

The Soul in Soulless Psychology

Modern psychology began with a rejection of the "soul" as relevant for the science. How did that come about? *The Soul in Soulless Psychology* explores that question and details arguments for a soulless psychology. However, there was also opposition to this notion. This alternative history of psychology examines those who dissented from a "psychology without a soul," including Neoscholastic psychologists and others, such as Ladd, Münsterberg, and McDougall. Substitutions for the soul – such as self, personality, and the brain – show that even with the soul absent, its concerns were present. Innovative rethinkings of the soul are addressed, as well as attempts at restoration of the soul into psychology. Moreover, historical psychologies of the soul kept the soul in view. In the twenty-first century, we find soul as a noun, an adjective, and a verb, all pointing to the necessity of the soul for psychology.

ROBERT KUGELMANN is Emeritus Professor of Psychology at the University of Dallas, USA. He has written four books, including *Psychology and Catholicism: Contested Boundaries* (Cambridge University Press, 2011). He has recently been appointed as a coeditor for a new journal: *Integratus: The Journal of the Catholic Psychotherapy Association*.





## The Soul in Soulless Psychology

Robert Kugelmann

University of Dallas







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009301213

DOI: 10.1017/9781009301220 © Robert Kugelmann 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-1-009-30121-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



## Contents

Preface Acknowledgments		<i>page</i> vii viii
2	Psychology without a Soul	22
3	Dissenters I: The Neoscholastics	59
4	Dissenters II: Other Affirmations of the Soul in Early Modern Psychology	82
5	Substitution: In the Wake of "Psychology without a Soul"	115
6	Innovation	140
7	Restoration	162
8	Historical Psychologies of the Soul	197
9	Soul as a Psychological Category	232
Bibliography Index		277 309

V





## **Preface**

I would not have been led to the writing of this history of psychology, this counter-history, had it not been for reading James Hillman's (1975) Re-Visioning Psychology as a grad student. More on that anon in the last chapter. However, a comment he made in dialogue with Sonu Shamdasani (Hillman & Shamdasani, 2013) demands a reply. Hillman said that "the history of psychology really means the history of the soul, and if psychology is to move it has to speak to the soul and it has to speak about the soul. That would be the objectification, but it has to speak to it" (p. 38). For the most part, this book speaks about the soul, about the ways it was ignored, expelled, preserved, rethought. About the ways it became irrelevant and relevant again and again. Does this book speak to the soul? What possibly could that question mean? There are many objectifications of the soul in this book, along with claims that it cannot be an object. This question of speaking to the soul is the formal cause of the book, and as Marshall McLuhan and Eric McLuhan (2011) proposed, the formal cause, the *logos*, of a book is the readership. The soul, illusory and real.

vii



## Acknowledgments

The University of Dallas in 2013 provided me with a semester-long sabbatical, when the work of writing this book really began. Many thanks to my colleagues and the administrators on the committee that awarded the sabbatical. I especially thank several readers of drafts of this book who suggested corrections and provided criticisms and encouragement along the way: to David Devonis, who opened my eyes to some of the themes I had been struggling with; to Angelyn Arden, for her profound insights into the psyche and for urging me onward to the finish; to Randolph Severson, wise counselor and reader. I am indebted to others who have provided insight and understanding of the space between psychology and religion: Jacob A. van Belzen, Noemí Pizarroso López, and Vincent Hevern, SJ. Scott Churchill, Amy Fisher Smith, and William Frank, my colleagues at the University of Dallas, have been more inspirational than they probably know, as I gave the "soul" attention over the years. Thanks also to Janka Romero, the psychology editor at Cambridge University Press, for her welcoming approach to editing, and thanks to her assistant, Rowan Groat.

I am grateful to the archivists at the Catholic University of America for their timely assistance on two visits. I presented some of the material in this book at conferences: at the 2014, 2017, and 2019 meetings of Cheiron: The International Society for the History of the Human Sciences, and at the 2017 meeting of the James Hillman Symposium, sponsored by the Dallas Institute of the Humanities and Culture. I have learned much from those who listened to what I was trying to say.

I am eternally grateful for the support and encouragement of my wife, Laurie Dekat Kugelmann, whose presence has made this writing possible.

viii