book. Of the many teachers and mentors



xxiv

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I have been blessed with, I must especially thank, for ideas, encouragement, and leading by example: Anthony Antapasis, Michael Blumm, Thomas Baker, Daniel Coquillette, Anghelos Foustoucos, Constantinos Kerameus, Eben Moglen, Setsuo Miyazawa, Emmanuel Roucounas, Symeon Symeonides, and Spyridon Troianos.

I cannot do justice to all my friends, comrades-in-arms, and colleagues who have contributed to this book. For reading parts of one or more of my drafts, I must particularly single out Ralf Michaels, Mikhail Xifaras, Michael Chatzipanagiotis, Carlos Esplugues Motta, José Augusto Fontoura Costa, Quim Forner Delaygua, Adolfo Giuliani, Emmanuel Guinchard, Saskia Lettmaier, Arnaud Nuyts, Lazaros Panourgias, Ilaria Pretelli, Gian Paolo Romano, Stelios Tofaris, Alexander Trunk, and Spyridon Tzounakas. Petja Toškan, my patient Harvard officemate, has been unfailingly supportive, an intellectual powerhouse, and a friend for life. My work on Latin texts, and growing appreciation for the subtleties of that language, owes a lot to Charles Donahue, my guide to the Medieval mind for over two decades (to whom I owe the translations of Aldricus, Accursius, Carolus, and the anonymous Parisian gloss in Chapter 3), and Spyridon Tzounakas, who introduced me to Stoicism and classical reception in Early Modern texts. My interaction with undergraduate and graduate students was in itself fulfilling but also helped me reflect upon and elaborate more clearly my ideas; I am thankful to all of them, especially to Kyriakos Kyriakides, Rafaella Charalambous, and George Sotiri for rewarding discussions and Christodoulos Christodoulou, who read a complete draft at a critical moment and provided an invaluable reader's perspective. I am also indebted, for their meaningful support, discussions, and friendship to William Alford, Lia Athanassiou, Moritz Bälz, Yas Banifatemi, Bojan Bugarič, Maria Canellopoulou Bottis, Antonis Bredimas, Theodore Christakis, Panagiotis Christias, Aurelia Colombi Ciacchi, Brooks Daly, James Filippatos, Spyridon Flogaitis, Maria Gavouneli, Martin Goyette, Gail Hupper, Michael von Hinden, Dionysia Kallinikou, Haris Konidaris, Katerina Konidaris, Konstantinos Kotoulas, Stacy Koumbis, P.J. Kozyris, Tania Kretsi, Dimitris Liappis, Peter Krug, Carole Moal-Nuyts, Nicholas Mitrokostas, Matjaž Nahtigal, Rossanna d'Onza Garcia, Andreas Papaconstantinou, Nicholas Papaspyrou, Stavros Papastavrou, Sophia Pavlaki, Ioannis Stribis, William Suarez Potts, George Serghides, Costas Synolakis, Jeanne Tai, Andrew Varcoe, Eleftherios Voglis, Nadine Watté, A.N. Yiannopoulos and Dongsheng Zang. Acknowledgments are also due to my fellow SJD travelers and the staff at the Harvard Law School,



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

xxv

my colleagues and staff at the University of Oklahoma, the Université Libre de Bruxelles (U.L.B.), and the University of Cyprus.

The work also benefited from the anonymous reviewers of the original manuscript, as well as from presentations made and feedback received in several venues, including the 2007 Annual Meeting of the Law and Society Association in Berlin; the 2013 Comparative Legal History Workshop at the University of Lund; the 2018 Law and Empire in the Longue Durée Workshop at the University of Cambridge; the 2019 International Congress on the Enlightenment in Edinburgh; and a lecture on Medieval Private International Law at the University of São Paulo in 2020 as the manuscript was nearing its final form. An article based on the dissertation's take on Bartolus was published as "Bartolus on the Conflict of Laws" in the Revue hellénique de droit international, 60 (2007), 11-69; Chapters 7-10 significantly expand upon and replace that article, but I remain grateful to its readers for feedback. An early version of Chapter 11 was published in the *Dignatio rerum Professor Elias Krispis* (Thessaloniki: Sakkoulas, 2018), 239-264. My essay on "Reflections on the International Dimension of Private International Law" in the Liber amicorum Nadine Watté (Brussels: Larcier, 2017), 287-302, includes sections of Chapter 6. Another article, "On the Doctrinal Beginnings of the Conflict of Laws," which has just appeared in the Yearbook of Private International Law 21 (2019/2020), 101-133, actually expands upon the argument in Section 3.4.

The initial research for this work was conducted at Harvard and its magnificent libraries, at a time prior to the massive digitization of old books. It was continued at the Université Libre de Bruxelles and the University of Cyprus, my places of employment; Bremen University and the University of Cambridge, where I was generously allowed visiting fellowships; but also over shorter visits at Heidelberg University, the University of Athens, the University of Southern California, Leiden University, the University of Groningen, the British Library, the Bibliothèque Nationale de France, and the Peace Palace Library.

I am also grateful to the Fulbright Program (Greece), the Alexander S. Onassis Foundation (Greece), and the A. G. Leventis Foundation (Greece) for financial support during my graduate studies at Harvard; the Clark Byse Fellowship program and the Reginald Lewis Fund at Harvard; the Crowe & Dunlevy Professorship fund at the University of Oklahoma for funding linked to teaching and professional opportunities; and, of course, the University of Cyprus for funding of my research activities over the past fourteen years.



xxvi

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe a great debt to Cambridge University Press. The book would not exist without James Crawford and Finola O'Sullivan. Judge Crawford saw its value at a time when the history of international legal doctrine was much less fashionable, and I was a brash young scholar. I wish I had heeded his warning and published earlier, but I do hope the end result was worth his wait. Finola ensured the completion of the book, with patience, encouragement, and wisdom, and tolerated a significantly larger manuscript than the one in the contract (almost double the size of the original script). I am especially grateful to Marianne Nield, who succeeded in finally getting the elusive and ever-growing script to production, as well as Laura Blake, who skillfully handled production, the production team led by Indra Siddharthan, and Diana Witt, who handled the indexing. I am eternally thankful to my copyeditor, Benjamin Johnson.

No acknowledgement can be enough when it comes to my family. My parents, Emmanuel and Despoina, to whom the dissertation was dedicated, have been patiently awaiting this book, as the conclusion of a long journey for which they set me off, endowed with their values, love, and support. The book itself is the result of my new family. My wife, Tsampika, bore with me all the hardships of this journey, including countless hours of toil and frustration. She was a constant companion, and unbiased arbiter, in my explorations of law and history, providing me with inspiration, courage, personal fulfillment, and the intellectual environment that made this book. To her, I pledge my love and promise new journeys.