CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

Index

A2J Lab (University of Tennessee), 29, 37
AACER, 338
Above the Law, 33
ABS (alternative business structures), 24
access to justice, *See also* legal aid, *See also* legal help on the Internet, *See also* Michigan courts, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
  barriers to, 308
  COVID-19 as needed disruption for, 307–8
  legal tech and, 24
  online dispute resolution, 286
  online dispute resolution and, 37, 255–56
  outcome prediction tools, 158, 172
  traditional, 293
accessibility. *See* fairness/satisfaction, *See* plaintiff-defendant balance, *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
advice clinics, 375
affirmative defenses, online dispute resolution and, 267
aggregation. *See* multidistrict litigation (MDL), *See* websites for multidistrict litigation
Ahrefs, 215
Airbnb, 280–81
alternate dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
analog stage of legal profession, 352
analogical reasoning algorithms, 171
antitrust issues, LegalZoom and, 39
appearances, what constitutes for court, 242–43
Article III judges, 347
  caseloads, 356
artificial intelligence (AI). *See also* natural language processing (NLP), *See also specific technologies*
  cementing of previous patterns, 168
  and commercial sensitivity, 61
  creates new tasks for humans, 50
  and empathy, 54
  human capital challenges, 52
  impacts of, 50–52
  for litigants, 349
  machine learning, 72–74
  reasoning by analogy, 166
  training, 49
  value lock-in, 169
Ashley, Kevin, 159
Atticus Project, 89
attorney's screening and selection decisions, and outcome prediction, 165
attorneys. *See* lawyers
auto accident claims adjustment. *See* Colossus
Avvo, 41

Bag-of-Words (BoW) model, 77–84
balance in cases. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
benchmark data, 88–89, 131–32
BERT, 78
bias
  fairness of court system and, 372–73
  online dispute resolution and, 262, 266
  technology-assisted review and, 88
  truth-detection and, 101
BigLaw and in-house counsel. *See also* convergence between BigLaw and PeopleLaw
  cost-cutting by, 34
  defined, 25
  legal tech in, 33–35
  overview, 46–48
  regulation of legal services and, 26–28
Black Americans, 372, *See also* bias

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

black-box lawyers, 299
Bloomberg Law, 162
Blue J. Tax, 162
bodily injury claims. *See* Colossus
body language, 241, 245–46
brief advice services, 375
Building a 21st Century Digital Government directive, 311
bulk electronic case records, 382–83
Burch, Elizabeth, 175, 178
business model integration tensions, 52
business of law technology, 50

Cabell, James Branch, 349
Canadian real estate tax disputes, 159
Case Management/Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF), 330, *See also* PACER
cell phone issues, 243, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
chatbots, 55–56
Chicago Lawyers: The Social Structure of the Bar, 46
civil disobedience, 283
Civil Justice Data Commons (CJDC), 371–72, 383–88
civil justice gap, 155
Civil Resolution Tribunal (CRT), 271–74, 276
client security, and legal tech, 358–59
cloud computing, privacy, 354
CM/ECF (Case Management/Electronic Case Files), 330, *See also* PACER
cognitive depletion, 245
Colossus, 138–42, 147–49, 152
commercial sensitivity and artificial intelligence (AI), 61
common law system, harm caused by outcome prediction, 169
compensation, technology-resistant fields, 351
computational stage of legal profession, 353
computationally driven litigation outcome prediction. *See* outcome prediction
Computer Science Corporation. *See* Colossus
Conference of Chief Justices, 308
confidentiality, 341–42
Conrad, Felicity, 36
Constitutional right to face accuser, 97
content moderation, preventative justice and, 281
continuous active learning (CAL), 115
contract analytics tools, 67
convergence between BigLaw and PeopleLaw. *See also* BigLaw and in-house counsel, *See also* PeopleLaw
  meeting client needs and, 58–62
  overview, 68–69
convergence in business models, 45
Cornell's Legal Information Institute (LII), 217
The Cost Disease (Baumol and Bowen), 351
counseling quality, 299
court appearances, 41
court rules, reform of, 322
court-connected online dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
CourtQuant, 162
courtroom access through technology, 289
courts. *See also* data, *See also* Michigan courts, *See also* online dispute resolution (ODR), *See also* PACER, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding
  caseload growth, 355
  common taxonomy between, 380–81
  as debt collection forums, 267–68
  decentralization of, 309
  disruptive innovation and, 254–55
  legal financial obligations, 263–64, 310–11
  legal tech in, 37
  poverty and entanglement with, 377–78
  pre-trial procedures, impact of, 134, 334
  technological competence, 362
  unification, benefits of, 311–12
COVID-19
  online hearings, 364
CRT (Civil Resolution Tribunal), 271–74, 276
culture of compliance, preventative justice as, 279–80, 283

data. *See also* training data
  barriers to access, 379–83, 386
  benchmark data, 88–89, 131–32
  bulk electronic case records, 382–83
  Civil Justice Data Commons, 371–72, 383–88
  demographic data, 381–82, 387
  input data, 79
  lack of data about courts, 368–86
  linkages for fuller data, 376–78, 381
  machine-readable pleadings, 379–80
  plaintiff-defendant balance and, 151–52
  poisoning of, 122–23
  practical obscurity of, 341
  research and, 328
  under-representation of datasets, 121
data analytics, access to legal documents, 350
data commons, 371–72, 383–88
data poisoning, 122–23
dataset under-representation, 121
debt collection forums, courts as, 267–68, 368
default, 234–35
defendants, level playing field for. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

defenses, online dispute resolution and, 267
dehumanization and depersonalization concerns
  non-verbal cues, 246
  remote testimonial fact-finding and, 103–4
  unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 230, 243
Dentons, 163
Denvir, Catrina, 205
design options, cost/benefit analysis of, 251–52
digital divide, 229–32
digital epidemiology, 206
digital literacy, 232
digital stage of legal profession, 352
digital technology
  double-edged sword, 59
dignity harms, 168, 260–61
discovery. *See also* document review, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
  abuse of, 145–47
  antitrust issues, 114
  process overview, 113–14
  proportionality requirement, 144–45, 153–54
dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR), *See* settlement
disruptive innovation, 252–53
Docket Alarm, 162
document review, 35, 80, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
document segmentation issues, 85–86
document structure analysis, 86–87
DocuSign, 67
due diligence document review, 35
Duquesne University School of Law
  Family Law Clinic, 163

eBay, 290
e-filing, 317, 380
election information seeking, 222
Elevate, 52
Elisa B. v. Superior Court, 167
empathy, virtual hearings and, 241, 244
endogenous law, 170
Engstrom, David Freeman, 163, 170
eviction, 374, 378
Eviction Lab, 372
exhibits, remote hearings and, 243
expert witnesses, technology-assisted review and, 129
Expungement Generator, 163
eye contact. *See* gaze awareness

face-to-face meetings prior to virtual interaction, 247
fact-finding. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
fairness/satisfaction. *See also* bias
  lack of data about, 369
  online dispute resolution and, 260–61
  perception of, 327
  poverty and, 375–78
  representation and, 373–75
  unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 248
Family Law Clinic, Duquesne University School of Law, 163
Federal Judicial Center, website design guidance, 193
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), 114, 127
Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE), 128
fee-splitting, 40–41
Ferguson Police Department, 263
few-shot learning, 132
field studies, lab studies compared, 106
First Amendment, PACER access and, 342
Five Safes framework for data commons, 384
Fort Collins ODR system, 264–66
France, 360

Galanter, Marc, 149, 350
gaze awareness, 241, 245–46
Gelbach, Jonah, 163, 170
gender bias, 101, 372
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 171
  right to an explanation, 165
Georgia
  resident attorneys, 156
German civil procedure, 129
global metrics obfuscation in TAR, 124
Google, 208, 222
Google Analytics, 215–18
government funding, 263–64
GPT-3, 78, 82–84
gut instincts, trusting of, 105

Hadfield, Gillian, 157
have-nots
  and online dispute resolution, 291
haves versus have-nots. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
haves, and privilege, 291
health assistance-seeking behavior, 202–3, 206, 222
hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
Heinz, John, 30
hidden stratification, 121–22
hyper-personalization, 241

infodemiology, 206
infoveillance, 206
innovation, transformative nature of, 251–84
input data, 79

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

insurance companies. *See* Colossus
Integrated Database (IDB), 335–37
interactive forms, regulation of, 37–40
Internet access disparities, 230–31

JDW (Judicial Data Warehouse), 312
judges
  Article III judges, 347
  attitude of openness, 345–48
  aversion to public scrutiny by, 346–47, 359–61
  caseloads, 356
  data access and, 383
  deception detection and, 100
  guidance for website design, 182
  and identity in filings, 359–61
  MDL communication with litigants, 182
  perception of unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 244–47
  procedural management and plaintiff-defendant balance, 153–54
  pro-defendant actions by, 134
  resistance to change by, 322–23, 357–58
  risk to life, 361
  role in regulation of legal services, 22
  salaries, 356–57
  and technology, 362–66
  technology-assisted review and, 117–18, 128–29, 153–54
judicial activism concerns, 322
Judicial Data Warehouse (JDW), 312
jury trials. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
Justia, 359
Justice for All Taskforce, 317–18, 320–22
justice gap. *See* unmet legal needs
justice problems, number of, 213–14, 286–304, *See also* unmet legal needs
justice tech, 36

keyword searches, 115, 138
k-nearest neighbor, 159
knowledge management systems, 49

lab studies, field studies compared, 106
label manipulation in TAR, 124
Landscape of Civil Litigation study, 267
Laumann, Edward, 30
law firm revenues, by practice areas, 47
lawtech. *See* legal tech
lawyer-client communication, MDL cases. *See also* websites for multidistrict litigation
lawyers. *See also* unauthorized practice of law (UPL), *See also* websites for multidistrict litigation
  ability to predict, 297
  configure courts, 304
  functions of, 288–89, 295–96, 299
  incomes of, 30–32
  models of prior cases, 297
  monopoly by, 21–24, 39
  numbers of, 25
  perception of unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 247–48
  replication/obfuscation/translation versus representation, 301
  as simple algorithms, 299
  social production in virtual hearings, 240–42
  societal cost/benefit of using, 373–75
  translation function, 303
  willing and able to offer representation at prices, 156
lawyers, categories of
  BigLaw and in-house counsel, 26–28
  generally, 25
  legal aid, 28–30
  PeopleLaw, 30–32
  plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
lay-to-legal-framing translation, 67
legal advice, regulation of legal services and, 40–41
legal advisory business model, 51
legal aid. *See also* access to justice, *See also* legal help on the internet
  advice clinics, 375
  allocation of representation, 374
  legal tech in, 35–37
  in Michigan, 313
  regulation of legal services and, 28–30, 42
legal endogeneity, 155–72
legal financial obligations, 263–64, 310–11
legal help on the Internet. *See also specific sites*
  analytics about, 214–18
  appropriateness of, 205
  commercial sites, 203, 210–19, 221–22
  demand for, 286–304
  intermediary sites, 208–10
  jurisdiction and, 205–6
  local public interest sites, traffic to, 218
  Michigan Legal Help, 313–14
  national public interest sites, traffic to, 217–19
  need for research on, 201–3, 206–8, 223–24
  overview, 217–18, 223–24
  provider sites, 208, 210–11
  public interest sites, 203, 210–11, 286
  reform strategies for, 224
  research on, 202, 204–6
  SEO tools, 214–18, 222
  statewide legal help portals, traffic to, 218–23, 286–304
  supply of, 204, 208–11, 223

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

trends in, 286–304
user preferences about, 205–6
Legal Information Institute (LII), 217
legal market, segmentation of, 21–22
legal navigators, 321, 325
legal ontology, 72, 81–84
legal operations business model, 51
legal practice, evolution, 350–55
legal profession, barriers to entry, 351
legal reasoning, 72, 81–84, 90, 166
legal representation, benefits of, 296
legal services market, 21–25, 44, *See also* lawyers, categories of
legal tech, 49, *See also* specific technologies and issues
access to judicial materials, 365
access to legal documents, 350
barriers to adoption of, 326
BigLaw and in-house counsel and, 33–35
business model, 51
challenges, 362–66
constitutional considerations, 366
constraints, 56–57
data needed for, 323, 325
defined, 73
deployment, 55
discretion and judgment, 365
ethics and, 354
finance, 62–64
fixed costs, 44–54
future of, 41–42
human capital, 64–65
legal aid and, 35–37
limits of, 35
opportunities, 362–66
practical value, 173
reasons for growth of, 23–25
security, 358–59
solo practitioners, 53–54
startups, 34–35
and translation of client needs, 54–55
legal tech provider
definition, 67
LegalMation. *See* Walmart Suites
LegalZoom, 37–40
leveling of business models, 44
leveling the playing field. *See also* plaintiff-defendant balance
online dispute resolution, 286–304
LexisNexis, 67, 162
LexMachina, 162
lies, human ability to detect, 96–103
limited scope representation, 375
Lind, Alan, 260–61
litigants
and analytic tools, 365
asymmetry of legal sophistication, 362
autonomy in multi-district litigation, 173–95
explanation of options to, 299
selection of a lawyer, 295
trait obfuscation, 302
litigation as an arms race, 361
litigation of losers concept, 136, 149–50
litigation outcome prediction. *See* outcome prediction
defined, 157
inputs, 157
scholarship, 159
uncertainty of outputs, 157
LoPucki, Lynn, 338, 342
Lynn , Judge Barbara, 164

machine learning
inability to reason by analogy, 166
machine learning (ML), 72–74, 353, *See also specific technologies*
MacKinnon, Catharine, 170
Martin, Peter W., 335
Matterhorn ODR, 258, 271, 274–75, 290–92, 304
McKinsey & Co., 148
MDL. *See* multidistrict litigation (MDL), *See* websites for multidistrict litigation
mediated communication theories, 239
Michigan courts
access to justice efforts before COVID-19, 312–18
COVID-19 as needed disruption for, 307–8, 318–20
Justice for All Taskforce, 317–18, 320–22
next steps for, 324–27
overview of, 309–12
survey done by, 326–27
Michigan Legal Help, 313–14
Michigan State Bar Foundation (MSBF), 313
MiCourt, 316–17
MI-Resolve, 315, 319
miscommunication, unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 248–49
mistake detection, 102–3
Mitchell, Melanie, 166
ML. *See* machine learning (ML)
mobile arraignment program, 324
monopoly by lawyers, 21–24, 39, *See also* unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
Morgan & Morgan, 162
internal case evaluation system, 164
multidistrict litigation (MDL). *See also* websites for multidistrict litigation
advantages, 175
attorney-client communication, 181

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

multidistrict litigation (MDL). (cont.)
denial of due process, 177
disadvantages, 175
as formally conceived, 176
litigant autonomy, 175
MDL statute–28 U.S.C. §1407, 173
protection of clients, 176
research, 178–81

Nadler, Jerrold, 335
National Center for State Courts, 325, 380
National Open Data System (NODS), 371–87
natural language processing (NLP), 55–56
Bag-of-Words model, 77–84
benchmark data for, 88–89
defined, 75
document segmentation issues, 85–86
document structure analysis, 86–87
legal ontology and, 72, 81–84
legal reasoning and, 72, 81–84, 90
limits of, 42
machine learning improvements and, 72–74
online dispute resolution and, 261
outcome prediction and, 75, 80–84
overview, 70–72, 89–90, 354
steps in, 77
top-down versus bottom-up, 75
training data for, 71, 78–79, 87–88
next word prediction tasks, 78
NLP. *See* natural language processing (NLP)
NODS (National Open Data System), 371–87
Nolo, 221
non-verbal cues, 99–100, 241, 245–46

Obama, Barack, 311
ODR. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
ODR 1.0, 288
Office of Justice Programs report, 309
online dispute resolution (ODR), 286–304, *See also* simplicity hypothesis for ODR
access to justice, 37, 255–56, 287
architecture of, 269–83
criticism of, 273–75, 277, 292–93
data collection, 301
design, 300, 304
design requirements, 284–85
as disruptive innovator, 253–54
eBay, 290
education of litigants, 303
fairness issues, 260–61
fees for, 276–77
first generation, 290–94
flexibility, 302
harmony at the cost of rights, 274
as interest-based, 273–75
limited use of, 94
merits of case and, 273–75
in Michigan, 314–16
models of prior cases, 297
next generation, 294–95
next-gen version of, 284
ODR 1.0, 288
parties, unequal status of, 277–78
phases of, 269–77
preventative justice and, 257, 278–84
representation gap, 293–94
rights lost by use of, 277
structure, 298
online hearings, 364
Open Courts Act of 2021, 343
OpenAI and harmful biases, 171
outcome prediction. *See also* Walmart Suites
commercial applications, 161
and discovery of new claim types, 158
existential problem, 165
as force multiplier, 158
and lawyer identity as a predictor, 163
low- and middle-income litigants, 163
machine learning and, 74
natural language processing and, 72, 75, 80–84
online dispute resolution, 292, 296–99
option identification, 299–301
organizational fixes, 171
performance, 163
rapid advance areas, 163
research design flaws, 161
risk management, 158
scaling up, 166
tax-oriented products, 164
unintended consequences, 166–70
users, 171
Walmart Suites as, 136, 142–43, 149–50
outlier claims
and outcome prediction, 168
outsourcing, 138

PACER
access to court data as principle, 334–42
alternative data sources to, 335–38
call to action, 347–48
democracy requiring open access, 334–35, 348
fee waivers for, 339–40
fees for, 331–32, 343–44
funding for, 329
future of, 342–48
infrastructure improvements needed, 344–45
openness and, 345–48
overview of, 328–48

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

privacy concerns, 341–42
scholarship hindered by, 333, 338–41
search function, 332, 344
unrealized potential of, 338–41
who uses, 332–33
patent litigation analytics, 162
paywalls, 152, *See also* PACER
pelvic mesh litigation, 179
PeopleLaw. *See also* convergence between BigLaw and PeopleLaw
accessibility, 53
augmented lawyering, 56
decline of, 46
defined, 25
legal tech constraints, 56–57
legal tech in, 52
overview, 46–48
regulation of legal services and, 30–32
UK deregulation, 65–66
Philadelphia, 163
plaintiff-defendant balance. *See also* online dispute resolution (ODR)
Colossus and, 136, 139–42, 147–49, 152
democratizing data and, 151–52
digital technology and, 227, 232, 234–40, 243–44, 247
judicial procedural management and, 153–54
legal tech impact on, generally, 135–36
litigation of losers concept, 136, 149–50
overview, 133–36
precedent, impact on, 149
public option legal tech for, 153
substantive or procedural law changes and, 150–51
technology-assisted review and, 123, 136–39, 144–47, 153–54
Walmart Suites and, 136, 142–43, 149–50
plaintiffs and multidistrict litigation (MDL), 180
plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
poor clients, and investment in R & D, 164
poverty, 375–78
practical obscurity of data, 341
precision and recall, 116, 123–25
prediction exercises, machine learning and, 74
predictive models, training, 158
preventative justice, online dispute resolution and, 257, 278–84
privacy concerns, 341–42
procedural skepticism, 262
process, importance of. *See also* fairness/satisfaction
proportionality requirement, 144–45, 153–54
public health research, 206
public option legal tech, 153
public policy choices, impacts of, 228
queen, analogical example, 166

racism, 101, 372, *See also* bias
randomized control trials (RCT), 104–11
recall and precision, 116, 123–25, *See also* fairness/satisfaction
RECAP, 337
Rechtwijzer, 269, 271–72, 274

regulation of legal services. *See also* unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
BigLaw and in-house counsel, 26–28
fee-splitting and, 40–41
generally, 21
interactive forms, 37–40
judicial role in, 22
legal advice, 40–41
legal aid, 28–30, 42
PeopleLaw, 30–32
plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
reforms in, 23, 42
remote testimonial fact-finding. *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
audio only versus audiovisual feeds, 96, 100–1
background to, 93–97
dehumanization concerns, 103–4
evaluation research on needed, 109–11
as here to stay, 324–25
truth-detection and, 97–103
repeat players. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
research. *See also* PACER
with court data, 370
on judicial data, 365–66
on legal help on the Internet, 202, 204–6
on multidistrict legislation, 178–81
need for, 109–11
data needs for, 328
randomized control trials, 104–11
field studies, lab studies compared, 106
on video-conference hearings, 232–39, 248
Rhode, Deborah, 24, 32, 43
risk management, outcome prediction tools, 158
Rocket Lawyer, 37–38, 40
Rostain, Tanina, 172
Rules of Professional Conduct, 114, 128
Salesforce, 67
sample manipulation in TAR, 125
Sandefur, Rebecca L., 204
Schultze, Stephen, 341
search engines, 208, 222, *See also* legal help on the internet
search terms, 115, 138
security, and legal tech, 358–59

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

seed sets in technology-assisted review, 115, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
self-representation, frequency of, 312, *See also* access to justice, *See also* legal aid, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
self-represented litigants, 158
settlement
  Colossus and, 138–42, 147–49
  data availability about, 151–52
  online dispute resolution and, 272–73
  technology-assisted review and, 145
settlement mills. *See* plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills
sexual harassment doctrine, 170
Shavitz, Gregg, 159
signal-to-noise ratio, 170
Similarweb, 215–18
Simmons & Simmons, 51
simple active learning (SAL), 115, 119
simplicity hypothesis for ODR
  falseness of, 252
  overview, 257–63
  private enforcement and, 266–68
  public enforcement and, 263–66, 281
  transparency issues, 269
small firms. *See* PeopleLaw
smartphone-dependent Internet users, 230, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
Smartsettle, 272
social media platforms, 209
solidification of existing power by computers, 169
solo this supposed to be practitioners. *See* PeopleLaw
special masters, 128
stare decisis, and outcome prediction tools, 170
state courts, data management, 164
stereotypes, truth-detection and, 101
Stone, Harlan Fiske, 347
stopping point for TAR, 119
storefront legal clinics, 200
structural racism. *See* bias
Susskind, Richard, 93

TAR Wars, 118, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
technological capital, 249
technology maintenance, 231
technology providers, unauthorized practice of law, 47
technology-assisted review (TAR)
  abuse of, generally, 119–26, 145–47
  benchmark data for, 131–32
  data poisoning, 122–23
  dataset under-representation, 121
  future of, 126–32
  global metrics obfuscation, 124
  hidden stratification, 121–22
  history of, 115–18
  judicial role in, 117–18, 128–29, 153–54
  label manipulation, 124
  overview, 113–19, 137–39
  possible benefits of, 136
  proportionality and, 144–45
  recall and precision, 116
  rule changes for, 127–30
  safeguarding of, 125–26
  sample manipulation, 125
  seed sets for, 115, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
  technical systems to regulate, 130–32
  validation stage, 123–26
techno-optimism, 172
telephonic hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
Ten Steps to Better Case Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks (JPML, FJC), 192
testimonial fact-finding. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
Thomson Reuters, 67
top-ranked sampling, 119
training data. *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
  availability of, 87–88
  human manipulation of, 138, 141
  natural language processing and, 71, 78–79, 87–88
  seed sets in technology-assisted review, 116, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
transparency issues
  Civil Justice Data Commons and, 384, 386
  online dispute resolution and, 269, 273, 278, 284
  technology-assisted review, 117–18
triage
  legal aid groups, 300
  online dispute resolution (ODR), 301
trials, decreasing number of, 134
truth-detection, 96–103
TurboTax, 253, 283
Tyler, Tom R., 260–61

US Sentencing Commission, 337
Uber, 252
UK deregulation
  PeopleLaw, 65–66

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-25535-6 — Legal Tech and the Future of Civil Justice
Edited by David Freeman Engstrom
Index
More Information

unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
artificial intelligence and, 355
BigLaw and in-house counsel, 27–28
drafting documents and court appearances, 41
enforcement issues, 23–24
interactive forms and, 38–40
lawyers protected by, 22–23
legal aid, 28–30
PeopleLaw, 32
plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 33
reforms for, 321, 325
strength of prohibition against, 21
uncertainty sampling, 119
underrepresentation of datasets, 121
UnitedLex, 52
unmet legal needs. *See also* legal aid, *See also* legal help on the internet
amount of, 199, 307
civil, 155
increasing legal awareness to help with, 200–1
poor Americans, 24
reasons for, 199–200
unrepresented persons in virtual hearings. *See also* online dispute resolution (ODR), *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding
"doing" unrepresented status, 227–29
audio only versus audiovisual feeds, 227, 230, 232, 242–43, 248–50
digital divide and, 229–32
overview, 225–50
perception and social construction of, 243–50
representational asymmetries for, 232, 234, 236–39
research on, 232–39, 248
social construction of, 228
social production of unrepresented persons, 228, 239–43
technological asymmetries for, 234–39
unstructured text, 75, *See also* natural language processing (NLP)
UPL. *See* unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
upper-body nonverbal cues, 241, 245–46
validation stage of TAR, 123–26
value lock-in, machine and deep learning methods, 169
verbal cues to deception, 100
video hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
Virtual Courtroom Directory, 318–19
virtual helpdesk, 325
voice assistants, 209
voting information seeking, 222

wage and hour lawsuits, 158, 168
Walmart Suites, 136, 142–43, 149–50
websites. *See* legal help on the internet, *See specific sites*
websites for multidistrict litigation, 176, 181–91
curation, 182
guidance for site content, 192
information to facilitate remote attendance, 188
intended audience, 191
jargon and legalese, 187
litigant-focused content, 186
recommendations for, 182
searchability and identifiability, 185
Timeliness, 189
Weizenbaum, Joseph, 169
Wellborn, Olin Guy, II, 102
Westlaw, 162
white Americans, 372
Why the Haves Come Out Ahead (Galanter), 149
Williams, Margaret, 175, 178
Wilson Sonsini, 51
Winner, Langdon, 225, 239
workflow automation platforms, 49

Yeazell, Stephen, 151–52

Zantac MDL, 181–91
zero-shot learning, 132
Zoom fatigue, 245

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment www.cambridge.org