TRUE PURPOSES IN HEGEL’S LOGIC

This book addresses a key issue in Hegel’s philosophical legacy—his account of purposiveness and teleology—that has often been wrongly criticised and misunderstood. In a re-examination of Hegel’s account, Edgar Maraguat explores its connection with the rest of Hegel’s philosophy, traces the influence of Aristotle and Kant on its arguments and closely analyses its place in Hegel’s Science of Logic. The result is a new perspective not only on the nature, spirit and goals of the Logic but also on the whole of Hegel’s philosophical legacy, and will appeal to a range of readers in Hegel studies, the history of philosophy and the history of ideas.
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