

## Index

- accessory after the fact, 185, 189
- accessory versus principal distinction, 34, 89, 150, 182, 197, 209–13, 225
- Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions, 43
- aiding and abetting, 197, 234
- Ambos, Kai, 52, 184
- anti-Cartesian, 103, 110–11, 225, 282
- authority, 123, 125, 135, 233
- Bemba, Prosecutor v*
  - Appeal Judgment, 6, 191, 236, 258, 265–71, 272–81
  - Trial Judgment, 191, 257–65
- bias, 83, 90
- Blaskić, Prosecutor v*, 44, 159, 161, 201
- bloodguilt, 189
- capacity. *See* criminal negligence
- casuistic testing, 105, 106, 108, 225, 282
- causation
  - free will, 264
  - naturalistic conception, 180, 269, 283
  - normative conception, 180, 261, 266, 283
  - omissions, 179–81, 225, 260, 266, 268
  - ratification theory, 187–90
  - risk aggravation, 181, 261, 264, 266
- Čelebić case*, 30, 43, 159, 160, 161, 200
- China, criminal law of, 80
- citizenship, 125
- civilian superior. *See* command responsibility
- coherentism, 85
  - applied, 67, 69, 198, 224–27
  - as best theory of criminal law theory, 100, 227–28
  - conservative, 106–9
  - definition, 13, 100–6, 282
  - fallibilism, 110–11, 226
  - humility check, 109, 114, 226, 270, 272, 273
  - models, 102, 115
  - pragmatism, 103
  - radical, 106–9
  - structure of justification, 102, 228
  - versus consistency, 14, 106–9
- collective action, 74–76
- colonialism, 90
- command responsibility
  - accessory after the fact, analogy to, 185
  - accessory liability, 15, 148, 198, 220, 223, 258, 260, 266, 267
  - benefit of studying, 139
  - causal contribution, 15, 145, 259, 261–63, 267
  - civilian superiors, 141, 221
  - conflation with humanitarian law, 42, 158
  - dangerous activity, 215–16, 268
  - definition, 147
  - due diligence, 202
  - duty to inquire, 200, 202, 218
  - duty to know everything, 202
  - effective control, 232
  - failure to punish, 145, 159, 175, 263, 266, 267, 268
  - failure to take measures, 232, 275
  - genius of, 197, 223
  - had reason to know, 195, 203, 204, 205
  - initial crime, 154, 155, 189, 190
  - isolated crime, 155, 269
  - non-contributory derelictions, 155, 172
  - omission, 179–81
  - possession test, 200, 203–5, 219
  - reductive teleological reasoning, 31
  - remote commanders, 276
  - scope, 221
  - separate offence, 143–69, 267
  - should have known, 15, 194, 195, 199–205, 216–18, 219, 278–80
  - successor commander, 155, 190
  - sui generis* characterization, 146, 169, 259, 267
  - variegated approach, 172

- command responsibility (cont.)  
 versus other modes of liability, 148, 162, 197, 223  
 versus strict liability, 202  
 virtually certain knowledge, alleged requirement of, 277
- communitarian theory, 95
- community, 70, 76–78, 125, 233
- compromise, suspicion of in ICL, 47, 49, 51
- consequentialist theory, 7, 66, 95, 196
- considered judgement. *See* casuistic testing
- consilience, 105, 228
- contractualist theory, 94
- contribution requirement. *See* causation, command responsibility
- control theory, 89, 210, 234
- convergence, 97
- co-perpetration, 234
- cosmopolitanism, 13, 78, 83, 121–24, 137
- criminal law theory, 16, 71, 74, 120, 231, 235  
 two-way exchange with ICL, 14, 120–21, 137, 194, 214, 217, 223, 225, 230
- criminal negligence, 194, 197, 198, 199–203, 205, 206–9, 218, 225  
 capacity exception, 220  
 controversy, 199–203, 206–9, 225  
 culpable disregard, 207, 217  
 fault of not knowing, 216–18  
 versus strict liability, 202
- criminology, 77
- critical theory, 18, 71, 78–84, 90, 138
- culpability principle, 9, 10, 42, 71, 75, 93  
 causal contribution requirement, 144, 149–52, 181–85, 259, 260, 261–63, 267  
 contribution versus causation, 151  
 criminal negligence, 206–9  
 culpable disregard, 207  
 fault requirement, 209–13, 216–18  
 in ancient China, 80  
 in ancient Egypt, 79  
 in Islamic law, 80  
 non-causal account, 187–90  
 risk aggravation, 181–85
- culture, cross-cultural, 78–84, 122
- deontic analysis. *See* deontic reasoning
- deontic commitment, 62, 64, 71
- deontic dimension. *See* deontic reasoning
- deontic reasoning, 11, 20, 23, 52, 94, 146, 157, 229, 231, 257, 258, 260, 261, 266, 267, 282
- deontic turn in ICL, 236, 256
- deontological theory, 7, 94, 282
- deviance, 70
- Dogmatik*, 137
- Drumbl, Mark, 61, 70, 72
- Dubber, Markus, 67, 69, 73
- duress, 133–34, 233
- duty to inquire. *See* command responsibility
- Dworkin, Ronald, 103, 104, 106
- Egypt, criminal law of, 79
- empathy, 63, 67, 69, 107, 108, 236
- Erdemović, Prosecutor v.*, 37–38, 68, 89, 133–34
- Eurocentrism, 63, 80, 81
- extreme cases, benefit of studying, 4, 62, 71, 119, 120–21, 135, 137, 230
- failure to punish, 153, 154
- fair labelling principle, 9, 35
- fallibilism, 103, 110–11, 283
- fault. *See* culpability principle
- Fletcher, George, 30, 52, 128, 244, 254
- forfeiture, 69
- foundationalism, 13, 86, 95, 101, 228, 283
- fundamental principles, 3, 9, 21, 64, 86
- Geneva Conventions, 29, 40
- governance, alternative mechanisms, 123, 124, 125–27, 136
- Hadžihasanović, Prosecutor v.*, 32, 143–69, 173, 174, 190
- Halilović, Prosecutor v.*, 166
- Hart, H L A, 7–8, 184, 207, 208
- Hegel, George Wilhelm Friedrich, 94
- hostis humanis generis*, 68–70
- humanism, 63, 69, 74, 77, 78, 137, 229, 230
- humanity, 63
- humility check. *See* coherentism
- identity crisis theory, 22–26, 246
- indirect intent, 235
- individualism, 63, 74–78
- intuition, 106, 110, 226
- Islamic law, 80
- James, William, 102, 103
- joint criminal enterprise, 34–35, 212, 249–56
- justice, 12, 66, 69, 104, 158
- Kant, Immanuel, 92, 94
- law as integrity, 103
- legality principle, 9, 10, 65, 71, 127, 233  
 in ancient China, 80  
 in ancient Egypt, 79  
 in Islamic law, 80  
*lex scripta*, 91, 127–29

- non-retroactivity, 129–30
  - strict construction, 130–32
- liberal, 13, 21, 25, 64, 72, 74–78, 133, 137, 229, 283
- liberal critique, 5, 52, 60, 62, 72, 133
- Lubanga, Prosecutor v.*, 89
- Martinez, Jenny, 22, 196, 206, 215, 244
- Mbarushima, Prosecutor v.*, 53
- meliorism, 105, 247
- mid-level principle, 14, 85, 86, 96–100, 283
  - starting in the middle, 96, 109
- naturalist fallacy, 189, 226, 283
- naturalistic conception of causation. *See* causation
- neocolonialism, 78, 90
- Neurath's boat, 109
- non-foundational approach, 85
- normative conception of causation. *See* causation
- normativity, 87, 99
- Nuremberg Charter, 48, 49
- omissions, 179–81, 225, 260, 266, 268
- Osiel, Mark, 61, 70, 72
- outlying practice, 99
- overcorrection, 6, 8, 53, 192, 229, 236, 272–81
- overlapping consensus, 98
- parametric universalism, 121
- pluralism, 94, 115, 130, 247
- positivity, 87, 99
- possession test. *See* command responsibility
- reasoning versus outcome, 11, 26, 34, 54, 133
- reflective equilibrium, 103, 105
- renaissance of ICL, 24, 26
- retroactivity. *See* legality principle
- risk aggravation. *See* causation
- Robinson, Paul, 214, 224
- role distance, 136
- Rorty, Richard, 104
- scientific method, analogy to, 4, 100, 102, 111, 112, 120
- source-based reasoning, 11
- sovereignty, assumptions in ICL, 45–50
- strict construction, 27, 28, 32, 35, 255
- strict liability, 202
- structural conflation, 39
- structural inequality, 83
- subjectivist bug, 206
- substantive conflation, 39
- successor commander. *See* command responsibility
- superior orders, 68, 134–36, 233
- superior responsibility. *See* command responsibility
- Tadić, Prosecutor v.*, 35, 253
- teleological reasoning, 11, 27–31
  - appropriate, 29, 31
  - reductive form, 29, 30, 35, 36, 135, 242–44, 249–56
- thin ice principle, 136
- Tokyo Charter, 48, 49
- vicarious liability, 187, 283
- victor's justice, 48, 198
- Western, 10, 61, 63, 70, 76, 78–84, 230
- Westphalian state, 124
- Westphalian system, 63
- Yamashita* decision, 31