

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981) (Banjul Charter))
 ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)
 ANPS (Airports National Policy Statement (26 June 2018) (UK))
 CC (Civil Code)
 CCA (Climate Change Act (2008) (UK))
 CCC (Committee on Climate Change)
 CCP (common commercial policy)
 CERD (International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (1965))
 CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (2016))
 CFSP (EU Common Foreign and Security Policy)
 CIL (customary international law)
 CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union/CJEU Statute)
 CPC (Civil/Criminal Procedure Code)
 CRC (UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989)/Child Rights Committee)
 DCO (development consent order)
 ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
 ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights)
 EIA (environmental impact assessment)
 ESM (Treaty Establishing the European Stability Mechanism (2012))
 FSIA (South African Foreign State Immunity Act 1981)
 FTC (Financing of Terrorism Convention (1999))
 GG (Basic Law (FRG))
 GVG (Courts Constitution Act (FRG))
 HCCP (Hague Convention on Civil Procedure)
 HCIAJ (Hague Convention on International Access to Justice (1980))
 ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
 ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
 ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
 ICSID (International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes)
 ICTY (International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia/ICTY Statute)
 IHL (international humanitarian law)
 ILC (International Law Commission/ILC Articles and Draft Articles)
 ILC(SR) (ILC [Draft] Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts)
 ISDS (investor–State dispute settlement)
 JII (Joint Interpretative Instrument)
 JISP (UN Convention on the Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property (2004))
 JSC (Judicial Service Commission)
 MA (Migration Act)
 MDP (Maldivian Democratic Party)
 MIT (multilateral investment tribunal)
 MNDF (Maldives National Defence Force)
 NC (Nuremberg Charter (1945))
 NGO (non-governmental organization)
 NIAC (non-international armed conflict)

NPS (national policy statement)
 NSGT (non-self-governing territory)
 OP (Optional Protocol)
 PA (Planning Act (2008) (UK))
 PCA (Permanent Court of Arbitration)
 ROC (Rules of Court)
 ROP (Rules of Procedure)
 SADR (Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic)
 Schengen (Schengen Agreement (1985))
 SCSL (Special Court for Sierra Leone)
 Sea Directive (Council Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effect of certain plans and programmes on the environment)
 TEC (Treaty establishing the European Community) (Treaty of Rome as updated by the Treaties of Amsterdam and Nice)
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
 UNC (UN Charter (1945))
 UNCITRAL Model Law (UNCITRAL Model Law of International Commercial Arbitration)
 UNGA (UN General Assembly)
 VCDR (Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961))
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))
 WGAD (Working Group on Arbitrary Detention)
 WSC (Western Sahara Campaign UK)

ACHPR Protocol (1998)

reparation for breach: *see* reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))

act of State (foreign State acts)

Cherry Blossom case 511-15

comity as basis 511-12

as matter of municipal law/absence of international law obliging restraint 513-14

as non-justiciability/judicial restraint/abstention 511-15

access to court considerations 514-15

justiciability, timing of decision on 512-15

State immunity from jurisdiction distinguished 503-4

ACtHPR

jurisdiction/admissibility: *see also* admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements); exhaustion of local remedies

ACtHPR as appeal court from national court, exclusion 126

consideration of compliance with international procedural standards and review of decisions of national courts distinguished 126

interpretation and application of the Charter, the Protocol and any other relevant human rights instruments ratified by the States concerned (ACHPR Protocol 3(1)) 125

preliminary examination of (ROC 39): *see* ACtHPR Rules of Court by rule, 39(1) (preliminary examination of competence: Court's right to request information/documentation)

ratione loci (act on territory of Member State) 127

ratione materiae (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1))), jurisprudence

- Abubakari* 126
- Chacha* 125-6
- Guebi* 126
- Ivan* 126
- Jonas* 126
- Josiah* 125-6
- Mtingwi* 126
- Nguza* 126
- Thomas* 125-6
- Umuboza* 126
- Vedastus* 125-6
- ratione personae* (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)) 126-7
- ratione temporis* (continuing wrong)
 - Vedastus* 127
 - Zongo* 127
- ACHPR Rules of Court by rule**
 - 30 (costs) 139, 140
 - 39(1) (preliminary examination of competence: Court's right to request information/documentation) 125, 127-8
 - 40 (conditions for admissibility)
 - text 127
 - 40(5) (exhaustion of local remedies) 128-9
 - 40(6) (filing within a reasonable time) 129-30
- admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements)**
 - exhaustion of local remedies: *see* exhaustion of local remedies, limitation of remedies to be exhausted to ordinary remedies (ACHPR 56(5))
 - timeliness of application (ACHPR 56(6)/ROC 40(6))
 - jurisprudence
 - Guebi* 130
 - Ivan* 129-30
 - Josiah* 129-30
 - Rashidi* 129-30
 - Thomas* 130
 - Vedastus* 129-30
 - Wangoko* 130
 - Zongo* 129-30
 - "reasonable period of time", relevant factors 130
- Australia**
 - Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011, statement of compatibility requirement (s 8) 330
 - legitimate expectation
 - jurisprudence
 - obiter dicta* rejection of the *Teoh* doctrine 326
 - Ratu* 325-8
 - Teoh* 325-8
 - procedural fairness and 324-8
 - treaty as source, effect of contrary indication by the legislature or executive 327-8
 - Migration Act 1958 by section (including amendments up to and including 2011)
 - 501 (refusal or cancellation of visa on character grounds) 318
 - 501(1) (Minister's discretion to refuse a visa) 318
 - 501(2) (Minister's discretion to cancel a visa) 318

Australia (*cont.*)

- 501(3) (enlivenment of discretion)
 - national interest considerations 318
 - reasonable suspicion that person does not pass the “character test” 318
- 501(3A) (circumstances requiring cancellation of visa) 318
 - text 318
- 501(6) (character test) 318
- 501(6)(a) (“substantial criminal record” as ground for failure to pass the character test) 318
- 501(6)(e) (convictions relating to sexually based offences involving a child) 319
- 501(7) (“substantial criminal record”) 318-19
 - 501(7)(a) (“substantial criminal record”: death sentence) 318-19
 - 501(7)(b) (“substantial criminal record”: life sentence) 318-19
 - 501(7)(c) (“substantial criminal record”: imprisonment for 12 months or more) 318-19
- 501CA (cancellation of visa (person serving sentence of imprisonment)), text 319
- 501CA(4) (revocation of visa granted to person serving a prison sentence: requirements) 317-33
 - text 319

Migration Amendment (Character and General Visa Cancellation) Bill 2014
(introduction of ss 501(3A) and 501CA into the Act)

Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights 330

procedural fairness

jurisprudence

DQU16 327-8

Ratu 324-33

SZBEL 327-8

WZARH 331

legitimate expectation and 324-8

Austria (1955- (Second Republic))

Civil Procedure Code (*ZPO*) (Amended) 1983 by section

41 (costs) 345

employment contracts (locally engaged staff in diplomatic mission), State immunity
from jurisdiction (CIL as codified in JISP 11) 340-4

Belgium

Civil Code by article, 2262*bis* (statutory limitation in actions for damages for extra-contractual liability) 285-6

Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 26 (statute of limitations) 285

torture (officials’ entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity) 376

CERD (1965), dispute settlement provisions (CERD 22)

interpretation

in accordance with customary international law/VCLT 31-3 44-5

context (CERD 11-13) 45

object and purpose (preamble/CERD 2(1)/CERD 4/CERD 7) (rapid elimination of racial discrimination) 46

jurisprudence

Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia) 44, 48-9

Application of FTC/CERD (preliminary objections) 53, 63-9

- “not settled by negotiation” 47-50
 - futility/deadlock, determination of 69
 - “or by the [CERD] procedures”, whether cumulative or alternative requirements 43-7
 - ordinary meaning 44
- “procedures expressly provided for [in CERD 11-16]”
 - ad hoc Conciliation Commission (CERD 12(1)(a)) 45-6
 - challenged State’s obligation to respond to Commission’s recommendations (CERD 13(2)) 46
 - report with recommendations (CERD 13(1)) 45-6
 - State party’s right to bring matter to attention of Committee (CERD 11(1)) 45
 - right to bring matter back to the Committee (CERD 11(2)) 45
 - “with a view to an amicable solution” (CERD 12(1)(a)/CERD13(1)) 45-6
- travaux préparatoires* 68-9
 - relevance in absence of ambiguity 46-7
- CERD (1965) (obligations (CERD 2 and CERD 5))**
 - guarantee of right of equality before the law 41
 - non-engagement in act or practice of racial discrimination 41
 - prohibition and elimination of racial discrimination 41
 - protection of Crimean Tatars and ethnic Ukrainians in Crimea 41
- CETA (EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement) (2016):**
 - see Costello; and the Table of Treaties*
- CFSP (TEU 23-41)**
 - EU courts, exclusion of competence in relation to (TEU 24(1)/TFEU 275) 237
 - exception (restrictive measures decisions (TFEU 215)) 238, 266
 - Rosneft* 238, 266
 - Venezuela v. Council* 237-8
- Cherry Blossom: *see* Cherry Blossom case (request for an interim interdict) (background); Cherry Blossom case (request for an interim interdict) (Court’s analysis and conclusions); Western Sahara**
- Cherry Blossom case (request for an interim interdict) (background)**
 - parties (applicants)
 - arguments
 - Cherry Blossom* phosphate as part of the national resources of Western Sahara 493
 - vindication action to keep phosphate within the court’s jurisdiction 493
 - Front Polisario, status 492
 - SADR
 - Constitution 17 (“public property”) 492
 - status 492
 - parties (respondents opposing the rule nisi)
 - arguments
 - compliance with international law 493
 - FSIA immunity (implication of laws of Morocco) 493
 - Moroccan sovereignty/conduct of operations in compliance with Moroccan law 493
 - non-justiciability under act of State doctrine 493
 - OCP SA (OCP) 492
 - OCP/Phosboucraa’s independence of Morocco 504
 - Phosboucraa 492-3
 - source of phosphate subject of the case 492-3
 - text of requested order (1 May 2017) 491-2
 - Western Sahara, history, status and rights under international law: *see also* Western Sahara, history and status

- Cherry Blossom case (request for an interim interdict) (background) (cont.)**
 events following Spain's departure (1976-2017) 494-5
 international law as the applicable law 495-6
 Morocco's claim to sovereignty, rejection by the international community 498-9
 ownership and exploitation of natural resources 499-501
 status/special features of the Saharawi people 493
 Western Sahara's right to self-determination 496-8
- Cherry Blossom case (request for an interim interdict) (Court's analysis and conclusions) 501-18**
 act of State/non-justiciability 503-4, 511-15
 access to court considerations 514-16
 comity as basis 511-12
 jurisprudence
 Belhaj 511, 513-14
 Kirkpatrick 513
 Kuwait 1 512-13
 Swissborough Diamond Mines 511-12
 Van Zyl 512
 justiciability, timing of decision on 512-15
 as matter of domestic law/absence of international law obliging restraint 513-14
 as matter of judicial restraint 511-15
 Court's conclusions 516-18
 interim interdict, requirements
 a. prima facie right 501-2, 516
 SADR/Front Polisario's establishment of Western Sahara people's sovereignty over the phosphate 502
 Webster v. Mitchell 502
 b. apprehension of irreparable harm 501-2
 c. balance of convenience 501-2, 503
 d. absence of any other satisfactory remedy 501-2
 State immunity (bar to jurisdiction) vs act of State (justiciability) 503-4
 State immunity from jurisdiction/indirect impleading/risk of affecting foreign State's interests
 Court's conclusion (rejection of claim to immunity) 511
 JISP 6(2) 508-11
 jurisprudence
 Belhaj 511, 513-14
 East Timor 506-7
 Monetary Gold 506-7
- Child Rights Convention (1989) (CRC), legitimate expectation of compliance with (Teoh) 323, 325-8**
- CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TEU 19(3)): see also EU Treaties, Member State infringement proceedings (TFEU 259)**
 actions brought by a Member State, an institution or a natural or legal person (TEU 19(3)(a))
 applicability 241-2
 annulment actions (TFEU 264) 241-2
 TFEU 259 inter-State actions for failure to fulfil an obligation under the Treaties 166-7
 "legal person": see judicial review/justiciability (EU law) (CJEU) (TFEU 263), standing ("[a]ny . . . legal person" (TFEU 263(4))), relevant factors
 privileged/semi-privileged applicants distinguished 242 n. 54

- interpretation of provisions of international law
 - agreements between Member States/some Member States and non-Member States 167-71
 - jurisprudence
 - Aktiebolaget* 170
 - Commission v. Belgium* (C-132/09) 166, 168-71, 207-8
 - Commission v. Ireland* 166
 - H v. Council and Commission* 166
 - obligation to “ensure that in the interpretation and application of the Treaties the law is observed” (TEU 19(1)) 166
- CJEU, procedural matters**
 - appeals to from the General Court (CJEU 56-61)
 - procedure in case of a successful appeal (CJEU 61) 277-82
 - Commission’s Legal Services opinion, use of
 - access to document published without authorization via website link, effect 204
 - jurisprudence
 - Austria v. Council* 163, 164, 165, 203
 - Hungary v. Parliament* 165, 201, 202, 203, 204
 - Slovenia v. Croatia* 154, 163, 164-6, 189, 200, 201-4, 212
 - Sweden and Turco v. Council* 165, 201, 204
 - public interest considerations 164-5, 203-4
 - risk of adverse repercussions on the interest of the institution concerned in seeking legal advice 165, 201, 204
 - risk of circumventing procedures under Regulation No 1049/2001 (public access to EU documents) 165-6, 204
 - Rules of Procedure (2012 as amended up to 2018)
 - 120 (content of the application) 154
 - 138(1) (costs: loser pays other party’s costs) 189, 212
 - 151 (preliminary objections and issues) 154, 163
 - Rules of Procedure (General Court) (2018)
 - 86 (modification of the application) 221-2, 264, 265
 - 130 (preliminary objections and issues) 221, 264
- comity**
 - State’s right of suit in third country 239, 241
- common commercial policy (CCP) (TEU/TFEU)**
 - as exclusive EU competence (TFEU 3(1)(e)/TFEU 207)
 - “foreign direct investment” (TFEU 207(1)) 445-9, 484-5, 486
- common commercial policy (CCP) (TFEU 207(1))**
 - Costello* 445-9, 484-5, 486
- competences (TEU/TFEU)**
 - CETA, Chapter 8, Section F, exclusive vs shared competence 398-9, 427-8, 437, 444, 445-56, 480-1, 484-5
 - demarcation of Member State borders (Member State competence) (TFEU 77(4)) 181, 185-6, 211
 - exclusive competence (EU’s right of pre-emption/de facto exclusive competence) (TFEU 2(2)) 448, 450
 - Member States’ retained powers, constraints
 - framing of powers theory 179-80
 - sincere cooperation/good faith obligation (TEU 4(3))
 - dependence on existence of EU obligation 178
 - Slovenia v. Croatia* 155-6, 172-3, 176-80, 208

- Costello* (CETA ratification (Ireland)) (legal background)** 396-431
- CETA procedural history in date order
 - Council authorization of EU signature (Decision 2017/37) (28 October 2016) 397
 - signature (30 October 2016) 396
 - provisional application pending ratification (CETA 30.7.3) (February 2017) 397
 - non-applicability to CETA provisions under consideration 397
 - Dáil tabling of resolution to ratify CETA (15 December 2020)/referral to Oireachtas Committees 397-8
 - state of play at time of *Costello* hearing 398
 - CETA Chapter 1, Section A (definitions and initial provisions)
 - 1.1 (general definitions: parties) 398
 - CETA Chapter 8, Section A (definitions and scope)
 - 8.1 (definitions)
 - “covered agreement” 402
 - “investment” 402-3
 - “measures”, as “treatment” 404-5
 - “respondent” 414-15
 - 8.2 (scope) 402
 - 8.3 (non-applicability of Chapter VII to investors or investments covered by Chapter 13 (Financial Services)) 402
 - CETA Chapter 8, Section B (establishment of investments) 403-5
 - 8.4 (market access) 403
 - 8.5 (non-applicability of Chapter VIII to Canada–EU Air Transport Agreement (2009)) 402, 403
 - CETA Chapter 8, Section C (non-discriminatory treatment) 405-6
 - 8.6.4 (“treatment no less favourable”/national treatment) 404-5
 - 8.7.4 (MFN treatment: “treatment”) 404-5
 - reciprocal nature of obligations 405
 - CETA Chapter 8, Section D (investment protection)
 - 8.9 (investment and regulatory measures) 405-6
 - 8.9.1 (examples of legitimate policy objectives) 405
 - 8.9.2 (insufficiency of a change of law in itself to constitute a breach) 405-6
 - JII and 406
 - 8.10 (treatment of investors and of covered investments) 406-7
 - 8.10 (treatment of investors and of covered investments), jurisprudence
 - Cromane Seafoods* 407
 - Glencar Explorations* 407
 - Opinion 1/17* 407
 - 8.10.1 (“fair and equitable treatment”) 406-7
 - 8.10.2 (fair and equitable treatment: exhaustive list of measures constituting a breach) 407
 - dependence of claim on pointing to a CETA 8.10.2 element 497
 - non-discriminatory treatment (CETA 8.6/CETA 8.7) distinguished 406-7
 - potential for conflict with Irish law 407, 425
 - 8.10.2(f) (breach of any elements of the fair and equitable treatment obligation adopted under CETA 8.10.3) 420
 - 8.10.3 (regular review of the content of fair and equitable treatment) 420
 - 8.11 (compensation for listed losses (“treatment no less favourable”/national treatment)) 408
 - 8.12 (expropriation) 409-10
 - Annex 8-A 408-9
 - similarities to Irish law 409-10

- 8.12.1 (permitted expropriation) 410
- 8.12.1(c) (“non-discriminatory”) 408
- 8.12.4 (right to a prompt review/valuation) 401
- 8.13 (transfers) 410
- 8.14 (subrogation) 410
- CETA Chapter 8, Section F (resolution of investment disputes between investors and States (ISDS mechanism))
 - 8.18 (scope) 410, 413
 - 8.18.1 (scope: right of investor to submit claim against a CETA signatory to Tribunal) 410
 - 8.19 (consultations) 411, 413
 - 8.20 (mediation) 411, 413
 - 8.21.1 (disputes with the EU/EU Member States: notification to the EU) 413
 - 8.22.1(f) (obligation to withdraw/discontinue claims in another forum) 413
 - 8.22.1(g) (waiver of right to initiate claims in another forum) 413
 - 8.23 (submission of a claim) 414
 - 8.23.2 (choice of rules: ICSID, ICSID Additional Facility, UNCITRAL or any other by agreement) 414
 - effect on enforcement 414
 - 8.23.5 (hearing by sole member of the Tribunal) 414
 - 8.25.1 (respondent’s consent to the settlement of the dispute by the Tribunal) 414-15
 - significance for Ireland 414-15
 - 8.27 (constitution of Tribunal) 410
 - 8.27.2 (composition of Tribunal) 411
 - 8.27.4 (Tribunal members’ qualifications) 412
 - 8.27.9 (hearing by sole member of the Tribunal, procedure) 414
 - 8.27.14 (fees) 411
 - 8.28 (Appellate Tribunal) 410
 - 8.28.2 (grounds for appeal) 411
 - 8.29 (establishment of a multilateral investment tribunal (MIT) and appellate mechanism) 411-12
 - non-automaticity of establishment of MIT 412
 - “with other trading partners” 412
 - 8.30.1 (ethics: independence of Tribunal members) 412
 - 8.30.2 (ethics: conflict of interest challenge) 412
 - 8.30.3 (ethics: challenged member of Tribunal’s decision not to resign) 412
 - 8.31 (applicable law and interpretation) 417, 421-2, 434
 - 8.31.2 (exclusion of jurisdiction to determine legality of a measure under domestic law) 434, 463
 - 8.32 (claims manifestly without legal merit) 415
 - 8.33 (claims unfounded as a matter of law) 415
 - 8.35 (discontinuance) 415
 - 8.36 (transparency) 415
 - 8.36.5 (public hearings) 415
 - 8.37 (information sharing) 415
 - 8.38.2 (non-disputing party: acceptance of oral or written submissions) 415
 - implications for Ireland 415
 - 8.39.1 (final award)
 - invalidation of offending measure, exclusion 416
 - limitation to monetary damages and/or restitution of property 416
 - 8.39.4 (exclusion of punitive damages) 416

Costello (CETA ratification (Ireland)) (legal background) (*cont.*)

- 8.39.5 (costs) 416
- 8.41 (enforcement of awards) 416-17
 - Arbitration Act 2010 and 417
- 8.41.4 (enforcement: applicable law) 417
- 8.44.3(a) (recommendations for interpretations of CETA to the CETA Joint Committee) 418
 - CETA's lack of jurisdiction to interpret or rule on domestic law 422
 - law applicable to CETA (CETA interpreted in accordance with the rules and principles of international law) 422
 - procedures for adoption of proposed interpretation 418
- Chapter 8 powers, exclusive vs shared competence 398-9, 427-8, 437, 444, 445-56, 480-1, 484-5
- CETA Chapter 13 (financial services)
 - 13.21(1) (applicability of CETA 8, Section F) 403
- CETA Chapter 26 (administrative and institutional provisions)
 - 26.1.1 (CETA Joint Committee: composition and chairmanship) 417
 - 26.1.3 (Joint Committee's overarching functions) 417-18
 - 26.1.4 (Committee's mandatory functions) 417-18
 - 26.1.4(a) (mandatory functions: supervision and facilitation of the implementation of the Agreement/furtherance of its general aims) 205-6
 - 26.1.4(c) (mandatory functions: identification of ways to prevent problems/resolve disputes) 417-18
 - 26.1.5 (Joint Committee's discretionary powers) 417-18
 - 26.1.5(c) (discretionary powers: consideration/agreement on amendments) 417-18, 420
 - 26.1.5(e) (discretionary powers: binding interpretations) 417-18, 420-1
 - 26.2.1(b) (specialized committees: Committee on Services and Investments) 418
 - 26.3 (Joint Committee's decision-making) 418-21
 - decisions as "laws" leading to breach of the exclusive law-making power of the Oireachtas (Constitution), whether 420-1, 437, 486
 - Member States' involvement in the decision-making process 419, 420
 - 26.3.2 (binding nature of Joint Committee's decisions) 419, 420
 - as core of the dispute between the parties 419
 - "subject to the completion of any necessary internal requirements and procedures" 419-20, 486
- CETA Chapter 30 (final provisions)
 - 30.2.1 (amendments to the Agreement: agreement of parties) 419
 - 30.2.2 (amendment of protocols and annexes: decision of the Joint Committee) 419, 420
 - exclusion of Chapter 8 annexes 20
 - role of the parties 419-20
 - 30.6 (private rights) 422
 - exclusion of any direct effect of CETA 417, 422, 437, 473
 - 30.6.1 (legislation conferring right of action against State as matter for that State) 422
 - 30.7.1 (parties' approval of Agreement "in accordance with their respective internal requirements and procedures") 396, 398-9
- CETA Joint Interpretative Statement (JII)
 - legitimate policy objectives 405-6
- CETA Statement 36 (Commission and Council) (CETA's objective as "a major reform of investment dispute resolution") 397

- Constitution
- 1 (affirmation of inalienable, indefeasible and sovereign right to make own choices) 423, 424, 443-4
 - 5 (“Ireland is a sovereign, independent, democratic State”) 423-4
 - 6 (Government powers) 423-4, 438, 443-4
 - 15.2.1° (law-making powers: Oireachtas) 399, 419-20, 423-6, 429-30, 436-7, 482-5, 486
 - “laws for the State” 420, 423, 425-6, 429-30, 437, 486
 - 28 (the Government) 444
 - 28.4.1° (responsibility of Government to Dáil) 428-9
 - 29 (international relations) 419-20, 423-6, 428, 429-30, 436-7, 444, 482-5, 486
 - 29.1 (devotion to peace and friendly cooperation founded on international justice and morality) 445
 - 29.2 (peaceful settlement of international disputes) 445
 - 29.3 (generally recognized principles of international law/customary international law) 445
 - 29.4 (external relations: executive powers) 441
 - 29.4.1° (exercise of Art. 28 executive power) 399-400
 - 29.4.2° (international agreements: adoption of procedures used by other members of a group or league of nations) 429-30
 - 29.4.3° (authorization of accession to the European Communities) 427, 438-9
 - 29.4.4°-20.4.9° (28th amendment insertion) 448
 - 29.4.5° (ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon) 448
 - 29.4.6° (non-invalidation of laws, act and measures necessitated by EU membership) 427, 439, 481
 - 29.4.7° (EU: exercise of options/discretions) 427
 - 29.4.8° (State’s right to agree to EU decisions, regulations and other acts) 427
 - 29.4.10° (ratification of the Treaty on the Economic and Monetary Union (2012)) 427
 - 29.5 (international agreements) 427, 428-9
 - 29.5.1° (international agreements: submission to Dáil) 399
 - 29.5.2° (international agreements involving a charge on public funds) 399-400, 427, 439, 481
 - 29.6 (international agreements: “as part of the law of Ireland (Republic)”: determination by Oireachtas) 399, 428-9, 432-4, 436
 - 29.8 (extraterritorial jurisdiction) 429
 - 34.1 (administration of justice: courts/judges) 399, 424-6, 436, 472-3, 484, 486
 - 34.3.1° (High Court jurisdiction: determination of the issues) 472
- Court’s conclusions summarized 485-6
- refusal of relief sought 487
- the issues
- alleged risk of CETA having direct effect 432-7
 - ISDS mechanism (CETA Chapter 8, Section F), summary 400-1
 - key issue (form of ratification for constitutional validity/need for a referendum) 397, 399, 423
- parties’ arguments: *Note*: the parties’ arguments have been dealt with in detail throughout the judgment and are therefore not addressed in the index.
- standard of review 430-2
- “disregard test” 430-2
 - presumption of constitutionality/plaintiff’s burden of proof 430, 485
- Costello (CETA ratification (Ireland)) (Court’s analysis and decision)** 432-87
- administration of justice/potential establishment of an MIT/Constitution 34.1 456-66

- Costello (CETA ratification (Ireland)) (Court’s analysis and decision)*** (*cont.*)
- CETA Tribunal’s right to award damages to an individual for breach of CETA, conflict with Irish law 461
 - characteristic features
 - CETA Chapter 8, Section F, compliance with (*McDonald*/McKechnie J test) 460-1
 - McDonald* 457
 - Zalewski* (McKechnie J dissenting) 457
 - Zalewski* (O’Donnell J concurring) 457-9
 - close scrutiny requirement 458-9
 - Constitution 34.1, scope 472-3, 486
 - Court’s conclusions
 - Canadian investor’s decision to claim under CETA rather than Irish law, absence of injury to Irish jurisdiction 473, 474
 - non-judiciability in Irish courts of dispute determinable by CETA Tribunal 473, 486
 - possibility of parallel actions in Irish courts and before the CETA Tribunal, effect on Irish jurisdiction 461-6, 486
 - Opinion 1/17* 462-6
 - alleged risk of CETA having direct effect in breach of Constitution 29.6 (responsibility of Oireachtas for international agreements) 432-7
 - absence of plans for incorporation, effect 432
 - counter-indications
 - applicability in jurisdictions where there is no Constitution 29.6 equivalent 434
 - CETA 8.31.2 (exclusion of jurisdiction to determine legality of a measure under domestic law) 434, 485-6
 - CETA 30.6 (exclusion of any direct effect) 433-4, 437, 473, 485-6
 - Court’s conclusions
 - limitation of CETA’s impact to the international level 436, 485-6
 - non-violation of Constitution 15.2.1^o/Constitution 34 436-7, 486
 - jurisprudence
 - Conway* (Aarhus Convention) 432-3
 - J. McD v. PL* 434-5
 - O Laighleis* 434
 - ratification, insufficiency in itself to have legal effect in Irish law 434, 485-6
 - treaties creating rights for individuals, applicability of same rules 434
 - alleged threat to Irish sovereignty 437-45
 - jurisprudence
 - Crotty* 437-45
 - Pringle* 439-40, 444
 - peaceful settlement of international disputes (Constitution 29.2)
 - English and Irish texts compared 445
 - “international” 445
 - non-applicability to investor/State disputes 445
 - technical and policy-making treaties distinguished 440-4
 - CETA Tribunal’s status under Constitution 37 474-6
 - “authorized by law” 474-5
 - “limited functions and powers” 475-6
 - competence of the EU/Member States 445-56
 - CETA as a mixed agreement 445-6
 - direct investment as an exclusive EU competence 446, 448-9, 480
 - division of competences/CETA Chapters 8 and 36 as main disputed areas 446
 - indirect investment as a shared competence 446, 448-9, 486

- jurisprudence
 - AETR* 451
 - Opinion 2/15* (“Singapore Opinion”) 449, 451-3
 - TEU 5(2) (competences conferred on the EU, limitation of action to) 446
 - TFEU 2 (competences explained) 446-8
 - potential for EU to exercise shared competence, recognition (Constitution 29.4.4-29.4.9) 448
 - shared competence 447-8
 - TFEU 2.2 (EU’s exercise of shared competence, need for legally binding acts) 448, 450
 - TFEU 3(1)(e) (areas in which the EU has exclusive competence: CCP) 446
 - TFEU 3(2) (third-country treaties) 451-2, 480-1
 - TFEU 6 (EU competence to support, coordinate or supplement Member State actions) 449-50
 - TFEU 63 (free movement of capital) 449-53
 - general competence of EU in relation to Canadian investment in Ireland/repatriation of profits 449-50
 - TFEU 136 (euro) 439
 - TFEU 207(1) (“foreign direct investment”) 448-9
 - TFEU 216(1) (international agreements: conditions governing conclusion) 448
 - TFEU 218 (international agreements: procedure for conclusion) 448, 452
 - TFEU 288 (legal acts) 448
- enforceability
 - Arbitration Act 2020 by section
 - 23 (enforceability of arbitral award other than those under s 25) 468
 - 25 (non-applicability to ICSID awards) 468-71
 - CETA Tribunal’s exercise of jurisdiction as administration of justice 471-2, 486
 - justiciability issues 471-4
 - McDonald/O’Donnell J* 466
 - Micula* 469-70
 - Zaleski* (O’Donnell J) 472
 - ratification of CETA, whether “necessitated” by EU membership (Constitution 29.4.6°) 478-85, 486
- jurisprudence
 - Crotty* 479
 - Meagher* 482-3
 - Opinion 1/17* 479
 - Opinion 2/91* 479-80, 482
 - “necessary” (Constitution 29.4.6°)
 - TFEU 3(2)/TFEU 216(1), relationship with 481
 - whether “mandatory” 481-4
 - sincere cooperation duty (TEU 4(3)), effect 479, 481, 484
- standing
 - hypothetical arguments (*Cahill v. Sutton* and *Costello* distinguished) 477-8, 485
 - plaintiff’s right as non-investor to challenge CETA’s compliance with equality of treatment obligations 477-8, 485
- costs (ACHPR):** *see* reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))
- costs (CJEU) (ROP 87) (pre-2012)**
 - unsuccessful party 189
- Country X v. A**
 - background
 - parties’ arguments

Country X v. A (*cont.*)

applicant 521-2

respondent 521

procedural history in date order

initiation of arbitration (BIT 9) 520

appellant's challenge to the jurisdiction (14 August 2017) 521

respondent's request for appellant to pay security for potential costs (*BGG* 62(2)) 521

appellant granted leave to comment on the request for security (31 August 2017) 521

appellant's application for dismissal of request for security (18 September 2017) 521

respondent's maintenance of his request (25 September 2017) 521

customary international law (CIL), formation/requirements*Functional Immunity of Foreign Officials* 370-1**Czech Republic (1992-)**

Civil Procedure Code (CPC) by section

10a (Supreme Court as court of extraordinary appeal) 351, 360

236(1) (extraordinary appeal as challenge to final decision of appellate court) 351, 360-1

237 (admissibility of extraordinary appeal) 351-2, 360

240(1) (extraordinary appeal: time limits) 351, 360

243a (review without hearing) 361

amendments (2017) 351

International Private Law Act 2012 (in force until 13 December 2015)

7(1) (State immunity in respect of official acts) 352, 361

applicability to property 352

7(2) (exceptions under CIL/treaty to State immunity) 352, 361

7(4) (applicability of ss 7(1) and 7(3) to procedural acts including enforcement) 361, 362-3

State immunity: *see also* International Private Law Act 2012 (in force until 13 December 2015) *above*

State immunity from execution 357-65

State immunity from jurisdiction

development of functional concept 353

JISP as generally accepted point of departure 352

limitation to *acta jure imperii* 353*Premises of a Diplomatic Mission* 347-55**diplomatic functions/"consular activity" (VCDR 3(2))** 343**diplomatic functions/"diplomatic activity" (VCDR 3(1))**

ascertainment/reporting of conditions and developments in the receiving State (VCDR 3(1)(d)) 343-4

promotion of commercial and economic relations (VCDR 3(1)(e)) 343-4

diplomatic immunity from jurisdiction (VCDR 31)

State immunity and

distinction 382

State as party to dispute 382

diplomatic premises, inviolability (including VCDR 22)

action for compensation for unjust enrichment, permissibility 348-55

"diplomatic premises" (VCDR 1(i)), residence of diplomatic agent/member of embassy staff 354

- duty to protect (VCDR 22(2)) 354
- duty to refrain from entry (VCDR 22(1)) 354
- immunity from search, requisition, attachment or execution (VCDR 22(3)) 354-5
- Premises of a Diplomatic Mission Case*: see *Premises of a Diplomatic Mission Case*
- DRC v. Belgium**
 - background
 - complaint (alleged breach of ECHR 6(1)/ECHR 13) 286
 - relevant domestic law
 - CC 2262*bis* (statutory limitation in actions for damages for extra-contractual liability) 285-6
 - CPC 26 (statute of limitations) 285
 - status of applicant (minority shareholder in DRC mining company/liquidation) 284-5
 - Court's analysis
 - DRC as a natural person/group of individuals (ECHR 34), exclusion 286
 - DRC as non-governmental organization, exclusion 286-7: see also ECtHR, individual applications ("victim" (ECHR 34 [25(1)])), non-governmental organization, classification as
 - inter-State complaint (ECHR 33), exclusion 286
 - Court's decision (inadmissibility of application for non-compatibility *ratione personae* (ECHR 35(3)(a)/ECHR 35(4))) 287
- ECtHR, individual applications ("victim" (ECHR 34 [25(1)]))**
 - non-governmental organization, classification as
 - decentralized State authorities, exclusion 287
 - governmental organizations distinguished 286-7
 - jurisprudence
 - DRC v. Belgium* 286-7
 - Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines* 287
 - Ljubljanska banka* 287
 - Radio France* 286, 287
 - legal persons exercising public power/managing a public service under the control of the authorities 286-7
 - relevant factors 287
- effective remedy before national authority, need for/examples (ECHR 13/ICCPR 2(3))**
 - full reparation 314
 - legislative changes to ensure conformity with obligations 314
 - quashing of conviction 314
 - restoration of political rights 314
- embassy or consular bank account/funds, immunity from execution/attachment**
 - State Immunity from Enforcement* 357-65
- embassy or consular bank account/funds, purpose/use**
 - ambassador's affidavit/executive certificate, conclusiveness 364-5
- employment contracts between a State and an individual for work in third State, exclusion of immunity (JISP 11)**
 - as customary international law 340-4
 - jurisprudence
 - Abmed Mahamdia* 342-3
 - Cudak* 342
 - Sabeh El Leil* 342

employment contracts between a State and an individual for work in third State, exclusion of immunity (JISP 11) (cont.)

- US Embassy Employee Case* 335-45
- recognition of its status as a codification
 - CJEU jurisprudence 342-3
 - ECtHR jurisprudence 342
 - legislative materials 342
- exceptions (JISP 11(2))
 - determination of sovereign nature of assigned functions 343-4
 - VCDR 3(1)(d) (ascertainment/reporting of conditions and developments in the receiving State) 343-4
 - VCDR 3(1)(e) (promotion of commercial and economic relations) 343
 - VCDR 38(2) (non-interference obligation) 343
 - JISP 11(2)(a) (“exercise of governmental authority”) 343
 - classification as 543-4

employment contracts (locally engaged staff in diplomatic or consular mission)

US Embassy Employee Case 335-45

EU common fisheries policy

- maritime spatial planning (Directive 2014/89/EU/Implementing Regulation No 404/2011) 149-50, 156-7, 172, 187-8, 190-1, 194-5, 209
- Regulation 1380/2013 (General rules on access to waters) 149, 172, 184-6, 208-9: *see also Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings)

EU law

- territorial scope of the Treaties (TFEU 355 [TEC 299]) 169-70, 180, 181, 183-4, 211
 - demarcation of Member State borders (TFEU 77(4)) 181, 185-6, 211

EU law, interpretation

- applicable law
 - international law/VCLT 241
- holistic and pragmatic approach 253-60
- Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation* 256 n. 86

EU legal order

- autonomy/independence 241, 242
 - national courts’ role in protecting/uniformity of interpretation across the EU 270
- common values (TEU 2) as underlying principles
 - jurisprudence
 - Slovenia v. Croatia* 176-80
 - link with TEU 7 (severe risk of a serious breach by a Member State of TEU values), dependence on existence of EU obligations 177
 - mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)): *see* competences (TEU/TFEU), sincere cooperation/good faith obligation (TEU 4(3))
 - rule of law including dependence on link with TEU 19
 - applicability in TFEU 259 proceedings 176-7
 - applicability in TFEU 263(4) proceedings 246-7, 271-2
 - exclusion in proceedings ancillary to purported infringements of EU law 176
 - rule of law including dependence on link with TEU 19, jurisprudence
 - Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses* 176
 - Bank Refah Kargaran* 272
 - Commission v. Poland (Independence of the Ordinary Courts)* 177
 - Commission v. Poland (Independence of the Supreme Court)* 176
 - Deficiencies in the system of justice* 176

- Slovenia v. Croatia* 176
- Venezuela v. Council* 246-7, 271-2
- EU legislative acts, definition (TFEU 289(3)), status of treaties concluded by the EU 450-6
- EU Regulations in date/number order
 - 1049/2001 (public access to EU documents) 165-6, 194
 - 1224/2009 (control system for ensuring compliance with the common fisheries policy rules) 156-7, 172, 184, 187-8, 195, 207, 209
 - 2017/2063 (restrictive measures (Venezuela)): *see* *Venezuela v. Council*
- international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5))
 - customary international law as benchmark (*ATA* principles) 167-8
 - limitation of obligation to
 - CIL obligations 168, 174
 - a convention in which the EU has assumed the powers previously exercised by the Member States in the relevant field 168, 174
 - existence of obligations integral to EU law 168, 173-5
 - incorporation of third-party obligations as an integral part of EU law 174-5
 - international agreements concluded by the EU pursuant to the provisions of the Treaties 168
 - summary 168
- international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5)), jurisprudence
 - ATA* 168
 - Commission v. Germany* (C-58/16) 167
 - Commission v. Poland* (*Independence of the Supreme Court*) (AG Tanchev) 167
 - Commission v. United Kingdom* (416/85, EU:C:1988:321) 167
 - Front Polisario* 168
 - Poulsen and Diva Navigation* 168
 - Slovenia v. Croatia* 167-8, 173-5
 - WSC* 167-8
- EU Member States, rights and obligations under agreements entered into before accession/entry into force of the Treaty of Rome (TFEU 351)**
 - dependence of EU obligations under on full transfer of Member State powers to EU 168, 174
- EU Treaties, interpretation or application (TFEU 344/TEC 292) (exclusive jurisdiction):** *see also* CJEU competence (TEU 19(1)/TEU 19(3)), interpretation of provisions of international law
 - binding effect of Tribunal awards as “between the disputing parties and in respect of that particular case” (CETA 8.41.1) 466
 - territorial scope: *see* EU law, territorial scope of the Treaties (TFEU 355 [TEC 299])
- EU Treaties, Member State infringement proceedings (TFEU 259)**
 - admissibility (CJEU 21/ROP 120) 162-3
 - jurisdiction
 - failure to fulfil “an obligation under the Treaties” requirement 158-9, 167
 - motivation, relevance 167
 - secondary legislation, inclusion 167
 - “Treaties” 167
 - obligations ancillary to separate dispute outside the Court’s jurisdiction, exclusion 157-8, 168-9, 207-8
 - validity and effects of arbitration based on treaty not integral to EU law 158
 - jurisprudence
 - Austria v. Germany* 146 n. 2
 - Belgium v. Spain* 146 n. 2

EU Treaties, Member State infringement proceedings (TFEU 259) (cont.)*Commission v. Belgium* 158, 168*France v. UK* 146 n. 2*Hungary v. Parliament* 202, 203, 204*Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) 145-212: *see also* *Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings)*Spain v. UK* 146 n. 2

motive, relevance 167

EU treaties with third parties (“international agreements” (TFEU 216-19))Community competence (pre-TEU/TFEU): *see Costello*

EU exclusive competence to conclude/grounds (TFEU 3(2)/TFEU 216(1))

AETR principle (implied exclusive competence) 451free trade agreements: *see Costello*

jurisprudence

Bosphorus Queen Shipping 168Case C-13/00 *Commission v. Ireland* 453-4Case C-246/07 *Commission v. Sweden* 454Case C-266/03 *Commission v. Luxembourg* 454Case C-433/03 *Commission v. Germany* 454*Costello*: *see Costello* (CETA ratification (Ireland))*Opinion 2/15* (EUSFTA) 449, 451-3*WSC* 168**executive certificate, conclusiveness in relation to foreign relations matters (*Vedastus*)**
135-6**exhaustion of local remedies**

claim on behalf of national and alleged breach of treaty distinguished 52-3

customary international law (CIL) 52-3

limitation of remedies to be exhausted to ordinary remedies (ACHPR 56(5)) 128-9

as opportunity to redress wrong 85-7

fair and equitable treatment of alien

CETA 8.10 (treatment of investors and covered investments) 400-1, 406-7, 420, 425, 464-6

Costello 400-1, 406-7, 420, 425, 464-6**fair hearing (right to be heard) (ACHPR 7)**

evidence

establishment of alibi 133-4

identification of suspect, safeguards 132-3

limitation of Court's role to 135

jurisprudence

Abubakari 132*Isiaga* 132*Ivan* 132, 133*Josiah* 132*Vedastus* 131-6: *see also Vedastus v. Tanzania* (ACtHPR)legal aid/assistance, omission from ACHPR/ICCPR 14(3)(d) as alternative: *see* legal assistance/legal aid (ACHPR 7(1)(c))

manifest error/miscarriage of justice requirement 133, 134

margin of appreciation 132

fair hearing/rights of the accused (ICCPR 14)

competent tribunal, right to 311-12

- legal representation: *see* legal representation, right to (ICCPR 14(3)(b))
- prompt notification of charges (ICCPR 14(3)(a)) 311-12
- Financing of Terrorism Convention (1999) (FTC)**
 - definition/elements of terrorism (FTC 2(1))
 - “any person”, State official as 31, 56-61, 100-1, 104-5, 111-13, 117
 - “funds” (FTC 1(1)) 31, 72, 73
 - “with the intention or in the knowledge” 32
 - dispute settlement/submission to arbitration (FTC 24(1)) 24-37, 54-63
 - “which cannot be settled through negotiation” 32-6
 - genuine attempt/relationship to the subject matter of the dispute/substantive provisions of the treaty 33-5
 - “within a reasonable time” 33-5
 - object and purpose (effective measures for prevention/suppression of the financing of terrorism) 11-12, 30
 - parties to
 - Russia 23
 - Ukraine 23
 - State responsibility and
 - acts other than State financing of terrorism 30-1
 - exclusion of State financing of terrorism from Convention 30-1, 63, 110-11
 - lawfulness of act distinguished 31
 - travaux préparatoires* 30-1
 - summary of provisions 30
- Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Preliminary Objections), background**
 - jurisdictional bases invoked by Ukraine
 - CERD 22 9, 23-4
 - FTC 24(1) 9, 23-4
 - parties’ positions (general) (Ukraine)
 - claims (CERD)
 - Application 14-15
 - Memorial 16-17, 18-19
 - claims (FTC)
 - Application 13-14
 - Memorial 14-16, 17-18
 - procedural history in date order
 - Application (Ukraine)/request for provisional measures (16 January 2017) 9
 - appointment of ad hoc judges (20 January 2017) 9
 - Order on provisional measures (19 April 2017) 9-10
 - exchanges between the Court and the parties relating to the provisional measures (17 May 2017–29 March 2019) 9-10
 - Ukraine’s allegation of Russia’s non-compliance with the provisional measures Order (19 April 2018) 10
 - Russia’s objections to jurisdiction and admissibility (12 September 2018) 11
 - suspension of proceedings on the merits (17 September 2018) 11
 - Court’s agreement to making public certain of the written pleadings and documents (ROC 53(2)) 12
 - Court’s rejection of Qatar’s request for copies of Ukraine’s Memorial/Russia’s preliminary objections (ROC 53(1)) 11
 - public hearings (3-7 June 2019) 12
 - subject matter of the dispute (Court’s analysis and conclusion) 22
 - determination, Court’s responsibility for 20

Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Preliminary Objections), background (*cont.*)

- issues not included in Ukraine's request 22
- relevant factors 20
- requirements
 - "precise nature of claim" (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(1)) 20
 - "succinct statement of facts and grounds" (ROC 38(2)) 20
 - whole dispute/separability of elements 21-2
- subject matter of the dispute (parties' positions)
 - Russia 21
 - Ukraine 20-1

Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Preliminary Objections), Court's analysis and decision 24-55

- admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies)
 - claim on behalf of national and alleged breach of treaty distinguished 52-3
 - Court's conclusion 54
 - parties' arguments
 - Russia 50-1
 - Ukraine 51-2
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (CERD 22) ("dispute with respect to the interpretation or application of this Convention")
 - Court's analysis
 - conclusion 46
 - object and purpose (Preamble/CERD 2(1)/CERD 4/CERD 7) (rapid elimination of racial discrimination) 46
 - parties' arguments
 - Russia 37-8
 - Ukraine 38-40
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (CERD 22) ("falling within the provisions" requirement (*Oil Platforms* test)) 41, 47-50
 - conclusion 41
 - Court's conclusion 50
 - Crimean Tatars and ethnic Ukrainians in Crimea as ethnic groups protected by CERD 41
 - cumulative or alternative requirements, whether 43-7
 - futility/deadlock, determination of 50
 - matters for potential consideration at the merits stage (purpose or effect of the measures alleged by Ukraine) 41
 - obligations (CERD 2(1)/CERD 5) 41
 - parties' arguments
 - Russia 47
 - Ukraine 47-8
 - "procedures expressly provided for [in CERD 11-16]" 45-6
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (CERD 22) ("which is not settled by negotiation or by the procedures expressly provided for")
 - Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia)* 48-9
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (FTC 24(1)) (dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the FTC) 29-36
 - Court's task
 - "falling within the provisions" requirement (*Oil Platforms* test) 20-32
 - limitation to consideration of questions of law and fact relevant to the objection to jurisdiction 30, 31-2

- matters for potential consideration at the merits stage 31, 32
 - definition/elements of terrorism (FTC 2(1))
 - “any person”/State official as 31
 - “funds” 31
 - “with the intention or in the knowledge” 32
 - interpretation of FTC 24(1)
 - applicability of normal rules (VCLT 31-3) 30
 - parties’ arguments
 - Russia 24-7, 32-3, 35-6
 - Ukraine 27-9, 33, 35-6
 - State responsibility considerations 30-1
 - lawfulness of act and exclusion from the Convention distinguished 31
 - summary of FTC provisions 30-1
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (FTC 24(1)) (“which cannot be settled through negotiation”) 33-5
 - Court’s conclusion 36
 - genuine attempt/relationship to the subject matter of the dispute/substantive provisions of the treaty 33-5
 - “within a reasonable time” 33-5
- Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Preliminary Objections), separate opinions and declarations***
 - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 70-96
 - summary of the argument 94-6
 - compromissory clause
 - interpretation criteria 75-9
 - in relation to a human rights convention 79-81
 - concluding considerations 89-94
 - Court’s mechanical and outdated approach to jurisdiction 72-4
 - exhaustion of legal remedies in relation to human rights protection, examples of misapplication
 - Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia)* 81-4
 - Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 84-5
 - as opportunity to redress wrong 85-7
 - protection of the vulnerable/against arbitrariness 87-9
 - Donoghue J (declaration) (jurisdiction and merits, importance/difficulty of maintaining distinction) 96-103
 - “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 100-1
 - “falling within the provisions” requirement (*Oil Platforms* test) 96-102
 - Pocar J (separate opinion) 110-15
 - “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 111-13
 - exclusion of State financing of terrorism from FTC 110-11
 - “falling within the provisions” requirement (*Oil Platforms* test) 110-13
 - “funds” as matter for determination at the merits stage 113-15
 - Robinson J (declaration)
 - “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 104-5
 - State financing of terrorism, applicability of FTC 103-6
 - terrorism, definition
 - failure to agree on as impediment to comprehensive treaty 106-9
 - FTC 2 offences, need for caution in describing as 108-9
 - terrorism treaties, review of attempts to draft 106-9
 - travaux préparatoires*, need for caution in use of 103-6
 - Skotnikov, judge ad hoc (dissenting) 115-20

Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case (Ukraine v. Russia) (Preliminary Objections), separate opinions and declarations (cont.)

- “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 117
- “by negotiation *or* by the procedures”, whether cumulative or alternative requirements 119-20
- CERD claims, plausibility requirement 117-19
- exclusion of State financing of terrorism from FTC 117
- “falling within the provisions” requirement (*Oil Platforms* test) 115-20
- Tomka J (separate opinion) 59-70
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (CERD 22)
 - “by negotiation *or* by the procedures”, whether cumulative or alternative requirements 64-9
 - Court’s cursory treatment of 63-4
 - futility/deadlock of negotiations 69
 - matters for determination at the merits stage 64
 - “procedures expressly provided for [in CERD 11-16]” 64-9
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (“falling within the provisions” requirement (*Oil Platforms* test)) 59-64
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (FTC 24(1))
 - “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 59-61
 - Court’s failure to establish whether the acts complained of fell within the FTC 61-3
 - “funds” as matter for determination at the merits stage 62, 63
 - State responsibility for breach of a treaty vs breach of an obligation 69-70
 - “breach of international obligation” as preferred term 69-70
- Xue VP (dissenting) (jurisdiction under FTC 24(1)) 55-9
 - “any person” (FTC 2(1)), State official as 56-9
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae*, dependence on identification of subject of dispute 55-6, 59
 - State responsibility considerations 56-8
 - whole dispute/separability of elements 55-6
- France**, torture (officials’ entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity) 376-7
- freedom of movement (ICCPR 12)**
 - right to enter own country (ICCPR 12(4))
 - arbitrary deprivation
 - factors militating against classification as/parliamentary intentions 328-31
 - jurisprudence
 - Amohanga* 327
 - Nystrom* 328-9, 330-1
 - Ratu*: see *Ratu*
- freedom to provide services (TFEU 56)**
 - freedom to receive services as corollary 256 n. 84
 - Dijkman and Dijkman-Lavaleije* 256 n. 84
 - Luisi and Carbone* 256 n. 84
 - Venezuela v. Council* 256 n. 84
- Friends of the Earth (UK Supreme Court) (climate change), background**
 - facts in date order
 - adoption of the Climate Change Convention (14 June 1992) (CCC) 534
 - Climate Change Act 2008 (26 November 2008) (CCA) 534
 - Airports Commission: establishment/terms of reference (1 September 2012) 533, 546-7

- alternatives: NWR Scheme/ENR Scheme/GDR2 Scheme 534
- Aviation Policy Framework (March 2013) 546-7
- Airports Commission: interim report (17 December 2013) 533-4
- Airports Commission: Final Report (1 July 2015) 534
- Paris Agreement: conclusion (December 2015) 534-5, 553
- Government announcement on state of play of Airports Commission's options (14 December 2015) 534
 - intention to use NPS as mechanism for establishing policy framework 534
- Ministerial statement on need to enshrine the Paris goal of net zero emissions in UK law (14 March 2016) 554
- further Ministerial statement on need to enshrine the Paris goal of net zero emissions in UK law (24 March 2016) 554
- CCC's conclusion that a new target would be needed "but not now" (16 September 2016) 554
- CCC's Report: UK climate action following the Paris Agreement (13 October 2016) 554-5
 - "Do not set new UK emissions targets now" 554-5
- Government's announcement of choice of Heathrow runway scheme (NWR) (25 October 2016) 535
- launch of consultation on draft ANPS (2 February 2017) 535
- Plan B Earth judicial review proceedings/dismissal (December 2017–22 January 2019) 555-6
- Government's "A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment" (11 January 2018) 556
 - "Beyond the Horizon: The Future of UK Aviation" (April 2018) 556-7
- Government's announcement to Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting of intention to seek CCC's advice on PA implications (17 April 2018) 556
- publication of final "Appraisal of Sustainability: Airports National Policy Statement" (June 2018) 555
- UK's final comments on draft IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming (June 2018) 556
- "Government response to the consultations on the ANPS: Moving Britain Ahead" (5 June 2018) 535
- CCC exchanges with the Secretary of State about aviation emissions in the draft ANPS (14-20 June 2018) 557
 - résumé of evidence relating to before the Divisional Court 558-9
- parliamentary vote on proposed ANPS (25 June 2018) 535, 557-8
- Airports National Policy Statement (26 June 2018) (ANPS) 536, 558
- IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming (8 October 2018) 556, 559
- Government's Clean Growth Strategy (12 October 2018) 555
- "Aviation 2050: The future of UK aviation" (DoT) (December 2018) 559-60
- "International aviation and the Paris Agreement temperature goals" (Lee/DoT) (December 2018) 559-60
- Parliamentary declaration of a climate and environmental emergency (1 May 2019) 560
- CCC's "Net zero: The UK's contribution to stopping global warming" (2 May 2019) 560
- Climate Change Act (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019 (26 June 2019) 560
- CCC advises bringing international aviation and shipping emissions formally within the UK's net-zero statutory 2050 target (24 September 2019) 560

***Friends of the Earth (UK Supreme Court) (climate change), background* (cont.)**

CCC's 2020 Progress Report to Parliament ("Reducing UK emissions") (25 June 2020) 560

legislative instruments

EIA Regulations 2017 547

Infrastructure Planning (EIA) Regulations 2017 (SI 2017/572) by regulation
12 (publication of and consultation on preliminary environmental information)
541

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 (SI 2010/103) by rule
8 (timetable) 542

10 (written representations) 542

Planning Act 2008 (PA)

2007 White Paper as basis/outline of recommendations 538-9

Planning Act 2008 (PA) by section

5(3) (NPS: pre-designation appraisal of sustainability) 540

5(4) (NPS: pre-designation parliamentary scrutiny (AoS)) 540

5(5) (NPS: possible content) 551

5(5)-(8) (content of NPS), text 539-40

5(7) (NPS: inclusion of reasons for the policy) 540

5(8) (NPS: inclusion of explanation of relationship between the NPS statement
and the Government's climate change policy) 540

7 (consultation and publicity), text (extracts) 540-1

9 (parliamentary requirements) 540

9(2) (parliamentary requirements: SoS "must lay the proposal before Parliament")
541

9(4) (parliamentary requirements: scrutiny of both Houses/resolutions) 541

9(8) (parliamentary requirements: SoS "must lay the proposal before Parliament")
541

10 (sustainable development), text (extracts) 540

10(3)(a) (NPS functions (PA 5/PA 6): need for regard to mitigating and adapting
to climate change) 567

13(1) (NPS legal challenges: conditions (claim for judicial review/six-week time
limit)) 544

31 (consent requirement) 541

37 (applications for DCOs) 541

37(3)(c) (applications for DCOs, requirements: consultation report) 541

42(c) (pre-application procedure: duty to consult Greater London Authority) 541

47 (pre-application procedure: duty to consult the local community) 541

48 (pre-application procedure: duty to publicize) 541

49 (pre-application procedure: duty to take account of responses to consultation
and publicity) 541

55(3) (acceptance of applications: requirements) 541

55(4)(a) (acceptance of applications: regard to the s 37(3)(c) consultation report)
541

56 (notifying persons of accepted application) 542

60(2) (invitation to submit local impact report) 542

84(8) (Examining Authority's right to refuse to allow representations at hearing)
543

87(3) (Examining Authority's right to disregard representations) 543

98 (timetable for examining and reporting on application) 542

102(a) ("interested party") 542

104(2) (decision in cases where NPS has effect: materials to be considered) 542-3

- 104(3) (ministerial decisions: requirement to take NPS into account) 551
- 104(7) (ministerial decisions: where adverse effect would outweigh benefits) 551
- 106(1) (ministerial decisions: matters which may be disregarded) 543, 551
- 106(2) (matters which may be disregarded: including evidence) 543
- 120(1) (development orders: possible inclusion of requirements) 543
- 120(3) (development orders: inclusion of provisions relating/ancillary to development) 543-4
- 120(4) (potentially applicable provisions (Schedule 5, s 120(4))) 543-4
- SEA Directive by article
 - Recital 1 (precautionary principle) 547
 - Recital 4 (environmental assessment) 548
 - Recital 9 (procedural nature of Directive) 548
 - Recital 17 (matters to be taken into account before submission to the legislative procedure) 548
 - 1 (purpose: promotion of sustainable development) 548
 - 2(b) (environmental assessment: definition) 548
 - 2(c) (environmental report: required information) 549
 - 3(1) (environmental assessment: scope) 548
 - 3(2) (environmental assessment: plans and programmes to which applicable) 548
 - 3(4) (environmental assessment: obligation to consider which additional plans and programmes might have environmental effects) 548
 - 4(1) (environmental assessment: “during the preparation of a plan . . . and before its adoption”) 549
 - 5(1)/Annex I (environmental report: required information) 549-51
 - 5(2) (environmental report: information that may reasonably be required) 540, 550-1
 - 5(3) (environmental report: information at other levels of decision-making or through other Community legislation) 550-1
 - 8 (preparation of plan or programme: matters to be taken into account) 552
- SEA Directive, jurisprudence
 - Cogent Land* 552
 - No Adastral New Town* 552
- SEA Regulations
 - 4 (consultation bodies in England) 551
 - 5(2) (requirement for a strategic environmental assessment) 540
 - 12 (preparation of environmental report) 552-3
 - 12(3) (preparation of environmental report: “such information as may be reasonably required”) 553
 - 13(2) (identification of public for purposes of consultation) 552
- procedural history in date order
 - Plan B Earth judicial review proceedings/dismissal (December 2017–22 January 2019) 555-6
 - Divisional Court (19 May 2019) 536, 558-9, 561
 - Plan B Earth* (Court of Appeal) (27 February 2020) 536-7, 561
- Friends of the Earth (UK Supreme Court) (climate change), Court’s analysis***
 - Court’s decision (to allow the appeal) 587
 - ground (i): PA 5(8) (NPS: need for reasons taking account of Government policy on climate change) 562-7
 - Court’s conclusion
 - alleged risk of breach of ECHR 3-8, failure on procedural and substantive grounds 567
 - continuing evolution of Government policy as effective defence to the challenge 565-6

Friends of the Earth (UK Supreme Court) (climate change), Court's analysis (cont.)

- “Government policy”, criteria 562-4
 - carefully formulated statement 563-4
 - clear, unambiguous statement devoid of relevant qualification 563-4
- Court of Appeal's conclusion 562-3
- Divisional Court's conclusion 562
- ordinary language approach 563, 564
- “Government policy”, disqualification as
 - ministerial statements of 14 and 24 March 2016 563-4, 566
 - ratification of the Paris Agreement 564, 566
- parties' arguments
 - FoE 562, 566
 - HAL 565
- ground (ii): PA 10(3)(a) (sustainable development: mitigating and adapting to climate change) 567-82
 - considerations relevant to the validity of a governmental decision
 - considerations clearly excluded by statute 568
 - considerations required expressly or implicitly by statute 568
 - non-statutory considerations “so obviously material”/*Wednesbury* test 568-9
 - optional considerations/margin of appreciation 568-9
 - considerations relevant to the validity of a governmental decision, jurisprudence
 - Baroness Cumberlege* 569
 - CCSU* 569
 - Corner House Research* 568
 - CREEDNZ* 568
 - Fewings* 568
 - Findlay* 568
 - Hurst* 568, 569
 - Samuel Smith Old Brewery* 568-9
 - Tesco* 569
- Court's analysis
 - applicable law (EU/national public law principles) (*Upjohn*) 579
 - Divisional Court/Court of Appeal endorsement of *Blewett* 577
 - EIA requirements (*Blewett*/*Wednesbury* principles) 576-8
 - information for inclusion in the AoS, ministerial discretion (SEA 5(2) and SEA 5(3)) 576-81
 - SEA and EIA requirements compared 574-5
- Court's conclusion (endorsement of Divisional Court's rejection of respondents' complaint) 581
- Court's decision
 - correct exercise of discretion to take Paris Agreement into account beyond CCA 2008 obligations 572-4
 - rejection of Court of Appeal's decision 572-4
- Divisional Court/Court of Appeal (treatment of Paris Agreement as non-statutory consideration “so obviously material”), divergence of views 569-74
- jurisprudence
 - Blewett* 577-8, 580
 - Brown* 577-8
 - Edwards* 578
 - Shadwell Estates* 577
 - Walton* 574-5
- parties' arguments

- FoE 567, 571-3
- FoE/Plan B Earth 575-6, 580-1
- Secretary of State 570-1
- summary of PA 10 567
- ground (iii): SEA environmental report vs EIA environmental statement/applicability of *Wednesbury* principle
 - parties' arguments
 - HAL 576
- ground (iv): post-2050 and non-CO₂ emissions, alleged failure to comply with PA 10(2)/PA 10(3)
 - Court of Appeal's position 581-2
 - Divisional Court's position 581
 - Secretary of State's margin of appreciation (*Fewings/Wednesbury*) 581-2
- ground (iv)(a): post-2050 emissions
 - Court's analysis and conclusion 582-3
 - parties' arguments
 - FoE 582
 - HAL 582
- ground (iv)(b): non-CO₂ emissions
 - ANPS approach to 585-6
 - AoS acknowledgement of uncertainties/proposed approach to 584-5
 - consistency of approach with CCC advice 585
 - emissions causing concern/uncertainty about effects 583-4
 - precautionary principle, relevance 586
 - Court's decision/reasons for 586
- Front Polisario:** *see* *Cherry Blossom* case; Western Sahara
- FSIA 1981 (South Africa) by section**
 - 2 (entitlement: "except as provided for by Act")
 - as customary international law 503
- Functional Immunity of Foreign State Officials Case* (German Federal Court of Justice)**
 - background (facts)
 - NIAC status of Afghanistan/Taliban conflict 367, 369
 - offences leading to appellant's conviction 367-9
 - appellant's appeal on points of law 367
 - crimes found to have been committed by the appellant 367
 - Federal Prosecutor General's cross-appeal on conviction and sentence 367
 - sentence (2 years' imprisonment) 367
 - background (procedural history in date order)
 - Munich Higher Regional Court finding of guilt (26 July 2019)
 - suspension of execution of sentence pending appeals 367
 - Court's analysis (functional immunity challenge to jurisdiction) 369-85
 - Court's obligation to determine jurisdiction 370
 - determination *ex proprio motu* 370
 - Court's right to decide without prior ruling from the Federal Constitutional Court 370, 383-5
 - Court's universal jurisdiction (*VStGB* 1) 385, 387-8
 - justification (status as the most serious crimes affecting the international community as a whole) 387-8
 - international crimes, immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (customary international law (CIL)) 370-83
 - academic writings 379-80

Functional Immunity of Foreign State Officials Case (German Federal Court of Justice) (*cont.*)

- CIL (ICJ 38(1)(b)), requirements/State practice 370-1
- CIL-based immunity, relevance (*GG 25/GVG 20(2)*) 370
- civil and criminal proceedings distinguished 381-2
- diplomatic immunity distinguished 382
- functional immunity for natural persons as an emanation of State immunity distinguished 372
- high-ranking State officials distinguished 380-2
- State practice (absence of decisions confirming immunity) 372-4
- State practice (international courts) 374-5
- State practice (national courts) 375-7
- Court's findings
 - concursum delictorum*/treatment of all offences as a single act 389-91
 - facts unaffected by error 391
 - guilty verdict (errors of law in favour of the appellant) 386
 - gravity threshold ("substantial") 386-9
 - intent, limitation to factual circumstances underlying assessment of grave damage (*VS:GB 8(1)(9)*) 389
 - primacy of torture as a war crime over degrading or inhumane treatment as a war crime 386
 - treatment of detainees as torture (*VS:GB 8(1)(3)*/war crime (CIL)) 386
 - modification of guilty verdict/revocation of affected sentences 386, 391
 - sentence unaffected by error 386, 391-2

General Comments (HRC)

- 25 (ICCPR 25 (right to participate in public affairs))
 - interference with ICCPR 25 rights 313

Germany, Federal Republic (FRG)

- Basic Law (*GG*) (including 2009 amendments) by article
 - 25 (general rules/principles of international law as integral part of federal law) 370
 - 100(2) (status and effect of international law: determination by Constitutional Court)
 - circumstances requiring a Constitutional Court decision 383-5
 - as opportunity for interpretation and concretization of general rules of international law 384
- Courts Constitution Act (*GVG*) by section
 - 20(2) (immunity of persons exempt under customary international law or treaty) 370
- Crimes against International Law Code (*VS:GB*) (Part 1 (general)) by section
 - 1 (scope of application including jurisdiction over crimes committed abroad/universal jurisdiction) 385, 387-8
 - justification (status as the most serious crimes affecting the international community as a whole) 387-8
- Crimes against International Law Code (*VS:GB*) (Part 2, Chapter 2 (war crimes)) by section
 - 7(1)(5) (torture as "intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental" (ICC 7(1)(f))) 387
 - 8(1)(3) (torture, cruel or inhuman treatment of internationally protected person)
 - gravity threshold ("substantial physical or mental harm or suffering") 386-9
 - torture or inhuman treatment as war crime (ICC 8(2)(a)(iii)) compared 386
 - 8(1)(9) (gravely humiliating or degrading treatment of internationally protected person)

- deceased persons as victims 392
 - intent, limitation to factual circumstances underlying assessment of grave damage 389
 - 8(5) (reduced sentence in case of less serious offences) 388
 - Criminal Code (*StGB*) by section
 - 7(2) (crimes committed abroad other than against internationally protected interests: punishability at place of commission) 385
 - international crimes, immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (customary international law (CIL)) 370-83
 - comments on ILC/UNGA Sixth Committee reports 379
 - functional immunity for natural persons as an emanation of State immunity distinguished 563-4
 - judicial treatment of 377
 - officials, entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity: *see Functional Immunity of Foreign State Officials Case*
 - war crimes/crimes against humanity (treatment as ordinary crimes) 383
- head of State/official immunity from criminal responsibility for war crimes/crimes against humanity**
- Nuremberg Military Tribunal (NC 7/NP III) 374
 - Nuremberg Principles (1950) (NP III) 374
- HRC (UN Human Rights Committee)**
- interim measures: *see* provisional measures (HRC)
 - Rules of Procedure (ROP)
 - 92 [86] (interim measures) 292
 - 94(2) (joinder of communications) 292
- humiliating or degrading treatment of internationally protected person as war crime (ICC 8(2)(b)(xxi))**
- Functional Immunity of Foreign State Officials* 389-91, 392
 - immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (customary international law (CIL)) 370
 - as secondary to torture as a war crime (*VStGB* 8(1)(9)) 389
- ICCPR (1966), interpretation**
- “own country” (ICCPR 12(4)) 321, 323, 328
 - “country of nationality” distinguished 328
- ICJ jurisdiction, basis (ICJ 36(1) (“matters specially provided for ... in treaties and conventions in force”))**
- as consent to the jurisdiction
 - Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 23-4
 - Immunities and Criminal Proceedings* 23
 - “fall within the provisions” requirement (*Oil Platforms* test) 20-32, 41, 59-64, 96-102, 110-13, 115-20
 - interpretation
 - normal rules of treaty interpretation (VCLT 31-3), applicability 29-30
 - multilateral agreements (CERD 22) 23-4
 - treaty/compromissory clause agreement as determinant
 - “fall within the provisions” requirement, Court’s obligation to determine compliance 29-30
 - jurisprudence

ICJ jurisdiction, basis (ICJ 36(1) (“matters specially provided for ... in treaties and conventions in force”)) (*cont.*)*Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 29-32*Certain Iranian Assets* 29-30**ICJ procedural issues**

formalism, need to avoid/substance over form

Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia 69*Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions* 69*Military and Paramilitary Activities* 69**ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule**

43(1) (Court’s directions on ICJ 63(1) notification) 11

53(1) (copies of pleadings to State entitled to appear) 11

53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 12

69(3) (observations by international organization) 11

79(1) (preliminary objections: time limits for lodging objection to jurisdiction/
admissibility) 1179(7) (preliminary objections: statements of law and facts: limitation to matters relevant
to objection) 30**ICSFT:** *see Financing of Terrorism Convention/CERD Case***independent and impartial tribunal (ICCPR 14(1))**

court competent to deal with all aspects of matter requirement 310-12

impartiality, judges as close friends of the victim/previous involvement as witnesses 311-
12*Nasheed* 309-12**international crimes, immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (CIL)** 370-82

academic writings 379-80

civil and criminal proceedings distinguished 381-2

diplomatic immunity distinguished 382

functional immunity for natural persons as an emanation of State immunity
distinguished 372

high-ranking State officials distinguished 380-2

jurisprudence 370-83

State practice (international courts)

ICC 374-5

ICTY 374-5

Nuremberg Charter 7/Principles III 374

SCSL 374-5

State practice (national courts)

Belgium 376

crimes in the ex-Yugoslavia and Rwanda 353

former members of the Iraqi army 353

France 376-7

Germany 376-7

Israel 375

Italy 376

Netherlands 375-6

Spain 376

Switzerland 376

WWII war crimes trials 353

international criminal law/procedure*concursum delictorum* issues 389-91

“investment”

- CETA 8.1 402-3
- “foreign direct investment” (TFEU 207(1)) 449

Ireland, Republic of

- Acquisition of Land (Assessment of Compensation) Act 1919 409-10
- Arbitration Act 2010 by section
 - 23 (enforceability of arbitral award other than those under s 25) 468-71
 - 25 (non-applicability of s 23 to ICSID awards) 468-71
- CETA and: *see Costello*
- Constitution 1937 by article
 - 1 (affirmation of inalienable, indefeasible and sovereign right to make own choices) 423, 424, 443-4
 - 5 (“Ireland is a sovereign, independent, democratic State”) 423-4
 - 6 (Government powers) 423-4, 438, 443-4
 - 15.2.1° (law-making powers: Oireachtas) 399, 419-20, 423-6, 429-30, 436-7, 482-5, 486
 - “laws for the State” 420, 423, 425-6, 429-30, 437, 486
 - 28 (the Government) 444
 - 28.4.1° (responsibility of Government to Dáil) 428-9
 - 29 (international relations) 428, 444
 - 29.1 (devotion to peace and friendly cooperation founded on international justice and morality) 445
 - 29.2 (peaceful settlement of international disputes) 445
 - English and Irish texts compared 445
 - “international” 445
 - non-applicability to investor/State disputes 445
 - 29.3 (generally recognized principles of international law/customary international law) 445
 - 29.4 (external relations: executive powers) 441
 - 29.4.1° (exercise of Art. 28 executive power) 399-400
 - 29.4.2° (international agreements: adoption of procedures used by other members of a group or league of nations) 429-30
 - 29.4.3° (authorization of accession to the European Communities) 427, 438-9
 - 29.4.4°-20.4.9° (28th amendment insertion) 448
 - 29.4.5° (ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon) 448
 - 29.4.6° (non-invalidity of laws, acts and measures “necessitated” by EU membership) 427, 439, 478-85
 - Costello* 478-85, 486
 - Crotty* 479
 - Lawlor* 483-4
 - Meagher* 482-3
 - Opinion 1/17* 479
 - Opinion 2/91* 479-80
 - 29.4.7° (EU: exercise of options/discretions) 427
 - 29.4.8° (State’s right to agree to EU decisions, regulations and other acts) 427
 - 29.4.10° (ratification of the Treaty on the Economic and Monetary Union (2012)) 427
 - 29.5 (international agreements) 427, 428-9
 - 29.5.1° (international agreements: submission to Dáil) 399
 - 29.5.2° (international agreements involving a charge on public funds) 399-400, 431-2, 436-7
 - 29.6 (international agreements: “as part of the law of Ireland (Republic)”: determination by Oireachtas) 399, 428-9, 432-4, 436

Ireland, Republic of (*cont.*)

- 29.8 (extraterritorial jurisdiction) 429
- 29.9 (ratification of ICC Statute) 427
- 34.1 (administration of justice: courts/judges) 399, 424-6, 436, 472-3, 484, 486
 - public hearings 399
- 34.3.1^o (High Court as court of first instance: jurisdiction) 472-3
- 34.3.4^o (Supreme Court: appellate jurisdiction) 475-6
- 37.1 (exercise of limited functions by person or body duly authorized) 474-6
 - CETA Tribunal, whether covered by 474-6, 486
- 40.1 (equality before the law)
 - standing to challenge CETA ratification 478
- expropriation (CETA 12 compared) 409-10
 - An Blascaod Mór Teoranta* 409
- judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State)
 - jurisprudence
 - Boland* 431
 - Costello* 430-2: *see also Costello*
 - Horgan* 431
 - scope/standard of review/grounds/relevant considerations
 - “clear disregard” 430-2
 - constitutional compatibility, presumption of 430, 485
- legislation, interpretation
 - bilingual English/Irish text, approach to 425-6, 444
- sovereignty, surrender
 - CETA (*Costello*) 437-45
 - ESM (*Pringle*) 429-30, 439-40, 444
 - Single European Act (1986) (*Crotty*) 437-45
 - technical and policy-making treaties distinguished 440-4
- standing (municipal courts)
 - hypothetical arguments (*Cahill v. Sutton/Costello* distinguished) 477-8
 - violation of the Constitution 476-7
- treaties: *see also* Constitution, Articles 15.2.1^o, 28, 29, 34 *above*
 - jurisprudence
 - Costello* 397, 399, 423: *see also Costello*
 - Crotty* 427-8, 437-9, 442-4, 479
 - ratification, acceptance or approval (VCLT 14)
 - EU treaties with third countries, duty of sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)) and 478-85
 - referendum, need for 397, 399, 423

JISP (Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property Convention (2004))

- customary international law (CIL) and
 - JISP as generally accepted point of departure 353, 363
 - US Embassy Employee Case* 335-45
- employment contracts: removal of immunity subject to exceptions (JISP 11): *see*
 - employment contracts between a State and an individual for work in third State, exclusion of immunity (JISP 11)
- “is not named as a party to the proceeding but the proceeding in effect seeks to affect ...” (JISP 6(2)(b)) 508-11
- post-judgment measures of constraint (JISP 19) 363-4
- pre-judgment measures of constraint (JISP 18) 363-4

- protected property (JISP 21)
 - bank account used for the functions of a diplomatic mission/consular post (JISP 21(1)(a)) 363-4
- protection of property serving governmental purposes (JISP 19(c)) 363-4
- judicial review (municipal law)**
 - reasonableness/rationality test (*Wednesbury* principle)
 - considerations relevant to the decision-maker's task
 - considerations clearly excluded by statute 568
 - considerations required expressly or implicitly by statute 568
 - non-statutory considerations "so obviously material" 568-9
 - optional considerations/margin of appreciation 568-9
 - "may reasonably be required" (SEA Directive 1 and Annex I) challenge, applicability to
 - Friends of the Earth* 552-3
 - Plan B Earth* 552-3
 - reasonableness/rationality test (*Wednesbury* principle), jurisprudence
 - CCSU* 569
 - Corner House Research* 568
 - CREEDNZ* 568
 - Fewings* 568
 - Findlay* 568
 - Friends of the Earth* 567-74
 - Hurst* 568, 569
 - Samuel Smith Old Brewery* 568-9
 - Tesco* 569
- judicial review/justiciability (EU law) (TFEU 263)**
 - action for annulment, availability for all EU measures intended to have legal effects 278-9
 - "interest in", dependence on annulment directly benefiting the applicant 278-9
 - CJEU's competence *ex proprio motu*/own motion 214, 225, 266, 277
 - CJEU's responsibility for determining validity of EU acts (*Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami*) 281
 - standing (an act of direct and individual concern/not entailing implementing measures requirement) (TFEU 263(4))
 - cumulative nature of requirements (direct effect of lack of implementation discretion) 222, 253, 274-5, 279
 - failure to meet as absolute bar to proceedings/Court's right to decide *ex proprio motu* 225, 266
 - determining factors (content, scope, substance and the legal and factual context) 275-6
 - "direct concern" 222-4, 248-60
 - holistic and pragmatic approach 253-60
 - direct effect on the legal situation, examples 255-6, 274-7
 - direct vs indirect effects 222-4, 254-5, 257-8, 259
 - limitation of the Regulation to the territory of Member States and persons subject to a Member State's jurisdiction, relevance 254-8, 276
 - Regulation 2017/2063 222-4
 - "regulatory act" 280
 - standing (an act of direct and individual concern/not entailing implementing measures requirement) (TFEU 263(4)), jurisprudence
 - Almaz-Antey* 219, 223-4, 248-9, 257-9, 273, 275
 - Changmao Biochemical Engineering v. Distillerie Bonollo* 274-5
 - Commission v. Infront WM* 254 n. 79

judicial review/justiciability (EU law) (TFEU 263) (cont.)

- ECB v. TrastaKomerbanka* 247, 274-5
- Esso Raffinage* 266, 278
- Front Polisario* 279
- Glencore Grain* 253 n. 76
- Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami* 245 n. 66, 281
- Kadi and Al Barakat* 256
- Makhteshim-Agan Holding* 266
- NIOC v. Council* 257
- PKK and KNK* 248, 253-4
- Rosneft* 256
- Venezuela v. Council* 218-19, 221, 222-4, 232, 248-60, 272-7, 279
- standing (“[a]ny . . . legal person” (TFEU 263(4))), jurisprudence
 - Deutsche Bahn* 271
 - Engie Cartagena* 270
 - H v. Council* 271
 - Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami* 245 n. 66
 - Jobcenter Krefeld* 270
 - Nederlandse Antillen* 271
 - PKK and KNK* 250, 253-4, 271
 - Região autónoma dos Açores* 271
 - Regione Toscana* 271
 - Uniwersytet Wrocławski and Poland* 271
 - Venezuela v. Council* 238-48
- standing (“[a]ny . . . legal person” (TFEU 263(4))), relevant factors
 - absence of TEU/TFEU definition 242
 - alleged limitation to private actors or individuals
 - Commission v. Nederlandse Antillen* 246
 - Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami* 245
 - Regione Siciliana v. Commission* 246
 - Stichting Woonlinie v. Commission* 245
 - distinguishability from legal concept in Member States/as autonomous EU concept 242
- ECHR 33/ECHR 34 distinguished 240-1
- “legal personality”, relevance 244-6
 - PKK and KNK* 245
- public international law 238-41
 - DRC v. Belgium* (ECtHR) 240
 - Jurisdictional Immunities* 239-40
 - right of sovereign State to sue and be sued 238-9
 - Sabbatino* (right of suit) 238-9
- sovereign State status, sufficiency 241, 272
 - State immunity/act of State 238-40
- third State as (jurisprudence (CJEU)): *see also* *Venezuela v. Council* (appeal against the General Court’s decision)
 - Apple Sales International* 245 n. 65
 - Attey* 245 n. 65
 - Chris International Foods* 245
 - Switzerland v. Commission* 243-4
- third State as (jurisprudence (General Court))
 - Cambodia and CRF v. Commission* 242
 - Poland v. Commission* 242-3

legal assistance/legal aid (ACHPR 7(1)(c))

applicant's obligation to request 136

jurisprudence

Onyachi 135*Thomas* 135*Vedastus* 135-6

right to legal aid (ICCPR 14(3)(d)) 135-6

legal representation, right to (ICCPR 14(3)(b))

“adequate time and facilities for preparation of defence” 311-12

“of his own choosing” 311-12

legislation, interpretation (multilingual texts) 425-6, 444**legitimate expectation**

treaty as source, effect of contrary indication by the legislature or executive 327-8

legitimate expectation, jurisprudence*Amohanga* 327*Jacob v. Utah Construction and Engineering* 326*Lam* 326, 331*Plaintiff S10/2011* 326*Ratu* 323, 324-8*Teoh* 321*Western Export Services Inc. v. Jireh International* 326*WZARH* 326**Maldives: see also *Nasheed v. Maldives* (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25)**

Clemency Act 2010 by section

7 (period of appeal for clemency) 304

29(c) (President's power to grant clemency) 304

Constitution (2008) by article

109(f) (presidential qualifications: effect of a criminal conviction/imprisonment) 304-5

incompatibility with ICCPR 25 (right to political participation) 312-14

text 304 n. 22

141(a) (judicial power) 294

157(a) (establishment of the JSC) 294 n. 3

159 (JSC responsibilities and powers) 294 n. 3

223(3) (Prosecutor General's responsibilities and powers: criminal proceedings) 302, 305-6

Criminal Code by article

81 (illegal arrest as abuse of power) 289, 294, 298-300, 310-12, 313-14

text 293 n. 293

Decentralization Act 2010 294

Judicature Act 2010

requirement for a fair, just, impartial and transparent manner 295-6

Judicial Service Commission Act 2008 by section

21 (Commission's responsibilities and powers) 294 n. 3

Presidential Elections Act 2008 304

Prevention of Terrorism Act 1990 by article

2(b) (kidnapping or abduction) 296, 307, 311-12

Prison and Parole Act, Bill on Amendment to (2015) by section 304

63 (disqualification from holding political office/engaging in political activity) 297,

300, 301, 304-5, 308, 312-13

text 297 n. 5

Maldives (*cont.*)

Prosecutor General's Act 2008 by section

15 (Prosecutor General's responsibilities and powers) 302

Nasheed v. Maldives: see Maldives; *Nasheed v. Maldives* (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25) (background including parties' arguments); *Nasheed v. Maldives* (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25) (Committee's Views); *Nasheed v. Maldives* (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 25) (Committee's Views) (conclusion and remedies)

***Nasheed v. Maldives* (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25) (background including parties' arguments)** 292-309

background

author/allegations 292

facts as submitted by the author in date order

author as head of MDP/election as president (2008) 292

multiparty parliamentary elections/former president supporters secure a majority (2009) 292

reappointment of 191 out of 197 judges and magistrates appointed under the former president (2010) 293

detention of Chief Justice of the Criminal Court in Malé (16 January 2012)/effects 293

facts as submitted by the author (Communication No 2270/2013) in date order

forced resignation of author (7 February 2012) 293

author's arrest for abuse of power (9 October 2012) 293

establishment of special Magistrates' Court 294

proceedings initiated by the author challenging legality of the Magistrates'

Court/requesting judicial review (4 November 2012) 294

parallel proceedings challenging the competence and legality of the Magistrates'

Court/Supreme Court's confirmation of legality (5 December 2012) 294

rejection of author's request for adjournment/arguments on legitimacy (10 February 2013) 295

arrest of author (5 March 2013) 295

refusal of author's request for adjournment of trial (6 March 2013) 295

author's renewed request for adjournment of trial (24 March 2013) 295

adjournment of trial pending determination of the legality of the composition of the Magistrates' Court (31 March 2013) 295

suspension of criminal proceedings against the author (July 2013) 295-6

author narrowly loses presidential elections (November 2013) 296

facts as submitted by the author (Communication No 2851/2016) in date order

withdrawal of suspended criminal charges (16 February 2015) 296

detention of author on charges of terrorism (22 February 2015) 296

author's trial/criticisms of (23 February 2015) 296-7

withdrawal of author's lawyers on professional responsibility grounds (8 March 2015) 296-7

Court's failure to provide trial record in timely fashion rendering author unable to meet time limits for appeal (24 March 2015) 297

adoption of Bill on Amendment to the Prison and Parole Act preventing prisoners from political participation (30 March 2015) 297

submission of author's case to WGAD (April 2015) 297

WGAD's findings/recommendations (September 2015) 297

appeals to the Supreme Court/confirmation of conviction (September 2015) 297

- release on medical grounds/travel to the UK (January 2016) 298
 - grant of political asylum in the UK (19 May 2016) 298
 - joinder of communications 2270/2013 and 2851/2016 (ROP 94(2)) 292
 - rejection of request for interim measures (ROP 92) 292
- complaint (alleged violations)
 - ICCPR 14(1) (independent and impartial tribunal) 298-9
 - ICCPR 22 (freedom of association) 301
 - ICCPR 25 (right to participate in public affairs) 299-300
- State party's failure to cooperate with procedure (Communication No 2270/2013) 308-9
- State party's observations (rejection of author's allegations as factually incorrect/justification for detention/restrictions on rights to political participation) 301
- alleged breach of ICCPR 14(1) (fair trial)
 - arrest in accordance with the law 302
 - author's failure to observe appeal time limits 303-4
 - barring of lawyers as consequence of their failure to register 302-3
 - independence of court 302
- justification for limitations on political participation/association 304-5
- rejection of WGAD's findings which formed basis of ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25 allegations 302
- resignation as a voluntary act 301
- Supreme Court's rulings
 - author's failure to appeal in time 302
 - fairness of the legal proceedings 302
 - justification for refusal of leave to the Prosecutor General's appeal 302
- State party's observations, author's comments on 305-8
- Nasheed v. Maldives (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25) (Committee's Views)*** 309-14
- admissibility (ROP 93) 309-10
 - exhaustion of all effective domestic remedies (OP 5(2)(b)) 309
 - "matter is not being examined" (OP 5(2)(a)) 309
- sufficient substantiation of author's claims
 - declaration of admissibility 310
 - ICCPR 14 309-10
 - ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25 310
- merits (alleged violation of ICCPR 14 rights)
 - alleged abuse of power and illegal detention of Chief Justice/requalification as terrorism 311
 - State party's justifications 311
- alleged violation in initial criminal proceedings
 - arbitrary constitution of the bench 310-11
 - concerns about the lack of judicial independence and the politicized composition of the JSC 310-11
 - political motivation 310
 - special Magistrates' Court as not legally competent and independent 310-11
 - State party's failure to comment 311
- Committee's finding (violation of ICCPR 14(1)/ICCPR 14(3) right to a fair trial) 312
- merits (alleged violation of ICCPR 22 rights), Committee's decision not to pursue given findings on ICCPR 2 314
 - failure to rebut allegations of lack of judicial independence and impartiality 311-12
 - insufficient time to prepare defence 311
 - lack of explanation for requalification of charge 311

Nasheed v. Maldives (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 22/ICCPR 25) (Committee's Views) (cont.)

- merits (alleged violation of ICCPR 25 rights)
 - author's allegations 312
 - State party's arguments 312
- merits (alleged violation of ICCPR 25 rights), Committee's review
 - Committee's findings
 - arbitrary nature of conviction and sentence 213-14
 - State party's failure to refute allegations that judicial proceedings were politically motivated 313-14
 - violation of right to a fair trial 213-14
 - right of every citizen to participate in public affairs/vote and stand for election/public service 312-13
 - suspension/exclusion of rights, counter-indications
 - conviction that is arbitrary or a manifest error or a denial of justice 313
 - violation of right to fair trial 313
 - suspension/exclusion of rights, requirements
 - General Comment 35 313
 - grounds established by objective and reasonable laws 313
 - political affiliation, exclusion 313
 - proportionality 313

Nasheed v. Maldives (ICCPR 14/ICCPR 25) (Committee's Views) (conclusion and remedies)

- conclusion
 - violation of ICCPR 14(1), ICCPR 14(3) and ICCPR 25 314
- obligation to provide author with effective remedy (ICCPR 2(3)(a)) (full reparation)
 - new trial if appropriate ensuring all fair trial guarantees 314
 - quashing of author's conviction 314
 - restoration of right to stand for office including that of President 314
 - review of charges taking Committee's views into account 314
 - review of legislation to ensure restrictions on the right to stand for office are reasonable and proportionate 314
 - steps to avoid similar future violations 314
 - reporting obligation/dissemination of Committee's views 314

natural resources

- non-self-governing territories' right to: *see* non-self-governing territories
- UNGA Resolution 1803 (XVII) (Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources) 499-501

negotiation as means of dispute settlement

- definition
 - protest falling short of attempt to engage in negotiation distinguished 48
- exhaustion of established processes/duty to negotiate in good faith to achieve equitable solution requirement
 - "exhaustion"
 - futility/deadlock, determination of 50, 69
 - jurisprudence
 - Application of CERD (Georgia v. Russia)* 34, 46-9
 - Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 32-6, 47-50, 69
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 49
 - requirements
 - "meaningful" negotiations/genuine attempt 33-5
 - relevance to subject matter of treaty containing compromissory clause 34, 49-50

NGOs (general)

classification as

governmental organizations distinguished 286-7

jurisprudence

DRC v. Belgium 286-7*Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines* 287*Ljubljanska banka* 287*Radio France* 286, 287

legal persons exercising public power/managing a public service under the control of the authorities 286-7

decentralized State authorities, exclusion 287

relevant factors 286-7

standing

ECHR 34 286-7

non-international armed conflict (NIAC), applicability of IHL

Additional Protocol II and TFEU 273 distinguished 159

non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4) (UNC Chapter XI Declaration regarding) (NSGT)*Cherry Blossom* case 496-501, 502, 508, 510-11, 514: *see also* *Cherry Blossom* case; self-determination, right of

natural resources, entitlement (including UNGA resolutions 1515 (XV), 1514 (XVI), 1803 (VII) and 61/123) 499-501

Paris Agreement (2015): *see also* *Friends of the Earth*

conclusion/parties to (COP-21) 534-5, 553

measures to achieve balance between anthropogenic emissions (greenhouse gases) and sustainable development/eradication of poverty (PA 4(1)) 553

absence of specific legal obligation 553

purposes and principles (PA Preamble)

mobilization of a stronger and more ambitious climate action by all actors 553

urgency of the situation 553

Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA)/PCA tribunals

decisions as “legal facts” 181

Polisario Front: *see* *Cherry Blossom* case; Western Sahara**precautionary principle***Friends of the Earth* 586**preliminary injunction (municipal courts): *see also* *Cherry Blossom* case**

balance of hardships/equities 501-2, 503

prima facie case 201-2

preliminary objections (ICJ/PCIJ) (ICJ ROC 79ter 4 [79(9)]/PCIJ ROC 62)

jurisdiction and merits distinguished/importance of maintaining distinction

consideration of questions of law and fact relevant to the objection to jurisdiction, limitation to 30, 31-2, 41

difficulty of drawing the line/review of the jurisprudence (*Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections)) (Donoghue J) 96-103**Premises of a Diplomatic Mission Case (Czech Republic), procedural history**

District Court proceedings (15 January 2015)

parties' arguments (defendant (2)) 349

parties' arguments (plaintiff) 348-9

District Court proceedings (2016)

Court's decision (finding of immunity) 349

- Premises of a Diplomatic Mission Case (Czech Republic), procedural history** (*cont.*)
Municipal Court proceedings (17 February 2017) (confirmation of lower court's finding of immunity) 350
- Premises of a Diplomatic Mission Case (Czech Republic), Supreme Court proceedings (6 December 2017)**
admissibility (CPC 236) 351-2
applicable law
International Private Law Act 2012 352
JISP as generally accepted point of departure 352
Court's decision (annulment of lower courts' rulings/remand to the District Court) 348
diplomatic premises
duty to protect (VCDR 22(2)) 354
duty to refrain from entry (VCDR 22(1)) 354
immunity from search, requisition, attachment or execution (VCDR 22(3)) 354
inviolability (VCDR 22)
action for compensation for unjust enrichment, permissibility 354-5
residence of diplomatic agent/member of embassy staff as (VCDR 1(i)) 354
parties' arguments
defendant (2) 351
plaintiff 350-1
State immunity
development of functional concept 353
limitation to *jure imperii* activity 352-5
- presumption of innocence (ACHPR 7(1)(b))** 134
- provisional measures (HRC)**
Special Rapporteur on New Communications and Interim Measures 292
- public affairs, right to participate in (ICCPR 25)**
entitlement under national law to stand for office/Presidency 312-14
justified restrictions
"necessary and proportional" 312-14
"not unreasonable" requirement 312-14
Nasheed 312-14
right to vote and be elected (ICCPR 25(b)) 312-14
- Ratu (ICCPR 12(4) (right to enter own country)) (background)**
appellant's status (Certain Unlawful Non-Citizens (Class AG Subclass 833) visa) 317
facts in date order
sentence of appellant to 16 years' imprisonment for murder (2001) 318
decision not to cancel appellant's visa (13 February 2012) 320
release from prison (2016) 318
14 months' imprisonment for occasioning bodily harm (2018) 318
cancellation of appellant's visa (MA 501(3A)) (21 May 2018) 318
representations seeking revocation of the cancellation decision (MA 501CA(3)(b)) 318
decision not to revoke cancellation of visa (22 July 2020) 318
dismissal of application for judicial review of non-revocation decision (11 December 2020) 318
Minister's decision 319-21
absence of representations on the application of ICCPR 12(4) 321

- conclusion
 - absence of “another reason” for revoking the cancellation decision 321
 - unacceptable risk of harm to Australian community outweighing other considerations 321
- grounds considered (MA 501CA(4)(a) (“substantial criminal record”/failure to pass the “character test”)) 319-20
- grounds considered (MA 501CA(4)(b) (“another reason”))
 - appellant’s “very serious” criminal conduct following release from prison in 2016 320
 - best interests of the appellant’s minor children (CRC 3(1)) 320
 - emotional and physical hardship appellant might suffer if returned to Fiji without his family 320
 - risk of re-offending 320
 - strength, nature and duration of the appellant’s ties to Australia 320
- primary judge proceedings (grounds raised by the appellant)
 - 1 (Minister’s alleged failure to give proper consideration to appellant’s prospects in Fiji) 321
 - 2 (alleged denial of procedural fairness)
 - Australia as appellant’s “own country” (ICCPR 12(4)) 231
 - failure to notify appellant of the possibility of a decision in breach of ICCPR 12(4) 231
- primary judge proceedings (judgment)
 - dismissal of appellant’s application for judicial review 322
 - ground 1 (rejection) 321
 - ground 2 (procedural fairness)
 - incompatibility of MA 501(3A) with ICCPR 12(4)/exclusion of submissions on in MA 501CA(4) cases 322
 - indirect notification to appellant of possible decision not conformable with ICCPR 12(4) 322
 - mandatory nature of the cancellation decision (MA 501(3A)) 322
- relevant legislation
 - MA 501 (refusal or cancellation of visa on character grounds) 318
 - MA 501(1) (Minister’s discretion to refuse a visa) 318
 - MA 501(2) (Minister’s discretion to cancel a visa) 318
 - MA 501(3) (enlivenment of discretion)
 - in case of reasonable suspicion that person does not pass the “character test” 318
 - national interest considerations 318
 - MA 501(3A) (circumstances requiring cancellation of visa) 318
 - text 318
 - MA 501(6) (character test) 318
 - MA 501(6)(a) (“substantial criminal record” as ground for failure to pass the character test) 318
 - MA 501(6)(e) (convictions relating to sexually based offences involving a child) 319
 - MA 501(7) (“substantial criminal record”) 318-19
 - MA 501(7)(a) (“substantial criminal record”: death sentence) 318-19
 - MA 501(7)(b) (“substantial criminal record”: life sentence) 318-19
 - MA 501(7)(c) (“substantial criminal record”: imprisonment for 12 months or more) 318-19
 - MA 501CA (cancellation of visa (person serving sentence of imprisonment)), text 319
- Ratu (ICCPR 12(4) (right to enter own country)) (Federal Court)**
 - Court’s considerations (Ground 1: Denial of procedural fairness) 324-33

Ratu (ICCPR 12(4) (right to enter own country)) (Federal Court) (cont.)

- appellant's premises
 - (1) legitimate expectation (*Teoh ratio*) 324
 - (2) legitimate expectation (*Teoh ratio*), continuing validity of that *ratio* 324
 - (3) absence of any contrary intention in respect of MA 501CA(4) decisions 324
 - (4) Minister's decision not to revoke the cancellation decision as breach of ICCPR 12(4) 324
 - (5) effect on the decision of Minister's failure to give appellant opportunity to comment on the departure 325
- "arbitrary deprivation" of right to leave "own country"
 - "arbitrary" (*Nystrom*) 328-9, 330-1
 - "own country" 328
- Court's conclusion 333
- legitimate expectation (*Teoh*) 325-8
 - the issues 325
 - limitation of decision to CRC 3(1) (best interests of the child) obligation 326-8
 - the opinions of the Court 325-6
 - subsequent *obiter dicta* rejection of the legitimate expectations doctrine 326
- legitimate expectation/treaty as source
 - contrary indication by the legislature or executive, qualifying effect 327-8
 - jurisprudence 326, 331
 - procedural fairness and 324-8
- review of MA 501(3A)/MA 501CA(4) 328-33
 - as cognate provisions 325
 - inconsistency with any Ministerial obligation to draw ICCPR 12(4) to relevant person's attention 329-32
 - jurisprudence 330-2
 - limitation of right of cancellation (MA 501(3A)) to period of imprisonment 331-2
 - Minister's duty to notify person concerned of ICCPR 12(4)/take representations into account, absence 332
 - Parliament's perception of provisions as rational, necessary, confined, reasonable, proportionate, and not arbitrary/inconsistent with ICCPR 12(4) 331
 - Statement of Compatibility with Human Rights 330
- Court's considerations (Minister's failure to take into account a mandatory relevant consideration)
 - exclusion of unenacted international obligations 333
- Court's disposition (dismissal of appeal)
 - Court's dismissal 333
 - jurisprudence 333
- parties' submissions (appellant)
 - ground 1 (Minister's failure to put the appellant on notice of possible decision in breach of ICCPR 12(4)) 322-3
 - legitimate expectation argument (*Teoh*) 323
 - procedural fairness in the light of contrary indication in the statute or Government statement 323
 - ground 2 (ICCPR 12(4) as a mandatory relevant consideration not taken into account) 323
- parties' submissions (Minister)
 - ground 1 (Minister's failure to put the appellant on notice of possible decision in breach of ICCPR 12(4))
 - rejection of *Teoh* legitimate expectation argument as out of date 323
 - ground 2 (ICCPR 12(4) as a mandatory relevant consideration not taken into account), rejection 324

reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))

costs and expenses

parties to bear own 139

general issues

burden/standard of proof 137

possible measures 137

requirements, causal link 137

jurisprudence

Abubakari 137*Konaté* 137*Mtikila* 137*Nganyi* 137*Thomas* 137*Umubozza* 137*Vedastus* 136-9

non-pecuniary reparation/satisfaction

release in “special and compelling” circumstances 138-9

pecuniary reparation for non-pecuniary damage/moral prejudice

moral prejudice, burden of proof 137

pecuniary reparation for pecuniary damage/material prejudice

evidence, need for 137

restitutio in integrum principle (*Chorzów Factory*/ILC(SR) 31(1)) 136-7***res judicata* non bis in idem principle (including double jeopardy rule)**

fundamental right within the European Community

link with TEU 7 (severe risk of a serious breach by a Member State of TEU values),

dependence on existence of EU obligations 177

rule of law: *see* EU legal order**SADR:** *see* Western Sahara**Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic (SADR):** *see* Western Sahara**security for costs/cautio judicatum solvi**

grounds/justification 527-8

Hague Convention on Civil Procedure (1905)

States as “nationals”/application of HCCP 17 to 522-3

HCCP (1954) 17, indistinguishability 523-4

HCIAJ (1980) 14, addition of “legal entities”, effect 524

self-determination, right of: *see also* non-self-governing territories (UNC 73-4)

entitlement/“people”

NSGT 496-8

Western Sahara 496-8

sincere cooperation obligation (TEU 4(3)): *see* competences (TEU/TFEU), sincere cooperation/good faith obligation (TEU 4(3))***Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings):** *see* *Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259proceedings) (background); *Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings)(analysis (AG Pikamäe)); *Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (analysis

(Court))

***Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (background)**

introduction (AG Pikamäe) in date order 146-7

declarations of independence (Slovenia and Croatia) (25 June 1991) 151

Croatia and Slovenia’s attempts to fix bilateral land and maritime boundaries (1992-2001) 151

***Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (background) (cont.)**

- Slovenia's accession to the EU (1 May 2004) 151
- signature of arbitration agreement (4 November 2009) 151
- entry into force of the arbitration agreement (29 November 2010) 151
- appointment of president and two members of the arbitral tribunal (17 January 2012) 151
- establishment of arbitral tribunal (2012) 152
- tribunal proceedings: written proceedings (11 February 2013) 152
- Croatia's accession to the EU (1 July 2013) 151
- tribunal proceedings: oral proceedings (2-13 June 2014) 152
- tribunal proceedings: procedural issue relating to Slovenia's nominated arbitrator (2015) 152
- tribunal proceedings: Croatia's request for the suspension of (24 July 2015) 152
- appointment of new arbitrators (3 August 2015) 153
- tribunal's invitation to parties to make written and oral submissions on the legal implications of Croatia's letters (1 December 2015) 152-3
- hearing on the legal implications of Croatia's letters (17 March 2016) 153
 - non-participation of Croatia 153
- tribunal's partial award on the procedural question (30 June 2016) 153
- tribunal's final award determining the land and maritime boundaries of the two States (29 June 2017) 154
 - Croatia's rejection of tribunal's award as invalid 154
- Slovenia commences TFEU 259 procedure with complaint to the Commission
 - alleging Croatia's breach of EU law (16 March 2018)
 - Commission's failure to issue a reasoned opinion 154
- Slovenia commences action with document lodged at the Court Registry (13 July 2018) 154
 - parties' arguments 154
- referral of case to the Grand Chamber (14 May 2019) 154
- CJEU's request to Commission for response to questions relating to Regulation No 1380/2013 154
 - Commission's reply (28 June 2019) 155
- hearing attended by both parties (8 July 2019) 155
 - Slovenia maintains request for termination of infringements 155
 - Croatia's objection to the jurisdiction and admissibility 146
 - Slovenia's application (alleged breach of TEU 2 and TEU 4(3)) 146
- facts/procedural history in date order
 - Croatia submits objection to admissibility (ROP 151) (21 December 2018) 154
- legal context (EU primary law)
 - Act of Accession (Croatia) by article
 - 15 (adaptation of acts listed in Annex III) 148, 194
 - Annex III.5 (adaptations to be made to the regulation on the common fisheries policy) 148-9
- legal context (EU secondary law)
 - (a) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 (General rules on access to waters) by article
 - 5 (equal access to waters) 149, 194
 - Annex I (Access to coastal waters within the meaning of Article 5(2)) 149-50
 - (b) Schengen Borders Code by article
 - 4 (compliance with Union law including international law obligations) 150, 196, 207
 - 13(1) (prevention of unauthorized border crossings) 150, 196
 - 13(2)-(5) (surveillance arrangements) 150
 - 17 (cooperation obligation) 150, 196-7, 207

- (c) Directive 2014/89/EU (maritime spatial planning) by article
 - Recital 7 (purpose of “spatial planning”) 150-1
 - 2(4) (sovereign rights and jurisdiction/maritime delimitation, absence of impact on) 151
 - 11(1) (cooperation obligation) 151
- legal context (international law)
 - Croatia–Slovenia Arbitration Agreement (2009) by article
 - Preamble, recital 3 (peaceful settlement of disputes (UNC 33)) 146-7
 - 1 (establishment of arbitral tribunal) 147, 192
 - 2 (composition of arbitral tribunal/procedure for appointment and replacement of members) 147, 151, 192
 - 2(1) (appointment of president and members of the tribunal) 151, 192
 - 2(2) (appointment of members of the tribunal) 151, 152, 192
 - 3(1)(a) (tribunal’s task: determination of the course of the Croatia/Slovenia boundary) 147, 192
 - 3(1)(b) (tribunal’s task: determination of Slovenia’s junction to the high sea) 147, 192
 - 3(1)(c) (tribunal’s task: determination of the regime for the use of the relevant maritime areas) 147, 192
 - 3(3) (tribunal’s task: to render an award) 147, 192
 - 3(4) (procedure for determining subject matter of the dispute) 147, 192
 - 4(a) (applicable law (rules and principles of international law)) 147, 192
 - 4(b) (applicable law (international law, equity and the principle of good neighbourly relations to a fair and just result by taking into account all relevant circumstances)) 147, 192-3
 - 6(2) (applicability of PCA Optional Rules for Arbitrating Disputes between Two States) 147, 152, 193
 - 6(4) (expeditious decision on all procedural matters by majority of its members) 147, 193
 - 7(1) (expeditious issue of award after due consideration of all relevant facts) 147, 193
 - 7(2) (final and binding effect of award) 147, 193
 - 7(3) (parties’ implementation obligations) 147, 193
 - 9(1) (date of entry into force) 148, 193
 - 11(3) (Slovenia’s obligation to lift reservations on opening of EU negotiations) 147-8, 193
 - VCLT
 - 60(1) (termination or suspension of operation of treaty as a consequence of its breach) 148, 191-2
 - 65 (validity, termination, withdrawal or suspension of a treaty) 192
- parties’ arguments (admissibility)
 - Croatia 154, 162-3
 - Slovenia 162-3
- parties’ arguments (Croatia) (challenge to the jurisdiction)
 1. ancillary nature of Slovenia’s claims 157-8, 205
 2. interpretation and applicability of treaty not an integral part of EU law, exclusion 158, 208
 - validity and effects of arbitration based on 158
 3. purported termination of treaty not an integral part of EU law, exclusion 158, 205
 4. TFEU 273 distinguished 159
 5. absence of any question of the interpretation of EU law 159
 6. hypothetical nature of any findings 159

***Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (background) (cont.)**

- parties' arguments (Croatia's request for removal of the Commission's Legal Service's opinion from the proceedings) (ROP 151(2)) (grounds) 163
- adverse effects on the proper functioning of the Commission and on the requirements of a fair hearing 201
- risk to requirements for a fair hearing 201
- parties' arguments (Croatia's request for removal of the Commission's Legal Service's opinion from the proceedings) (ROP 151(2)) (responses to) 163, 202

Slovenia

- Croatia's failure to demonstrate how retention of the impugned legal opinion would undermine protected interests 202
- Croatia's lack of standing to request 201
- irrelevance of *Sweden and Turcol/Hungary v. Parliament* 201
- [unauthorized] availability of opinion online 201
- parties' arguments (Slovenia) (allegations of breach)
 1. TEU 2 (Union values) 155, 190, 208
 2. TEU 4(3) (sincere cooperation) 155-6, 190, 208
 3. common fisheries policy through failure to respect the arbitration award (Regulation No 1380/2013) 156, 190, 208-9
 4. common fisheries policy implementation (Regulation No 1224/2009/Implementing Regulation No 404/2011) 156-7, 190-1, 209
 5. Schengen Borders Code 4, 13 and 17 157, 191
 6. Directive 2014/89 (maritime spatial planning), Articles 4(1), 8 and 11(1) 157, 190-1
- parties' arguments (Slovenia) (response to Croatia's challenge to the jurisdiction)
 1. Croatia's misinterpretation of application/countervailing arguments 160-1
 - 2./3. Croatia-Slovenia border as matter of fact/arbitral tribunal's decision as evidence of 161, 206-7
 - rejection of alleged ancillary nature of the claims 206
 4. direct applicability of the tribunal's award 161
 - as matter for the merits 207
 5. alleged hypothetical nature of any CJEU decision 162
 6. existence of a dispute concerning the interpretation or application of EU law, relevance 162
 7. Croatia's alleged misrepresentation of the subject matter of the action 205
 8. Court's jurisdiction where the facts of an alleged infringement of EU law are covered by both EU law and international law 205
 9. irrelevance of bilateral dispute over validity and effects of the arbitration award 206
 10. limitation of Slovenia's TFEU 259 application to complaints derived from primary EU law and a set of acts of secondary law 206

***Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (analysis (AG Pikamäe)) 163-89**

overview

- AG's conclusion (CJEU's lack of jurisdiction) 163-4, 188-9
- AG's recommendations 189
- costs 189
- a. admissibility (Croatia's request for the removal of the Commission's Legal Service's opinion from the proceedings) 164-6
 - jurisprudence considered
 - Austria v. Council* 164, 165
 - Hungary v. Parliament* 165
 - Sweden and Turco v. Council* 165
 - public policy considerations 164, 165

- recommendation (agreement to Croatia's request for removal) 166
- risk of adverse repercussions on the interest of the institution concerned in seeking legal advice 165
- risk of circumventing procedures under Regulation No 1049/2001 (public access to EU documents) 165-6
- b. jurisdiction
 - AG's preliminary remarks on 166-71
 - b.1: Court's jurisdiction over actions for failure to fulfil obligations (TEU 19) 166-7
 - failure to fulfil "an obligation under the Treaties" requirement 167
 - motivation, relevance 167
 - obligation to "ensure that in the interpretation and application of the Treaties the law is observed" (TEU 19(1)) 166
 - secondary legislation, inclusion 167
 - "Treaties" 167
 - b.2(a): scope *ratione materiae* of EU law where legal instruments of international law are involved 167-8
 - Commission v. Belgium* 168-9
 - customary international law as benchmark for determining/requirements (*ATA* principles) 167-8
 - lack of any evidence suggesting that the political condition for Croatia's accession to the EU (resolution of boundary dispute) had been incorporated into EU law 174-5
 - limitation of EU obligation to respect CIL to situations within the EU's competence 174
 - b.2(b): ancillary nature of the claims relating to obligations under EU law 168-9
 - b.3: territorial scope of EU law 169-70
 - territorial scope of the Treaties (TFEU 355 [TEC 299]) 169-70
 - c. subject matter of the action 171-88
 - c.1: Slovenia's specific complaints (overview)
 - alleged infringement of primary law (TEU 2/TEU 4(3)) 172-3
 - alleged non-compliance with the arbitral award as breach of rule of law (TEU 2) including principles of sincere cooperation and *res judicata* 172-3
 - alleged non-compliance with the arbitral award as breach of sincere cooperation principle (TEU 4(3)) by jeopardizing the attainment of the EU's objectives 172-3
 - alleged infringement of secondary law
 - common fisheries policy (Regulation No 1380/2013, Regulation No 1224/2009 and Implementing Regulation No 404/2011) 172
 - maritime spatial planning arrangements (Directive 2014/89) 172
 - Schengen Borders Code 172
 - c.1: Slovenia's specific complaints
 - alleged infringement of secondary law
 - presumed determination of the Croatia/Slovenia border by the arbitration award 175
 - c.2: Slovenia's specific complaints alleging infringement of primary law 173-80
 - c.2(a): failure of the arbitration agreement/award to qualify as a situation in which the EU is bound by international law 173-5
 - absence of any transfer of powers from Member States to the EU under a convention relating to the arbitration agreement 174
 - AG's conclusion 175
 - non-applicability of EU law 173-4
 - c.2(b): alleged infringement of the rule of law principle (TEU 2)

- Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (analysis (AG Pikamäe)) (cont.)**
- AG's conclusion 178
 - dependence on
 - existence of EU obligation 177
 - link with TEU 7 (severe risk of a serious breach by a Member State of TEU values) 177
 - link with TEU 19 (non-applicability in TFEU 259 proceedings) 176-7
 - c.2(c): alleged infringement of the principle of sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)) 178-80
 - AG's conclusion 179-80
 - dependence on existence of EU obligation 178
 - Slovenia's arguments 178
 - c.3: complaints based on secondary law 180-8
 - exclusion of arbitration and agreement as EU matters 160
 - territorial scope of the Treaties as predetermined (TEU 52/TFEU 355) 180
 - c.3(a) status of arbitration award (non-self-executing/unimplemented)
 - acceptability of decision of a recognized international court as a legal fact 181-2
 - AG's conclusion 184
 - conferral powers (TEU 4(1)/TEU 5(2)), exclusive effect 181
 - determination of inter-State boundaries as exclusively a matter for Member States (TFEU 77(4)) 181, 185-6
 - applicable law, public international law 181
 - disputed nature of the arbitral award
 - Croatia's notification of termination of the agreement 182
 - Croatia's objection to its applicability and validity 181-2
 - non-implementation of award/non-self-executing status 183-4
 - c.3(b): alleged infringement of Regulation No 1380/2013
 - AG's decision 186
 - applicability of reciprocal access regime to Croatia and Slovenia, dependence on full implementation of the arbitration award 185-6
 - inclusion of reference in the Regulation to the "forthcoming" award, effect 184-5
 - c.3(c): Slovenia's remaining complaints
 - AG's conclusion (lack of jurisdiction in absence of an implemented arbitration award) 166
 - alleged infringement of Directive 2014/89 187-8
 - alleged infringement of Regulation No 1224/2009 and Implementing Regulation No 404/2011 187
 - alleged infringement of the Schengen Borders Code 187
- Slovenia v. Croatia* (TFEU 259 proceedings) (analysis (Court))**
- a. Croatia's request for the removal of the Commission's Legal Service's opinion from the proceedings
 - alleged public status of document 201
 - Court's decision (grant of Croatia's request for removal of impugned document) 204
 - Court's findings
 - non-applicability of EU law 173-4
 - public interest considerations 202-4
 - risk of adverse repercussions on the interest of the institution concerned in seeking legal advice 203-4
 - risk of circumventing procedures under Regulation No 1049/2001 (public access to EU documents) 204
 - status of document under consideration 202
 - jurisprudence considered
 - Austria v. Council* 203

- Hungary v. Parliament* 202, 203, 204
Sweden and Turco v. Council 201, 204
- b.1: jurisdiction (introduction)
 Court's lack of jurisdiction in respect of
 international agreement not integral to EU law (*Commission v. Belgium*) 207
 obligations ancillary to separate dispute outside the Court's jurisdiction 207-8
 summary of Slovenia's claims 207
- b.2: jurisdiction (Slovenia's complaints (alleged consequences of Croatia's non-compliance with the arbitral award))
- i. breach of rule of law (TEU 2) including principles of sincere cooperation and *res judicata* 208
 - ii. breach of sincere cooperation principle (TEU 4(3)) by jeopardizing the attainment of the EU's objectives 208
 - iii. breach of common fisheries policy (Regulation No 1380/2013) 208-9
 - iv. breach of common fisheries policy (Regulation No 1224/2009 and Implementing Regulation No 404/2011) 209
 - v. breach of the Schengen Borders Code 209
 - vi. breach of maritime spatial planning arrangements (Directive 2014/89) 209
- b.3: jurisdiction (Court's findings)
 ancillary nature of alleged infringements of EU law/dependence on the existence of EU obligation 210-11
 failure of arbitration agreement to qualify as an integral part of EU law 210
 relevance of reference in Act of Accession 210
 lack of jurisdiction 211-12
 parties' continuing sincere cooperation obligation to strive to resolve their dispute (TEU 4(2)) 211-12
 territorial scope of the Treaties (TFEU 355) 211
- Court's decision
 costs 212
 Court's lack of jurisdiction 212
 removal of Commission's Legal Service's opinion from the case file 212
- South Africa**
 act of State
 jurisprudence
Belhaj 506-11
Cherry Blossom case 503-4, 511-14
Kirkpatrick 513
Kuwait 1 512-13
Swissborough Diamond Mines 511-12
Van Zyl 512
 as matter of domestic law/absence of international law obliging restraint 513-14
 Constitution Act 108 of 1996 (including amendments) by section, Chapter 14 (general provisions)
 232 (customary international law as law in the Republic) 496, 505, 511
 customary international law "as part of" municipal law
 conflict with legislation and 496
 interim injunction: *see Cherry Blossom* case
 State immunity from execution/attachment, purpose of proceedings
 safeguarding/conservatory measures
Cherry Blossom case: 503-11: *see also Cherry Blossom* case
 State immunity, theory/doctrine including the basis/reasons for
Belhaj 506, 507-10

State immunity

- classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*
 - developments in law relating to 353
 - lease of residential diplomatic premises 348-55
- immunity of officials from foreign criminal jurisdiction (ILC's divided views (2008-20)) 379
- indirect impleading/risk of affecting foreign State's interests ("is not named as a party to the proceeding but the proceeding in effect seeks to affect . . ." (JISP 6(2)(b))) 508-11
 - Belhaj* 506-11
 - Cherry Blossom* case 505-11
 - East Timor* 506-7
 - Monetary Gold* 506-7
- right of suit in "defendant" State's courts
 - reciprocity requirement, relevance in relation to proceedings in EU courts 247, 272

State Immunity from Enforcement Case (Czech Supreme Court)

- procedural background
 - admissibility 360-2
 - lower courts' discontinuation of proceedings for lack of jurisdiction 358-9
 - parties' arguments (creditor) 359
 - parties' arguments (debtor) 359-60
- State immunity (Court's reasoning)
 - applicable law
 - International Private Law Act 2012, ss 7(1) and 7(4) 361-3
 - JISP as generally accepted point of departure 363
 - development of functional concept 361-2
 - limitation to *jure imperii* activity 361
 - post-judgment measures of constraint (JISP 18)
 - bank account used for the functions of a diplomatic mission/consular post (JISP 21(1)(a)) as protected property 363-4
 - protection of property serving governmental purposes (JISP 19(c)) 363-4
 - pre-judgment measures of constraint (JISP 18) 363-4
 - State immunity from jurisdiction and execution distinguished 362-3

State responsibility

- for breach of treaty/consequences of implementation of treaty
 - breach of international obligation derived from treaty as basis of obligation 69-70
 - FTC provisions, applicability to 30-1
 - lawfulness of act distinguished 31
- for conduct of State organ/agency (ILC(SR) 4)
 - applicability to "person" (FTC 2(1)) 31, 56-61, 100-1, 104-5, 111-13, 117
- "subject of the dispute", obligation to indicate in Application (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(2))**
 - determination, responsibility for
 - basis of decision
 - application including in particular the basis of the claim 20
 - "framing" by applicant State, importance 20
 - as obligation of the Court to determine on an objective basis 20
 - determination, responsibility for, jurisprudence
 - Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 20
 - "precise nature of claim" (ICJ 40(1)/ROC 38(1)) 20
 - "succinct statement of facts and grounds" (ROC 38(2)) 20

- whole dispute/separability of elements
 - Application of FTC/CERD* (preliminary objections) 21-2, 55-6
 - Certain Iranian Assets* 21
 - Obligation to Negotiate Access* 21
- Switzerland**
 - Federal Supreme Court Act 2005 (*BGG*) by article 62(2) (security for costs/*cautio judicatum solvi*) 521-7
 - security for costs/*cautio judicatum solvi*: *see also* *Country X v. A*____
 - grounds/justification 527-8
 - insolvency/unwillingness to pay distinguished 527-8
- terrorism, difficulty of definition as impediment to adoption of comprehensive terrorism treaty** 106-9
- torture (customary international law (CIL))**, immunity of officials: *see* international crimes, immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (customary international law (CIL))
- torture, definition/classification as (IHL)**
 - exposure to others being tortured 389-90
 - gravity threshold/“substantial” 386-9
- torture or inhuman treatment as war crime**
 - gravity threshold/“substantial” 386-9
 - ICC 7(1)(5) (torture as “intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental” (ICC 7(1)(f))) 387
 - priority of torture over inhuman treatment (*VSrGB* 8(1)(9)) 389
- torture, State immunity and**
 - officials, entitlement to immunity *ratione materiae*/functional immunity
 - customary international law rule, whether 370-82: *see also* international crimes, immunity of officials *ratione materiae*/functional immunity (customary international law (CIL))
- travaux préparatoires as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)**
 - ambiguity of treaty, relevance 46-7
 - common consent/understanding of parties requirement 103-6
 - legitimate expectation arising from 327-8
 - in respect of
 - CERD 22 46-7, 68-9
 - FTC 30-1
- treaties and municipal law (including implementing legislation/incorporation/unincorporated treaties)**
 - Costello* 432-8
 - implementing legislation/incorporation, examples of treaties requiring
 - CETA (2016) 432-7
 - unincorporated treaty
 - as evidence of government policy 564, 566
- treaties, ratification, acceptance or approval (VCLT 14)**
 - effect on municipal law 564, 566
- treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2)) (context)**
 - grammatical structure
 - conjunctive vs disjunctive “or” 44-7
 - treaty as a whole/holistic approach (relevant materials)
 - EU law including EU Treaties 253-60

UN Human Rights Committee: *see* HRC (UN Human Rights Committee)**United Kingdom**

Climate Change Act 2008 (CCA) by section

1 (2050 “carbon target”) 534, 545

statement of Government policy for purposes of PA 5(9), whether 565

2(1) (power to amend s 1 target) 545

2(2) (power to amend s 1 target: grounds) 545

3(1) (requirement for advice from the CCC) 545

4 (carbon budgets for the UK) 534

10(2) (carbon budgets: matters to be taken into account) 546

10(2)(i) (carbon budgets: matters to be taken into account: international aviation and shipping) 546

24 (targeted greenhouse gases) 546

30(1) (emissions from international aviation or international shipping: exclusion as emissions from UK sources) 546

32 (Climate Change Committee (CCC)) 545

Climate Change Act 2008 (CCA) (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019 (amendment to CCA 2050 target) 545

“Government policy”, criteria 562-7

carefully formulated statement 563-4

clear, unambiguous statement devoid of relevant qualification 563-4

jurisprudence

Friends of the Earth 562-4*Gaines-Cooper* 563-4*MFK* 563*Miller* 564

ordinary language approach 563, 564

treaties

Paris Agreement, need for incorporation (2015) 553-86

ratification, effect 564, 566

unincorporated treaty

as evidence of Government policy 564, 566

universal jurisdiction, applicability*Functional Immunity of Foreign State Officials* 385, 387-8

international crimes 385, 387-8

US Embassy Employee Case (background and procedural history)

facts (plaintiff’s employment history)

appointment to the US embassy in Vienna (1974) (telephonist/secretariat) 335

termination of employment (17 March 2017) 335

initial employment as a telephone operator and in the secretariat 336

transfer to the Commercial Service (subject specialist for the IT-telecom, tourism and Aviation industries) 336

Control Officer for Department of Commerce visitors 337

job description (2003) 336-7

member of the Visit USA Committee 337

role of the Commercial Service 337

parties’ claims

plaintiff 337-8

respondent 338

procedural history

appeal to the Supreme Court as decision a departure from its previous case law

339

- court of appeal (reversal of lower court's decision) 338-9
 - applicability of JISP as codification of CIL 338-9
 - JISP 11 (employment contracts) 339
 - plaintiff's role in promoting economic relations as core embassy function (VCDR 3(1)(e)) 339
 - court of first instance (rejection of claim to immunity/lack of jurisdiction) 338
 - US Embassy Employee Case (Supreme Court's legal reasoning)*** 339-44
 - applicable law (CIL)
 - in the absence of a treaty 340-1
 - as codified in JISP 340-4
 - Court's decision
 - costs (award against plaintiff) 335, 345
 - dismissal of appeal 335, 345
 - review of the Court's jurisprudence (denial of immunity for private law transactions) 340
 - Seidl-Hohenveldern's criticism of 340
 - sovereign authority, State's right to claim immunity in respect of functions in the exercise of (JISP 11(2)(a)) 342-3
 - non-interference obligation (VCDR 38(2)) 343
 - sovereign nature of assigned functions, determination of
 - ascertainment/reporting of conditions and developments in the receiving State (VCDR 3(1)(d)) 343-4
 - consular activity (VCDR 3(2)) 343
 - content of activities/functional connection with the diplomatic or consular duties 343
 - promotion of commercial and economic relations (VCDR 3(1)(a)) 343-4
 - Vedastus v. Tanzania (ACtHPR)***
 - background
 - parties 123
 - parties' positions (applicant)
 - alleged breaches 124
 - prayers 124
 - parties' positions (respondent)
 - applicant's response to 125
 - prayers 124-5
 - procedural history in date order
 - conviction and 30-year prison sentence for rape of a 12-year-old minor (20 December 2005) 123
 - appeal to High Court/dismissal of (11 October 2006) 123
 - appeal to Court of Appeal/dismissal of (13 August 2014) 123
 - application for review of Court of Appeal's decision/rejection (2014) 123
 - seizure of ACtHPR (2 October 2015) 123
 - summary of procedure before ACtHPR (2015-18) 124
 - Court's decision 139-40
 - respondent's reporting obligation on implementation 140
 - jurisdiction/admissibility 125-6
 - admissibility (conditions not in contention) 130-1
 - admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies (ROC 40(5)))
 - Court's analysis and conclusion 128-9
 - parties' arguments 128

Vedastus v. Tanzania (ACtHPR) (*cont.*)

- admissibility (timeliness of application (ACHPR 56(6)/ROC 40(6)))
 - parties' arguments 129
 - "reasonable period of time", Court's analysis/decision 130
- exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))
 - parties' arguments 128, 129
- jurisdiction *ratione loci/ratione temporis* 126-7
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1)))
 - Court's analysis 125-6
 - Court's decision 126
- merits (alleged breach of ACHPR 2 (non-discrimination)/ACHPR 3 (equality before the law/equal protection of the law), applicant's failure to demonstrate/substantiate claims) 136
- merits (alleged breach of ACHPR 7 (fair trial)) 131-6
 - alibi defence (Court's analysis and decision)
 - alibi, role as defence 134
 - presumption of innocence (ACHPR 7(1)(b)) 134
 - right to be heard (ACHPR 7(1)) 134
 - alibi defence (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 133
 - respondent 133
 - free legal aid, right to (Court's analysis and decision)
 - absence of specific provision (ACHPR 7(1)(c))/interpretation in accordance with ICCPR 14(3)(d) 135
 - applicant's failure to ask for, relevance 135
 - Court's decision (finding of breach of ACHPR 7(1)) 136, 140
 - as inherent in the right of defence 135
 - free legal aid, right to (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 134
 - respondent 134-5
 - identification of suspects (Court's analysis and decision)
 - Court's decision (no manifest error of judgment) 133, 140
 - margin of appreciation 132
 - safeguards 132-3
 - identification of suspects (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 131
 - respondent 131-2
- reparations (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))
 - burden/standard of proof 137, 138
 - costs (ROC 30) (parties to pay own) 139, 140
 - non-pecuniary reparation/satisfaction (absence of "special and compelling" circumstances justifying release) 138-9, 140
 - obligation to remedy the violation including fair compensation or reparation option 136-7
 - pecuniary reparation for non-pecuniary damage/moral prejudice 137
 - award for respondent's failure to offer free legal assistance 138, 140
 - pecuniary reparation for pecuniary damage/material prejudice 137
 - restitutio in integrum* principle (*Chorzów Factory*/ILC(SR) 31(1)) 136-7
- Venezuela v. Council (appeal against the General Court's decision)***: *see* *Venezuela v. Council* (appeal against the General Court's decision) (background); *Venezuela v. Council* (appeal against the General Court's decision) (Judgment of the Grand Chamber); *Venezuela v. Council* (appeal against the General Court's decision) (Opinion (AG Hogan))

***Venezuela v. Council* (appeal against the General Court's decision) (background)**

- introduction (AG Hogan) 218-19
 - key issue (Venezuela's standing)
 - challenged measures as measures of direct concern (TFEU 263(4)) 218, 219
 - status as a legal person (TFEU 263) 218, 219
 - political and economic situation in Venezuela
 - EU sanctions on the sale, supply, transfer or export of certain military and other equipment 217-18
 - extension to the provision of related technical, brokering or financial services 218
 - possibility of travel bans 218
 - summary of General Court's decision 218-19, 264-5
 - Venezuela's arguments 219
- General Court's decision ("direct concern" (TFEU 263(4))) 222-4, 264
 - conclusion (no direct effect) 224
 - cumulative nature of requirements 222
 - direct vs indirect effect of Regulation 2017/2063 222-4
- legal context (Regulation 2017/2063 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Venezuela) 219-20, 261-4
 - Preamble (TFEU 215 as legal basis) 218 n. 3, 219, 230, 237, 257, 261, 266, 281, 374
 - recital 1 (EU concern about situation in Venezuela) 261
 - 2 (prohibition on the provision of technical assistance, brokering services, financing or financial assistance and certain other services to or for use in Venezuela) 219-20, 261
 - 3 and Annex I (prohibition on the sale, supply or export of equipment which might be used for internal repression) 220, 262
 - 4 (authorized derogations) 220, 262
 - 6 (prohibition on the sale, supply or export of Annex II equipment, technology or software to or for use in Venezuela) 220, 263
 - 6(2) (prohibition on the authorization of the sale, supply or transfer of equipment, technology or software which might be used for internal repression) 220
 - 7 (prohibition on the provision of technical assistance or brokering services related to Annex II equipment, technology or software to or for use in Venezuela) 220, 263
 - 7(2)(c) (prohibition on the provision to the Venezuelan authorities of telecommunication, Internet monitoring or interception services) 220
 - 8-11 and Annex IV and V (freezing of financial assets) 220-1
 - 17(4) (regular review of Annex IV and V) 221
 - 20 (applicability of the Regulation) 221, 222-4, 254-8, 263-4, 275, 278
 - Decisions 2017/2074 and 2018/1656 (enabling Decisions) 261
- "legal person" (TFEU 263(4)), third State as
 - Latvia 268
 - Member States' arguments 230-6
 - Belgium 231-2, 268-9
 - Bulgaria 232, 268-9
 - Estonia 232-3, 268-9
 - Germany 235-6, 268-9
 - Greece 232, 268
 - Lithuania 232, 268-9
 - Netherlands 234, 268-9
 - Poland 230-1, 268
 - Slovakia 233-4, 268
 - Slovenia 231, 268

Venezuela v. Council (appeal against the General Court's decision) (background)
(*cont.*)

- Sweden 234-5, 268
- parties' arguments 226-30
 - Commission 236-7, 269
 - Council 228-30, 267
 - Venezuela 226-8, 266-7
- procedural history in date order
 - action before the General Court for annulment of the Regulation (6 February 2018) 221, 264-5
 - Council's admissibility objection (3 May 2018) 221, 264
 - Venezuela's comments on objection (27 June 2018) 221
 - Venezuela's adaptation of application (adding Decision 2018/1656 and Implementing Regulation 2018/1653) (17 January 2019) 221-2, 264, 265
 - oral hearing (8 February 2019) 222
 - Judgment of the General Court (20 September 2019) 222-5, 264
 - Judgment of the Grand Chamber (22 June 2021) 260-82

Venezuela v. Council (appeal against the General Court's decision) (Judgment of the Grand Chamber)

- background/overview
 - competence of EU courts in relation to CFSP (TFEU 215/TFEU 75) 266
 - failure to meet TFEU 263(4) conditions as absolute bar, Court's right to decide *ex proprio motu* 266
 - General Court's decision (summary)
 - issues of admissibility raised by the Council 264
 - limitation to consideration of "direct concern" (TFEU 263(4))/dismissal of objection 264-5
 - the issue/scope of the appeal 263, 265-6
 - parties' claims 265
- Court's decision
 - referral of case back to the General Court for judgment on the merits 282
 - reservation of costs 282
 - setting aside of General Court's dismissal of action for annulment of Articles 2, 3, 6 and 7 of Council Regulation (EU) 2017/2063 282
- Court's final judgment on admissibility (TFEU 263(4))
 - alleged absence of an interest in bringing proceedings
 - availability of action for annulment for all EU measures intended to have legal effects 278-9
 - Commission v. Council* (C-425/13) 278
 - Court's rejection of Council's claim 279
 - Esso Raffinage* 278
 - Front Polisario* 279
 - need for requested annulment to directly benefit the applicant 278-9
 - parties' arguments 278
 - automaticity of implementation requirement 279-81
 - Court's finding of compliance 281
 - direct effect of Regulation 2017/2063, Articles 2, 3, 6 and 7 279-81
 - parties' arguments 280
 - status of Regulation 2017/2063 as a "regulatory act" 281
 - "legal person", Venezuela's status as 277
 - "direct concern" (TFEU 263(4)) (Court's analysis and conclusion) 273-7
 - applicability of Regulation 2017/1963 to Venezuela and emanations of the State 276

- Court's decision (appeal upheld) 277
- criteria
 - automaticity 274-5
 - cumulative nature 273
 - direct effect on Venezuela's legal situation 274-5
- determining factors (purpose, content, scope, substance and the legal and factual context) 275-6
- General Court's findings 275-7
- jurisprudence
 - Changmao Biochemical Engineering v. Distillerie Bonollo* 274-5
 - Trasta Komerbanka* 274-5
- limitation of the Regulation to the territory of Member States and persons subject to a Member State's jurisdiction, relevance 276
- parties' arguments
 - Council 273-4
 - Venezuela 272-3
- jure gestionis/jure imperii* nature of transactions, relevance 276
- "legal person" (TFEU 263(4)), third State as (Court's analysis and conclusion) 270-2
- conclusion (sufficiency of Venezuela's international legal personality to establish as a "legal person" under TFEU 263(4)) 272
- reciprocity of right of suit in "defendant" State's courts, relevance 272
- rule of law (TEU 2, TEU 21 and TEU 23), applicability to TFEU 263(4) proceedings 271-2,
- Venezuela v. Council (appeal against the General Court's decision) (Opinion (AG Hogan))**
 - competence of EU courts in relation to CFSP, exclusion (TFEU 215/TFEU 75) 237
 - exception of decisions providing for restrictive measures against natural or legal persons (TFEU 215) 238
 - "direct concern" (TFEU 263(4)) (AG's analysis and conclusion) 253-60
 - applicability of Regulation 2017/1963 to Venezuela and emanations of the State 255-6
 - automaticity of implementation requirement 253
 - cumulative nature of requirements 253
 - determining factors (purpose, content, scope, substance and the legal and factual context) 253
 - direct effect on Venezuela's legal situation, examples 255-6
 - inclusion of persons or entities subject to restrictive measures in a list 256-8
 - direct vs indirect effect of Regulation 2017/2063 254-5, 257-8, 259
 - holistic and pragmatic approach 253-60
 - jurisprudence
 - Almaz-Antey* 257-9
 - Dijkman and Dijkman-Lavaleije* 256
 - Infront WM* 254
 - Kadi & Al Barakaat* 256
 - Luisi and Carbone* 256
 - PKK and KNK* 253-4
 - Rosneft* 256
 - limitation of the Regulation to the territory of Member States and persons subject to a Member State's jurisdiction, relevance 254-8
 - naming of person in the main body of Regulation or in an Annex, relevance 258-9
 - review of General Court's judgment 253-60
 - "direct concern" (TFEU 263(4)) (parties' arguments)

Venezuela v. Council (appeal against the General Court's decision) (Opinion (AG Hogan)) (*cont.*)

- Council 249-53
- Venezuela 248-9
- “legal person” (TFEU 263(4)), third State as (AG’s analysis and conclusion) 237-48
 - interpretation of EU law
 - applicability of international law/VCLT 241
 - autonomous meaning at the level of EU law 241
 - comity, applicability 241
 - purposive interpretation 246
 - public international law 238-41
 - applicability 241
 - DRC v. Belgium* (ECtHR) 240
 - Jurisdictional Immunities* 239-40
 - right of sovereign State to sue and be sued 238-9
 - Sabbatino* 239
 - sovereign State status, sufficiency 240-1
 - State immunity/act of State 238-40
 - reciprocity of right of suit in “defendant” State’s courts, relevance 247
 - third-State standing, applicability of AG’s conclusion to case under consideration 246-8
 - lack of a right to reciprocal access, relevance 247
 - purposive interpretation of TFEU 263(4)/EU jurisprudence 246
 - recognition of third-State standing in principle/need for compliance with other standing criteria 248
 - respect for rule of law/principle of effective judicial protection (TEU 19(1)) 246-7
 - third State’s standing before EU courts 241-8
 - absence of TEU/TFEU definition of “legal person”/treatment as an autonomous concept 242
 - annulment actions (TFEU 264) 241-2
 - ECHR 33/ECHR 34 distinguished 240-1
 - EU jurisprudence 242-6
 - privileged/semi-privileged applicants distinguished 242 n. 54
 - order for Venezuela to bear the costs 225
 - procedure before the Grand Chamber
 - legal issue (TFEU 263(4)) (standing)
 - an act of direct and individual concern/not entailing implementing measures requirement (TFEU 263(4)) 225
 - CJEU’s competence *ex proprio motu*/own motion 225
 - Court’s request to the parties, the Commission and the Member States for views on the status of a third State as a legal person under TFEU 263(4) 225-6
 - cumulative nature of requirements 225
 - parties’ claims (Council)
 - dismissal of appeal 225
 - setting aside of dismissal of action as inadmissible 225
 - parties’ claims (Venezuela)
 - declaration of admissibility/referral to General Court for ruling on the merits 225

- order for Council to bear the costs 225
- recommendation (General Court's error in law/remittal to the Court for adjudication) 260

war crimes/crimes against humanity, jurisdiction

- treatment as ordinary crimes 383

Western Sahara, history and status

Front Polisario

Note: "Front Polisario" is known alternatively as "Polisario Front". To avoid splitting the relevant material for purposes of the index, "Front Polisario" is the preferred version.

- as a national liberation movement 492

- objectives 492

- UN recognition as representative of the people of Western Sahara in relation to their right to self-determination (UNGA 34/37) 492

- Mauritania and 495

- Morocco's actions in

- substantial settlement of Moroccans in 494

- Morocco's status, possibilities

- administering power 504

- sovereignty, international community's rejection of claim 498-9

- Front Polisario* 499

- Western Sahara* 499

- Western Sahara Campaign UK* 498-9

- as NSGT (UNC 73(e)) 492, 497

- incompatibility of Morocco's claim to sovereignty 498-9

- self-determination, right to 496-8

- SADR

- Constitution by article

- 17 ("public property") 492

- proclamation as a sovereign State (27 February 1976) 492

- recognition by members of the UN 492

- Saharawi people, features of

- distinct culture and language 494

- as the indigenous population of Western Morocco 494

- as nomadic people now often in refugee camps in Algeria 494

Western Sahara, history and status in date order

- 1884 (colonization by Spain) 494

- 1960 (UNGA resolution 1514 (XV)) 499-500

- 1966 (UNGA Resolution 2229 (XXI) (Ifni and the Spanish Sahara)) 494, 497

- 1973 (creation of Front Polisario) 492

- 1974 (proposed referendum) 492, 494

- continuing failure to hold 494

- 1975 (Green March) 494

- 1975 (*Western Sahara*) 497

- 1976 (proclamation of SADR as a sovereign State) 492

- 1976 (Spanish withdrawal/relinquishment of administering power status) 494

- 1979 (Mauritania's renunciation of claims to Western Sahara) 494

- 1979 (UNGA Resolution 34/37 (right to self-determination)) 492

- 1980-2020 (building in stages of wall through the middle of Western Sahara) 494

- 1982 (admission of SADR to the OAU/Moroccan withdrawal from) 492

Western Sahara, history and status in date order (*cont.*)

- 1988 (ceasefire/establishment of MINURSO) 495
- 1991 (UN-brokered ceasefire) 495
- 2002 (Corell Report) (finding of State's inability to transfer sovereignty to Morocco and Mauritius/Western Sahara's continuing status as an NSGT) 497
- 2008 (Corell's lecture in Pretoria on "The legality of exploring and exploiting natural resources in Western Sahara") 500-1
- 2015 (adoption of SADR Constitution (December 2015)) 492